

2020-21 ECEAP AND HEAD START SATURATION STUDY





Contents

Introduction	1
Methodology	2
Note About the Impact of COVID-19 on the Saturation Study	2
Unserved Eligible Children	2
Child Maltreatment Rate	3
Changes Since 2018 Saturation Study	3
ECEAP Expansion Priority Groups	4
ECEAP Expansion Priority Groups	4
ECEAP Expansion Priority Group 1	4
ECEAP Expansion Priority Group 2	4
ECEAP Expansion Priority Group 3	4
ECEAP Expansion Priority Group 4	5
ECEAP Expansion Priority Group 5	5
ECEAP Expansion Priority Group 6	6
ECEAP Expansion Priority Group 7	6
Saturation by School District Boundary Area	8

Introduction

Annually, the Washington State Department of Children, Youth, and Families (DCYF) prepares the Early Childhood Education and Assistance Program (ECEAP) and Head Start Saturation Study to analyze access to Washington's ECEAP and Head Start programs serving 3- and 4-year-olds. This study estimates the number of eligible children living within each school district boundary and calculates the percentage currently served. It assigns points for each district based on numbers and percentages of unserved children and child maltreatment rates. These data are used to sort districts into groups by priority for ECEAP slot expansion.

This Saturation Study is part of the information DCYF uses to determine appropriate locations for ECEAP slots. Current or potential ECEAP contractors may also use this study to inform decisions to apply for ECEAP slots or move existing ECEAP slots to communities with high needs. When deciding the location of new slots, DCYF considers these data along with the availability of facilities, availability of qualified staff, and readiness of early learning



providers to offer high-quality ECEAP comprehensive education, family support, health, and nutrition services.

In December 2019, the methodology for this report was updated to address the slot estimations required by the following provision of the state Operating Budget, passed by the 2019 Legislature as ESHB 1109:

Sec. 225. (3) (a) (ii) The Department of Children, Youth, and Families must develop a methodology to identify, at the school district level, the geographic locations of where Early Childhood Education and Assistance program slots are needed to meet the entitlement specified in RCW 43.216.556. This methodology must be linked to the caseload forecast produced by the Caseload Forecast Council and must include estimates of the number of slots needed at each school district and the corresponding facility needs required to meet the entitlement in accordance with RCW 43.216.556. This methodology must be included as part of the budget submittal documentation required by RCW 43.88.030

DCYF recognizes the limits of the Saturation Study. This study does not account for children in low-income families served by early learning programs other than ECEAP, Head Start, or City of Seattle preschool programs. It uses the best available estimates of the population of low-income 3- and 4-year-olds. However, these data are imperfect and may be less accurate for smaller school districts. Finally, we know some children cross school district boundaries for ECEAP or Head Start services, causing one district to appear overserved and another underserved. Therefore, the best use of this study is high-level comparisons of the need for ECEAP expansion between districts. Community-level information is needed for more accurate local planning.

DCYF does not expect 100% of families of the estimated eligible children described in this study would choose to enroll in ECEAP or Head Start. The Caseload Forecast Council currently assumes 61% of estimated eligible 3-year-olds and 83.8% of estimated eligible 4-year-olds would participate. Since this percentage appears to vary by community, DCYF reports the full estimates of eligible children below.

At the time of this report, Transitional Kindergarten (TK) data was not available. TK data will be part of upcoming ECEAP Saturation Study reports. Starting next year, the Saturation Study will begin to reflect the emerging coordination between TK and ECEAP.

The next update of this study is planned for December 2021. For additional information, see the 2019-20 DCYF ECEAP Caseload Forecast Report. Please email questions to eceap@dcyf.wa.gov.

Methodology

The ECEAP and Head Start Saturation Study results in assigning up to six points per school district based on numbers of unserved eligible children and local child maltreatment rates and placing districts within priority groups based on these points. Please note that ECEAP and Head Start providers within the school district boundaries may be child care centers, family child care homes, non-profit organizations, local governments, colleges, tribes or tribal organizations, educational service districts, or school districts. In this study, "school district" means a geographic boundary rather than the district as an entity.

Note About the Impact of COVID-19 on the Saturation Study

The COVID-19 pandemic had a significant impact on collecting data that is representative of the population that ECEAP and Head Start serve. This is seen most plainly in the Free and Reduced Lunch data available for children eligible for kindergarten and first grade in 2020-21. The available data is not representative of previous years' data, nor of the state population, and does not resemble the long-term population forecasts that Washington State relies on for studies such as this one. With this in mind, this 2020-21 ECEAP and Head Start Saturation Study report is basing the assignment of points and placement into priority groups on data collected in 2019-20. We expect more complete and representative data collection to resume for 2021-22.

Unserved Eligible Children

To determine the number of unserved eligible children:

- DCYF verified 21,955 currently funded slots for 3- and 4-year-old children for ECEAP, Region X Head Start, and American Indian/Alaska Native Head Start and determined the locations of these slots by preschool site.
 - Children were considered 3 or 4 years old based on their age on August 31 at the beginning of the school year and therefore age-eligible for kindergarten in Washington in one or two years.
 - Slot counts were obtained from DCYF's Early Learning Management System (ELMS) for both ECEAP and Head Start, then confirmed with each ECEAP contractor or Head Start grantee.
 - Migrant Head Start slots were not included since this program operates primarily during summer months and serves some duplicate children.
 - Slots for children in Early Head Start, child care, and school district early learning special education programs and not in ECEAP or Head Start were not included.
 - Per the request of the City of Seattle, DCYF added 339 children enrolled by city-operated preschools who are at or below 110% of the federal poverty level (FPL) to the Seattle Public Schools slot counts to obtain a more accurate calculation of unserved children in Seattle. This number is lower than previous years. This reflects:
 - An increase in the number of 202 ECEAP and Head Start slots in City of Seattle programs from 2018-19 (+119) to 2019-20 (+321).
 - Ongoing rising housing costs push low-income families out of Seattle.
- 2. DCYF estimated the number of eligible children residing within each school district's boundaries.



- Beginning with the Small Area Demographic Estimates (SADE) total population of children ages 0 to 4 residing within school district boundaries, DCYF applied multipliers to obtain the numbers of 3- and 4-year-olds at or below 110% FPL. Then DCYF used the ECEAP Caseload Forecast methodology to adjust each school district for children with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) and to add 10% for children above the income cutoff with specific risk factors.
- As of December 2020, there are 33,528 3- and 4-year-old children across the state eligible for ECEAP in the school year, which is consistent in this Saturation Study and the ECEAP Caseload Forecast when adjusted with the November 2019 Office of Financial Management (OFM) population estimates.
- 3. DCYF determined the number of unserved, eligible children for each school district by subtracting the current number of Head Start and ECEAP slots from the estimated eligible children. The statewide total is 20,928.
- 4. DCYF determined the percentage of eligible children currently served by ECEAP and Head Start for each school district by dividing the current total of ECEAP and preschool-age Head Start slots within each district's boundaries by the number of estimated eligible children.
- 5. DCYF assigned up to five points to each school district based on the estimated number and percentage of unserved, eligible children living within their boundaries:
 - 1 point if 50% or less of eligible children are served
 - Up to 4 points for the number of unserved, eligible children
 - o 1 point if 6-39 unserved children
 - o 2 points if 40-99 unserved children
 - o 3 points if 100-249 unserved children
 - o 4 points if 250 or more unserved children

Child Maltreatment Rate

To support the DCYF vision to ensure all Washington's children and youth grow up safe and healthy – thriving physically, emotionally, and educationally, nurtured by family and community – the department is including a child welfare measure in this Saturation Study.

DCYF reviewed the annual rate of child abuse and neglect referrals accepted for further action within each school district boundary from 2010 through 2016. The 20% of districts with the highest rate of referrals were assigned one point toward ECEAP prioritization.

Changes Since 2018 Saturation Study

The ECEAP and Head Start Saturation Study no longer attempts to rate school districts based on racial or income disparities. Previously, there were points awarded based on Washington Kindergarten Inventory of Developing Skills (WaKIDS) results for children who are low income or in the American Indian/Alaska Native, Black/African American, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, or Latinx subgroups. Based on changes in data availability, large amounts of data suppression for small districts, and the variations in the use of the WaKIDS tool between districts, DCYF determined these points would not be awarded equitably and therefore is retiring this portion of the study.

ECEAP Expansion Priority Groups

The lists below cluster school districts in six groups based on priority for new ECEAP slots within their boundaries. Within each group, districts are listed alphabetically.

Please note that DCYF seeks to support ECEAP classrooms within these priority school district **boundaries**, whether the services are provided by the school district or another entity.



ECEAP Expansion Priority Groups

The lists below cluster school districts in six groups based on priority for new ECEAP slots within their boundaries. Within each group, districts are listed alphabetically.

Please note that DCYF seeks to support ECEAP classrooms within these priority school district **boundaries**, whether the services are provided by the school district or another entity.

ECEAP Expansion Priority Group 1

Clover Park School District

ECEAP Expansion Priority Group 2

- Central Kitsap School District
- Edmonds School District
- Federal Way School District
- Kelso School District
- Kennewick School District
- Kent School District
- Moses Lake School District
- Mukilteo School District
- North Thurston Public Schools
- Olympia School District

ECEAP Expansion Priority Group 3

- Auburn School District
- Battle Ground School District
- Bethel School District
- Bremerton School District
- Burlington-Edison School District
- Eastmont School District
- Everett School District
- Evergreen School District (Clark)
- Fife School District
- Grandview School District
- Issaguah School District

- Spokane Public Schools
- Othello School District
- Pasco School District
- Port Angeles School District
- Puyallup School District
- Renton School District
- Richland School District
- South Kitsap School District
- Sunnyside School District
- Tacoma Public Schools
- Yakima School District
- Lake Washington School District
- Longview School District
- Mead School District
- Mount Baker School District
- Northshore School District
- Oak Harbor School District
- Ocosta School District
- Pullman School District
- Seattle Public Schools
- Shelton School District
- Tumwater School District

ECEAP Expansion Priority Group 4

- Aberdeen School District
- Asotin-Anatone School District
- Bellevue School District
- Bellingham School District
- Blaine School District
- Central Valley School District
- Centralia School District
- Chewelah School District
- Crescent School District
- Enumclaw School District
- Franklin Pierce School District
- Goldendale School District
- Highland School District
- Highline School District
- Lake Chelan School District
- Lyle School District
- Monroe School District
- Morton School District
- Napavine School District
- North Mason School District
- Okanogan School District

ECEAP Expansion Priority Group 5

- Adna School District
- Bainbridge Island School District
- Brinnon School District
- Cheney School District
- Clarkston School District
- Columbia (Stevens) School District
- Coulee-Hartline School District
- Davenport School District
- East Valley School District (Spokane)
- East Valley School District (Yakima)
- Easton School District
- Entiat School District
- Evaline School District
- Glenwood School District
- Grand Coulee Dam School District
- Grapeview School District
- Green Mountain School District
- Hockinson School District
- Kalama School District
- Kiona-Benton City School District
- La Center School District
- Lake Stevens School District
- Lynden School District

- Omak School District
- Orting School District
- Pioneer School District
- Pomeroy School District
- Port Townsend School District
- Quillayute Valley School District
- Quincy School District
- Republic School District
- Sequim School District
- Skykomish School District
- Snohomish School District
- Snoqualmie Valley School District
- Stanwood-Camano School District
- Tahoma School District
- Toppenish School District
- University Place School District
- Washougal School District
- White Pass School District
- Wishram School District
- Zillah School District
- Mary Walker School District
- Marysville School District
- McCleary School District
- Mercer Island School District
- Meridian School District
- Methow Valley School District
- Mossyrock School District
- Mount Adams School District
- Mount Vernon School District
- Nooksack Valley School District
- North Kitsap School District
- Oroville School District
- Peninsula School District
- Ridgefield School District
- Selkirk School District
- Skamania School District
- Soap Lake School District
- Southside School District
- St. John School District
- Sumner School District
- Tenino School District
- Toutle Lake School District
- Trout Lake School District

- Tukwila School District
- Wahluke School District
- Waitsburg School District

ECEAP Expansion Priority Group 6

- Almira School District
- Anacortes School District
- Bickleton School District
- Bridgeport School District
- Carbonado School District
- Cascade School District
- Centerville School District
- Chehalis School District
- Chimacum School District
- Colton School District
- Colville School District
- Concrete School District
- Conway School District
- Creston School District
- Cusick School District
- Darrington School District
- Dayton School District
- Dixie School District
- Endicott School District
- Ferndale School District
- Freeman School District
- Garfield School District
- Hood Canal School District
- Hoguiam School District
- Index School District
- Kittitas School District
- Klickitat School District
- La Conner School District
- LaCrosse School District
- Lakewood School District
- Liberty School District
- Mabton School District
- Mill A School District
- Mount Pleasant School District
- Newport School District

ECEAP Expansion Priority Group 7

- Arlington School District
- Benge School District
- Boistfort School District
- Brewster School District

- Wenatchee School District
- Wilson Creek School District
- Wishkah Valley School District
- North Beach School District
- North Franklin School District
- Northport School District
- Ocean Beach School District
- Odessa School District
- Onalaska School District
- Onion Creek School District
- Orchard Prairie School District
- Orient School District
- Palisades School District
- Pateros School District
- Paterson School District
- Prosser School District
- Queets-Clearwater School District
- Raymond School District
- Reardan-Edwall School District
- Riverview School District
- Rochester School District
- Royal School District
- Satsop School District
- Sedro-Woolley School District
- Shaw Island School District
- South Bend School District
- Sprague-Lamont School District
- Stevenson-Carson School District
- Tekoa School District
- Thorp School District
- Union Gap School District
- Warden School District
- Washtucna School District
- West Valley School District (Spokane)
- White River School District
- Wilbur School District
- Willapa Valley School District
- Camas School District
- Cape Flattery School District
- Cashmere School District
- Castle Rock School District

- Cle Elum-Roslyn School District
- Colfax School District
- College Place School District
- Columbia (Walla Walla) School District
- Cosmopolis School District
- Coupeville School District
- Curlew School District
- Damman School District
- Deer Park School District
- Dieringer School District
- Eatonville School District
- Ellensburg School District
- Elma School District
- Ephrata School District
- Evergreen School District (Stevens)
- Finley School District
- Granger School District
- Granite Falls School District
- Great Northern School District
- Griffin School District
- Harrington School District
- Inchelium School District
- Kahlotus School District
- Keller School District
- Kettle Falls School District
- Lake Quinault School District
- Lamont School District
- Lind School District
- Loon Lake School District
- Lopez School District
- Mansfield School District
- Manson School District
- Mary M. Knight School District
- Medical Lake School District
- Montesano School District
- Naches Valley School District
- Naselle-Grays River Valley School District
- Nespelem School District
- Nine Miles Falls School District
- North River School District
- Oakesdale School District

- Oakville School District
- Orcas Island School District
- Orondo School District
- Palouse School District
- Pe Ell School District
- Prescott School District
- Quilcene School District
- Rainier School District
- Ritzville School District
- Riverside School District
- Roosevelt School District
- Rosalia School District
- San Juan School District
- Selah School District
- Shoreline School District
- South Whidbey School District
- Star School District
- Starbuck School District
- Stehekin School District
- Steilacoom Historical School District
- Steptoe School District
- Sultan School District
- Summit Valley School District
- Taholah School District
- Toledo School District
- Tonasket School District
- Touchet School District
- Valley School District
- Vancouver School District
- Vashon Island School District
- Wahkiakum School District
- Walla Walla Public Schools
- Wapato School District
- Waterville School District
- Wellpinit School District
- West Valley School District (Yakima)
- White Salmon Valley School District
- Winlock School District
- Woodland School District
- Yelm School District

Saturation by School District Boundary Area

School District Boundary Area	ECEAP and Head Start Slots	Estimated Eligible Children	Percent Served	Unserved Eligible Children	Total Priority Points	Priority Group
	Number of funded slots for 3- & 4- year old children within school district boundaries	67.4% of first & second grade free lunch counts	Current Head Start and ECEAP slots as a percent of estimated eligible children	Estimated eligible 3- & 4-year olds not served by ECEAP or Head Start	See the Methodology section for explanation	Comparative need for district to expand ECEAP slots
Aberdeen School District	123	216	57%	93	3	4
Adna School District	0	8	0%	8	2	5
Almira School District	0	1	0%	1	1	6
Anacortes School District	50	60	84%	10	1	6
Arlington School District	75	73	102%	0	0	7
Asotin-Anatone School District	0	18	0%	18	3	4
Auburn School District	406	732	55%	326	4	3
Bainbridge Island School District	0	15	0%	15	2	5
Battle Ground School District	89	317	28%	228	4	3
Bellevue School District	198	376	53%	178	3	4
Bellingham School District	226	385	59%	159	3	4
Benge School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Bethel School District	337	589	57%	252	4	3
Bickleton School District	0	3	0%	3	1	6
Blaine School District	18	70	26%	52	3	4
Boistfort School District	2	0	100%	0	0	7
Bremerton School District	254	490	52%	236	4	3
Brewster School District	74	57	130%	0	0	7
Bridgeport School District	30	53	56%	23	1	6
Brinnon School District	0	4	0%	4	2	5
Burlington-Edison School District	86	289	30%	203	4	3
Camas School District	34	37	92%	3	0	7
Cape Flattery School District	50	27	184%	0	0	7
Carbonado School District	0	4	0%	4	1	6
Cascade School District	34	60	56%	26	1	6
Cashmere School District	24	18	130%	0	0	7
Castle Rock School District	34	33	104%	0	0	7
Centerville School District	0	1	0%	1	1	6
Central Kitsap School District	120	393	31%	273	5	2
Central Valley School District	352	562	63%	210	3	4
Centralia School District	254	304	84%	50	3	4
Chehalis School District	97	131	74%	34	1	6
Cheney School District	164	209	78%	45	2	5
Chewelah School District	18	63	29%	45	3	4
Chimacum School District	17	23	75%	6	1	6
Clarkston School District	92	113	81%	21	2	5
Cle Elum-Roslyn School District	35	24	145%	0	0	7

School District Boundary Area	ECEAP and Head Start Slots	Estimated Eligible Children	Percent Served	Unserved Eligible Children	Total Priority Points	Priority Group
Clover Park School District	507	1225	41%	718	6	1
Colfax School District	20	26	77%	6	0	7
College Place School District	55	17	325%	0	0	7
Colton School District	0	5	0%	5	1	6
Columbia (Stevens) School District	0	30	0%	30	2	5
Columbia (Walla Walla) School District	17	14	118%	0	0	7
Colville School District	57	61	94%	4	1	6
Concrete School District	19	25	76%	6	1	6
Conway School District	0	3	0%	3	1	6
Cosmopolis School District	4	0	100%	0	0	7
Coulee-Hartline School District	0	7	0%	7	2	5
Coupeville School District	20	21	95%	1	0	7
Crescent School District	0	21	0%	21	3	4
Creston School District	0	0	NA	0	1	6
Curlew School District	10	4	225%	0	0	7
Cusick School District	14	10	134%	0	1	6
Damman School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Darrington School District	30	4	670%	0	1	6
Davenport School District	12	35	34%	23	2	5
Dayton School District	18	25	71%	7	1	6
Deer Park School District	66	54	123%	0	0	7
Dieringer School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Dixie School District	0	4	0%	4	1	6
East Valley School District	-					
(Spokane)	192	277	69%	85	2	5
East Valley School District (Yakima)	59	106	56%	47	2	5
Eastmont School District	72	212	34%	140	4	3
Easton School District	0	9	0%	9	2	5
Eatonville School District	20	15	131%	0	0	7
Edmonds School District	179	630	28%	451	5	2
Ellensburg School District	108	98	111%	0	0	7
Elma School District	50	31	159%	0	0	7
Endicott School District	8	6	139%	0	1	6
Entiat School District	0	34	0%	34	2	5
Enumclaw School District	74	149	50%	75	3	4
Ephrata School District	93	79	117%	0	0	7
Evaline School District	0	14	0%	14	2	5
Everett School District	435	730	60%	295	4	3
Evergreen School District (Clark)	566	864	66%	298	4	3
Evergreen School District (Stevens)	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Federal Way School District	479	972	49%	493	5	2
Ferndale School District	192	130	147%	0	1	6
Fife School District	40	163	25%	123	4	3
Finley School District	20	12	173%	0	0	7
Franklin Pierce School District	239	385	62%	146	3	4
Freeman School District	0	4	0%	4	1	6

Garfield School District	School District Boundary Area	ECEAP and Head Start Slots	Estimated Eligible Children	Percent Served	Unserved Eligible Children	Total Priority Points	Priority Group
Goldendale School District	Garfield School District	7	0	100%	0	1	6
Grand Coulee Dam School District	Glenwood School District	0	7	0%	7	2	5
Grandview School District 72 181 40% 109 4 3 Granger School District 108 97 111% 0 0 7 Grapeview School District 0 12 0% 12 2 5 Great Northern School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 2 5 Griffin School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 2 5 Griffin School District 1 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Highline School District 17 94 18% 77 3 4 4 Highline School District 0 9 0% 9 2 5 5 Hodulam School District 10 9 0% 9 2 5 5 4 4 32 127% 0 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 1 6 1 1 6	Goldendale School District	68	114	59%	46	3	4
Granger School District 108 97 111% 0 0 7 Grante Falls School District 50 19 257% 0 0 7 Grapeview School District 0 12 0% 12 2 5 Great Northern School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Green Mountain School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Griffin School District 11 3 369% 0 0 7 Harrington School District 11 3 369% 0 0 7 Highland School District 11 3 369% 0 0 7 Hockinson School District 10 9 0% 9 2 5 Hood Canal School District 40 32 127% 0 1 6 Hougham School District 40 32 127% 0 1 6 Hood Canal School District	Grand Coulee Dam School District	0	34	0%	34	2	5
Granite Falls School District 50 19 257% 0 0 7	Grandview School District	72	181	40%	109	4	3
Grapeview School District 0 12 0% 12 2 5 Great Northern School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Greaf Mountain School District 0 7 0% 7 2 5 Griffin School District 1 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Harrington School District 11 3 369% 0 0 7 Highland School District 17 94 18% 77 3 4 Highland School District 10 9 0% 9 2 5 Hock Insolation District 40 32 127% 0 1 6 Hodquam School District 40 32 127% 0 1 6 Hodquiam School District 40 32 127% 0 1 6 Hodquiam School District 40 3 217% 3 4 3 Hodgard School District	Granger School District	108	97	111%	0	0	7
Great Northern School District 0 NA 0 0 7 Green Mountain School District 0 7 0% 7 2 5 Griffin School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Harrington School District 11 3 369% 0 0 7 Highland School District 17 94 18% 77 3 4 Highline School District 648 885 73% 237 3 4 Hock Inchelium School District 40 32 127% 0 1 6 Houliam School District 109 87 126% 0 1 6 Inchelium School District 30 33 90% 3 0 7 Index School District 30 33 90% 3 0 7 Index School District 0 0 NA 0 1 6 Index School District 0 0	Granite Falls School District	50	19	257%	0	0	7
Green Mountain School District	Grapeview School District	0	12	0%	12	2	5
Griffin School District	Great Northern School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Harrington School District	Green Mountain School District	0	7	0%	7	2	5
Highland School District 17 94 18% 77 3 4 Highline School District 648 885 73% 237 3 4 Hockinson School District 0 9 0% 9 2 5 Hockinson School District 40 32 127% 0 1 6 Hoquiam School District 109 87 126% 0 1 6 Hoquiam School District 30 33 30% 3 0 7 Index School District 0 0 NA 0 1 6 Index School District 0 0 NA 0 1 6 Index School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Kalma School District 0 18 2 5 6 8 5 2 Keller School District 10 15 67% 5 0 7 7 8 8 <td< td=""><td>Griffin School District</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>NA</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>7</td></td<>	Griffin School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Highland School District	Harrington School District	11	3	369%	0	0	7
Highline School District		17	94	18%	77	3	4
Hockinson School District		648	885	73%	237	3	4
Hoquiam School District	_	0	9	0%	9	2	5
Hoquiam School District	Hood Canal School District	40	32	127%	0	1	6
Inchelium School District	Hoguiam School District	109	87		0	1	6
Index School District	•	30	33	90%	3	0	7
Kahlotus School District 0 0 NA 0 7 Kalama School District 0 18 0% 18 2 5 Keller School District 10 15 67% 5 0 7 Kelso School District 105 353 30% 248 5 2 Kennewick School District 492 1101 45% 609 5 2 Kent School District 435 957 45% 522 5 2 Kettle Falls School District 27 31 88% 4 0 7 Kiona-Benton City School District 73 134 54% 61 2 5 Kittitas School District 0 6 0% 6 1 6 1 6 Kickitat School District 10 1 810% 0 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6			0		0	1	6
Kahlotus School District 0 0 NA 0 7 Kalama School District 0 18 0% 18 2 5 Keller School District 10 15 67% 5 0 7 Kelso School District 105 353 30% 248 5 2 Kennewick School District 492 1101 45% 609 5 2 Kent School District 435 957 45% 522 5 2 Kettle Falls School District 27 31 88% 4 0 7 Kiona-Benton City School District 73 134 54% 61 2 5 Kittitas School District 0 6 0% 6 1 6 1 6 Kickitat School District 10 1 810% 0 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6	Issaguah School District	53	251	21%	198	4	3
Kalama School District 0 18 0% 18 2 5 Keller School District 10 15 67% 5 0 7 Kelso School District 105 353 30% 248 5 2 Kennewick School District 492 1101 45% 609 5 2 Kent School District 435 957 45% 522 5 2 Kettle Falls School District 27 31 88% 4 0 7 Kiona-Benton City School District 73 134 54% 61 2 5 Kittitas School District 0 6 0% 6 1 6 Klickitat School District 10 1 810% 0 1 6 La Center School District 0 7 0% 7 2 5 La Center School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lac Center School District <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td>-</td></td<>			-			0	-
Keller School District 10 15 67% 5 0 7 Kelso School District 105 353 30% 248 5 2 Kennewick School District 492 1101 45% 609 5 2 Kent School District 435 957 45% 522 5 2 Kettle Falls School District 27 31 88% 4 0 7 Kiona-Benton City School District 0 6 0% 6 1 6 Kittitas School District 0 6 0% 6 1 6 Kittitas School District 10 1 810% 0 1 6 La Center School District 0 7 0% 7 2 5 La Conner School District 0 7 0% 7 2 5 Lake Chelan School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lake Chelan School District 1					_	-	5
Kelso School District 105 353 30% 248 5 2 Kennewick School District 492 1101 45% 609 5 2 Kent School District 435 957 45% 522 5 2 Kettle Falls School District 27 31 88% 4 0 7 Kiona-Benton City School District 73 134 54% 61 2 5 Kittitas School District 0 6 0% 6 1 6 Klickitat School District 10 1 810% 0 1 6 Klickitat School District 0 7 0% 7 2 5 La Center School District 0 7 0% 7 2 5 La Conner School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lacerosse School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lake Chelan School District <		-	15		_		
Kennewick School District 492 1101 45% 609 5 2 Kent School District 435 957 45% 522 5 2 Kettle Falls School District 27 31 88% 4 0 7 Kiona-Benton City School District 73 134 54% 61 2 5 Kittitas School District 0 6 0% 6 1 6 Kilickitat School District 10 1 810% 0 1 6 La Center School District 0 7 0% 7 2 5 La Conner School District 23 13 174% 0 1 6 Lac Crosse School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lake Chelan School District 26 77 34% 51 3 4 Lake Quinault School District 19 3 729% 0 0 7 Lake Stevens School Distri		-					-
Kent School District 435 957 45% 522 5 2 Kettle Falls School District 27 31 88% 4 0 7 Kiona-Benton City School District 73 134 54% 61 2 5 Kittitas School District 0 6 0% 6 1 6 Klickitat School District 10 1 810% 0 1 6 La Conter School District 0 7 0% 7 2 5 La Conner School District 23 13 174% 0 1 6 La Conser School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lacrosse School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lake Chelan School District 19 3 729% 0 0 7 Lake Quinault School District 19 3 729% 0 0 7 Lake Stevens School District							
Kettle Falls School District 27 31 88% 4 0 7 Kiona-Benton City School District 73 134 54% 61 2 5 Kittitas School District 0 6 0% 6 1 6 Klickitat School District 10 1 810% 0 1 6 La Center School District 0 7 0% 7 2 5 La Conner School District 23 13 174% 0 1 6 LaCrosse School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lake Chelan School District 26 77 34% 51 3 4 Lake Quinault School District 19 3 729% 0 0 7 Lake Stevens School District 100 146 69% 46 2 5 Lake Washington School District 76 304 25% 228 4 3 Lake Washington Sc		-	-				
Kiona-Benton City School District 73 134 54% 61 2 5 Kittitas School District 0 6 0% 6 1 6 Klickitat School District 10 1 810% 0 1 6 La Center School District 0 7 0% 7 2 5 La Conner School District 23 13 174% 0 1 6 LaCrosse School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lake Chelan School District 26 77 34% 51 3 4 Lake Quinault School District 19 3 729% 0 0 7 Lake Stevens School District 100 146 69% 46 2 5 Lake Washington School District 76 304 25% 228 4 3 Lakewood School District 42 77 54% 35 1 6 Lamont School Distric					-		
Kittitas School District 0 6 0% 6 1 6 Klickitat School District 10 1 810% 0 1 6 La Center School District 0 7 0% 7 2 5 La Conner School District 23 13 174% 0 1 6 LaCrosse School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lake Chelan School District 26 77 34% 51 3 4 Lake Quinault School District 19 3 729% 0 0 7 Lake Stevens School District 100 146 69% 46 2 5 Lake Washington School District 76 304 25% 228 4 3 Lakewood School District 42 77 54% 35 1 6 Lamont School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Liberty School District					61	-	5
Klickitat School District 10 1 810% 0 1 6 La Center School District 0 7 0% 7 2 5 La Conner School District 23 13 174% 0 1 6 LaCrosse School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lake Chelan School District 26 77 34% 51 3 4 Lake Quinault School District 19 3 729% 0 0 7 Lake Stevens School District 100 146 69% 46 2 5 Lake Washington School District 76 304 25% 228 4 3 Lake Washington School District 42 77 54% 35 1 6 Lake Washington School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Lake Washington School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Liewey School	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		6		6		
La Center School District 0 7 0% 7 2 5 La Conner School District 23 13 174% 0 1 6 LaCrosse School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lake Chelan School District 26 77 34% 51 3 4 Lake Quinault School District 19 3 729% 0 0 7 Lake Quinault School District 19 3 729% 0 0 7 Lake Stevens School District 100 146 69% 46 2 5 Lake Washington School District 76 304 25% 228 4 3 Lake Washington School District 42 77 54% 35 1 6 Lake Washington School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Lake Washington School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Lake Washi		-					
La Conner School District 23 13 174% 0 1 6 LaCrosse School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lake Chelan School District 26 77 34% 51 3 4 Lake Quinault School District 19 3 729% 0 0 7 Lake Stevens School District 100 146 69% 46 2 5 Lake Washington School District 76 304 25% 228 4 3 Lakewood School District 42 77 54% 35 1 6 Lakewood School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Lakewood School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Liberty School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Liberty School District 12 10 117% 0 0 7 Longview School District 12 0 100% 0 0 7 Lopez School Distri					-		-
LaCrosse School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lake Chelan School District 26 77 34% 51 3 4 Lake Quinault School District 19 3 729% 0 0 7 Lake Stevens School District 100 146 69% 46 2 5 Lake Washington School District 76 304 25% 228 4 3 Lakewood School District 42 77 54% 35 1 6 Lamont School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Liberty School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lind School District 12 10 117% 0 0 7 Longview School District 12 0 100% 0 0 7 Lopez School District 10 13 79% 3 0 7 Lyle School District 27							
Lake Chelan School District 26 77 34% 51 3 4 Lake Quinault School District 19 3 729% 0 0 7 Lake Stevens School District 100 146 69% 46 2 5 Lake Washington School District 76 304 25% 228 4 3 Lakewood School District 42 77 54% 35 1 6 Lamont School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Liberty School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lind School District 12 10 117% 0 0 7 Longview School District 318 526 60% 208 4 3 Long Lake School District 12 0 100% 0 0 7 Lopez School District 10 13 79% 3 0 7 Lyle School District <td< td=""><td></td><td>0</td><td></td><td>0%</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>6</td></td<>		0		0%	2	1	6
Lake Quinault School District 19 3 729% 0 0 7 Lake Stevens School District 100 146 69% 46 2 5 Lake Washington School District 76 304 25% 228 4 3 Lakewood School District 42 77 54% 35 1 6 Lamont School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Liberty School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lind School District 12 10 117% 0 0 7 Longview School District 318 526 60% 208 4 3 Loon Lake School District 12 0 100% 0 0 7 Lopez School District 10 13 79% 3 0 7 Lyle School District 27 55 49% 28 2 5						3	
Lake Stevens School District 100 146 69% 46 2 5 Lake Washington School District 76 304 25% 228 4 3 Lakewood School District 42 77 54% 35 1 6 Lamont School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Liberty School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lind School District 12 10 117% 0 0 7 Longview School District 318 526 60% 208 4 3 Loon Lake School District 12 0 100% 0 0 7 Lopez School District 10 13 79% 3 0 7 Lyle School District 10 23 43% 13 3 4 Lynden School District 27 55 49% 28 2 5		19					
Lake Washington School District 76 304 25% 228 4 3 Lakewood School District 42 77 54% 35 1 6 Lamont School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Liberty School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lind School District 12 10 117% 0 0 7 Longview School District 318 526 60% 208 4 3 Loon Lake School District 12 0 100% 0 0 7 Lopez School District 10 13 79% 3 0 7 Lyle School District 10 23 43% 13 3 4 Lynden School District 27 55 49% 28 2 5		_					
Lakewood School District 42 77 54% 35 1 6 Lamont School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Liberty School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lind School District 12 10 117% 0 0 7 Longview School District 318 526 60% 208 4 3 Loon Lake School District 12 0 100% 0 0 7 Lopez School District 10 13 79% 3 0 7 Lyle School District 10 23 43% 13 3 4 Lynden School District 27 55 49% 28 2 5							
Lamont School District 0 0 NA 0 0 7 Liberty School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lind School District 12 10 117% 0 0 7 Longview School District 318 526 60% 208 4 3 Loon Lake School District 12 0 100% 0 0 7 Lopez School District 10 13 79% 3 0 7 Lyle School District 10 23 43% 13 3 4 Lynden School District 27 55 49% 28 2 5							
Liberty School District 0 2 0% 2 1 6 Lind School District 12 10 117% 0 0 7 Longview School District 318 526 60% 208 4 3 Loon Lake School District 12 0 100% 0 0 7 Lopez School District 10 13 79% 3 0 7 Lyle School District 10 23 43% 13 3 4 Lynden School District 27 55 49% 28 2 5							
Lind School District 12 10 117% 0 0 7 Longview School District 318 526 60% 208 4 3 Loon Lake School District 12 0 100% 0 0 7 Lopez School District 10 13 79% 3 0 7 Lyle School District 10 23 43% 13 3 4 Lynden School District 27 55 49% 28 2 5						-	
Longview School District 318 526 60% 208 4 3 Loon Lake School District 12 0 100% 0 0 7 Lopez School District 10 13 79% 3 0 7 Lyle School District 10 23 43% 13 3 4 Lynden School District 27 55 49% 28 2 5	<u> </u>						
Loon Lake School District 12 0 100% 0 0 7 Lopez School District 10 13 79% 3 0 7 Lyle School District 10 23 43% 13 3 4 Lynden School District 27 55 49% 28 2 5							
Lopez School District 10 13 79% 3 0 7 Lyle School District 10 23 43% 13 3 4 Lynden School District 27 55 49% 28 2 5							
Lyle School District 10 23 43% 13 3 4 Lynden School District 27 55 49% 28 2 5							
Lynden School District 27 55 49% 28 2 5	<u> </u>						
	·						
	Mabton School District	32	45	70%	13	1	6

School District Boundary Area	ECEAP and Head Start Slots	Estimated Eligible Children	Percent Served	Unserved Eligible Children	Total Priority Points	Priority Group
Mansfield School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Manson School District	24	0	100%	0	0	7
Mary M. Knight School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Mary Walker School District	9	35	26%	26	2	5
Marysville School District	280	330	85%	50	2	5
McCleary School District	6	29	21%	23	2	5
Mead School District	79	233	34%	154	4	3
Medical Lake School District	24	20	121%	0	0	7
Mercer Island School District	0	8	0%	8	2	5
Meridian School District	0	23	0%	23	2	5
Methow Valley School District	18	46	39%	28	2	5
Mill A School District	0	0	NA	0	1	6
Monroe School District	57	118	48%	61	3	4
Montesano School District	9	14	63%	5	0	7
Morton School District	0	20	0%	20	3	4
Moses Lake School District	224	474	47%	250	5	2
Mossyrock School District	17	40	42%	23	2	5
Mount Adams School District	68	101	68%	33	2	5
Mount Baker School District	68	161	42%	93	4	3
Mount Pleasant School District	0	1	0%	1	1	6
Mount Vernon School District	232	311	75%	79	2	5
Mukilteo School District	404	867	47%	463	5	2
Naches Valley School District	30	24	127%	0	0	7
Napavine School District	0	59	0%	59	3	4
Naselle-Grays River Valley School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Nespelem School District	60	32	185%	0	0	7
Newport School District	36	25	144%	0	1	6
Nine Miles Falls School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Nooksack Valley School District	84	144	58%	60	2	5
North Beach School District	20	13	156%	0	1	6
North Franklin School District	119	155	77%	36	1	6
North Kitsap School District	129	204	63%	75	2	5
North Mason School District	48	100	48%	52	3	4
North River School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
North Thurston Public Schools	210	529	40%	319	5	2
Northport School District	10	15	65%	5	1	6
Northshore School District	114	254	45%	140	4	3
Oak Harbor School District	124	287	43%	163	4	3
Oakesdale School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Oakville School District	57	32	178%	0	0	7
Ocean Beach School District	49	14	357%	0	1	6
Ocosta School District	17	88	19%	71	4	3
Odessa School District	0	5	0%	5	1	6
Okanogan School District	34	74	46%	40	3	4
Olympia School District	38	485	8%	447	5	2
, ,	109	186	58%	77	3	4

School District Boundary Area	ECEAP and Head Start Slots	Estimated Eligible Children	Percent Served	Unserved Eligible Children	Total Priority Points	Priority Group
Onalaska School District	27	36	74%	9	1	6
Onion Creek School District	0	2	0%	2	1	6
Orcas Island School District	35	23	152%	0	0	7
Orchard Prairie School District	0	4	0%	4	1	6
Orient School District	6	1	1013%	0	1	6
Orondo School District	18	16	111%	0	0	7
Oroville School District	35	46	76%	11	2	5
Orting School District	40	108	37%	68	3	4
Othello School District	150	448	34%	298	5	2
Palisades School District	0	5	0%	5	1	6
Palouse School District	4	1	393%	0	0	7
Pasco School District	518	1092	47%	574	5	2
Pateros School District	0	4	0%	4	1	6
Paterson School District	0	3	0%	3	1	6
Pe Ell School District	9	9	100%	0	0	7
Peninsula School District	71	114	62%	43	2	5
Pioneer School District	0	64	0%	64	3	4
Pomeroy School District	0	12	0%	12	3	4
Port Angeles School District	90	297	30%	207	5	2
Port Townsend School District	17	68	25%	51	3	4
Prescott School District	20	6	343%	0	0	7
Prosser School District	80	101	79%	21	1	6
Pullman School District	104	208	50%	104	4	3
Puyallup School District	168	642	26%	474	5	2
Queets-Clearwater School District	20	2	887%	0	1	6
Quilcene School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Quillayute Valley School District	101	152	67%	51	3	4
Quincy School District	40	130	31%	90	3	4
Rainier School District	36	36	99%	0	0	7
Raymond School District	25	28	90%	3	1	6
Reardan-Edwall School District	25	35	72%	10	1	6
Renton School District	209	955	22%	746	5	2
Republic School District	16	33	49%	17	3	4
Richland School District	209	461	45%	252	<u>5</u>	2
Ridgefield School District	0	30	0%	30	2	5
Ritzville School District	11	8	133%	0	0	7
Riverside School District	51	19	275%	0	0	7
Riverview School District	18	29	62%	11	1	6
Rochester School District	47	55	85%	8	1	6
Roosevelt School District	0	0	85% NA	0	0	7
Rosalia School District	10	4	268%	0	0	7
		76				
Royal School District	40	14	53%	36	0	6 7
San Juan School District	16 0	2	115% 0%			
Satsop School District	ļ			750	1	6
Seattle Public Schools	1,710*	2469	69%	759	4	3
Sedro-Woolley School District	71	88	81%	17	1	6
Selah School District	75	65	116%	0	0	7

School District Boundary Area	ECEAP and Head Start Slots	Estimated Eligible Children	Percent Served	Unserved Eligible Children	Total Priority Points	Priority Group
Selkirk School District	0	5	0%	5	2	5
Sequim School District	34	117	29%	83	3	4
Shaw Island School District	0	0	NA	0	1	6
Shelton School District	118	222	53%	104	4	3
Shoreline School District	119	123	97%	4	0	7
Skamania School District	0	3	0%	3	2	5
Skykomish School District	4	14	28%	10	3	4
Snohomish School District	60	124	48%	64	3	4
Snoqualmie Valley School District	42	118	35%	76	3	4
Soap Lake School District	18	31	57%	13	2	5
South Bend School District	66	70	94%	4	1	6
South Kitsap School District	126	377	33%	251	5	2
South Whidbey School District	33	22	151%	0	0	7
Southside School District	0	11	0%	11	2	5
Spokane Public Schools	994	2041	49%	1047	6	1
Sprague-Lamont School District	0	0	NA	0	1	6
St. John School District	0	9	0%	9	2	5
Stanwood-Camano School District	40	103	39%	63	3	4
Star School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Starbuck School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Stehekin School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Steilacoom Historical School		-				
District	8	0	100%	0	0	7
Steptoe School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Stevenson-Carson School District	55	55	100%	0	1	6
Sultan School District	60	10	629%	0	0	7
Summit Valley School District	8	8	101%	0	0	7
Sumner School District	100	190	53%	90	2	5
Sunnyside School District	237	587	40%	350	5	2
Tacoma Public Schools	852	1824	47%	972	5	2
Taholah School District	40	0	100%	0	0	7
Tahoma School District	18	72	25%	54	3	4
Tekoa School District	8	0	100%	0	1	6
Tenino School District	0	31	0%	31	2	5
Thorp School District	0	4	0%	4	1	6
Toledo School District	20	0	100%	0	0	7
Tonasket School District	35	27	129%	0	0	7
Toppenish School District	173	343	51%	170	3	4
Touchet School District	10	3	386%	0	0	7
Toutle Lake School District	0	7	0%	7	2	5
Trout Lake School District	0	13	0%	13	2	5
Tukwila School District	158	245	64%	87	2	5
Tumwater School District	38	167	23%	129	4	3
Union Gap School District	37	77	48%	40	1	6
University Place School District	64	151	42%	87	3	4
Valley School District	24	5	475%	0	0	7
Vancouver School District	629	809	78%	180	0	7

School District Boundary Area	ECEAP and Head Start Slots	Estimated Eligible Children	Percent Served	Unserved Eligible Children	Total Priority Points	Priority Group
Vashon Island School District	20	0	100%	0	0	7
Wahkiakum School District	24	7	349%	0	0	7
Wahluke School District	118	163	72%	45	2	5
Waitsburg School District	0	9	0%	9	2	5
Walla Walla Public Schools	234	228	103%	0	0	7
Wapato School District	277	279	99%	2	0	7
Warden School District	40	48	84%	8	1	6
Washougal School District	28	80	35%	52	3	4
Washtucna School District	0	2	0%	2	1	6
Waterville School District	0	0	NA	0	0	7
Wellpinit School District	70	13	550%	0	0	7
Wenatchee School District	210	284	74%	74	2	5
West Valley School District (Spokane)	65	103	63%	38	1	6
West Valley School District (Yakima)	170	60	283%	0	0	7
White Pass School District	0	39	0%	39	3	4
White River School District	40	73	55%	33	1	6
White Salmon Valley School District	31	7	474%	0	0	7
Wilbur School District	0	3	0%	3	1	6
Willapa Valley School District	16	10	157%	0	1	6
Wilson Creek School District	0	8	0%	8	2	5
Winlock School District	26	29	88%	3	0	7
Wishkah Valley School District	0	3	0%	3	2	5
Wishram School District	0	8	0%	8	3	4
Woodland School District	47	9	553%	0	0	7
Yakima School District	637	1528	42%	891	5	2
Yelm School District	163	109	150%	0	0	7
Zillah School District	0	62	0%	62	3	4

^{*}Seattle Public Schools had 1,267 ECEAP and Head Start preschool slots and 443 slots in Seattle Preschool Program, Pathway, and Step Ahead city-operated preschool programs filled with children at or below 110% FPL.