

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Children’s emerging understanding of death

David Menendez, Iseli G. Hernandez

University of Wisconsin – Madison

&

Karl S. Rosengren

University of Rochester

Corresponding author:

David Menendez

dmenendez@wisc.edu

1202 West Johnson St.,

University of Wisconsin-Madison,

Madison, WI

53706-1611

24 This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Menendez, D., Hernandez, I. G., &
25 Rosengren, K. S. (2020). Children’s Emerging Understanding of Death. *Child Development*
26 *Perspectives, 14(1)*, 55-60., which has been published in final form at [10.1111/cdep.12357](https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12357). This
27 article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and
28 Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

29

30 Acknowledgement:

31 The research reported here was supported in part by the Institute of Education Sciences, U.S.
32 Department of Education, through Award #R305B150003 to the University of Wisconsin-
33 Madison. The opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not represent views of the U.S.
34 Department of Education.

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

Abstract

Children’s understanding of death has been a topic of interest to researchers investigating the development of children’s thinking and clinicians focusing on children’s coping with the death of a loved one. Traditionally, researchers in cognitive development have mainly focused on death from a biological perspective. Current research suggests that exploring religious and spiritual conceptualizations might enrich our understanding of how children come to think about death. In particular, we review different methodological approaches that suggest that children form their understanding of death by engaging in conversations and question asking with family members, consuming cultural products, and actively participating in cultural rituals. We also provide some examples on how children combine different belief systems to form their understanding of death. Finally, we discuss recent research on how socialization with regards to death might be related to coping and bereavement after the death of a loved one.

Keywords: Understanding of death, explanatory co-existence, culture

63 Children's emerging understanding of death

64 Exploring children's death understanding has a long tradition in developmental
65 psychology (Piaget, 1929). Traditionally, it was believed that children were incapable of
66 understanding the meaning of death until around 10 years of age (Carey, 1985; Piaget, 1929).
67 However, changes in how death is conceptualized and related changes in methodology have led
68 researchers to conclude that children have an earlier emerging understanding of death (Gutiérrez,
69 Menendez, Jiang, Hernandez, Miller, & Rosengren, 2019; Rosengren, Miller, Gutiérrez, Chow,
70 Schein, & Anderson, 2014; Speece & Brent, 1984). In this paper, we review research on
71 children's death understanding and examine how theoretical and methodological changes have
72 led to a more nuanced view of children's thinking about death.

73 **Conceptualizing death**

74 Traditionally, researchers considered death to be a unitary concept, poorly understood by
75 children until the ages of 9 or 10 (Piaget, 1929). Carey (1985) argued that children only come to
76 understand death when they know that it was caused by the breakdown of the bodily systems
77 necessary to maintain life. More recently, in an effort to define death as a multi-faceted concept,
78 Speece and Brent (1992) proposed four key sub-components of death: universality (all living
79 things die), finality (death is final and irreversible), non-functionality (death leads to the
80 cessation of biological and psychological processes), and causality (death can be caused by
81 different factors). By conceptualizing death in terms of these different sub-components,
82 researchers have revealed that children acquire an understanding of death at an earlier age
83 (Speece & Brent, 1984; 1992). Prior to age 5, children begin to develop an understanding of
84 universality, followed by an understanding of finality (Rosengren et al., 2014; Slaughter, 2005).
85 By 5 years of age, most children understand that death leads to the cessation of bodily processes,

86 and by age 6, children have the more sophisticated understanding that death can be caused by
87 many factors, not just old age (Panagiotaki, Hopkins, Nobes, Ward, & Griffiths, 2018).

88 Although deconstructing death into these sub-components has been fruitful, it also has
89 one glaring issue: this approach has treated death as a purely biological concept. This can be
90 problematic because for many individuals and cultures, death is also understood through a
91 religious or spiritual lens (Astuti, 2000; Gutiérrez et al., 2019; Watson-Jones, Busch, Harris, &
92 Legare, 2017). In order to examine these non-biological concepts of death, some researchers
93 have proposed a fifth sub-component of death, non-corporeal continuity, which focuses on
94 beliefs in the afterlife (Bering & Bjorklund, 2004; Bering, Blasi, & Bjorklund, 2005; Rosengren
95 et al., 2014). Other researchers have acknowledged that cultures vary greatly with respect to the
96 rituals and practices surrounding death (Kagawa-Singer, 1998; Lobar, Youngblut & Brooten,
97 2006) and have studied how individuals growing up in different cultures come to understand
98 death (Astuti, 2000; Busch, Watson-Jones, & Legare, 2017; Rosengren et al., 2014). An
99 important finding resulting from these efforts is the idea that biological and religious concepts of
100 death often co-exist in the minds of both children and adults (Busch et al., 2017; Gutiérrez et al.,
101 2019; Legare, Evans, Rosengren, & Harris, 2012).

102 **How do children acquire their understanding of death?**

103 A key question is not only when do children understand death, but how do they come to
104 this understanding? Traditionally, researchers believed that children understood death from a
105 uniquely biological perspective (Piaget, 1929). Research from this perspective sought to only
106 characterize children's biological understanding of death. In contrast, we believe that children
107 form their understanding of death by combining their biological reasoning with information from
108 their cultural environment - including information from religious and spiritual contexts. Using

109 qualitative and quantitative methods allows researchers to examine how children make sense of
110 the different information presented to them.

111 *Biological reasoning.* The traditional view has been that children's understanding of
112 death arises from a general understanding of biology. In one study from this perspective,
113 Slaughter and Lyons (2003) provided children a lesson on the body and its systems, and then
114 examined their understanding of death. They found that children who learned about the body had
115 a better understanding of the causes of death. This and other research suggests that children's
116 understanding of death is rooted in their understanding of life, the body, and other biological
117 concepts (Rosengren et al., 2014; Slaughter & Lyons, 2003).

118 While biological reasoning is clearly important for an understanding of death, we argue
119 that children's understanding of death emerges as the result of an interaction between their
120 biological reasoning, their experiences with death-related rituals, and parental socialization.
121 Thus, children's understanding of death is the product of children making sense of a variety of
122 biological and spiritual information about death. Examples of this can be seen in cross-cultural
123 work that shows that children (and adults) often incorporate religious and spiritual beliefs into
124 their understanding of death (Astuti & Harris, 2008; Gutiérrez et al., 2019; Watson-Jones et al.,
125 2017). In order to examine how children come to understand death, it is important to take a
126 socio-cultural approach that examines how children make sense of information that can appear to
127 be in conflict (e.g., biological views of death and religious ones vary greatly on issues of
128 finality). We argue that biological and religious information about death, which are often seen as
129 being in conflict with each other, is often presented together in children's media and parental
130 conversations. This suggests that these different views are not presented as contradictory to
131 children. Additionally, children are not simply absorbing this information, but rather asking

132 questions and participating in cultural rituals which furthers their conceptual development
133 (Rogoff, 1998).

134 The idea that children actively construct knowledge from available information implies
135 that culture plays a central role in children's emerging understanding of death. There has been a
136 breadth of research that shows cultural differences in how children conceptualize death (Astuti &
137 Harris, 2008; Bering & Bjorklund, 2004; Gutiérrez et al., 2019; Lane, Zhu, Evans, & Wellman,
138 2016; Panagiotaki, Nobes, Ashraf, & Aubby, 2015; Watson-Jones et al., 2017). Knowing that
139 there are cultural variations in children's understanding of death raises the arguably more
140 interesting question of *how* culture influences children's conceptualizations of death. Below we
141 draw from research on children's understanding of death and the broader cognitive
142 developmental field to argue for three potential ways cultures influence children's understanding
143 of death. We contend that differences in cultural norms related to how openly parents discuss
144 death (Gutiérrez et al., 2019), the presence of death-related content in children's media (Lee,
145 Kim, Choi, & Koo, 2014), and the extent to which children actively participate in cultural rituals
146 surrounding death all impact children's understanding of death. Although there is evidence that
147 culture also influences how people think about the biological world (ojalehto, Medin, Horton,
148 Garcia, & Kays, 2015), we do not discuss this at length given that we are not aware of any work
149 that connects different types of conceptualizations of biology specifically to children's reasoning
150 about death.

151 *Parental conversations and question asking.* One source of information about death that
152 has received considerable attention is parent-child conversations. Although some aspects of
153 death may be clearly observable (e.g., a dead animal cannot jump), others are less readily
154 observable (e.g., whether a spirit continues to exist). Harris and Koenig (2006) suggested that

155 children rely on testimony from adults to build their understanding of phenomena that are
156 generally unobservable. Testimony from adults have also been proposed to influence children's
157 endorsement of death and afterlife beliefs (Lane & Harris, 2014).

158 One issue with children potentially learning about death from adult testimony is that
159 western societies have been viewed as attempting to shield children from death and death-related
160 experiences (Ariès, 1974; Rosengren et al., 2014). At first glance, this “modern interdiction of
161 death” (Ariès, 1974) might lead to the assumption that families rarely engage in conversations
162 about death. However, the fact that parents in western countries may not volunteer information
163 about death does not mean that children do not request this information. Chouinard (2007)
164 proposed that children's question asking might be a central mechanism in children's cognitive
165 development. As children acquire more domain knowledge, their ability to ask questions
166 improves and they ask more focused questions to fill specific knowledge gaps (Ronfard,
167 Zambrana, Hermansen, & Kelemen, 2018). Given that recent reports have found that many
168 parents indicate that their children begin to ask questions about death as young as three years of
169 age (Renaud, Engarhos, Schleifer, & Talwar, 2015), and that these questions were often sparked
170 by a recent death in the family (Bridgewater, Menendez & Rosengren, under review), question
171 asking might also be a key mechanism that children use to learn about death.

172 Researchers examining children's understanding of death have examined the content of
173 children's questions and how parents respond (Gutiérrez et al., 2019; Rosengren et al., 2014).
174 The results of these studies are surprisingly consistent, suggesting that young children
175 predominantly ask questions about the sub-components of death, typically in very general terms
176 (“What happens to people when they die?”), but some questions are more specific (“How old are
177 you when you die?”). Many of these questions focus on the causes of death. Given that causality

178 is the last sub-component that children come to understand, they may be asking questions about
179 the sub-components they least understand in order to enrich their knowledge. This work,
180 although quite informative, has relied exclusively on parents' retrospective reports, making it
181 difficult to relate children's questions to their current death understanding.

182 An interesting finding emerging from research on children's questions about death, is that
183 there appears to be a mismatch between the content of children's questions and parents'
184 responses. Children predominantly ask questions about the biological sub-components of death,
185 but parents often provide religious information in response (Bridgewater et al., under review;
186 Gutiérrez et al., 2019). Children also rarely ask specific questions involving religious aspects of
187 death, yet parents' responses often include religious or spiritual elements (e.g., references to
188 Heaven). One potential reason for this mismatch might be that children's questions can be
189 interpreted quite broadly, allowing parents to provide responses from whichever belief systems
190 they find most comforting. For example, if a child asks, "What happens to people when they
191 die?" a parent could provide a biological response "your body stops working" or a religious
192 response "you go to Heaven." Parents might assume that children might find biological
193 responses disturbing as they suggest the end of a relationship with the deceased (rather than a
194 continued spiritual relationship as many religious explanations suggest), and they might also
195 underestimate their children's ability to understand biological based information (Bluebond-
196 Langner, 1978; Gaab, Owens, & MacLeod, 2013). Some parents combine biological and
197 spiritual information either in the same answers or across multiple answers (Bridgewater et al.,
198 under review). The fact that parents at least sometimes combine biological and spiritual
199 information suggests that children are exposed to multiple belief systems about death, and that at

200 least some children are likely acquiring a view of death that incorporates multiple belief systems
201 at once.

202 *Consumption of media.* Children might also learn about death by observing how death is
203 portrayed in media. A number of researchers have examined how death is portrayed in children's
204 books (Lee et al., 2014) and animated films (Cox, Garrett, & Graham, 2005; Tenzek & Nickels,
205 2017). In order to examine how frequently death is portrayed in children's books, Rosengren et
206 al. (2014) examined parents' reports of their children's favorite books and Caldecott Medal
207 winners (an award for distinguished picture books given by the Association for Library Service
208 to Children). They found that only 3% of these books depicted death. This stands in stark
209 contrast to the top animated children's films, 75% of which contained a death (Bridgewater et al.,
210 under review). However, many of the deaths portrayed in animated films were not depicted
211 explicitly (e.g., the death occurred off-screen). This indicates that although children's books
212 rarely portray death, children's films often do. One potential reason for the difference might be
213 that depicting death implicitly is easier to portray in films than it is in books. This seems to be in
214 line with findings that *books with images* portray death more often than *books without images*,
215 even though books without images are generally meant to be read by older children (Poling &
216 Hupp, 2008).

217 Researchers have also examined children's books designed specifically for bereaved
218 children. Although the majority of these books contain information about the biological sub-
219 components of death, many included religious and spiritual perspectives (Rosengren et al.,
220 2014). Books, as cultural artifacts, depict a view of death that matches that of the culture of its
221 writers. For example, researchers found that books about death from Western European countries
222 depicted spiritual aspects of death more often than books from East Asian countries (Lee et al.,

223 2014). This is in line with studies that show that children and adults in Western countries are
224 more likely to think about spiritual aspects of death than children and adults in East Asian
225 countries (Lane et al., 2016). Therefore, it is likely that children receive culturally consistent
226 information about death from their parents and the media, possibly containing both biological
227 and non-biological perspectives on death.

228 *Participation in cultural rituals.* Recent studies have focused on the social functions of
229 rituals, paying special attention to the role of rituals in defining groups and facilitating group
230 cohesion (Watson-Jones & Legare, 2016). Here, we focus on children's learning through
231 observing and participating in cultural rituals (Rogoff, Mejía-Arauz, & Correa-Chávez, 2015).
232 Ethnographic work by Gutiérrez, Rosengren, and Miller (2015) showed that children in Puebla,
233 Mexico often participate in and help prepare for the *día de los muertos* (Day of the dead)
234 celebration. During this celebration, families create *ofrendas* (altars) for dead relatives and place
235 food for their visit. The majority of the children that participate in this celebration indicate that
236 their dead relatives came to visit and that the dead consumed the food placed on the *ofrendas*,
237 even though these same children understand that death is irreversible and that physical functions
238 (like eating) stop after death (Gutiérrez et al., 2019). Other studies on children's death
239 understanding have used ethnographic field work to enrich quantitative approaches and improve
240 our understanding of how children's experiences with death rituals help shape their
241 understanding of death (Astuti, 2000).

242 One conclusion to be drawn from past research is that children form their emerging death
243 understanding by combining aspects of biological reasoning with concepts and symbols drawn
244 from religious and broader cultural contexts. There is evidence that children first come to a
245 biological understanding of death prior to integrating spiritual or religious dimensions, using

246 their existing biological concepts of death to constrain their religious understanding (Astuti &
247 Harris, 2008; Giménez & Harris, 2005; Lane & Harris, 2014). Ultimately, people often combine
248 these different models, resulting in the co-existence of different explanatory beliefs (Busch et al.,
249 2017; Legare et al., 2012). These co-existence models can be target-dependent (where the belief
250 system used depends on the context) or blended (where two or more belief systems are combined
251 in one explanation). Examples of target-dependent models can be seen in research that looks at
252 how children's responses to questions about death are different depending on whether they are
253 presented with a religious or a secular context (Astuti & Harris, 2008; Giménez & Harris, 2005;
254 Lane et al., 2016). One example of the blended model is presented by Rosengren et al. (2014, pg.
255 27) in which a child stated that her deceased mother was in heaven (a spiritual understanding),
256 but that her mother was tired because she had to stand on the clouds for very long (imparting
257 biological traits to spirits). These blended models can be difficult to identify, but some
258 researchers have successfully used a combination of qualitative and quantitative approaches to
259 examine how children blend different belief systems (Gutiérrez et al., 2019; Rosengren et al.,
260 2014). However, future work should explore how and when children combine different beliefs.

261 **Ramifications of children's understanding of death**

262 Recent research suggests that different understandings of death influence how people
263 respond to death. Research focusing on non-bereaving children has shown that a greater
264 biological understanding of death is related to lower death anxiety (Slaughter & Griffiths, 2007)
265 and to beliefs that people should feel sad after the death of a loved one (Gutiérrez et al., 2019).
266 Clinical research focusing on bereaving children suggests that fear and anxiety may make it
267 difficult for children to reason about death (Ellis, Dowrick, & Lloyd-Williams, 2013).
268 Additionally, open communication between parents and children about death has positive

269 consequences for children's coping (Christ, 2000; Field, Tzadikario, Pel, & Ret, 2014). One
270 study examined this issue in a retrospective manner by asking adults to remember how open their
271 parents were when discussing death and how much their parents shielded them from death
272 (Martinčková et al., 2018). These researchers found that people who recalled their parents being
273 open to talking about death reported better coping after a death in childhood, which in turn was
274 associated with better coping in adulthood. These data suggest that parent-child conversations
275 about death might be important for children's coping and death understanding. Future work
276 should examine this issue more in-depth.

277 **Future directions**

278 There are a number of important directions for future research. First, although there is
279 research examining children's cognitive and affective understanding of death separately, very
280 few studies explore both of these constructs in the same investigation. Future work should
281 examine how children's cognitive and affective understanding relate and how religion influences
282 both constructs. Second, while there is research on the death-related content present in children's
283 media, there is currently little research on whether parents or children engage with this content.
284 Although researchers report that children ask parents questions about death portrayed in movies
285 (Bridgewater et al., under review), we don't know much about if and how parents might use
286 different forms of media as tools to teach children about death. Finally, there is very little
287 research on how losing a loved one influences children's understanding of death. This is a
288 difficult issue to study prospectively, out of concern for the privacy of bereaved families. Past
289 research with bereaving populations has involved clinicians and focused almost exclusively on
290 children's coping skills, not on their understanding of death. Research with non-bereaving
291 children often asked whether the children have experienced the death of a loved one, but few

292 examine whether there are differences in children's understanding of death between children
293 who have and have not lost a loved one (but see Panagiotaki et al., 2018).

294 **Conclusions**

295 Children seem to have a fairly sophisticated understanding of death by the age of six.
296 They appear to actively construct their understanding of death by asking adults questions,
297 consuming cultural products, and participating in cultural rituals. These sources often provide
298 information that maps onto different belief systems, leading children to potentially combine
299 these systems to create a concept of death that is deeply rooted in both their biological reasoning
300 and their cultural symbolic system. Given this dynamic process of knowledge construction, we
301 suggest that it is important to use a variety of methods to gain a comprehensive view of
302 children's understanding of death, and how this understanding may vary by context and culture.
303 To understand children's conceptualizations of death, we need to explore in greater detail
304 children's experiences with the death of a loved one, their affective responses to death, and how
305 religious beliefs may shape their reasoning about death. While preliminary evidence suggests
306 that children's understanding of death might be related to how they cope with the death of a
307 loved one both in childhood and adulthood, future research should examine this relation in more
308 detail.

309

310 References

- 311 Ariès, P. (1974). The reversal of death: Changes in attitudes toward death in Western societies.
312 *American Quarterly*, 26(5), 536–560. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2711889>
- 313 Astuti, R. (2000). Kindreds and descent groups: New perspectives from Madagascar. In *Cultures*
314 *of Relatedness: New Approaches to the Study of Kinship* (pp. 90–103).
- 315 Astuti, R., & Harris, P. L. (2008). Understanding mortality and the life of the ancestors in rural
316 Madagascar. *Cognitive Science*, 32(4), 713–740.
317 <https://doi.org/10.1080/03640210802066907>
- 318 Bering, J. M., & Bjorklund, D. F. (2004). The natural emergence of reasoning about the afterlife
319 as a developmental regularity. *Developmental Psychology*, 40(2), 217–233.
320 <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.40.2.217>
- 321 Bering, J. M., Blasi, C. H., & Bjorklund, D. F. (2005). The development of afterlife beliefs in
322 religiously and secularly schooled children. *British Journal of Developmental*
323 *Psychology*, 23(4), 587–607. <https://doi.org/10.1348/026151005X36498>
- 324 Bluebond-Langner, M. (1978). *The private worlds of dying children*. Princeton University Press.
- 325 Bridgewater, E., Menendez, D., & Rosengren, K. S. (under review). Capturing Death in
326 Animated Films: Can Films Stimulate Parent-Child Conversations about Death?
- 327 Busch, J. T. A., Watson-Jones, R. E., & Legare, C. H. (2017). The coexistence of natural and
328 supernatural explanations within and across domains and development. *British Journal of*
329 *Developmental Psychology*, 35(1), 4–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjdp.12164>
- 330 Carey, S. (1985). *Conceptual change in childhood*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- 331 Chouinard, M. M. (2007). Children’s questions: A mechanism for cognitive development.
332 *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 72(1), i–129.

- 333 Christ, G. H. (2000). Impact of development on children's mourning. *Cancer Practice*, 8(2), 72–
334 81. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-5394.2000.82005.x>
- 335 Cox, M., Garrett, E., & Graham, J. A. (2005). Death in Disney films: Implications for children's
336 understanding of death. *OMEGA - Journal of Death and Dying*, 50(4), 267–280.
337 <https://doi.org/10.2190/Q5VL-KLF7-060F-W69V>
- 338 Ellis, J., Dowrick, C., & Lloyd-Williams, M. (2013). The long-term impact of early parental
339 death: Lessons from a narrative study. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 106(2),
340 57–67. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0141076812472623>
- 341 Field, N. P., Tzadikario, E., Pel, D., & Ret, T. (2014). Attachment and mother-child
342 communication in adjustment to the death of a father among Cambodian adolescents.
343 *Journal of Loss and Trauma*, 19(4), 314–330.
344 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15325024.2013.780411>
- 345 Gaab, E. M., Owens, G. R., & MacLeod, R. D. (2013). Caregivers' estimations of their
346 children's perceptions of death as a biological concept. *Death Studies*, 37(8), 693–703.
347 <https://doi.org/10.1080/07481187.2012.692454>
- 348 Giménez, M., & Harris, P. (2005). Children's acceptance of conflicting testimony: The case of
349 death. *Journal of Cognition and Culture*, 5(1–2), 143–164.
350 <https://doi.org/10.1163/1568537054068606>
- 351 Gutiérrez, I. T., Menendez, D., Jiang, M. J., Hernandez, I. G., Miller, P., & Rosengren, K. S.
352 (2019). Embracing death: Mexican parent and child perspectives on death. *Child*
353 *Development*, 0(0). <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13263>

- 354 Gutiérrez, I. T., Rosengren, K. S., & Miller, P. J. (2015). Chapter Ten - Día de los Muertos:
355 learning about death through observing and pitching in. *Advances in Child Development*
356 *and Behavior*, 49, 229–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.acdb.2015.08.004>
- 357 Harris, P. L., & Koenig, M. A. (2006). Trust in testimony: How children learn about science and
358 religion. *Child development*, 77, 505-524.
- 359 Kagawa-Singer, M. (1998). The cultural context of death rituals and mourning practices. In
360 *Oncology Nursing Forum* (Vol. 25, No. 10, pp. 1752-1756).
- 361 Lane, J. D., & Harris, P. L. (2014). Confronting, Representing, and Believing Counterintuitive
362 Concepts: Navigating the Natural and the Supernatural. *Perspectives on Psychological*
363 *Science*, 9(2), 144–160. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691613518078>
- 364 Lane, J. D., Zhu, L., Evans, E. M., & Wellman, H. M. (2016). Developing concepts of the mind,
365 body, and afterlife: Exploring the roles of narrative context and culture. *Journal of*
366 *Cognition and Culture*, 16(1–2), 50–82. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15685373-12342168>
- 367 Lee, J. S., Kim, E. Y., Choi, Y., & Koo, J. H. (2014). Cultural variances in composition of
368 biological and supernatural concepts of death: A content analysis of children’s literature.
369 *Death Studies*, 38(8), 538–545. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07481187.2014.899653>
- 370 Legare, C. H., Evans, E. M., Rosengren, K. S., & Harris, P. L. (2012). The coexistence of natural
371 and supernatural explanations across cultures and development. *Child Development*,
372 83(3), 779–793. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2012.01743.x>
- 373 Lobar, S. L., Youngblut, J. M., & Brooten, D. (2006). Cross-cultural beliefs, ceremonies, and
374 rituals surrounding death of a loved one. *Pediatric nursing*, 32(1), 44-50.
- 375 Martinčeková, L., Jiang, M. J., Adams, J. D., Menendez, D., Hernandez, I. G., Barber, G., &
376 Rosengren, K. S. (2018). Do you remember being told what happened to grandma? The

- 377 role of early socialization on later coping with death. *Death Studies*, 0(0), 1–11.
378 <https://doi.org/10.1080/07481187.2018.1522386>
- 379 ojalehto, bethany l., Medin, D. L., Horton, W. S., Garcia, S. G., & Kays, E. G. (2015). Seeing
380 cooperation or competition: Ecological interactions in cultural perspectives. *Topics in*
381 *Cognitive Science*, 7(4), 624–645. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tops.12156>
- 382 Panagiotaki, G., Hopkins, M., Nobes, G., Ward, E., & Griffiths, D. (2018). Children’s and
383 adults’ understanding of death: Cognitive, parental, and experiential influences. *Journal*
384 *of Experimental Child Psychology*, 166, 96–115.
385 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2017.07.014>
- 386 Panagiotaki, G., Nobes, G., Ashraf, A. & Aubby, H. (2015). British and Pakistani children’s
387 understanding of death: Cultural and developmental influences. *British Journal of*
388 *Developmental Psychology*, 33(1), 31–44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjdp.12064>
- 389 Piaget, J. (1929). *The child’s conception of the world*. (Translated by Joan and Andrew
390 Tomlinson.). (A. Tomlinson & J. Tomlinson, Trans.). London: Kegan Paul & Co.
- 391 Poling, D. A., & Hupp, J. M. (2008). Death sentences: A content analysis of children’s death
392 literature. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 169(2), 165–176.
393 <https://doi.org/10.3200/GNTP.169.2.165-176>
- 394 Renaud, S. J., Engarhos, P., Schleifer, M., & Talwar, V. (2015). Children's earliest experiences
395 with death: circumstances, conversations, explanations, and parental satisfaction. *Infant*
396 *and Child Development*, 24(2), 157-174.
- 397 Rogoff, B. (1998). Cognition as a collaborative process. In *Handbook of Child Psychology:*
398 *Volume 2: Cognition, Perception, and Language* (pp. 679–744). Hoboken, NJ, US: John
399 Wiley & Sons Inc.

- 400 Rogoff, B., Mejía-Arauz, R., & Correa-Chávez, M. (2015). A cultural paradigm—learning by
401 observing and pitching in. In M. Correa-Chávez, R. Mejía-Arauz, & B. Rogoff (Eds.),
402 *Advances in Child Development and Behavior* (pp. 1–22).
403 <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.acdb.2015.10.008>
- 404 Ronfard, S., Zambrana, I. M., Hermansen, T. K., & Kelemen, D. (2018). Question-asking in
405 childhood: A review of the literature and a framework for understanding its development.
406 *Developmental Review, 49*, 101–120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2018.05.002>
- 407 Rosengren, K. S., Miller, P. J., Gutiérrez, I. T., Chow, P. I., Schein, S. S., & Anderson, K. N.
408 (2014). Children’s understanding of death: Toward a contextualized and integrated
409 account. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 79*, 1–162.
- 410 Slaughter, V. (2005). Young children’s understanding of death. *Australian Psychologist, 40*(3),
411 179–186. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00050060500243426>
- 412 Slaughter, V., & Griffiths, M. (2007). Death understanding and fear of death in young children.
413 *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 12*(4), 525–535.
414 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359104507080980>
- 415 Slaughter, V., & Lyons, M. (2003). Learning about life and death in early childhood. *Cognitive*
416 *Psychology, 46*(1), 1–30. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-0285\(02\)00504-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-0285(02)00504-2)
- 417 Speece, M. W., & Brent, S. B. (1984). Children’s understanding of death: A review of three
418 components of a death concept. *Child Development, 55*(5), 1671.
419 <https://doi.org/10.2307/1129915>
- 420 Speece, M. W., & Brent, S. B. (1992). The acquisition of a mature understanding of three
421 components of the concept of death. *Death Studies, 16*(3), 211–229.
422 <https://doi.org/10.1080/07481189208252571>

- 423 Tenzek, K. E., & Nickels, B. M. (2017). End-of-life in Disney and Pixar Films: An opportunity
424 for engaging in difficult conversation. *OMEGA - Journal of Death and Dying*, 1–20.
425 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0030222817726258>
- 426 Watson-Jones, R. E., Busch, J. T. A., Harris, P. L., & Legare, C. H. (2017). Does the body
427 survive death? Cultural variation in beliefs about life everlasting. *Cognitive Science*, 41,
428 455–476. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cogs.12430>
- 429 Watson-Jones, R. E., & Legare, C. H. (2016). The social functions of group rituals. *Current*
430 *Directions in Psychological Science*, 25(1), 42–46.
431 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721415618486>
432