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Dear Oregonians,
I am pleased to present the 2018-19 edition of the Oregon Statewide Report Card. This annual report is a snapshot of our state's education system that includes important statewide data about our students, teachers and schools. Education is the key to moving our state forward, and we are collectively committed to partnering with our school districts and community partners to deepen our practice in ways that foster equitable learning outcomes for the students we serve. The information in this report provides a clear overview of the challenges we face and the opportunities for excellence ahead of us.

## The 2018-19 Oregon Statewide Report Card includes:

- Graduation and dropout rates
- Early childhood data
- Attendance and regular attender data
- Student demographics and information on specific student groups
- School funding and staff information
- Assessment results
- Charter school data
- Information on alternative education programs

Highlights of the 2018-19 school year include our rising high school graduation rate, passage of the Student Success Act and the launch of our Every Day Matters campaign.

## 4-Year Graduation Rate Continues Climbing For All Student Groups

In January 2019 we reported that the 4 -year graduation rate for the class of 2017-2018 rose two points from the 20162017 class. The jump marked a 6.7 point bump over four years. Between the 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 school years, all student groups saw gains, and the increase meant an additional 950 students graduated from high school.

One of the additional bright spots of the 2017-2018 graduation data is that the hard work our educators do in welcoming and helping students with non-English speaking backgrounds pays off greatly for our state. Students who exited English Learner programs before high school graduated at a rate nearly four percentage points higher than the statewide average.

## Historic Investment In Oregon Schools

The Student Success Act, passed by the Oregon legislature in May of 2019, marks a turning point for education in Oregon. The law is rooted in equity, authentic community engagement and shared accountability for student success. When fully implemented, our state will see an additional \$1 billion in schools annually, including funding for early childhood education. The new funds provide opportunities for every student in our state, particularly students who have been historically underserved. The bulk of the funds will be distributed in July 2020 and it will take several years to fully measure the impacts of this historic legislation.

## Launching The Every Day Matters Campaign

The department launched the Every Day Matters Campaign in October 2018 to highlight the importance that regular attendance plays in a student's education. Increasing regular attendance is a key piece in Governor Kate Brown's vision for having 90 percent of students graduate within four years of starting freshman year of high school, either with a diploma or with a GED. More information about the campaign can be found at www.Every-Day-Matters.org. The website offers a best practice guide, flyers, and yard signs, banners and posters that can be used by districts, families and communities to raise awareness about the importance of regular attendance.

Sincerely,


Colt Gill
Director
Oregon Department of Education
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## Oregon Students

There were 581,730 students enrolled in Oregon public schools on the first school day in October, 2018. There has been a gradually slowing increase in the overall public school enrollment since 2014-15, with a total increase of 10,873 students (1.9 percent) over five years. Based on estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, 79 percent of Oregon's school aged (5-19) population was receiving publicly funded K-12 education. ${ }^{1}$

## Oregon Public School Enrollment <br> Number of Kindergarten through 12th Grade Students



560,000
2014-15
2015-16
2016-17
2017-18
2018-19

## Diverse Student Populations

Of the 581,730 students enrolled in 2018-19, 221,533 (38.08\%) were students not identified as "White." This represents slightly less than one-half of a percentage point increase from last year's rate of 37.59 percent.

| School Year | American Indian/ Alaska Native | Asian | Black/African American | Hispanic/Latino | Multi- <br> Racial | Native <br> Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander | White | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014-15 | 8,650 | 22,440 | 13,673 | 127,845 | 31,111 | 3,983 | 363,155 | 570,857 |
| 2015-16 | 8,305 | 22,726 | 13,744 | 129,410 | 32,597 | 4,032 | 365,593 | 576,407 |
| 2016-17 | 8,184 | 23,067 | 13,654 | 131,089 | 34,200 | 4,172 | 364,581 | 578,947 |
| 2017-18 | 7,724 | 23,324 | 13,509 | 133,822 | 35,677 | 4,232 | 362,396 | 580,684 |
| 2018-19 | 7,280 | 23,267 | 13,301 | 136,186 | 37,136 | 4,363 | 360,197 | 581,730 |

Enrollment is not increasing at the same rate for every racial/ethnic group. Asian, Hispanic/Latino, Multi-Racial, and Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander student enrollment has increased since 2014-2015, but American Indian/Alaska Native, Black/African American, and White student group enrollment has declined. Similar enrollment patterns are also found nationally.
${ }^{1}$ See the United States Census webpage for more information on population estimates. Data source for the above figures are the Fall Membership Reports. See enrollment reports for more information, including breakdowns by school and district
See page 66 for more information on public pre-kindergarten programs and enrollment.

| Race/Ethnicity | Percent Difference <br> since 2014-15 |
| :--- | :--- |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | -15.84 |
| Asian | 3.69 |
| Black/African American | -2.72 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 6.52 |
| Multi-Racial | 19.37 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 9.54 |
| White | -0.81 |

## Percent of Oregon School Districts by Size of Student Enrollment

There are 197 School Districts in Oregon. The majority are small districts, comprised of less than 1,000 students total. However, the majority of students in Oregon attend large school districts, comprised of 7,000 or more students total.


## Homeless Students in Oregon

The right of homeless children and youth to have equal access to the same free, appropriate public education provided to other children is ensured under the federal McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, Education of Homeless Children and Youth Program. ODE's commitment to equity in education for under-represented populations includes families and unaccompanied youths in homeless living situations. Each district designates a Homeless Liaison to identify and provide services to homeless students and to contribute to the annual data collection. Eligible students reside in living situations that are not fixed, regular, and/or adequate. Liaisons work to ensure homeless children and youth are provided with immediate school enrollment and access to education services, despite lack of a permanent residence, a supervising parent or legal guardian, or lack of records from a previous school. To reduce frequent school changes, districts are required to stabilize homeless students in their school of origin, even though the transportation route might involve crossing district boundaries.

## How is "Homeless" Defined?

For the purposes of this program, homeless children and youth "lack a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence." A homeless family could live in an emergency shelter or transitional housing unit, share housing with others due to loss of housing or economic hardship, reside in motels, or live in tents or trailers for lack of alternative, adequate housing. Unaccompanied children and youth who are not living with parents or legal guardians - for whatever the reason - are also eligible for educational rights and services as homeless students.

What are the Living Situations of K- $\mathbf{1 2}$ Homeless Students in Oregon?

| School Year | In Shelters | Sharing Housing | Unsheltered | Motels |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | 1,853 | 15,298 | 2,272 | 1,101 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | 1,926 | 16,163 | 2,377 | 1,210 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | 1,999 | 17,210 | 2,515 | 1,124 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | 1,817 | 16,399 | 2,549 | 1,236 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | 1,701 | 16,903 | 2,569 | 1,041 |

How many homeless students attend public

## How are Homeless Students Counted?

Since 2012-13, each district provides the Secure Student ID (SSID) of each homeless student served and two additional pieces of information:

1) living situation (Shelter, Unsheltered, Sharing Housing, Motel/Hotel);
2) unaccompanied status

In addition to providing count data for required federal reports, results are used to assist districts with homeless student needs assessments in attendance, academic performance and dropout prevention. ODE initiatives such as High School Success, Career and Technical Education, Trauma-Informed Practice, Early Learning and Successful School Transitions are particularly helpful in retaining homeless students through graduation and into college and career.
schools in Oregon?

| Grade Level | Count 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| PK* | 1,822 |
| KG | 1,728 |
| 1 | 1,686 |
| 2 | 1,587 |
| 3 | 1,596 |
| 4 | 1,704 |
| 5 | 1,762 |
| 6 | 1,584 |
| 7 | 1,504 |
| 8 | 1,435 |
| 9 | 1,675 |
| 10 | 1,596 |
| 11 | 1,747 |
| 12 | 2,611 |
| Total | 22,215 |
| * PK enrollment is optional |  |

What are the trends in poverty and homelessness?
Counts of homeless students in high-rent districts are dropping, while many rural districts are seeing increases, as families move seeking more affordable housing.

Homeless Student Counts, K-12
(Percent of Total K-12 Enrollment) 2014-15 to 2018-19


K-12 Students enrolled in Oregon School Districts only. Excludes students enrolled in ESDs, correctional programs, and other non-school district run programs.

Districts with the Highest Number of Homeless Students

| District | K-12 Total <br> Homeless <br> 2018-19 | Percent of <br> enrollment <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beaverton SD 48J | 1,971 | $4.80 \%$ |
| Medford SD 549C | 1,251 | $8.69 \%$ |
| Portland SD 1J | 1,217 | $2.50 \%$ |
| Salem-Keizer SD 24J | 1,164 | $2.78 \%$ |
| Lincoln Co. SD | 943 | $16.97 \%$ |
| Reynolds SD 7 | 862 | $7.82 \%$ |
| Eugene SD 4J | 811 | $4.72 \%$ |
| Grants Pass SD 7 | 518 | $8.39 \%$ |
| HIllsboro SD 1J | 493 | $2.40 \%$ |
| Coos Bay SD 9 | 453 | $13.85 \%$ |

Districts with the Highest Percent of Homeless Students

| District | K-12 Total <br> Homeless <br> 2018-19 | Percent of <br> enrollment <br> 2018-19 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mapleton SD 32 | 45 | $\mathbf{2 8 . 6 6 \%}$ |
| Reedsport SD 105 | 154 | $22.45 \%$ |
| Long Creek SD 17 | 7 | $21.21 \%$ |
| Port Orford-Langlois SD 2CJ | 41 | $19.34 \%$ |
| Glendale SD 77 | 58 | $19.33 \%$ |
| McKenzie SD 68 | 37 | $18.78 \%$ |
| Arlington SD 3 | 30 | $18.40 \%$ |
| Butte Falls SD 91 | 39 | $17.89 \%$ |
| Lincoln County SD | 943 | $16.97 \%$ |
| Alsea SD 7J | 38 | $16.81 \%$ |

While districts with fewer students often have "volatile" data, note that the districts with the highest percentages of homeless students in the state are mainly rural and some distance from the l-5 corridor. Increasing homelessness in Oregon and other western states is attributed to a lack of sufficient affordable housing to meet the demands of a growing and mobile population. Districts also recorded a record 3,704 unaccompanied homeless students in 2018-19.

Homeless Students by County of Enrollment, 2018-19

| County | Total <br> Enrolled, <br> K-12 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Multnomah | 3,310 |
| Washington | 2,857 |
| Lane | 2,316 |
| Jackson | 2,224 |
| Marion | 1,835 |
| Linn | 1,182 |
| Clackamas | 1,113 |
| Josephine | 951 |
| Lincoln | 943 |
| Coos | 699 |
| Douglas | 674 |
| Yamhill | 647 |
| Deschutes | 587 |
| Klamath | 545 |
| Clatsop | 362 |
| Benton | 328 |
| Malheur | 282 |
| Tillamook | 243 |
| Columbia | 218 |
| Baker | 198 |
| Polk | 196 |
| Umatilla | 196 |
| Union | 176 |
| Curry | 138 |
| Wasco | 131 |
| Morrow | 130 |
| Jefferson | 113 |
| Crook | 58 |
| Harney | 48 |
| Hood River | 45 |
| Lake | 34 |
| Gilliam | 30 |
| Wheeler | 18 |
| Sherman | 16 |
| Wallowa | 13 |
| Grant | 12 |
|  |  |

NOTE: Students enrolled in a district that contains schools in more than one county are included in the total of the county where the district administrative office is located.

## How do public school programs serve homeless students?

Services and accommodations for homeless students may include school transportation, tutoring, extended-day and summer school programs, shoes, clothing and hygiene supplies and referrals to social services and housing programs. Local district general funds may be supplemented by Title I-A funds, McKinney-Vento competitive subgrants, community agencies and local donations. Many districts receive donations from community sponsors, foundations and local businesses to help provide resources for homeless students such as dental and medical care, glasses, mentoring, family support and other services.

Partnerships extend across the state between school districts, communities and county agencies working to end homelessness. Many liaisons are involved in county Continuum of Care Committees and Homeless Councils, Oregon Pre-Kindergarten Programs and Runaway \& Homeless Youth Programs. Liaisons find collaboration and assistance from non-profit agencies, coalitions and faith-based service organizations. The role of the Homeless Liaison in school districts, as well as the role of school districts in statewide efforts to prevent and end homelessness, has become an imperative. It is hoped that these collaborations will eventually help reduce the number of homeless students in Oregon.

Homeless Student Performance
2018-19 Percent at Level 3 or 4 / Meets or Exceeds Standard, All Grades


2018-19 Percent Regular Attenders, Percent of $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade On-Track
$\square$ Homeless Students $\square$ All Students


While the statewide dropout rate of homeless students is decreasing, this group of students continuously has the highest dropout rate of all cohorts.

Homeless Student Dropout Rates by School Year
$\square$ Homeless Students $\quad$ All Students


## McKinney-Vento Subgrant Projects

Oregon received \$832,536 in federal McKinney-Vento Act funds in 2018-19 to coordinate the state program and provide grants to local education agencies to provide supplemental services for homeless students. More than 75 percent of these funds went to districts and ESDs in the form of competitive subgrants. During the 2018-19 school year, 32 local districts were served by 10 subgrant projects from this program. For more information about the ODE Education of Homeless Children and Youth Program, contact Dona Bolt, Coordinator, or visit the McKinney-Vento Act: Homeless Education Program webpage.

## Class Size

Class enrollments are counted on the first school day in May for all self-contained or departmentalized core subject classes in all grade levels K-12. Data from 2014-15 through 2017-18 are available.

Departmentalized courses (those offering instruction in specific core content subjects) comprise most of the courses reported to the Oregon Department of Education. The median class sizes vary by subject, ranging from 24 students (Math, English Language Arts, and World Languages) to 26 students (Science and Social Studies). More classes are offered in assessed subjects, and fewer in subjects that are not required for assessment or to earn a high school diploma.

Median Class Size across school type has not changed since 2017-18. The statewide class median (median $=25$ ) is driven by high school data as these schools report more classes than other school types. The statewide class median for all school types, and the medians for high schools and middle schools, have not changed since the inception of class size reporting in 2014-15. The median class size for elementary schools decreased from 25 to 24 in 2015-16. Combined schools represent a small number of schools in Oregon. While their numbers have slightly increased since class size reporting began, they tend to be smaller schools. Their median class size decreased by one beginning in 2016-17 until 2017-18 to their current median of 15 .

Class Size in Elementary Schools ( Median $=24$ )


Class Size in High Schools
(Median = 25)


## Class Size in Middle Schools <br> (Median = 27)



Class Size in Combined Schools (Median = 15)


## Gender Diversity

Recognizing and allowing for non-binary gender options in an important step toward gender inclusivity. ${ }^{1}$ ODE implemented a new gender code in 2018-19 student and staff data collections that provides non-binary, intersex, and gender-fluid individuals with an option to identify their gender as non-binary. For the purpose of collecting and reporting this gender demographic the current practice is to use the term non-binary.

In the May $1^{\text {st }}, 2019$ student enrollment snapshot, a total of 308 non-binary students are reported. This is an increase from the 182 non-binary students reported in the October $1^{\text {st }}, 2019$ student enrollment snapshot. Both counts represent less than 0.1 percent of what the actual non-binary student population proportion could be closer to. For example, according to the 2017 Oregon Healthy Teens survey the population is estimated to be around 5.6 percent of youths which means that there may actually be 13,000 non-binary students in grade eight or higher who are not identified in the public school system.


Data source: 2018-19 Spring Membership
ODE anticipates that the total number of non-binary students reported by school districts may continue to increase each school year. This could be a result of more districts updating their registration forms or student information systems so that parents, students, and staff can easily select the non-binary gender option. In the meantime, as we work towards equitable implementation across all districts, it is still possible to analyze the data that are being reported to get a better idea of what the reported numbers mean for this vulnerable student population.

In the external literature and student surveys from other states, the reported proportions that include youth who identified as gender non-conforming or "I'm not sure/I don't know" varies from about 1 to 6 percent (see table on next page).

Preliminary analysis of Oregon's non-binary students looked at the 2018-19 Fall and Spring Membership data for schools that included middle and high school grade students ${ }^{2}$ in the context of other research reports published on gender proportions of youth. Research analysts in Oregon estimated that a proportion of 32 percent to 68 percent of schools

[^0]had reported zero non-binary students regardless of whether or not they had any, and that schools that did not seem to be misreporting in that way reported that just over $0.1 \%$ of their student populations were non-binary, on average ${ }^{3}$.

| Year | Organization | Reported <br> Proportion |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 2017 | Oregon Health Authority - Healthy Teens Survey | $5.3-5.8 \%$ |
| 2016 | Minnesota Student Survey | $2.7 \%$ |
| 2014 | LA Foster Youth Study | $1.3-3.2 \%$ |

Safety concerns may inhibit gender diverse individuals from selecting the non-binary gender option. ODE convened work groups with stakeholders from GLSEN, Basic Rights Oregon, Bradley Angle and seven school districts ${ }^{5}$. In addition to surfacing safety concerns, all stakeholders encouraged more guidance, analysis, and communication to continue on behalf of supporting gender diversity in Oregon's schools.

Ensuring that our public reports protect the privacy of students is an obligation that requires ODE to continue conversations with stakeholders ${ }^{6}$. The conversations reveal the ways that our data and understanding of the data are accurate or incomplete. Furthermore, it helps us to work towards using appropriate statistical methods to avoid having our smaller student groups unintentionally misrepresented, if they are represented at all.

In this year's Annual Statewide Report Card the discipline incidents and Talented and Gifted sections include data about the reported non-binary students. The 95 percent confidence interval is provided for these data. This interval was chosen to communicate the uncertainty that we have in our state level aggregates of students reported as nonbinary. As this work continues in the 2019-20 school year and beyond, ODE anticipates a more accurate representation of this student group in Oregon's student and staff data collections. ODE hopes that it is a reflection of supports and gender inclusivity efforts reaching more students and staff across the state.

ODE is currently creating a FAQ sheet to provide further guidance to districts and partners. To submit questions or for more information about the FAQs, please contact Emily Nazarov with ODE's Government and Legal Affairs team at 503-947-5637 or Emily.nazarov@state.or.us.

A separate report on non-binary students will be published by the analysts when it is complete. If you would like to discuss the details or provide information in support of this study, please contact Amelia Vargas.

[^1]
## Language Diversity

According to data from the ESEA Title III: English Learner Collection for 2018-19, there were 53,559 English Learners* (about $9.24 \%$ of all $\mathrm{K}-12$ students). In addition to the languages listed below, 1,408 students did not list a specific language (non-applicable to reporting); only five of these students participated in English Learner programs. 1,438 students were also listed as "Other Language;" 685 of these students participated in English Learner programs.

Most Common Languages of Origin of Students in Oregon Public Schools
(K-12 Students)
2018-19
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { Language of Origin } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of Enrolled } \\ \text { Students by } \\ \text { Language of Origin }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of English } \\ \text { Learner Students }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Percent of } \\ \text { Enrollment }\end{array} \\ \text { (Total: 579,629) }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Percent of English } \\ \text { Learner Student } \\ \text { Enrollment }^{\mathbf{3}} \\ \text { (Total: 53,559) }\end{array}\right]$
${ }^{1}$ Source: Spring Membership 2019
${ }^{2}$ Source: Unduplicated ESEA Title III: English Learner Collection, 2018-19, excluding students determined not to be currently eligible for English Learner Services."
${ }^{3}$ Percentage columns may not sum to 100 due to rounding.
${ }^{4}$ Native American/Alaska Native students may qualify for English learner services even though these students have English as their language of origin.
*English Learners is the new term for students qualifying to receive instruction in English language acquisition.
See the Title III English Learners and Immigrant Youth webpage for more details on English Learner performance.

## The Oregon State Seal of Biliteracy

The Oregon State Seal of Biliteracy (OSSB) was established in April of 2016 in order to:

- Recognize the world language literacy skills arriving students bring to their English education.
- Recognize the world language literacy skills native English speaking students gain when studying world languages.
- Recognize students that are literate in two or more languages.
There are three criteria students meet to earn the OSSB:
- Meet all graduation requirements by the spring of the student's graduating year.
- Meet the district's Essential Skills requirement in English for reading and writing.
- Pass an approved, partner (world) language assessment at the Intermediate High level of proficiency in the reading, writing, listening and speaking domains.


Forty-seven districts, two charter schools, and Chemeketa Community College offer the OSSB

Oregon students who earned an OSSB for 2019 spoke 38 different languages, including English. Some of these students spoke a world language at home and gained proficiency in English in school, while others spoke English at home and learned a world language in school. Both methods of meeting the OSSB proficiency requirements are celebrated.

## Data for the $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ graduating year reflects that:

- 55 percent of the students earning the OSSB were Never English Learners
o 84 percent spoke English as their primary language
o 16 percent spoke a language other than English as their primary language
- 45 percent of the students earning the OSSB were English Learners, either currently or formerly
- 47 students earned the OSSB in three languages
- 2 students earned the OSSB in four languages

The Oregon State Seal of Biliteracy has grown by 679 percent since its pilot year. As depicted by the graph below, the program has grown every year of implementation and is on track to grow even more with the addition of American Sign Language (ASL), language opportunities through a greater variety of assessments, and the expansion throughout the state and in post-secondary institutions. It is the hope that the value of speaking other languages will be promoted in all grade levels, as Oregon students grow up and contribute to a more global society.


## Students and Teachers of Color

Oregon has made some progress in hiring and retaining more racially and ethnically diverse teachers. In the 2018-19 school year teachers of color made up over 10 percent of the teacher population for the first time. However, this progress has not decreased the gap that exists between students and teachers of color.


Sources: Fall Membership and Staff Position Collections

Race/Ethnicity of Students and Teachers, 2018-19


Source: Fall Membership and Staff Position Collections
Note: Multi-Racial does not include students or staff who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - they are all reported under Hispanic. See the Federal Race and Ethnicity Reporting Assistance Manual for more information.

The difference between teacher and student race/ethnicity proportions were most noticeable for Hispanics: 23.4 percent students were Hispanic, compared with only 5.4 percent of teachers. Comparing these figures to the 2017-2018 school year shows a similar increase in both Hispanic students 23.0 percent in 17-18 to 23.4 percent in 18-19 and Hispanic teachers: 4.9 percent in 17-18 to 5.4 percent in 18-19. In the 18-19 school year, 89.6 percent of teachers were White, compared with only 61.9 percent of students.

## Oregon Staff

## Experienced, Highly Educated Workforce

Oregon continues to boast an experienced teacher cohort. Nearly 75 percent of teachers have five or more years of experience teaching ( 74.2 percent). Of those experienced teachers, 20.9 percent have twenty or more years of experience.


Source: Staff Position

While women made substantial progress moving into superintendent positions between 2000 and 2008, there has been little change over the last five years, and women are still the minority in superintendent representation at only 31 percent in the 18-19 school year. In contrast, over the last four years women have represented 71 percent of staff members reported as teachers. For staff members reported as a principal, there has been a gradual increase in the proportion of women. In the 14-15 school year women made up 48 percent of principals in the state whereas in the 1819 school year women now make up 53 percent of principals in the state.

Percentage of Positions Held by Women


Source: Staff Position. Includes assistant principals and assistant superintendents

2018-19 Highest Degree Held


Oregon teachers are required to: hold a bachelor's degree or higher, be fully licensed, and hold the proper endorsement for the course being taught. More information about requirements can be found on the Teacher Standards and Practices Commission website.

## Total Number of Teachers (NOT FTE) Employed by Oregon Districts and ESDs



Source: Staff Position. Includes some pre-kindergarten teachers

## All School Staff

In the last year, the total number of full-time equivalent (FTE) school employees increased by 3.3 percent, which is a significant increase in staff from last year's 0.64 percent increase. Every staff category increased FTE between 2017-18 and 2018-19. The largest increase was in Support Staff ( $7.45 \%$ ). Other notable increases were seen in Special Education Specialists (4.32\%), Guidance Counselors (5.28\%), and District Administrators (3.38\%). Previous iterations of this report grouped Licensed Library and Media staff together with unlicensed Library and Media Support Staff. Starting with the 2018-19 Statewide Report Card, Licensed Library and Media Staff and Library Media Support Staff will be counted as separate staff categories in the table below. Psychologists have also been split into their own staff category. On previous reports, Psychologists were included in either the Support Staff category or the Special Education Specialists category.

Oregon School Employees
(Full-Time Equivalent Positions)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Teachers | $29,946.21$ | $43.6 \%$ | $30,175.88$ | $42.6 \%$ |
| Educational Assistants | $11,611.57$ | $16.9 \%$ | $11,884.06$ | $16.8 \%$ |
| District Administrators | 483.61 | $0.7 \%$ | 499.98 | $0.7 \%$ |
| School Administrators | $1,742.69$ | $2.5 \%$ | $1,775.19$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Guidance Counselors | $1,256.25$ | $1.8 \%$ | $1,322.58$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Licensed Library and Media | 158.64 | $0.2 \%$ | 164.73 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Library and Media Support | 686.26 | $1.0 \%$ | 696.57 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Psychologists | 354.68 | $0.5 \%$ | 369.70 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Support Staff | $20,667.12$ | $30.1 \%$ | $22,206.82$ | $31.3 \%$ |
| Special Education Specialists | $1,731.11$ | $2.5 \%$ | $1,805.90$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Total | $68,638.14$ | $100 \%$ | $70,901.41$ | $100 \%$ |

Includes all grade levels and institution types. Both years of data make adjustments for partial year employment. All data above reflects employment as of December 1 of the school year.

The proportion of total FTE positions held by teachers in Oregon's public schools decreased slightly this year, and remains under 45 percent. In 2014, Oregon was one of only six states where teachers comprised less than 45 percent of total staff. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Teachers and Pupil/Teacher Ratios

## Annual Instructional Hours

The minimum number of instructional hours districts must offer each school year, by grade level, are specified in OAR 581-0222320 - Required Instructional Time.

|  | Instructional Hours Required to be <br> Offered Each Year (Minimum) 2018-19 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Kindergarten (half day) | 450 |
| Kindergarten (full day) | 900 |
| Grades 1-8 | 900 |
| Grades 9-11 | 990 |
| Grade 12 | 966 |

## Historical Salary Charts

While salaries of administrators and teachers have grown over time, meaningful trends in salaries can only be made after adjusting for inflation. Adjusted for inflation, the average salary of superintendents has grown slightly over time, while salaries of assistant principals, principals, and teachers have essentially been flat.

Average Salaries by Staff Category in Oregon


Inflation Adjusted Average Salaries by Staff Category in Oregon
2007-08 Dollars (West Region CPI; 2007-08 = 100) 2007-08 Dollars (West Region CPI; 2007-08 = 100)


Source: ODE Staff Position Data Collection
Note: The Office of Economic Analysis moved to the West Region CPI starting with the 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 2019 Economic Forecast. Inflation-adjusted salaries for the 2018-19 Statewide Report Card were calculated using the West Region CPI. Prior year Oregon Statewide Report Cards used the Portland-Salem Urban Area CPI.

## School Funding

The majority of spending in Oregon school districts is allocated to classroom expenses. About 95 percent of spending is concentrated in school buildings and services to students with five percent spent on central support services, such as district office administration and support services.

Operating Expenditures per Student

| Where Dollars Were Spent | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | Percent | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | Percent | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Classroom | $\$ 6,322$ | $56 \%$ | $\$ 6,607$ | $56 \%$ | $\$ 7,008$ | $56 \%$ |
| Classroom Support | $\$ 2,294$ | $20 \%$ | $\$ 2,401$ | $20 \%$ | $\$ 2,560$ | $21 \%$ |
| Building Support | $\$ 2,123$ | $19 \%$ | $\$ 2,191$ | $19 \%$ | $\$ 2,300$ | $19 \%$ |
| Central Support | $\$ 502$ | $4 \%$ | $\$ 516$ | $4 \%$ | $\$ 551$ | $4 \%$ |
| TOTAL* | $\$ 11,241$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 11,715$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 12,418$ | $100 \%$ |

*Figures may not sum to TOTAL, due to rounding.
Note: Per student calculation excludes students in state-run programs because spending on those students is not included.
Source: School District and Education Service District (ESD) Audits

## School Resources

Since the passage of Measure 5 in 1990, school resources per student did not kept pace with education cost increases until the 2017-19 biennium, when Oregon's legislature appropriated substantially more funds as revenue increased with economic growth. With the added revenue from Oregon's new corporate activities tax, inflation-adjusted per student funding is expected to continue to increase.

- Staff salaries increased at about the rate of inflation during the 1990s, but health care benefits and pension costs have greatly increased.
- Changing student demographics and declining student enrollment in a majority of school districts have also driven costs up.
- Growth rates for Special Education students and English Learner students have been far more rapid than the growth rate for all students, and these students are more expensive to educate than students without special needs.
- The average age of Oregon's school buildings is more than 40 years. The cost of operating and maintaining school facilities comes from general fund dollars and reduces the amount available to spend on instruction.

The 2018 Final Report from Oregon's Quality Education Commission (QEC) states, "The State School Fund requirement to fund K-12 schools at a level recommended by the QEC is estimated at $\$ 10.734$ billion in the 2019-21 biennium, $\$ 1.963$ billion more than the funding required to maintain the Current Service Level-that is, to simply keep up with inflation and enrollment growth. This funding gap rose from the prior biennium (2017-19), when it was $\$ 1.771$ billion." The appropriation from Oregon's 2019 legislature, which provided an increase for the State School Fund plus additional revenue through the Fund for Student Success, will reduce the estimated funding gap in the 2019-21 biennium to $\$ 880$ million and in the 2021-23 biennium to $\$ 421$ million.
${ }^{1}$ Final Reports from the Quality Education Commission are issued every two years.

## Student Enrollment

Student enrollment is counted in several ways because it is used for a variety of purposes related to school funding:
Average Daily Membership - Resident (ADMr): This is the annual average of daily student enrollment for students residing within the district. Some resident students may attend school in another district. Kindergarten students are counted as half-time students through 2014-15. Starting in 2015-16, they are counted as full-time students when students attend a full day.

Average Daily Membership - Weighted (ADMw): This count is the basis for K-12 school funding in Oregon. Resident average daily membership is weighted to compensate for special student needs and uncontrollable cost factors, including Special Education students, English Language Learners, students in poverty, teen parents, neglected and delinquent youth and small school correction factors.

October 1 Student Membership (Enrollment): Used for federal reporting purposes, this is the headcount of students enrolled on October 1 of every year.

Average Daily Attendance (ADA): This is the annual average of daily student attendance for students residing within the district. It is collected by the federal government and is used as the basis for funding in some states, but not in Oregon.

| Measures of Student Enrollment | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ <br> (Revised) | 2018-19 <br> (Preliminary) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Daily Membership - ADMr | 542,903 | 568,642 | 571,775 | 572,856 | 574,033 |
| Weighted Average Daily <br> Membership - ADMw | 671,863 | 701,613 | 707,233 | 706,296 | 703,747 |
| Fall Membership (Enrollment on <br> October 1)** | 570,857 | 576,407 | 578,947 | 580,684 | 581,730 |
| Average Daily Attendance (ADA)* | 507,656 | 531,055 | 532,613 | 531,850 | 532,671 |

[^2]
## History of School Funding Responsibility in Oregon

Historically, the largest source of revenue for public schools in Oregon has been local property taxes. Measure 5, which passed in 1990, changed that dramatically by lowering the amount of property taxes schools could raise. By 1995-96, with local property taxes for education limited to $\$ 5$ per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation, the full impact of Measure 5 was felt. In 1997, Measure 50 further limited local property taxes for schools. Measure 5 required the state legislature to offset lost property tax revenue with money from the state general fund, which is composed primarily of state income taxes. As a result, Oregon schools are increasingly supported by state, not local, dollars.

In 2019, Oregon's legislature passed the Student Success Act (House Bill 3427), which provided substantially more revenue for education from a corporate activity tax on Oregon corporations. Revenue from that tax is projected to provide about $\$ 800$ million in added funding for K-12 school districts and ESDs in the 2019-21 biennium and nearly $\$ 1.5$ billion in the 2021-23 biennium.

Oregon uses a formula to provide financial equity among school districts. Each school district receives (in combined state and local funds) an allocation per student, plus an additional amount for each student enrolled in more costly programs such as Special Education or English as a Second Language. The purpose of the formula weights is to provide sufficient added funding to districts with students with greater needs to allow districts to close the opportunity gaps between groups of students with different needs. While the opportunity gaps for historically underserved student groups have diminished in recent years, they still remain substantial. This suggests the current weights in Oregon's funding formula should be reviewed to determine if they actually provide sufficient added revenue to close these opportunity gaps.

## Biennial Formula Revenue

(In Billions of Dollars, not adjusted for inflation)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 1 * *}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local | $\$ 2.96$ | $\$ 3.38^{*}$ | $\$ 3.67^{*}$ | $\$ 3.91$ | $\$ 4.20$ |
| State | $\$ 5.71$ | $\$ 6.65$ | $\$ 7.38$ | $\$ 8.20$ | $\$ 9.00$ |
| Total | $\$ 8.67$ | $\$ 10.03$ | $\$ 11.05$ | $\$ 12.11$ | $\$ 13.20$ |

Source: State School Fund Distribution Formula.
Includes School Districts and Education Service Districts. Note: Totals may not equal the sum of State and Local amounts due to rounding.
*Revised
** Projected
The table above includes only funds distributed through the state's equalization formula. Districts also receive federal, state and local funds that are not distributed through the formula. Total Operating Revenues, which include those dollars, are shown in the charts on the following page.

For more information on Oregon school funding, visit the Quality Education Commission page.

## Operating Revenues by Source (Historical)



In the decade following the passage of Ballot Measure 5 in 1990, there was a dramatic shift in sources of public school funds. As a result, Oregon schools are now supported primarily by State, not local, dollars. With the added state revenue from the new corporate activities tax starting in 2019-20, the state share is expected to grow to 59 percent and the local share to decline to 32 percent. The limits on assessed value growth for local property taxes imposed by Measure 50 in 1997 means that the state's share of revenue will continue to grow even further over time. Note that Intermediate refers to revenues from other levels of government, such as county or city.

Audited Operating Revenues for Public Elementary and Secondary Schools and ESDs by Source of Funds
(Dollars in Millions, not adjusted for inflation)


## Elementary and Secondary Education Act

## Federal Programs

Through the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), the Oregon Department of Education receives and distributes federal education funds to eligible school districts throughout Oregon. These supplemental funds support district efforts in meeting federal and state requirements and in implementing programs that improve the ability of all students to receive a well-rounded education and meet high academic standards. The Oregon Department of Education continues its commitment to develop processes that ensure that federal ESEA funds contribute to these opportunities.

Oregon students are served through the following programs provided through the Elementary and Secondary Education Act:

Title I-A Improving the Academic Achievement of the Disadvantaged
Title I-C Education of Migrant Children
Title I-D Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth Who Are Neglected, Delinquent, or At-Risk
Title II-A Supporting Effective Instruction
Title III Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students
Title IV-A Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants
Title IV-B $\quad 21^{\text {st }}$ Century Community Learning Centers
Title V-B Rural Education Initiative
Title VI Indian, Native Hawaiian, and Alaska Native Education
Title IX-A Homeless Children and Youths

In addition to the management of federal funds, the Office of Enhancing Student Opportunities provides ongoing guidance, technical assistance, promising practices and monitoring to ensure school districts have systems in place in order for all students to receive these opportunities for academic success.

On December 10, 2015, President Barack Obama signed the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) which reauthorized ESEA and signaled the end to ESEA flexibility waivers. ESSA replaces the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) of 2001, and its aim is to supplement public school funding to support the learning needs of students living in poverty, English Learners, and other students who have been educationally disadvantaged. ESSA allows states to design their own plans for improving schools so that every student receives the best education. It encourages states and schools to innovate, while at the same time maintains a focus on equity and accountability. In place of the NCLB one-size-fits-all approach, states have the ability to set their own goals for improving student achievement and graduation rates. States also have more flexibility in how they identify and support struggling schools and districts. See the ESSA page for more information. Oregon's ESSA State Plan was approved by the U.S. Department of Education on August 30, 2017.

## Oregon Discipline Incidents Data

The Oregon discipline incidents data set includes all discipline incidents that resulted in suspension (in-school or out-ofschool) or expulsion. Through collecting and examining student discipline data, we are able to identify and act on trends that require shifts in practice to assure high quality educational experiences for all students. The Oregon Department of Education is committed to improving practices statewide in ways that foster equitable outcomes for each and every student in Oregon.

## Discipline Incidents by Grade Level

Percent of Students with One or More Suspensions or Expulsions in the 2018-2019 School Year by Enrolled Grade on May 1, 2019


Source: Spring Membership 2018-19, Discipline Incidents 2018-19

Percent of Students Enrolled on May 1 with One or More Discipline Incidents


## Discipline Incidents by Student Group - Suspensions and Expulsions

| Student Group | Percent of Students enrolled on May <br> $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 1 9}$ with One or More Discipline <br> Incidents in the 2018-19 School Year |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total | $6.5 \%$ |
| Male | $9.2 \%$ |
| Female | $3.7 \%$ |
| Non-Binary ${ }^{1}$ | $4.2 \%$ to 9.9\% |
| Asian | $1.8 \%$ |
| Black/African American | $12.6 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $7.4 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | $12.8 \%$ |
| Multi-Racial | $7.0 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | $8.2 \%$ |
| White | $6.0 \%$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $8.8 \%$ |
| Not Economically Disadvantaged | $3.9 \%$ |
| Talented and Gifted (TAG) | $2.7 \%$ |
| Not TAG | $6.8 \%$ |
| English Learners ${ }^{2}$ | $6.4 \%$ |
| Not English Learners | $6.5 \%$ |
| Special Education ${ }^{3}$ | $11.9 \%$ |
| Not Special Education | $5.6 \%$ |

Source: Spring Membership, Discipline Incidents, Title III: English Learner.
Includes only discipline incidents resulting in suspension (in school or out of school) or expulsion.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the recent addition of collecting Non-Binary data and the first-year reporting of this data by students and districts, the 95 percent confidence interval for the reported population is provided.
${ }^{2}$ English Learners is the new term for students identified as having a language other than English. ODE made this change to be consistent with other state reports and guidance.
${ }^{3}$ Special Education is the count of students who were receiving services at the time of their discipline incident compared to the number of students receiving Special Education services at any point up to May 1.

Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See the Federal Race and Ethnicity Reporting Assistance Manual for more information.

For more data regarding discipline incidents, please see the School Discipline, Bullying, and Restraint and Seclusion page.

## The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) - Unsafe School Choice Option (USCO)

The Unsafe School Choice Option (USCO) is required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to ensure students attending a persistently dangerous school have the option to enroll in another school. Under this option, a school can be deemed unsafe as a whole or for an individual student who is a victim of a violent criminal offense. Should either of these occur, parents may exercise their right to move their student to a different school within the same local education agency.

## Oregon's Goal for USCO

It is imperative that every student within Oregon learns in a welcoming, safe and inclusive educational environment. USCO is one means by which the Oregon Department of Education (ODE) works to assure this reality for all of Oregon's students. However, ODE is not interested in assigning labels like 'unsafe' to schools as a means of promoting shame, blame or judgment. Rather, it is our desire to name existing inequities in order to support local education agencies in meeting the needs of all learners.

In Oregon, a public elementary or secondary school is considered "persistently dangerous" if the school exceeds a certain threshold of expulsions for specific reasons (see expulsion types below) for three consecutive years. The table to the right describes this threshold, which varies depending on school size.

| Criteria for Watch Status | Number of Expulsions for <br> Weapons and/or Arrests <br> for Violent Criminal <br> Behavior |
| :---: | :---: |
| Schools with FEWER than 300 <br> Students | 9 or more within a school <br> year |
| Schools with 300 or MORE <br> Students | 3 for every 100 students per <br> school year |
| Source: Oregon Department of Education |  |

1. Expulsions for firearms or dangerous weapons.
2. Expulsions for students arrested for violent

Source: Oregon Department of Education criminal offenses on school grounds, on schoolsponsored transportation, and/or during school-sponsored activities.

Between 2009-10 and 2018-19, Oregon did not identify any schools meeting the criteria for monitoring. Oregon also did not identify any schools as "persistently dangerous" for exceeding the threshold for three or more consecutive years.

## Accountability and Support under the Every Student Succeeds Act

Under Title IA of the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), federal education law requires states to develop an accountability model that meaningfully differentiates schools for structured supports to target improvement. Given that all school districts and schools have areas for growth, Oregon's accountability model strategically uses multiple data points to appropriately target the right schools for support. With a key commitment to advancing equity, the new accountability model intentionally provides the highest support to schools whose students of color, students learning English, students with disabilities and students experiencing poverty are not yet experiencing high levels of success.

Under Oregon's revised accountability and support model, school improvement will take an approach that aims to support schools in the context of a larger district system. In partnership with Oregon Department of Education, school districts will lead, support, and monitor the improvement efforts in eligible schools. While still committed to improvement at the school level, our approach to improvement will engage districts as primary partners in the assessment of needs, crafting of improvement plans, and strategic implementation of evidence based practices that will enhance learning for students and result in equitable student outcomes.

Oregon is committed to providing well-rounded and equitable educational experiences for all students, and will continue to leverage local measures to inform adjustments to improvement strategies and needed supports. These efforts will be bolstered by emphasizing the need for school districts to engage more broadly with educators, students, families and community members during the planning and implementation phases. Including more voices and perspectives in developing improvement strategies and plans fosters partnership and shared accountability for improving learning opportunities for Oregon's most underserved students.

As we study the best levers for system change and impact, the continuous improvement process provides a powerful mechanism for promoting shifts in educator behaviors that will lead to improved learning for students. The continuous improvement process and plans will serve as the primary mechanism for accountability and differentiated support. While engaging in continuous improvement work, districts and schools will be charged with the quarterly examination of local data and local context as a way to examine progress (leading indicators of growth) on the way to achieving longterm (lagging) outcomes as summarized on annual state report cards.

Over the past year, districts and schools identified for ESSA support have engaged in the development of improvement plans and are in the early phases of implementation. Annually, ODE will provide updates to school and district progress in improving outcomes.

## The 2018-19 School Year in Review

Fall 2018

- ODE leadership made personal phone calls inviting school districts to partner in this new approach.
- ODE hosted a webinar to introduce the accountability model and approach to supports with over 200 school and district leaders participating.
Winter \& Spring 2019
- ODE staff conducted 15 District Engagement Protocols designed to help school districts jump start the needs assessment and improvement planning process.
- ODE staff visited over 50 school districts to provide on-site support to help clarify process and planning requirements.
- ODE staff hosted nine workshops across the state, providing in-person support to over 60 school districts.

Summer 2019

- ODE staff worked alongside school district and school leaders to develop and approve plans to support CSI \& TSI schools, with nearly 50 percent of eligible districts having plans approved.
- ODE staff continued to support school districts with continuous improvement plan development by hosting another series of workshops across the state, engaging with over 350 school district and school leaders representing over 150 school districts.


## ESSA Accountability System

Following extensive stakeholder and advisory group outreach, Oregon established the current ESSA accountability system based on multiple measures of school success, and without an overall rating. The measures, or accountability indicators, used are:

- Regular Attenders
- Achievement in English Language Arts
- Achievement in Mathematics
- Growth in English Language Arts (grades 3 through 8)
- Growth in Mathematics (grades 3 through 8)
- Progress of English learners
- 9th Grade On-Track
- Four-year Graduation rates
- Five-year Completion rates

Under ESSA, school-level outcomes are calculated for the following student groups only if the minimum n -size of 20 is met when using the current or most recent three years of school accountability data:

- All Students
- Students with Disabilities
- English Learners
- Economically Disadvantaged (students with access to Free/Reduced Price Lunch)
- Race/Ethnicity

If the American Indian/Alaska Native, Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino, and Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander student groups are not rated on at least five of the indicators, the Underserved Race/Ethnicity is added as a student group for the purposes of calculating school-level outcomes. As defined in Oregon's Consolidated State Plan, the Underserved Race/Ethnicity student group consists of American Indian/Alaska Native, Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino, and Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander students.

For the student groups defined above, each of the accountability indicators is rated on a scale of one to five, with five being the highest. Level 1 indicates that a school or student group is in the lowest 10 percent of schools in the state, and a Level 5 indicates that the school or student group has met the state long-term goal for the indicator.

In 2017-18 schools with sufficient data to be rated on at least five indicators were identified for comprehensive supports if the overall graduation rate for the All Students group was below 67 percent, or if the school is Title I and at least 50 percent of the indicators for the All Students group were Level 1. No new schools are identified for comprehensive supports following 2017-18. In 2018-19, schools not identified for comprehensive supports are identified for targeted support if they have any student group that is rated on at least five indicators and at least 50 percent of the rated indicators are Level 1, or if they were previously identified for targeted support in 2017-18 following this same methodology.

As of 2018-19, there are a total of 151 Title 1 and 117 non-Title 1 schools with comprehensive or targeted levels of support identified. This includes schools identified for support in either 2017-18 or 2018-19.

Current Level of ESSA Support and Number of Schools Identified ${ }^{1}$

| Level of Support | 2018-19 <br> Title I Status | 2017-18 <br> Identification | 2018-19 <br> Newly Identified | Total Counts as <br> of 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comprehensive | Title I | 46 | None | 46 |
| Comprehensive | Not Title I | 48 | None | 48 |
| Targeted | Title I | 58 | 47 | 105 |
| Targeted | Not Title I | 46 | 23 | 69 |
| None | Title I | 464 | 446 | 417 |
| None | Not Title I | 591 | 588 | 568 |

Source: Accountability Measures, School Year 2018-2019 Accountability Details Media File.
Changes to Title I status, new schools, or school closures may impact the total number of schools reported year to year.
${ }^{1}$ Data on specific schools can be found on the School and District Profiles web page.

## Measures of Interim Progress

Measures of Interim Progress (MIP) are annual targets for the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability indicators described in the previous section. Assessment participation does not have a MIP, however, it does have an annual goal of 94.5 percent for all student groups.

ODE has not revised the baseline values, MIP or long-term goals for achievement and graduation, however ODE intends to review the number of years to attain the long-term goal. The 2017-18 MIP for the 'All Students' student group is the target for all student group ratings used on the 2017-18 and 2018-19 Accountability Details reports. For a breakdown of the annual MIP targets by indicator and student group, see the MIP Summary.

## MIP Indicator Definitions

## English Language Arts Academic Achievement

The percentage of students who meet the standard on the state English Language Arts assessment. Grade band definitions are as follows:

- Elementary - Grades 3-5
- Middle-Grades 6-8
- High - Grade 11


## Mathematics Academic Achievement

The percentage of students who meet the standard on the state Mathematics assessment. Grade band definitions are as follows:

- Elementary - Grades 3-5
- Middle - Grades 6-8
- High - Grade 11


## Academic Growth

An important feature of the school and district accountability system under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) is academic growth as measured by the median growth percentile for English language arts and mathematics. The median growth percentile refers to the relative ranking of current achievement for the median (or typical) student in the student group, school, district or state as compared to academic peers. Academic peers are students in the same grade who have similar prior achievement scores for the same academic subject.

For instance, a median mathematics growth percentile of 50 indicates that the typical student (in the respective student group or aggregation) has a current mathematics achievement score greater than or equal to 50 percent of students in the same grade with similar prior mathematics achievement scores.

For more information concerning the growth model, please see pages 13-15 of the 2018-19 Accountability Details Policy and Technical Manual.

## English Language Arts Academic Growth

The median growth percentile of students on the English Language Arts assessment. Grade band definitions are as follows:

- Elementary - Grades 3-5
- Middle-Grades 6-8


## Mathematics Academic Growth

The median growth percentile of students on the Mathematics assessment. Grade band definitions are as follows:

- Elementary - Grades 3-5
- Middle - Grades 6-8


## Regular Attenders

The percentage of students who attended more than 90 percent of their enrolled days. Grade band definitions are as follows:

- Elementary - Grades K-5
- Middle - Grades 6-8
- High - Grades 9-10


## $\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}$ Grade On-Track

The percentage of students who earned at least one fourth of graduation credits during their $9^{\text {th }}$ grade year.

## Four-Year Cohort Graduation

The percentage of students who earned a regular or modified diploma within four years of entering $9^{\text {th }}$ grade in 2014-15.

## Five-Year Completers

The percentage of students who earned a regular or modified diploma, or earn a GED, extended diploma or adult high school diploma within five years of entering $9^{\text {th }}$ grade in 2013-14.

## English Language Proficiency

The percentage of students who are meeting progress expectations on the state English Language Proficiency Assessment. Grade band definitions are as follows:

- Elementary - Grades K-5
- Middle - Grades 6-8
- High - Grades 9-12


## Notes on the MIP data graphs and tables on the next pages.

Data details are representative of the most current school year available (2018-19 for all indicators with exception of graduation). For all indicators, with exception of English Language Proficiency, the data are organized by two categories of student groups:

- Race/Ethnicity
- Student Populations

When viewing the data by Race/Ethnicity, please note the following:

- Multi-racial includes any student reported with more than one of the 6 federally defined race demographics but who are not reported with Hispanic ethnicity. All students who reported Hispanic ethnicity are included under Hispanic. See the Federal Race and Ethnicity Reporting Assistance Manual for more information.

When viewing the data by Student Populations, please note the following:

- The English Learners student group includes current English Learners as well as monitored English Learners (four years).
- The Underserved Race/Ethnicity student group consists of American Indian/Alaska Native, Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino, and Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander students.
- Economically Disadvantaged includes students eligible for free and reduced price lunch. Schools and districts that do not administer school lunch programs may identify economically disadvantaged students by other means.
The $95 \%$ confidence intervals provided are calculated as Cl Boundaries = proportion +/-1.96*proportion standard error. The Agresti and Coull correction referenced in Gelman and Hill (2007) is used to calculate the proportion standard error.

To view detailed data tables for the 2015-16, 2016-17, and 2017-18 school years, see the Measures of Interim Progress section in the 2017-18 Statewide Annual Report Card. Further information about MIPs are on the Report Card Policy page.

## 2018-19 English Language Arts Achievement Details

## By Race and Ethnicity

The State long-term goal in 2024-25 is 80 percent. The data displayed in the graphic and table below are aggregated by Elementary, Middle, and High School grade bands. See the Student Success section for details on assessment results by individual grades.


| Student Group | Elementary | Middle | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \% \text { to } 35.9 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=1,425 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \% \text { to } 36.7 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=1,614 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51.8 \% \text { to } 60.9 \% \\ & n=454 \end{aligned}$ |
| Asian | $\begin{aligned} & 67.2 \% \text { to } 69.8 \% \\ & n=4,931 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.8 \% \text { to } 75.2 \%, \\ & n=5,103 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \% \text { to } 80.2 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=1,444 \end{aligned}$ |
| Black/African American | $\begin{aligned} & 28.4 \% \text { to } 31.7 \% \\ & \mathrm{n}=2,902 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.7 \% \text { to } 33.2 \%, \\ & n=2,714 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.1 \% \text { to } 48 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=784 \end{aligned}$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $\begin{aligned} & 32.1 \% \text { to } 33.1 \% \\ & \mathrm{n}=30,787 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.7 \% \text { to } 38.7 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=30,861 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.7 \% \text { to } 56.9 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=8,502 \end{aligned}$ |
| Multi-racial | $\begin{aligned} & 54.2 \% \text { to } 56.4 \% \\ & \mathrm{n}=8,382 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56.8 \% \text { to } 59 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=7,963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69.2 \% \text { to } 73.2 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=1,981 \end{aligned}$ |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | $\begin{aligned} & 26.8 \% \text { to } 32.7 \% \\ & \mathrm{n}=927 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.8 \% \text { to } 43.1 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=934 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.8 \% \text { to } 62.9 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=251 \end{aligned}$ |
| White | $\begin{aligned} & 57.4 \% \text { to } 58 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=77,306 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59.8 \% \text { to } 60.4 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=76,592 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.1 \% \text { to } 73.3 \% \\ & \mathrm{n}=22,285 \end{aligned}$ |

The percentages shown are the 95 percent confidence interval for the student group's outcome, rounded to the nearest tenth; n refers to the number of students in the denominator.

## 2018-19 English Language Arts Achievement Details

## By Student Populations

The State long-term goal in 2024-25 is 80 percent (not displayed - note the change in scale from the previous graphs). The data displayed in the graphic and table below are aggregated by Elementary, Middle, and High School grade bands. See the Student Success section for details on assessment results by individual grades.

$$
\text { Grade Type * Elementary } * \text { Middle * High }
$$


$0 \%$
All Students

Economically Disadvantaged

English Learners

Students with Disabilities

Underserved Race/Ethnicity

| Student Group | Elementary | Middle | High |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students | $50.5 \%$ to $51.1 \%$, | $53.8 \%$ to $54.4 \%$, | $67.4 \%$ to $68.4 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=126,660$ | $\mathrm{n}=125,781$ | $\mathrm{n}=35,701$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $38.1 \%$ to $38.7 \%$, | $40.8 \%$ to $41.6 \%$, | $56.4 \%$ to $58 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=75,348$ | $\mathrm{n}=68,107$ | $\mathrm{n}=16,263$ |
| English Learners | $25.6 \%$ to $26.8 \%$, | $27.3 \%$ to $28.5 \%$, | $19.9 \%$ to $23.4 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=22,998$ | $\mathrm{n}=20,763$ | $\mathrm{n}=2,178$ |
| Underserved Race/Ethnicity | $23.8 \%$ to $25 \%$, | $17.8 \%$ to $19 \%$, | $26.1 \%$ to $28.7 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=19,899$ | $\mathrm{n}=18,269$ | $\mathrm{n}=4,590$ |

The percentages shown are the 95 percent confidence interval for the student group's outcome, rounded to the nearest tenth; n refers to the number of students in the denominator.

## 2018-19 Mathematics Achievement Details

## By Race and Ethnicity

The State long-term goal in 2024-25 is 80 percent. The data displayed in the graphic and table below are aggregated by Elementary, Middle, and High School grade bands. See the Student Success section for details on assessment results by individual grades.


| Student Group | Elementary | Middle | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \text { to } 29.7 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=1,418 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.8 \% \text { to } 22.7 \% \\ & \mathrm{n}=1,605 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18.2\% to } 25.9 \% \\ & n=441 \end{aligned}$ |
| Asian | $\begin{aligned} & 66.4 \% \text { to } 69 \%, \\ & n=4,924 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.8 \% \text { to } 69.4 \%, \\ & n=5,079 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.7 \% \text { to } 59 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=1,373 \end{aligned}$ |
| Black/African American | $\begin{aligned} & 18.3 \% \text { to } 21.2 \% \\ & n=2,886 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.2 \% \text { to } 18.1 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=2,664 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.2 \% \text { to } 16.1 \% \\ & \mathrm{n}=752 \end{aligned}$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $\begin{aligned} & 25.9 \% \text { to } 26.9 \% \\ & n=30,725 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.8 \% \text { to } 23.8 \% \\ & \mathrm{n}=30,735 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.3 \% \text { to } 21.1 \% \\ & n=8,289 \end{aligned}$ |
| Multi-racial | $\begin{aligned} & 45.6 \% \text { to } 47.8 \% \\ & n=8,362 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.6 \% \text { to } 43.8 \% \\ & n=7,879 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \% \text { to } 39.3 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=1,903 \end{aligned}$ |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | $\begin{aligned} & 19.4 \% \text { to } 24.8 \% \\ & n=923 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.6 \% \text { to } 26.1 \% \\ & \mathrm{n}=929 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.9 \% \text { to } 23.6 \% \\ & n=246 \end{aligned}$ |
| White | $\begin{aligned} & 48.9 \% \text { to } 49.7 \% \\ & \mathrm{n}=77,071 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.6 \% \text { to } 45.4 \% \\ & n=76,112 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \% \text { to } 38.2 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=21,525 \end{aligned}$ |

The percentages shown are the 95 percent confidence interval for the student group's outcome, rounded to the nearest tenth; n refers to the number of students in the denominator.

## 2018-19 Mathematics Achievement Details

## By Student Populations

The State long-term goal in 2024-25 is 80 percent (not displayed - note the change in scale from the previous graphs). The data displayed in the graphic and table below are aggregated by Elementary, Middle, and High School grade bands. For details on assessment results by individual grade bands, see the Student Success section.


| Student Group | Elementary | Middle | High |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students | $42.9 \%$ to $43.5 \%$, | $39.1 \%$ to $39.7 \%$, | $32.8 \%$ to $33.8 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=126,309$ | $\mathrm{n}=125,003$ | $\mathrm{n}=34,529$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $30.6 \%$ to $31.2 \%$, | $25.6 \%$ to $26.2 \%$, | $21.2 \%$ to $22.5 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=75,093$ | $\mathrm{n}=67,629$ | $\mathrm{n}=15,824$ |
| English Learners | $22.5 \%$ to $23.6 \%$, | $16.5 \%$ to $17.5 \%$, | $6.7 \%$ to $9 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=22,968$ | $\mathrm{n}=20,702$ | $\mathrm{n}=2,131$ |
| Underserved Race/Ethnicity | $20.2 \%$ to $21.4 \%$, | $11.8 \%$ to $12.8 \%$, | $8.4 \%$ to $10.1 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=19,808$ | $\mathrm{n}=18,100$ | $\mathrm{n}=4,478$ |

The percentages shown are the 95 percent confidence interval for the student group's outcome, rounded to the nearest tenth; n refers to the number of students in the denominator.

## 2018-19 Academic Growth

The figures below show the 2018-19 median English language arts and mathematics growth percentiles by student group at the state level for students in $4^{\text {th }}$ through $8^{\text {th }}$ grades.

## Median English Language Arts Growth Percentiles



## Median Mathematics Growth Percentiles



Note: "English Learner (Ever)" includes students who were ever eligible for or participating in a program to acquire academic English. "English Learner (Current \& Monitored)" includes students who have been eligible for or participated in a program to acquire academic English in the current or prior four years.

## 2018-19 Regular Attenders Details

## By Race and Ethnicity

The State long-term goal in 2024-25 is 93 percent. The data displayed in the graphic and table below are aggregated by Elementary, Middle, and High School grade bands. For details on assessment results by individual grade bands, see the Student Success section.


The percentages shown are the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the student group's outcome, rounded to the nearest tenth; n refers to the number of students in the denominator.

## 2018-19 Regular Attenders Details

## By Student Populations

The State long-term goal in 2024-25 is 93 percent. The data displayed in the graphic and table below are aggregated by Elementary, Middle, and High School grade bands. For details on assessment results by individual grade bands, see the Student Success section.

Grade Type * Elementary * Middle * High


60\%

50\%

| All Students $\quad$Economically <br> Disadvantaged | English Learners | Students with Disabilities | Underserve Race/Ethnic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Elementary | Middle | High |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & 84.2 \% \text { to } 84.5 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=254,519 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.5 \% \text { to } 81 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=131,198 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 76.4\% to } 76.9 \% \\ & \mathrm{n}=84,863 \end{aligned}$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $\begin{aligned} & 79.9 \% \text { to } 80.3 \% \\ & \mathrm{n}=146,452 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.1 \% \text { to } 75.8 \% \\ & n=70,376 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67.9 \% \text { to } 68.9 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=39,784 \end{aligned}$ |
| English Learners | $\begin{aligned} & 81.7 \% \text { to } 82.5 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=33,185 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.3 \% \text { to } 77 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=9,170 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 64.8\% to } 67.7 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=3,887 \end{aligned}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $\begin{aligned} & 77.7 \% \text { to } 78.6 \% \\ & \mathrm{n}=38,596 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.5 \% \text { to } 72.7 \% \\ & n=19,675 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65.3 \% \text { to } 67 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=11,504 \end{aligned}$ |
| Underserved Race/Ethnicity | $\begin{aligned} & 80.6 \% \text { to } 81.2 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=70,776 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 77.9\% to } 78.7 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=37,308 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.1 \% \text { to } 71.3 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=22,854 \end{aligned}$ |

The percentages shown are the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the student group's outcome, rounded to the nearest tenth; n refers to the number of students in the denominator.

## 2018-19 9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade On-Track Details

## By Race and Ethnicity

The State long-term goal in 2024-25 is 95 percent. The data displayed in the graphic and table below are aggregated for students in Grade 9.


| Student Group | Grade 9 |
| :--- | :--- |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | $70.8 \%$ to $78 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=559$ |
| Asian | $96.1 \%$ to $97.7 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=1,842$ |
|  | $76.2 \%$ to $81.6 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=867$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $79.5 \%$ to $81.1 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=9,735$ |
|  | $83.2 \%$ to $85.9 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=2,691$ |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | $74.6 \%$ to $83.3 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=333$ |
| White | $86.5 \%$ to $87.3 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=27,059$ |

The percentages shown are the 95\% confidence interval for the student group's outcome, rounded to the nearest tenth; n refers to the number of students in the denominator.

## 2018-19 9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade On-Track Details

## By Student Populations

The State long-term goal in 2024-25 is 95 percent. The data displayed in the graphic and table below are aggregated for students in Grade 9.

| Grade Type $\rightleftharpoons$ Grade 9 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 95\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 90\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 85\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 80\% |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | $\underline{\square}$ |  |  |  |
| 75\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 70\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\longrightarrow$ |  |  |
| 65\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $60 \%$ - |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| All Students $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { Economically } \\ \text { Disadvantaged }\end{gathered}$ |  | English Learners | Students with Disabilities | Underserved Race/Ethnicity |


| Student Group | Grade 9 |
| :--- | :--- |
| All Students | $85 \%$ to $85.7 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=43,086$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $76.8 \%$ to $78 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=20,415$ |
| English Learners | $68.8 \%$ to $72.7 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=2,115$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $70.8 \%$ to $73.1 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=5,906$ |
| Underserved Race/Ethnicity | $79.2 \%$ to $80.6 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=11,494$ |

The percentages shown are the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the student group's outcome, rounded to the nearest tenth; n refers to the number of students in the denominator.

## 2017-18 Four-Year Cohort Graduation Details

## By Race and Ethnicity

The State long-term goal in 2024-25 is 90 percent. The data displayed in the graphic and table below are the cohort of students entering high school ( $9^{\text {th }}$ grade) for the first time in the 2014-2015 school year. The graduation rate represents the expected class of the 2017-2018 school year. For more details on graduation, see the Oregon Graduation Rates section.


| Student Group | 2014-15 Cohort |
| :---: | :---: |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61.8\% to } 68.6 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=740 \end{aligned}$ |
| Asian | $\begin{aligned} & 89.2 \% \text { to } 91.8 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=1,945 \end{aligned}$ |
| Black/African American | $\begin{aligned} & 65.3 \% \text { to } 70.7 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=1,154 \end{aligned}$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $\begin{aligned} & 73.7 \% \text { to } 75.5 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=9,935 \end{aligned}$ |
| Multi-racial | $\begin{aligned} & 76.8 \% \text { to } 79.9 \% \\ & \mathrm{n}=2,661 \end{aligned}$ |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | $\begin{aligned} & 70.4 \% \text { to } 79.8 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=325 \end{aligned}$ |
| White | $\begin{aligned} & 79.6 \% \text { to } 80.6 \%, \\ & \mathrm{n}=29,321 \end{aligned}$ |

The percentages shown are the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the student group's outcome, rounded to the nearest tenth; n refers to the number of students in the denominator.

## 2017-18 Four-Year Cohort Graduation Details

## By Student Populations

The State long-term goal in 2024-25 is 90 percent. The data displayed in the graphic and table below are the cohort of students entering high school ( $9^{\text {th }}$ grade) for the first time in the 2014-2015 school year. The graduation rate represents the expected class of the 2017-2018 school year. For more details on graduation, see the Oregon Graduation Rates section.


All Students \begin{tabular}{c}
Economically <br>
Disadvantaged

 English Learners $\quad$

Students <br>
with Disabilities

$\quad$

Underserved <br>
Race/Ethnicity
\end{tabular}

| Student Group | 2014-15 Cohort |
| :--- | :--- |
| All Students | $78.3 \%$ to $79.1 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=46,081$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $71.9 \%$ to $72.9 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=26,364$ |
| English Learners | $53.6 \%$ to $58 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=1,945$ |
|  | $59.4 \%$ to $61.8 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=6,654$ |
| Underserved Race/Ethnicity | $72.6 \%$ to $74.2 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=12,154$ |

The percentages shown are the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the student group's outcome, rounded to the nearest tenth; n refers to the number of students in the denominator.

## 2017-18 Five-Year Completers Details

## By Race and Ethnicity

The State long-term goal in 2024-25 is 90 percent. The data displayed in the graphic and table below are the cohort of students entering high school ( $9^{\text {th }}$ grade) for the first time in the 2013-2014 school year. For more details on graduation, see the Oregon Graduation Rates section.


The percentages shown are the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the student group's outcome, rounded to the nearest tenth; n refers to the number of students in the denominator.

## 2017-18 Five-Year Completers Details

## By Student Populations

The State long-term goal in 2024-25 is 90 percent. The data displayed in the graphic and table below are the cohort of students entering high school ( $9^{\text {th }}$ grade) for the first time in the 2013-2014 school year. For more details on graduation, see the Oregon Graduation Rates section.

- 2013-14 Cohort


| All Students | Economically <br> Disadvantaged | English Learners |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Student Group | Students <br> with Disabilities | Underserved <br> Race/Ethnicity |
| All Students | 2013-14 Cohort |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $79.5 \%$ to $85 \%, 998$ <br> $n=21,042$ |  |
| English Learners | $64.4 \%$ to $69.6 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=1,266$ |  |
| Students with Disabilities | $69.2 \%$ to $71.8 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=4,627$ |  |
| Underserved Race/Ethnicity | $78.6 \%$ to $80.2 \%$, <br> $\mathrm{n}=9,365$ |  |

The percentages shown are the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the student group's outcome, rounded to the nearest tenth; n refers to the number of students in the denominator.

2018-19 On Track to English Language Proficiency (ELP) Details
for Elementary (Grades K-5)
Long Term Goal ${ }^{1}$ : 80 percent

| Student Group | School Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | School Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | School Year <br> 2018-19 | 3-year <br> Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $67.0 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ | $66.0 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ |

On Track to English Language Proficiency (ELP) Details for Middle (Grades 6-8)

Long Term Goalㄹ 80 percent

| Student Group | School Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | School Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | School Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | 3-year <br> Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $43.5 \%$ | $35.9 \%$ | $38.8 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ |

On Track to English Language Proficiency (ELP) Details for High (Grades 9-12)

Long Term Goal ${ }^{1}$ : 80 percent

| Student Group | School Year <br> 2016-17 | School Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | School Year <br> 2018-19 | 3-year <br> Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $40.5 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ |

Notes:
${ }^{1}$ The State long-term goal in 2024-25

## 2018-19 English Language Arts Participation Details

## By Race and Ethnicity

Assessment participation has an annual goal of 94.5 percent. The data displayed in the graphic and table below are aggregated by Elementary, Middle, and High School grade bands. In the participation graphs, the vertical axis scale has been changed in comparison to the previous assessment achievement graphs. The purpose of this change is to provide a zoomed in view.


| Student Group | Elementary | Middle | High |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | $95.7 \%$ to $97.5 \%$, | $93.1 \%$ to $95.3 \%$, | $85.7 \%$ to $91.1 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=1,555$ | $\mathrm{n}=1,779$ | $\mathrm{n}=537$ |
| Asian | $97.9 \%$ to $98.6 \%$, | $97.7 \%$ to $98.4 \%$, | $79.3 \%$ to $82.8 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=5,270$ | $\mathrm{n}=5,369$ | $\mathrm{n}=1,842$ |
| Black/African American | $94.3 \%$ to $95.8 \%$, | $93.3 \%$ to $95 \%$, | $82.7 \%$ to $87.2 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=3,205$ | $\mathrm{n}=3,032$ | $\mathrm{n}=977$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $97.6 \%$ to $98 \%$, | $96.7 \%$ to $97.1 \%$, | $91.4 \%$ to $92.5 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=32,666$ | $\mathrm{n}=32,897$ | $\mathrm{n}=9,641$ |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | $95.4 \%$ to $96.2 \%$, | $94.1 \%$ to $95.1 \%$, | $85.3 \%$ to $88 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=9,020$ | $\mathrm{n}=8,698$ | $\mathrm{n}=2,376$ |
| White | $97.3 \%$ to $99 \%$, | $95.4 \%$ to $97.6 \%$, | $88.7 \%$ to $95 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=1,022$ | $\mathrm{n}=1,020$ | $\mathrm{n}=289$ |

The percentages shown are the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the student group's outcome, rounded to the nearest tenth; n refers to the number of students in the denominator.

## 2018-19 English Language Arts Participation Details

## By Student Populations

Assessment has an annual goal of 94.5 percent. The data displayed in the graphic and table below are aggregated by Elementary, Middle, and High School grade bands. In the participation graphs, the vertical axis scale has been changed in comparison to the previous assessment achievement graphs. The purpose of this change is to provide a zoomed in view.


0\%
All Student
Economically
Disadvantaged

English Learners
Students

Underserved Race/Ethnicity

| Student Group | Elementary | Middle | High |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students | $96.1 \%$ to $96.3 \%$, | $95 \%$ to $95.2 \%$, | $87.5 \%$ to $88.1 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=135,795$ | $\mathrm{n}=136,255$ | $\mathrm{n}=42,133$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $96.6 \%$ to $96.8 \%$, | $95.2 \%$ to $95.5 \%$, | $89 \%$ to $89.8 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=80,885$ | $\mathrm{n}=74,037$ | $\mathrm{n}=19,017$ |
| English Learners | $98.3 \%$ to $98.6 \%$, | $97.9 \%$ to $98.3 \%$, | $90.3 \%$ to $92.4 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=24,519$ | $\mathrm{n}=22,078$ | $\mathrm{n}=2,619$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $89.8 \%$ to $90.6 \%$, | $90.1 \%$ to $90.9 \%$, | $85.2 \%$ to $87 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=22,669$ | $\mathrm{n}=20,792$ | $\mathrm{n}=5,576$ |
| Underserved Race/Ethnicity | $97.3 \%$ to $97.7 \%$, | $96.4 \%$ to $96.8 \%$, | $90.7 \%$ to $91.7 \%$, |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=38,448$ | $\mathrm{n}=38,728$ | $\mathrm{n}=11,444$ |

The percentages shown are the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the student group's outcome, rounded to the nearest tenth; $n$ refers to the number of students in the denominator.

## 2018-19 Mathematics Participation Details

## By Race and Ethnicity

Assessment has an annual goal of 94.5 percent. The data displayed in the graphic and table below are aggregated by Elementary, Middle, and High School grade bands. In the participation graphs, the vertical axis scale has been changed in comparison to the previous assessment achievement graphs. The purpose of this change is to provide a zoomed in view.


The percentages shown are the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the student group's outcome, rounded to the nearest tenth; n refers to the number of students in the denominator.

## 2018-19 Mathematics Participation Details

## By Student Populations

Assessment has an annual goal of 94.5 percent. The data displayed in the graphic and table below are aggregated by Elementary, Middle, and High School grade bands. In the participation graphs, the vertical axis scale has been changed in comparison to the previous assessment achievement graphs. The purpose of this change is to provide a zoomed in view.


The percentages shown are the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the student group's outcome, rounded to the nearest tenth; n refers to the number of students in the denominator.

## Assessment Non-participation

Students who are eligible to take Oregon's statewide summative assessments may not participate for different reasons. A student may be absent during the testing period. Parents also have the ability to refuse their child's participation in state tests for religious or disability-related reasons. Beginning with the 2015-16 school year, House Bill 2655 (effective as of January 1, 2016) permitted parents and adult students to annually "opt out" of Oregon's statewide summative tests in English Language Arts and Mathematics. The following tables show non-participation rates for different types and assessments from the 2014-2015 school year through the 2018-2019 school year.

## English Language Arts - Non-participation Rates for Eligible Students 2014-15 to 2018-19

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of non- <br> participants in <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Participant Type | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1}$ |
| Absent | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 9 |
| Parent Refused Child Participation | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9,367 |
| Opt Out | 0.0 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 7,312 |
| Non-Participant Reason Unknown | 2.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 16,889 |
| Any of the above | 4.1 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 1 |

In 2018-19, the total number of students eligible to take the English Language Arts assessment was 314,232.

## Mathematics - Non-participation Rates for Eligible Students 2014-15 to 2018-19

| Non-Participant Type |  |  |  |  |  | Number of non- <br> participants in <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Absent | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 3}$ |
| Parent Refused Child Participation | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Opt Out | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7 |
| Non-Participant Reason Unknown | 2.9 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 10,409 |
| Any of the above | 4.5 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 8,525 |

In 2018-19, the total number of students eligible to take the Mathematics assessment was 314,174.
In 2018-19, 3.0 percent of students opted out of Oregon's statewide summative English Language Arts assessment. This represented an increase of two tenths of a percent from the 2017-18 school year. On the other hand, the percentage of students who opted out of Oregon's statewide summative Mathematics assessment remained relatively static at 3.3 percent. The percent of non-participants for unknown reasons decreased to 2.3 percent of students in English Language Arts and 2.7 percent in Mathematics in the 2018-19 school year. For more information about student assessment and the option to opt-out of statewide summative assessments visit the student assessment page.

## Student Success

## Indicators of Achievement

The Statewide Report Card provides statewide results of academic achievement along with other indicators of student success. Oregon measures student performance and progress in several ways: through statewide assessments at grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 11; through national and international achievement tests such as the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) and the Trends in International and Science Study (TIMSS). Graduation and dropout rates, as well as school and district report cards, provide useful measures of student performance and progress.

## Statewide Tests Measure Standards

Oregon tests students statewide in English language arts and mathematics in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 11 and in science at grades 5,8 , and 11. Statewide tests are "criterion-referenced," meaning student performance is evaluated against predetermined standards.

Oregon Department of Education (ODE) completed a successful operational science assessment in the spring of 2019 and the initial standard setting process in August 2019; however, we need to address limitations we encountered in our recruiting efforts for that standard setting. We are engaging in additional community partner engagement with several race/ethnicity affinity groups to ensure that we are hearing from educators who represent our historically underrepresented populations in a manner that respects their perspectives. ODE is committed to ensuring that a substantial community engagement process occurs before our summative science scores are made public.

For ELA \& Mathematics and Oregon Extended, levels 3 and 4 are considered proficient for purposes of state and federal accountability.

Scores Required to Meet Achievement Levels on Statewide Assessments (cut scores) for 2018-2019

| English Language Arts | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | High School |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Level 2 | 2367 | 2416 | 2442 | 2457 | 2479 | 2487 | 2493 |
| Level 3 | 2432 | 2473 | 2502 | 2531 | 2552 | 2567 | 2583 |
| Level 4 | 2490 | 2533 | 2582 | 2618 | 2649 | 2668 | 2682 |


| Mathematics | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | High School |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Level 2 | 2381 | 2411 | 2455 | 2473 | 2484 | 2504 | 2543 |
| Level 3 | 2436 | 2485 | 2528 | 2552 | 2567 | 2586 | 2628 |
| Level 4 | 2501 | 2549 | 2579 | 2610 | 2635 | 2653 | 2718 |

Oregon Extended (Alternate)

| English Language Arts | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | High School |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Level 2 | 192 | 200 | 202 | 205 | 208 | 213 | 899 |
| Level 3 | 213 | 213 | 220 | 220 | 222 | 224 | 920 |
| Level 4 | 228 | 228 | 232 | 233 | 236 | 236 | 927 |


| Mathematics | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | High School |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Level 2 | 192 | 193 | 193 | 204 | 207 | 208 | 901 |
| Level 3 | 201 | 206 | 206 | 208 | 209 | 212 | 907 |
| Level 4 | 218 | 219 | 220 | 222 | 223 | 226 | 922 |


| Science | Grade 5 |  |  |  | Grade 8 |  | High School |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level 2 |  | 506 |  |  | 810 |  |  |
| Level 3 |  | 517 |  |  | 801 |  |  |
| Level 4 |  | 530 |  |  | 831 |  |  |

Data from Achievement/Performance Standards.
Find information about Oregon academic standards online.
Find test score data online. Find cut scores required to meet Essential Skills graduation requirements online.

## Student Performance in 2018-19

Percent of Students at Level 3 or 4 / Meets or Exceeds

## Grade 3

|  | English Language Arts (ELA) | Mathematics | Science |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $46.5 \%$ | $46.4 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $33.6 \%$ | $33.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| English Learners* | $7.9 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $21.7 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | $29.9 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Asian (not of Hispanic origin) | $63.8 \%$ | $70.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $26.3 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Hispanic origin | $28.1 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Multi-racial | $50.7 \%$ | $49.7 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Native Hawaian/Pacific Islander | $26.6 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $53.3 \%$ | $52.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Extended Assessment** | $38.2 \%$ | $40.6 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Indian Education | $33.7 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Migrant Education | $18.0 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Homeless | $23.8 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Military-connected | $47.7 \%$ | $49.6 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Grade 4

|  | English Language Arts (ELA) | Mathematics | Science |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $49.2 \%$ | $43.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $36.7 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| English Learners* | $8.0 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $20.5 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | $33.7 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Asian (not of Hispanic origin) | $67.1 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $27.8 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Hispanic origin | $31.3 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Multi-racial | $52.8 \%$ | $45.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | $27.9 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $56.2 \%$ | $49.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Extended Assessment** | $45.0 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Indian Education | $35.9 \%$ | $28.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Migrant Education | $22.2 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Homeless | $28.0 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Military-connected | $56.8 \%$ | $51.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Student Performance in 2018-19
Percent of Students at Level 3 or 4
Grade 5

|  | English Language Arts (ELA) | Mathematics | Science |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $54.0 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $42.0 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| English Learners* | $6.2 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $20.7 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | $31.6 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Asian (not of Hispanic origin) | $73.1 \%$ | $64.7 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $32.8 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Hispanic origin | $36.2 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Multi-racial | $58.6 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | $30.7 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $61.1 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Extended Assessment** | $41.9 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Indian Education | $36.6 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Migrant Education | $28.0 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Homeless | $32.0 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Military-connected | $58.1 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Grade 6

|  | English Language Arts (ELA) | Mathematics | Science |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $51.5 \%$ | $37.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $38.8 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| English Learners* | $5.2 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $15.4 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | $32.1 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Asian (not of Hispanic origin) | $72.4 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $27.8 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Hispanic origin | $35.5 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Multi-racial | $53.9 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | $40.6 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $57.7 \%$ | $42.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Extended Assessment** | $42.8 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Indian Education | $35.1 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Migrant Education | $27.9 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Homeless | $27.6 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Military-connected | $52.7 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Student Performance in 2018-19
Percent of Students at Level 3 or 4

Grade 7

|  | English Language Arts (ELA) | Mathematics | Science |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $54.9 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $41.8 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| English Learners* | $5.2 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $16.0 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | $36.4 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Asian (not of Hispanic origin) | $74.0 \%$ | $68.7 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $32.4 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Hispanic origin | $39.3 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Multi-racial | $58.7 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | $39.6 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $60.9 \%$ | $45.7 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Extended Assessment* | $41.3 \%$ | $36.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Indian Education | $40.0 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Migrant Education | $31.6 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Homeless | $30.9 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Military-connected | $59.8 \%$ | $44.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Grade 8

|  | English Language Arts (ELA) | Mathematics | Science |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $53.2 \%$ | $38.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $40.3 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| English Learners* | $<5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $13.7 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Asian (not of Hispanic origin) | $74.2 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $31.0 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Hispanic origin | $37.9 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Multi-racial | $57.5 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | $35.8 \%$ | $35.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | $33.0 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $58.8 \%$ | $43.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Extended Assessment** | $35.5 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Indian Education | $34.6 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Migrant Education | $32.5 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Homeless | $28.1 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Military-connected | $59.1 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Student Performance in 2018-19

Percent of Students at Level 3 or 4
High School
Percent of Students at Level 3 or 4

|  | English Language Arts (ELA) | Mathematics | Science |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $66.5 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $55.6 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| English Learners* | $7.5 \%$ | $<5.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $21.9 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | $55.3 \%$ | $55.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Asian (not of Hispanic origin) | $77.1 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $42.6 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Hispanic origin | $54.7 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Multi-racial | $69.4 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | $56.3 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $71.3 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Extended Assessment** | $50.3 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Indian Education | $56.4 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Migrant Education | $45.5 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Homeless | $41.8 \%$ | $35.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Military-connected | $68.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |

Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See the Federal Race and Ethnicity Reporting Assistance Manual for more information.

* English Learners is the term for students identified as having a language other than English. This group only includes students eligible for or participating in an English Learner program in the current school year.
** Extended assessments are alternatives to grade-level assessments for students with significant cognitive impairments. They are scored on an alternate scale.


## National Comparison of Student Achievement

## The National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), also known as "The Nation's Report Card," has conducted national assessments since 1969 in many content areas, including social studies and the arts. Since 1990, NAEP has produced reports on student achievement at the state as well as the national level in mathematics, reading, science and writing. The National Center for Education Statistics within the U.S. Department of Education is responsible for carrying out NAEP. The independent, non-partisan National Assessment Governing Board oversees and sets policy for NAEP.

## Differences between NAEP and Oregon State Assessments

Unlike Oregon state assessments, NAEP does not provide individual scores for students, schools or districts in Oregon for several reasons:

- NAEP is a survey assessment, so results are based on samples of students representative of the state or nation;
- Each student takes a small part of the overall assessment, so only when the scores are aggregated for groups of students are the data considered valid and reliable estimates of what students know and can do in the content area;
- Federal law requires that NAEP data remain confidential, so no personally identifiable information about students is linked to the NAEP assessment data.

There are other important differences between NAEP and Oregon state assessments. NAEP produces state results only for $4^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ graders, while Oregon state assessments report results for students in elementary, middle and high school grade levels. The content tested in a NAEP assessment is determined by a national panel of experts convened by the National Assessment Governing Board. Oregon state assessments test the knowledge and skills laid out in the content standards adopted by the Oregon State Board of Education.

## NAEP Assessment Schedule

This report includes results from the 2018-2019 NAEP state mathematics and reading assessments. Results from the 2014-2015 NAEP state science assessment are available in the 2017-2018 Statewide Report Card. For 2019-2020, NAEP will conduct national long-term trend assessments in mathematics and reading.

## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Reading, Grade 4, 2019

NAEP reports achievement levels and average scale scores. Average scale scores for reading are expressed on a 0-500 scale. NAEP has three achievement levels: NAEP Basic, NAEP Proficient and NAEP Advanced. The National Assessment Governing Board defines the NAEP achievement levels as follows:

## NAEP Achievement Levels:

| NAEP Basic | Partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for performance at the NAEP <br> Proficient level |
| :--- | :--- |
| NAEP Proficient | Solid academic performance for each NAEP assessment. Students reaching this level have demonstrated <br> competency over challenging subject matter, including subject-matter knowledge, application of such <br> knowledge to real world situations, and analytical skills appropriate to the subject matter |
| NAEP Advanced | Superior performance beyond NAEP Proficient |


| 2019 NAEP Grade 4 Reading Results Achievement Levels \& Participation Rates |  | NAEP <br> Advanced \% | NAEP <br> Proficient \% | NAEP <br> Basic \% | Below Basic \% | Participating \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Oregon | 9 | 25 | 30 | 36 | 99 |
|  | United States | 9 | 26 | 31 | 35 | 98* |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Oregon | 4 | 19 | 31 | 46 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 3 | 18 | 31 | 48 | $\sim$ |
| English Learners | Oregon | \# | 2 | 14 | 84 | 97 |
|  | United States | 1 | 8* | 25* | 65* | 94* |
| Students with Disabilities | Oregon | 3 | 10 | 16 | 71 | 94 |
|  | United States | 2 | 8 | 16 | 74 | 88* |
| Female | Oregon | 10 | 26 | 30 | 33 | 99 |
|  | United States | 10 | 27 | 31 | 31 | 98* |
| Male | Oregon | 8 | 23 | 30 | 39 | 99 |
|  | United States | 7 | 24 | 30 | 38 | 97* |
| American Indian/Alaska Native (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 4 | 15 | 25 | 55 | 97 |
|  | United States | 3 | 17 | 30 | 50 | 97 |
| Asian (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 16 | 30 | 24 | 30 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 22 | 35 | 25 | 18 | $\sim$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | $\sim$ | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | ~ |
|  | United States | 3 | 15 | 30 | 53 | 97 |
| Hispanic origin | Oregon | 3 | 14 | 30 | 52 | 99 |
|  | United States | 4 | 19* | 31 | 46* | 97* |
| Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | ~ | ~ | ~ | $\sim$ | ~ |
|  | United States | 4 | 20 | 31 | 45 | ~ |
| Two or more races (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 13 | 34 | 27 | 26 | 99 |
|  | United States | 11 | 29 | 32 | 28 | 98 |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 11 | 28 | 31 | 31 | 99 |
|  | United States | 12 | 32* | 31 | 24* | 99* |

## Legend

~ Not reported by U.S. Department of Education
\# Rounds to zero

* Value is significantly different from OR

National Assessment of Educational Progress: Reading, Grade 8, 2019

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { 2019 NAEP Grade 8 Reading Results Achievement } \\ \text { Levels \& Participation Rates }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { NAEP } \\ \text { Advanced \% }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { NAEP } \\ \text { Proficient \% }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { NAEP } \\ \text { Basic \% }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Below } \\ \text { \% }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |$)$

Legend
~ Not reported by U.S. Department of Education
\# Rounds to zero

* Value is significantly different from OR

Achievement level results from the 2019 NAEP reading assessment revealed very similar outcomes for most groups of Oregon $4^{\text {th }}$ graders compared to $4^{\text {th }}$ graders in the nation's public schools. However, larger percentages of Oregon English Learners, Hispanic students and White students performed at the "Below Basic" level and smaller percentages performed at the "NAEP Proficient" level than their peers nationally. Also, a smaller percentage of Oregon English Leaners scored at the "NAEP Basic" level than English Learners in the nation's public schools.

In 8th grade, most Oregon student groups had outcomes very similar to $8^{\text {th }}$ graders in the nation's public schools. However, a smaller percentage of Oregon Economically Disadvantaged students performed at the "Below Basic" level than their peers nationally. A larger percentage of Oregon English Learners performed at the "Below Basic" level and a smaller percentage scored at the "NAEP Basic" level in comparison with their peers in the nation's public schools.

## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Reading

## Grade 4 Average Scale Scores 1998-2019: Oregon and the Nation

In 1998, Oregon's 4th graders scored statistically the same as the nation's 4th graders. In 2019, Oregon's 4th graders scored 218, which was statistically the same as 4th graders in the nation's public schools (219).


* Value is significantly different from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2019. Values for Oregon are indicated with a box around the label.


## Grade 8 Average Scale Scores 1998-2019: Oregon and the Nation

In 1998, Oregon's 8th graders scored statistically higher than the nation's 8th graders. In 2019, Oregon's 8th graders scored 264, which was statistically the same as 8 th graders in the nation's public schools (262).
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## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Mathematics, Grade 4, 2019

NAEP reports achievement levels and average scale scores. Average scale scores for math are expressed on a $0-500$ scale. NAEP has three achievement levels: NAEP Basic, NAEP Proficient and NAEP Advanced. The National Assessment Governing Board defines the NAEP achievement levels as follows:

## NAEP Achievement Levels:

| NAEP Basic | Partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for performance at the NAEP <br> Proficient level |
| :--- | :--- |
| NAEP Proficient | Solid academic performance for each NAEP assessment. Students reaching this level have demonstrated <br> competency over challenging subject matter, including subject-matter knowledge, application of such <br> knowledge to real world situations, and analytical skills appropriate to the subject matter |
| NAEP Advanced | Superior performance beyond NAEP Proficient |


| 2019 NAEP Grade 4 Math Results Achievement Levels \& Participation Rates |  | NAEP <br> Advanced \% | NAEP <br> Proficient \% | NAEP <br> Basic \% | Below Basic \% | Participating \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Oregon | 9 | 29 | 38 | 25 | 99 |
|  | United States | 9 | 32* | 40 | 20* | 98* |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Oregon | 4 | 22 | 41 | 33 | ~ |
|  | United States | 3 | 23 | 45* | 29* | ~ |
| English Learners | Oregon | \# | 4 | 27 | 68 | 96 |
|  | United States | 1 | 15* | 43* | 41* | 95 |
| Students with Disabilities | Oregon | 3 | 13 | 28 | 56 | 93 |
|  | United States | 2 | 12 | 31 | 55 | 89* |
| Female | Oregon | 6 | 28 | 40 | 26 | 99 |
|  | United States | 7 | 31 | 42 | 20* | 99 |
| Male | Oregon | 11 | 29 | 36 | 24 | 99 |
|  | United States | 10 | 33 | 38 | 20* | 97* |
| American Indian/Alaska Native (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 1 | 19 | 34 | 46 | 100 |
|  | United States | 4 | 22 | 43 | 32 | 99* |
| Asian (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 26 | 36 | 28 | 11 | ~ |
|  | United States | 29 | 41 | 23 | 7 | $\sim$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | ~ | ~ | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 2 | 18 | 45 | 35 | 98 |
| Hispanic origin | Oregon | 3 | 16 | 38 | 42 | 97 |
|  | United States | 3 | 24* | 45* | 27* | 97 |
| Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | ~ | ~ | ~ | $\sim$ | ~ |
|  | United States | 5 | 24 | 40 | 30 | ~ |
| Two or more races (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 4 | 22 | 45 | 28 | 100 |
|  | United States | 10* | 34* | 40 | 17* | 99* |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 11 | 34 | 38 | 17 | 99 |
|  | United States | 12 | 40* | 36 | 12* | 99 |

## Legend

~ Not reported by U.S. Department of Education
\# Rounds to zero

* Value is significantly different from OR

National Assessment of Educational Progress: Mathematics, Grade 8, 2019

| 2019 NAEP Grade 8 Math Results Achievement <br> Levels \& Participation Rates | NAEP <br> Advanced \% | NAEP <br> Proficient \% | NAEP <br> Basic $\%$ | Below Basic <br> \% |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students | Oregon | 10 | 22 | 36 | 33 |
|  | United States |  |  |  |  |

Legend
~ Not reported by U.S. Department of Education
\# Rounds to zero

* Value is significantly different from OR

Achievement level results from the 2019 NAEP mathematics assessment show that larger percentages of all Oregon 4th graders, Economically Disadvantaged students, English Learners, Female students, Male students, Hispanic students, students of two or more races, and White students performed at the "Below Basic" level than their peers in the nation's public schools. Smaller percentages of Oregon Economically Disadvantaged students, English Learners and Hispanic students scored at the "NAEP Basic" level than their peers nationally. Smaller percentages of all Oregon $4^{\text {th }}$ graders, English Learners, Hispanic students, students of two or more races, and White students performed at the "NAEP Proficient" level, and a smaller percentage of Oregon students of two or more races scored at the "NAEP Advanced" level, than their peers in the nation's public schools.

In 8th grade, larger percentages of Oregon English Learners, Hispanic students, and White students performed at the "Below Basic" level than their peers in the nation's public schools. Smaller percentages of Oregon English Learners and Hispanic students scored at the "NAEP Basic" level, and smaller percentages of Oregon Hispanic students and White students performed at the "NAEP Proficient" level, than their peers nationally.

## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Mathematics

## Grade 4 Average Scale Scores 2000-2019: Oregon and the Nation

In 2000, Oregon's 4th graders scored statistically the same as the nation's 4th graders. In 2019, Oregon's 4th graders scored 236, which was statistically lower than 4th graders in the nation's public schools (240).


* Value is significantly different from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2019. Values for Oregon are indicated with a box around the label.


## Grade 8 Average Scale Scores 2000-2019: Oregon and the Nation

In 2000, Oregon's 8th graders scored statistically higher than the nation's 8th graders. In 2019, Oregon's 8th graders scored 280, which was the statistically the same as 8th graders in the nation's public schools (281).


[^4]The School Nutrition Programs - breakfast, lunch and snacks - support the physical, social, emotional and academic development of all students. All meals receive federal reimbursement. The level of reimbursement increases for meals served to students in low income families. The data of students eligible for free and reduced price meals can be used as an indicator of poverty to access additional funding for programs and services to communities and schools with economic need. A higher percentage of low income children attend regular elementary schools. Alternative and Charter schools serving teens have a higher rate of students experiencing low income than those serving elementary students. Overall, more elementary students are in families experiencing low income.

Oregon Public Schools Number and Percent of All Students Eligible
October 1, 2018 Enrollment

| School Type and Level | Total Number of Students Eligible for Free and Reduced Price Lunch | Total Number of All Students 10/1/2018 | Free and Reduced Price Lunch Eligible Students as a Percent of All Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REGULAR |  |  |  |
| Elementary | 135,394 | 257,510 | 53\% |
| Middle/Jr. High | 53,227 | 106,972 | 50\% |
| High | 68,134 | 158,752 | 43\% |
| Combined ${ }^{1}$ | 2,858 | 6,923 | 41\% |
| ALTERNATIVE |  |  |  |
| Elementary | 327 | 676 | 48\% |
| Middle/Jr. High | -- | -- | -- |
| High | 1,980 | 2,819 | 70\% |
| Combined ${ }^{1}$ | 559 | 1,194 | 47\% |
| CHARTER |  |  |  |
| Elementary | 3,013 | 7,881 | 38\% |
| Middle/Jr. High | 75 | 394 | 19\% |
| High | 765 | 1,276 | 60\% |
| Combined ${ }^{1}$ | 3,378 | 7,117 | 47\% |
| ALL SCHOOLS (Includes REGULAR, ALTERNATIVE, CHARTER, and others noted below) |  |  |  |
| Elementary | 138,734 | 266,067 | 52\% |
| Middle/Jr. High | 53,302 | 107,366 | 50\% |
| High | 70,879 | 162,847 | 44\% |
| Combined ${ }^{1}$ | 6,795 | 15,234 | 45\% |
| Total | 272,608 | 553,433 | 49\% |

Source: Oregon Department of Education
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## The Oregon Diploma

In June 2008, the State Board of Education adopted graduation requirements that are designed to prepare each student for success in post-secondary opportunities and careers. The intent of these requirements is to provide an equitable education for all students. To earn a diploma, students need to successfully meet credit requirements, demonstrate essential skills and complete personalized learning requirements.

In addition to the Oregon Diploma, districts must also offer the Modified Diploma. Students are eligible for a Modified diploma only if they are unable to meet the full set of academic content standards for the Oregon diploma even with reasonable modifications and accommodations. The requirements for the Modified Diploma are also included in the table below.
Credit Requirements

| Subject Areas* | Credit Requirements | Modified Diploma |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English/Language Arts | 4 | 3 |
| Mathematics | 3 - Algebra \& \& above* | 2 |
| Science | 3 - Scientific Inquiry \& Lab Experiences** | 2 |
| Social Sciences | 3 | 2 |
| Physical Education | 1 | 1 |
| Health | 1 | 1 |
| World Language |  |  |
| The Arts | 3 | 1 |
| Career \&Technical Ed |  |  |
| Electives | 6 | 12*** |
| Total Credits | 24** | 24 |

*Applied and integrated courses aligned to standards can meet credit requirements.
** These are the minimum graduation requirements set by the state. Individual districts may have additional requirements. Please check with your school district to confirm local graduation requirements.
${ }^{* * *}$ School districts and public charter schools shall be flexible in awarding the remaining 12 credits which can include professional technical education, electives, career development, demonstrated proficiency in an area, or can include credits from other regular or modified courses.

- Lab experiences ( 2 credits) can take place outside of the school in field-based experiences.


## Credit For Proficiency

Students can earn credits by successfully demonstrating knowledge and skills defined by standards that meet or exceed defined levels of performance. The State Board adopted revised OAR 581-022-2025 Credit Options in July of 2017 which removed barriers to credit attainment by allowing multiple ways for students to demonstrate proficiency.

## Essential Skills

All students must demonstrate proficiency in the Essential Skills before earning a diploma. Students demonstrate mastery of these skills by scoring at or above a cut score on one of the approved assessment options in their district.

## Personalized Learning

The following requirements personalize the diploma for each student and help prepare them for their post-high school goals.

Education Plan and Profile: Students develop an Education Plan and Profile in grades 7-12 to guide their learning and document academic achievement and progress toward their personal, career and post-high school goals.

Extended Application: Students apply and extend their knowledge in new and complex situations related to their personal and career interests and post-high school goals through critical thinking, problem solving or inquiry in realworld context.

## 9 $^{\text {th }}$ Grade On-Track

Students in their first year of high school, who have been enrolled in the same district for at least half of the school year, are considered on-track when they have accrued at least 25 percent of the credits required for high school graduation. This includes credits awarded for advanced work before beginning high school, credits earned during the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade year, and credits earned during the summer after the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade year.

Ninth Grade On-Track data are displayed at the school and district level on Oregon's At-A-Glance School and District Profiles. In 2018-19, the Ninth Grade On-Track indicator was added to ODE's accountability system. ODE established measures of interim progress (MIP) for the indicator and a statewide long-term goal of 95 percent. Over the last four years, the percent of students on-track to graduate increased for most student groups.

Percent $\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}$ Grade On-Track by Student Group

*English Learners is defined as students eligible for participating in a program to acquire academic English in the current school year.
**Ever English Learners is defined as students who have ever been English Learners, in the present school year or in past school years beginning in 2006-07.
${ }^{* * *}$ In the 2018-19 School year, ODE started collecting data on Non-Binary students. These data are not displayed in this report as there is only one year of data available.
>95 indicates that the student group percentage is greater than 95 percent but the exact percentage is not displayed to protect student confidentiality.

## Essential Skills

In order to graduate, students must pass tests demonstrating their reading, writing, and math skills. This graduation requirement is known as the Essential Skills. When the Essential Skills requirement was implemented in 2008 the State Board of Education established different test options (examples below) so students would be able to provide their best evidence of what they know and can do.
Tests approved for use in meeting the Essential Skills requirement:
(1) State summative test*
(2) Local performance assessments scored against official state scoring guides (known as Work Samples)*
(3) Other approved standardized assessments* (e.g., SAT, ACT, etc.)

* as long as the student scores at or above the required level

Each spring, grade 11 students participate in the English Language Arts and math state summative tests. For the majority of the total student population, the Essential Skills graduation requirement goes unnoticed because the student scored high enough on the state summative test that the district can use these results to show the student completed their Essential Skills requirements.

For various reasons some students may not fully demonstrate what they know and can do in reading, writing and/or math on a state summative assessment. In these cases, most students meet Essential Skills requirements through local performance assessments, known as Work Samples. Work Samples may be developed locally to provide greater relevance for students and can be administered in the classroom or school setting.

# Methods Used to Demonstrate Proficiency in the Math, Reading, and Writing Essential Skills 2014-15 Four-year Cohort Earning Regular High School Diplomas 



Educational equity means that each student receives the necessary resources, exemplified by providing students with appropriate supports during testing and instruction. Properly implemented testing supports remove barriers that are irrelevant to the knowledge or skills being measured and free students to show their true abilities. Examples of accessibility supports on a math test might include reading the math prompt aloud to students; enabling them to speak rather than write their math reasoning; providing translations of the test content into a language other than English; or providing additional time or a separate setting, free from distractions.

Accessibility supports vary by test so it is important to provide a range of testing options for the Essential Skills requirement. For example, at present, the state summative math test is available in two languages (English and Spanish), whereas districts may choose to develop and score Work Samples in any language. This serves the double purpose of acknowledging students' linguistic diversity and assessing math skills independently of English language skills. As shown in the graph below, proportionately fewer English Learners use the state summative test to satisfy the Math Essential Skills requirement than students who are not English Learners. Work Samples can sometimes help these students more fully demonstrate their knowledge and skills by assessing them in a different way.


Accessibility supports are a vital ingredient in supporting educational equity. For more detail about accessibility supports, see the Oregon Accessibility Manual for the state tests and Appendix B: Accessibility Support for Work Samples (within the Essential Skills Manual). Both include extensive options for supporting students in demonstrating proficiency.

Find information on Essential Skills assessment options online.

## Oregon Graduation Rates

## Summary of Cohort Graduation Rates

Cohort graduation rates begin with a group of students entering high school for the first time in a given school year. The cohort is adjusted for students who move into or out of the system, emigrate or are deceased. The graduation rate is calculated by taking the number of students in the cohort who earned a regular or modified diploma within four years and dividing that by the total number of students in the cohort.

Oregon schools increasingly offer the option to remain enrolled in high school for a fifth year in order to pursue college credit options through partnerships with community colleges and universities. Legislation that took effect in the 2016-17 school year placed limits on this practice. Beginning with the 2013-14 cohort graduation rates, students who had met all requirements for an Oregon (regular) or Modified Diploma were counted as graduates, even if the diploma was not awarded, in order to allow the student to remain enrolled for a fifth year. Because of this significant change, rates from 2013-14 and later are not comparable to rates prior to 2013-14. For four and five year rates by all student groups, and historical data, see the Cohort Media Files. The files also provide district and school-level data, including counts of students with other outcomes, such as GEDs or continuing enrollment.

The chart below shows the increase in 4 and 5 year graduation and completer rates based on the students' first year in high school. The rate of 78.7 in this chart shows that 78.7 percent of students who began their high school career in the 2014-15 school year graduated within four years (by the end of the 2017-18 school year).

## Cohort Graduation Rates Over Time (All Students)
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## Opportunity Gaps

In cohort graduation rates, the gap between students of historically underserved races/ethnicities (Black/African American, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander) and other students (White, Asian, and Multiracial) has continued to decrease (by $3.8 \%$ in five years).

## Four-year Cohort Graduation Rate

Underserved Race/Ethnicity

| $90 \%$ |  |  | $81 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $75 \%$ |  | $73 \%$ |  |
| $50 \%$ | $64 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $2010-11$ | $2011-12$ |  | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ |

Graduation rate gaps for students who are economically disadvantaged are also decreasing (by $2.6 \%$ in five years), but this gap still remains wide with rates about 15 points lower than the rates for students who were not economically disadvantaged in high school.


Some graduation rate gaps have widened. Rates for students who are not yet proficient in English by the time they enroll in high school are almost 23 percentage points below rates for students who were never enrolled in English Learner programs, and this gap has increased by 1.8 percent over the last five years. However, a new student group was calculated for the 2017-18 graduation rates that show students who became proficient in English before they entered high school (Former English Learners) graduated at a rate 3 points higher (82.5\%) than those students who were never an English Learner (79.2\%).

## Four-year Cohort Graduation Rate

English Learners


## Oregon Career and Technical Education (CTE) Information: 2018 Graduation Results

The Oregon Department of Education (ODE) collects data from Oregon schools and community colleges on the enrollment and performance of students who complete courses in state-approved Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs of Study. CTE means content, programs and instructional strategies based on business and industry workplace skills and technical skill sets and needs. Instruction incorporates standards-based academic content, technical skills and workplace behaviors necessary for success in careers of the 21st century. The CTE instruction reflected in Oregon's published results happens exclusively in the context of ODE approved Programs of Study and State Recognized Programs. CTE Programs of Study are designed by secondary and postsecondary partners to be a series of complete, yet non-duplicative career focused courses.

Descriptive analysis of this data reveals consistently higher graduation rates among CTE concentrators ${ }^{1}$ relative to all students statewide. ${ }^{2}$ Among students beginning secondary school in 2014-2015, CTE concentrators were 14 percentage points more likely to graduate high school in four years than students statewide.

## CTE Concentrator and Statewide Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rates over Time



Not only did CTE concentrators graduate at higher rates than students in the same population statewide, they graduated above the statewide average of 78.7 percent in every racial/ethnic student population measured.
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## Dropout Rates

Dropout Rate Formula: The one-year statewide dropout rate calculation is made by dividing the number of dropouts (for grades 9-12) by the number of students reported as enrolled on the first school day in October in grades 9-12.

Note that unlike the cohort graduation rates, the dropout rate includes all events within a single year, irrespective of the year a student began high school.

## Oregon Statewide Dropout Rate Calculation

For a given school year:
Number of Dropouts for Grades 9-12
$\overline{\text { First School Day in October Enrollment for Grades } 9 \text {-12 }}$

Dropout rates are also calculated for schools and districts.
More information is available on the Dropout Rates in Oregon High Schools webpage.

Oregon High School Dropout Rates


## Oregon Dropout Rates by Gender

Male students in 2017-18, as in prior years, dropped out at a higher rate than female students. Male students of every ethnicity dropped out at a higher rate than female students of the same ethnicity. American Indian/Alaska Native and Black/African American male students have the highest dropout rates, while Asian male and female students have the lowest rates.

Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See the Federal Race and Ethnicity Reporting Assistance Manual for more information.



## Regular Attenders

Regular Attenders (formerly Not Chronically Absent) is the measure of the percent of students who were present for more than 90 percent of their total enrolled days. Conversely, a student is considered to be chronically absent if they are absent for 10 percent or more of their total enrolled days. Note that the definition of Regular Attenders was updated in 2016-17 to align with Oregon's chronic absenteeism plan. In prior years, students were considered a regular attender if they were present for 90 percent or more of their total enrolled days (as opposed to more than 90 percent starting in 2016-17). Regular Attenders data is displayed at the school and district level on Oregon's At-AGlance School and District Profiles and Detail Sheets. The Regular Attender measure is included in ODE's accountability system. In the 2017-18 school year, the ODE established measures of interim progress (MIP) for the indicator and a statewide long-term goal of 93 percent.

The Regular Attenders rate includes students in programs with attendance being tracked on a daily basis-hourly programs such as tutorial instruction are not included. Being enrolled on the first school day in May and for at least 75 days by that date for the 2018-19 school year are the other requirements for inclusion in this rate.

## Percentage of Students that Attend Regularly

By Year and Student Population


[^8] being the cutoff to meet the national definition of Chronically Absent as students missing 10 percent or more of their enrolled days.

# Percentage of Students that Attend Regularly or are Chronically Absent By Race and Ethnicity, 2018-19 


$\uparrow$ Indicates an increase in regularly attending students for this student population from 2017-18 to 2018-19. $\downarrow$ Indicates a decrease in regularly attending students for this student population from 2017-18 to 2018-19.

Percentage of Students that Attend Regularly or are Chronically Absent By Student Population, 2018-19

$\uparrow$ Indicates an increase in regularly attending students for this student population from 2017-18 to 2018-19. $\downarrow$ Indicates a decrease in regularly attending students for this student population from 2017-18 to 2018-19. Students Experiencing Poverty is synonymous with Economically Disadvantaged Students.

# Percentage of Students that Attend Regularly or are Chronically Absent By Grade Level, 2018-19 


$\uparrow$ Indicates an increase in regularly attending students for this grade level from 2017-18 to 2018-19. $\downarrow$ Indicates a decrease in regularly attending students for this grade level from 2017-18 to 2018-19. $\rightarrow$ Indicates that there was not a change for this grade level from 2017-18 to 2018-19.

Regular Attendance is the focus of the Chronic Absenteeism Statewide Plan, which was put into action in school districts and Education Service Districts in the 2018-19 school year. This year marked a change in a former downward trend in regular attenders for all students, which is likely a positive effect of the work that has begun around the state. The focus for the first year in this work was on accurate reporting and use of attendance data, building strong attendance teams, and implementing positive, two-way communication processes with parents, caregivers and schools. Using these strategies, schools and districts were able to be proactive in identifying students who were not attending school on a regular basis and to develop supports for those students. Paired with a statewide campaign to highlight the importance of regular attendance, these efforts appear to have had the greatest effect for white students, military-connected students, migrant students and high school students.

With the Every Day Matters Initiative, school districts are encouraged to perform root-cause analysis of the climate and culture of each school to develop strong supports for students and families from historically underserved populations. Data shows these were populations that continued to decrease in regular attendance in the 2018-19 school year.

The Tribal Attendance Promising Practices (TAPP) work began in 2016-17, and is centered in 17 schools (within nine school districts) throughout Oregon. This work is a collaboration between schools and the nine federally recognized tribes in Oregon to increase regular attendance and, ultimately, graduation and completion rates for all students in these schools and American Indian and Alaska Native students specifically. Although the regular attendance rate for American Indian and Alaska Native students statewide decreased in 2018-19, 11 of the 17 TAPP schools saw increases in regular attendance for all students in 2018-19 and five of the 17 schools saw increases in regular attendance for American Indian and Alaska Native students. It is important to note, when TAPP began the regular attenders rate for American Indian and Alaska Natives students was 67 percent. At this same time their peers were regularly attending 81 percent of the time. Therefore, the current numbers reflect an increase in regular attenders among American Indian / Alaska Native students and a decrease for their peers for the same timeframe. TAPP's strategies are school-wide and therefore, may positively impact the Regular Attendance rate of all students in TAPP schools.

## Oregon Public Charter Schools

## Oregon Public Charter Schools Enrollment

Charter school enrollment has risen from 1.7 percent of the total public school enrollment in 2006-07 and now represents 6.1 percent of enrolled students.

Charter schools, authorized by legislation in 1999, were designed to create new, innovative and more flexible ways of educating all children within the public school system. In Oregon, all charter schools are public schools.

In the 2018-19 school year, there were 132 charter schools. The average charter school enrolled about 270 students.
Charter School Enrollment
Counts and Percent of Total Public School Enrollment
Fall Membership Data Collection


In 2015-16, the Oregon Department of Education began tracking virtual status for all schools. In 2018-19, a total of 19 charter schools ( 14 percent of all charters) identified themselves as fully or primarily virtual, as compared to about 1 percent of non-charter schools. This relatively small number of virtual charters enrolled 36 percent of all charter school students, whereas less than 1 percent of non-charter students were enrolled in non-charter virtual schools.


Source: Fall Membership 2018-19
Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - those students are all reported under Hispanic. See the Federal Race and Ethnicity Reporting Assistance Manual for more information.

## Oregon Public Charter School Performance

In 2014-15, ODE replaced its previous state tests in reading, writing and mathematics with the Oregon Statewide Summative Assessments in English Language Arts (ELA) and mathematics. Results on these assessments cannot be compared to results on previous state tests. For ELA and mathematics, levels 3 and 4 are considered proficient for purposes of state and federal accountability.

ELA: Percent (Students) Level 3 or 4


Mathematics: Percent (Students) Level 3 or 4


[^9]
## Special Programs

Many Oregon students receive additional services through special programs to assist them in school.

## Special Education (IDEA) - Ages 5-21

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) makes a free appropriate public education available to eligible students with disabilities and ensures special education and related services to those students.

The State of Oregon, its communities, school districts, and schools all share a responsibility for the success of each student. Building this capacity includes systems that are inclusive and collaborative, and responsive to the needs of students with disabilities. The following provides an overview about school age students with disabilities who received special education services under IDEA in Oregon.

## Special Education Students Statewide

The percentage of Oregon students receiving special education services under IDEA has averaged 13.5 percent of total enrollment over the last five years. The following table shows the five year trend for special education students as compared to total enrollment.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Special Education | 75,927 | 76,820 | 77,964 | 78,867 | 80,436 |
| Total Enrollment | 570,857 | 576,407 | 578,947 | 580,684 | 581,730 |
| \% of Total Enrollment | $13.3 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ |

Sources: December Special Education Child Count, Fall Membership

## Special Education Students by Disability

Each school age special education student in Oregon has at least one of 11 different disabilities under IDEA. The following table shows the trends by disability category.

| Number of Students with Disabilities (Ages 5-21) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Disability | 2014-15 Number of Students | 2018-19 Number of Students | Percent Change |
| Autism | 8,720 | 10,191 | $16.9 \%$ |
| Communication Disorder | 18,215 | 18,493 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Deaf/Blindness | 6 | 14 | $133.3 \%$ |
| Emotional Disturbance | 4,616 | 5,331 | $15.5 \%$ |
| Hearing Impairment/Deaf | 901 | 906 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Intellectual Disability | 3,916 | 4,201 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Orthopedic Impairment | 716 | 647 | $-9.6 \%$ |
| Other Health Impairment | 12,025 | 14,866 | $23.6 \%$ |
| Specific Learning Disability | 26,234 | 25,195 | $-4.0 \%$ |
| Traumatic Brain Injury | 257 | 304 | $18.3 \%$ |
| Visual Impairment | 321 | 288 | $-10.3 \%$ |
| Total | 75,927 | 80,436 | $5.9 \%$ |

Source: December Special Education Child Count

Over time, several disability categories have shown an increase in the number of identified students. These changing percentages reflect trends in the field and require that the Department of Education, Office of Enhancing Student Opportunities keep up with the ever-changing needs of Oregon's children.

## School Age Students with Disabilities Receiving Special Education Services

2018-19 School Year
Total: 80,436 Students

*Percentage rounded down due to small student count.

## Placement of School Age Special Education Students by Disability - 2018-19

The following graph represents the placement options along the continuum for Regular Class with Regular Class 80 percent or Greater being the least restrictive placement decision by an Individualized Education Program (IEP) team. The categories are:

- Regular Class 80 percent or Greater: Children who received special education and related services outside the regular classroom for less than 21 percent of the school day.
- Regular Class 40-79 percent: Children who received special education and related services outside the regular classroom for at least 21 percent but no more than 60 percent of the school day.
- Regular Class < 40 percent: Children who received special education and related services outside the regular classroom for more than 60 percent of the school day.
- Other: This category includes all other placements, including those determined and not determined by the IEP team, i.e. students in corrections, home school by parent, separate schools, parentally placed in private schools, hospital programs, homebound, public and private facilities.


Percentages reflect the portion of students with the listed disability in each placement. *Percentages suppressed due to small student count.

## Early Learning Division Programs

The Early Learning Division (ELD) administers programs serving young children and their families.

- Oregon Head Start Pre-Kindergarten (OHS PreK) is a high-quality, comprehensive, early childhood program serving children ages three to five from families living at or below the federal poverty level. The program offers integrated services to support school readiness in the areas of:
o Early childhood education and development
o Child health and nutrition
o Parent education and family support
- Preschool Promise is a model for a publicly-funded, high-quality preschool program. Preschool Promise leverages high-quality, local and culturally-relevant early child care and education programs and makes them available to children living at 200 percent of the poverty level. By incorporating a mixed delivery approach which recognizes that high quality early learning experiences can take place in a wide variety of settings, Preschool Promise provides opportunities for families to access and choose the preschool setting which best meets their needs. Preschool Promise is offered through nine different Early Learning Hub regions.

ELD funds 28 (OHS PreK) grantees and nine Preschool Promise programs. Together, these programs serve children in all 36 counties in Oregon. Programs receive funding from the Federal Office of Head Start, the Oregon Department of Education or both. A state and federal partnership agreement allows grantees to blend funding to provide a seamless, integrated program that is tailored to meet the needs of children and families in their community.

OHS PreK programs serve children with the highest needs from families living at or below the federal poverty level. Children ages $3-5$ living in poverty are considered eligible for Head Start. Children in foster care and children who are homeless are automatically income eligible. At least 10 percent of enrollment is reserved for children with disabilities. While federal law allows up to 10 percent of Head Start slots to be filled by children from over-income families who meet locally based need criteria, OHS PreK services are free for qualifying children.

The 2018-2019 legislatively approved budget for Oregon Prekindergarten and Preschool Promise was $\$ 93,194,674$. ELD funded 9,430 enrollment slots for children in OHS PreK programs, and nine Early Learning Hubs coordinating services with 93 Preschool Promise programs. When combined with Federal and other funding sources, total OHS PreK and Preschool Promise funded enrollment for 2018-2019 was 13,802. An estimated 11,444 of the enrollment slots were filled by age eligible children living at or below the federal poverty level. The remaining slots were filled by children with other identified risk factors.

| School Year | Number of <br> Children <br> Eligible for <br> Services* | Number of <br> Eligible <br> Children <br> Served | Percent of <br> Eligible <br> Children <br> Served |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 2 0 1 5}$ | 22,150 | 12,257 | $55.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6}$ | 20,695 | 12,201 | $59.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | 19,613 | 12,215 | $62.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ | 17,721 | 11,184 | $63.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 2 0 1 9}$ | 16,304 | 11,444 | $70.2 \%$ |

[^10]
## Talented and Gifted

Talented and Gifted (TAG) children are defined by the State of Oregon as "those children who require special educational programs or services, or both, beyond those normally provided by the regular school program in order to realize their contribution to self and society and who demonstrate outstanding ability or potential" in one of the recognized areas of giftedness (ORS 343.391). Oregon statutes and administrative rules require school districts to identify TAG students and to provide TAG students with instruction that is designed to meet their assessed levels of learning and accelerated rates of learning (OAR 581-022-2500).

School districts are required to identify TAG students in the categories of Intellectually Gifted, Academically TalentedReading, Academically Talented—Mathematics and Potential to Perform at the $97^{\text {th }}$ Percentile. The definition of Potential to Perform at the $97^{\text {th }}$ Percentile may be determined locally by individual districts. The Oregon Department of Education defines the categories Intellectually Gifted and Academically Talented in either Reading or Mathematics. Districts also have the option to identify students in three other areas: creativity, leadership and visual and performing arts. All TAG identification is based on a body of evidence and multiple data points. Individual Students may be identified in multiple areas of TAG.

## Oregon Talented and Gifted Students Statewide

## State-defined:

- Intellectually Gifted: 16,355
- Academically Talented:

0 Reading: 15,939
o Math: 16,246
District-defined:

- Potential to Perform at the 97 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile: 6,077
District Option to Identify:
- Creativity: 56
- Leadership: 34
- Visual and Performing Arts: 22

| Student Group | Number of <br> TAG Students | Percent of TAG <br> Students | Percent of Student <br> Group Identified as TAG |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 39,097 | $100.0 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |
| Female | 18,230 | $46.6 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| Male | 20,827 | $53.3 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Non-Binary* | 40 | $0.1 \%$ to $0.14 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ to $17.2 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 158 | $0.4 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| Asian | 4,303 | $11.0 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ |
| Black/African American | 424 | $1.1 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | 4,258 | $10.9 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| Multiracial | 3,059 | $7.8 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 118 | $0.3 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| White | 26,777 | $68.5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 10,076 | $25.8 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Not Economically Disadvantaged | 29,021 | $74.2 \%$ |  |
| Special Education** | 1,519 | $3.9 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |
| Not Special Education | 37,578 | $96.1 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |

*Due to the recent addition of collecting Non-Binary data and the first-year reporting of this data by
students and districts, the 95 percent confidence intervals for the reported population is provided.
**Students may be TAG-identified while also be identified for Special Education.
Source: Spring Membership 2018-19
TAG and All Students Four-Year Graduation Rates Over Time


Source: Cohort Graduation Rates

## Alternative Education Programs

An "alternative education program" means a school or separate class group designed to best serve students' educational needs and interests and assist students in achieving the academic standards of the school district and the state (ORS 336.615).

School districts provide alternative education programs for students who need

- additional academic supports because they do not meet state academic standards,
- additional academic supports because they are exceeding academic standards, or
- additional behavioral supports.

Alternative education programs are also provided for students who

- are pregnant or are parenting,
- have been expelled from school,
- have left school before graduating, or are at risk of leaving school before graduating,
- need additional supports to earn a diploma.

In general, Oregon student enrollment in alternative education remained at similar levels compared to past years, while there continued to be a decline in the number of programs and services. Districts report that on average they serve an estimated 13,300 students in alternative education statewide. We anticipate changes in this data collection over the next year as we continue to work with districts and sites to ensure that accurate data is reported.

## Alternative Education Services in Oregon <br> By Type of Program Service -- Number of Students

| Type of Operation | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resident School District | 12,547 | 13,855 | 11,255 | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 4 0 1}$ |
| Another School District | 121 | 29 | 67 | 92 | 173 |
| Private Program | 1,487 | 1,279 | 1,443 | 1,077 | 965 |
| Community College | 1,054 | 819 | 847 | 843 | 857 |
| Educational Service District (ESD) | 177 | 101 | 63 | 56 | 63 |
| Other Program | 304 | 256 | 133 | 97 | 127 |
| Terminated Program | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 , 8 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 3 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 8 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 8 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 6 4 6}$ |

Source: ODE Alternative Education Data Collection
District alternative schools and programs, such as small learning communities (programs within schools), charter and magnet schools, and programs of choice are utilized to meet individualized student learning and socio-emotional needs. School report cards report summative data for students enrolled at these schools and districts. Some districts may offer a variety of guidance and career counseling services, tutoring, small-group instruction, online/blended learning, career related learning and proficiency credit options to support improved student achievement according to their education plan.

Alternative education is included in school district improvement planning and goal setting as we continue to support schools in need. Private or charter alternative schools are annually evaluated by their contracting districts to assure they are comprehensive, aligned with content standards and essential skills and offering the courses required for high school graduation. An annual evaluation and site visit is required to result in Division 22 assurances that support school boards in their annual approval of programs. A growing number of annual program evaluations are making use of a more formative evaluation method that seeks to support "quality" academic and scheduling programming. These evaluations are required to include the review of an annual statement of expenditures to ensure that the program enhances the ability of the district and its students to achieve district and state standards (ORS 336.655).

## Alternative Education Services in Oregon <br> by Type of Program Service -- Number of Programs

| Type of Operation | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resident School <br> District | 145 | 138 | 120 | 121 | 124 |
| Another School District | 12 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| Private Program | 64 | 60 | 46 | 31 | 30 |
| Community College | 45 | 39 | 32 | 29 | 26 |
| Educational Service <br> District (ESD) | 18 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| Other Program | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Terminated Program | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 13 |
| Total | 300 | 278 | 228 | 209 | 211 |

Source: ODE Alternative Education Data Collection
The majority of alternative education in Oregon is estimated to occur in schools and programs operated by the student's resident school district, but a consistent number of alternative program services have been offered by community colleges, whereas there has been a consistent decline in alternative program services offered by private organizations. The remaining programs are operated by the Education Service District (ESD) or in another district, school or program.

## Type of Program Services Statewide

Alternative education programs must continue to be designed and evaluated for quality as well as compliance to assure they address the diverse student needs and the social behaviors they are designed to address. Alternative programs need to address the development of knowledge/skills with a level of rigor that will enable youth to be successful in postsecondary education and careers. The National Alternative Education Association (NAEA) describes youth attending alternative education programs as students who were found to have not been succeeding in the traditional education setting. Traditional school staff are left to respond to the needs of an increased number of students that might benefit from alternative programs and attempt to do so through personalized learning experiences in accordance with a student's education plan and profile. A number of school districts have responded by offering differing types of online/blended learning and intervention programs on site at traditional schools (schools within schools) which accounts for the decrease in the number of programs. Alternative schools offer innovative and non-traditional approaches to teaching and learning which helps to prevent these students from becoming dropouts and assists the state and district in serving all students.

## Alternative Education Services in Oregon <br> By Type of Program Service -- Number of Services

|  | Number of <br> Services | Number of <br> Services | Number of <br> Services | Number of <br> Services <br> Provided 2016 | Number of <br> Provided 2017 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Typervices of Program Services Statewide |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provided 2015 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provided 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

Source: ODE Alternative Education Data Collection

## Alternative Education Services in Oregon

By Grade Range - Number of Students

|  | Number of <br> Students <br> Using Services <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | Number of <br> Students <br> Using Services <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | Number of <br> Students <br> Using Services <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | Number of <br> Students <br> Using Services <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | Number of <br> Students <br> Using Services <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Secondary | 13,714 | 14,056 | 11,392 | 11,037 | 11,467 |
| Elementary | 2,126 | 2,283 | 2,416 | 2,254 | 2,179 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 , 8 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 3 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 8 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 2 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 6 4 6}$ |

Source: ODE Alternative Education Data Collection

Links to Reference Documents
Oregon Alternative Education

## Resources

Visit the webpages below for additional information on key education topics.

## Data Collections

## Data Collection Catalog School and District Accountability

Elementary and Secondary
Education Act
Oregon School \& District Profiles

Quality Education Model
Accountability Reports

## School Funding and Finance

State School Fund
Special Education Funding

Special Programs
Alternative Education Charter Schools

Early Childhood
Homeless Students
Special Education Programs
Talented and Gifted

## Student Achievement

Oregon Statewide Assessment
Statewide Test Results
National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP)

Cohort Graduation Rate
Dropout Reports
Essential Skills

## Student Information

Fall Membership Report
English Learners
School Nutrition/Free and
Reduced Price Lunch

## Teacher

Information
Teacher Licensure
Highly Qualified Teachers
Resources for Teachers

## Title I

Title I-A: Improving Basic Programs
Title I-C: Migrant Education
Title I-D: Neglected and
Delinquent or At-Risk Children


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For additional background, see ODE Executive Memo 008-2017-18
    ${ }^{2}$ Of these schools, a total of 369 out of 451 schools reported zero non-binary students in their student population.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ This analysis was completed in collaboration with Dr. Antonio R. Vargas, research analyst with the Division of Financial Regulation in the Department of Consumer and Business Services. Contact 503-947-7210 or antonio.r.vargas@oregon.gov
    ${ }^{4}$ Although the Minnesota and Los Angeles studies do not focus on the exact corresponding non-binary student population in Oregon, these studies do provide useful approximate estimates. In the Minnesota Student Survey, the reported proportion includes students who identified as transgender, gender-fluid, or gender nonconforming. In the LA Foster Youth study, the reported proportion is an estimate that the authors of that study provide of the proportion of youth and young adults in the U.S. population who identify with a transgender status. The authors of the LA Foster Youth study operationally defined transgender as a youth whose current gender identity is transgender, or current gender is different from their sex at birth, or who responded to the current gender question with "I am not sure yet."
    ${ }^{5}$ School districts able to participate included 4 mid-sized districts, 2 large districts (more than 20,000 enrolled students), and 1 small school district (less than 5,000 enrolled students).
    ${ }^{6}$ The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act's (FERPA) confidentiality standard prohibits the release of information that would permit a "reasonable person in the school community...to identify [an individual] with reasonable certainty." 34 CFR §99.3.

[^2]:    * ADA includes students who are counted based on instructional hours. ADA for those students is estimated by multiplying the ADM of those students by the ratio of ADA to ADM for "regular" students (Type 1 records in the ADM collection). Kindergarteners are counted as 0.5 in ADM and ADA through 2014-15, then as 1.0.
    **Fall Membership reported here includes some PK students.

[^3]:    * Value is significantly different from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2019. Values for Oregon are indicated with a box around the label.

[^4]:    * Value is significantly different from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2019. Values for Oregon are indicated with a box around the label.

[^5]:    Note: Includes October 1 Membership for the schools and programs in the 2018-19 Report which reported at least one student eligible for free or reduced lunch. Students attending schools that did not report free/reduced lunch data are not included in the totals.
    ${ }^{1}$ Combined schools serve high school grades as well as grades 7 and below.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Expanded Options Program page for information about Oregon's Expanded Options program, one of the programs students exercising this option may be participating in.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ A CTE concentrator is defined as, "[a]ny secondary student who has earned one or more credits in technical skill-based courses as part of an Oregon state-approved CTE program, of which at least one-half credit must be designated as a required course." For more information, go to the Secondary CTE Data Collection and Reporting webpage.
    ${ }^{2}$ CTE graduation rates and statewide graduation are not perfectly comparable. As noted in the "CTE Report Card" "Oregon statewide cohort graduation rates include every first year student (net of students moving into/out of the state, etc.)." CTE graduation rates include students who meet the definition of a CTE concentrator (see footnote one).

[^8]:    There was a definition change of Regular Attenders in 2016-17 that changed from 90 percent of days present being the cutoff to 90.1 percent

[^9]:    Note: Each year above includes the schools that were operating charter schools in that year.

[^10]:    * State population and poverty rates for children ages 3-4 were provided by Kanhaiya Vaidya, Senior Demographer for the Office of Economic Analysis, Department of Administrative Services.
    Source: Oregon Department of Education, Early Learning Division
    Oregon Head Start Prekindergarten Annual Estimates of Eligible 3 and 4 Year-Olds

