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Texas Administrative Code (BB § 89.1205) specifies that all elementary schools must offer a bilingual program to English Language Learners (ELLs) whose home language is spoken by 20 or more students in any single grade level across the entire district. Based on this rule, in addition to Spanish speaking ELLs, students speaking Vietnamese, Mandarin, Arabic, Nepali, Urdu, and Swahili as their primary language are eligible for bilingual services. The Cultural Heritage Bilingual Program (CHBP) was developed for students in these language groups, and started in 2008-2009. CHBP is an early-exit bilingual program serving students in grades pre-K through 5. Currently, CHBP is only implemented for native Vietnamese speakers at Park Place Elementary School.

Included in the report are findings from assessments of academic achievement and English language proficiency for CHBP students, including results from the English STAAR, Stanford 10, and the TELPAS.

A total of 159 students were in the CHBP program in 2013-2014, down from 170 in 20122013. Results from the STAAR and Stanford assessments indicated that CHBP students' performance was superior to that of all comparison groups, in all subjects tested. CHBP student performance on the TELPAS was also superior to all other comparison groups except for Vietnamese-speaking ESL students. Among students who had exited ELL status, those who used to be in CHBP were superior to all comparison groups except for Vietnamese students who had exited an ESL program.
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# Cultural Heritage Bilingual Program Performance Report: English STAAR, Stanford, and TELPAS 2013-2014 <br> <br> Executive Summary 

 <br> <br> Executive Summary}

## Program Description

The Cultural Heritage Bilingual Program (CHBP) was developed for English Language Learner (ELL) students from language groups not served by current bilingual programs in the Houston Independent School District (HISD), which are designed for Spanish-speaking ELLs. The largest group of students in this category consists of ELLs whose home language is Vietnamese. Currently, CHBP is only implemented for native Vietnamese speakers at Park Place Elementary School. This program is an early exit bilingual program serving students in grades prekindergarten through 4 . Students enrolled in the CHBP program receive 45 minutes of daily instruction in Vietnamese, during which Vietnamese literature, culture, and history are taught in the students' native language via listening, speaking, reading, and writing. Combined with this is an intensive program of English language development using ESL instructional techniques for language arts and all content subjects. This report contains summaries of student performance including the following:

- Student enrollment by grade level;
- State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness (STAAR) reading and mathematics (percent of students who met standard);
- Stanford 10 mean normal curve equivalent (NCE) scores for reading, mathematics, language, science, and social science;
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) percentage of students scoring Advanced High, and the percentage of students making gains in proficiency.


## Highlights

- During the 2013-2014 school year, there were 159 ELLs enrolled in the CHBP program, from kindergarten through grade 5 (all at park Place ES).
- For students currently classified as ELLs, those in CHBP performed better on the 2014 STAAR reading (advantage of 10 to 30 percentage points) and mathematics tests (advantage of 11 to 32 percentage points) than did those from any of four comparison groups: Vietnamese students in an ESL program, all bilingual students overall, waived ELLs, and the district overall.
- Among students who had exited ELL status, exited CHBP students performed slightly less well on the STAAR as exited ESL students whose home language was Vietnamese (difference of -6 and -2 percentage points on reading and mathematics, respectively).
- Both groups performed better than exited bilingual students or the district overall.
- On the Stanford 10, current CHBP students performed better in 2014 than all groups in all subjects (advantage of 1 to 26 NCE points).
- Exited CHBP students performed better than exited bilingual students or the district overall, on all Stanford 10 tests (advantage of 14 to 30 NCE points).
- Exited CHBP students performed better than exited Vietnamese ESL students on the mathematics test of the Stanford 10 ( 3 NCE points), but were slightly lower on reading, language, and social science (differences of $-4,-1$, an-1 NCE points, respectively).
- On the TELPAS, CHBP students showed performance advantages over all comparison groups in the percentage of students scoring Advanced High, and showed more gains in performance between 2013 and 2014 than all groups except Vietnamese ESL students.
- The small or absent differences in performance between CHBP students and Vietnamese ESL students may be related to the fact that the latter group had relatively fewer students who qualified for free or reduced lunch, indicating differences due to SES.


## Recommendations

1. Certain language groups besides Vietnamese speakers have sufficient numbers of ELLs to qualify for participation in this program, yet only one campus currently offers CHBP. If this program is to truly become a bilingual program option for non-Spanish speaking ELLs, it should be expanded to include at least some of these other student populations. The district and Multilingual Programs Department should take affirmative efforts to recruit a campus or campuses (and necessary staff) to allow this to occur.
2. The Mandarin Chinese Dual Language school offered by the district is not managed by the Multilingual Programs department, but by the Office of Special Projects. This raises questions concerning how aligned that program is with current knowledge in the field of educating ELLs, and how compliant program is with the large number of requirements for ELLs that come from federal and state mandates. To ensure the highest quality of education for ELLs in the Mandarin program, there should be a increased coordination between the Multilingual Programs department and the Office of Special Projects.

## Administrative Response

The district contnues to explore the expansion of the current CHBP into other schools with large numbers of Vietnamese ELLs. HISD has also expanded programming in other minority languages by opening a Mandarin Chinese Dual Language Program at Gordon Elementary during the 2012-2013 school year. In addition, the Multilingual Department is exploring the implementation of this programming service to Arabic speaking ELLs.

Starting with the 2014-2015 school year, bilingual teachers from the Mandarin Chinese program started to become involved in teacher training offered through the Spanish/English dual language initiative, under the direction of the Multilingual Programs department. This training covered the areas of dual language programming and implementation.

## Introduction

The Texas Education Code (§ 29.051) requires school districts to provide every language minority student with the opportunity to participate in a bilingual or other special language program. Texas Administrative Code (BB § 89.1205) further specifies that all elementary schools must offer a bilingual program to English Language Learners (ELLs) whose home language is spoken by 20 or more students in any single grade level across the district. Based on these requirements, ELLs speaking Spanish, Vietnamese, Mandarin, Arabic, Nepali, Urdu and Swahili as their home language were eligible for bilingual services in the district.

The Bilingual Cultural Heritage Program (CHBP) was developed for students in these language groups, and began in 2008-2009. Currently, CHBP is only implemented for native Vietnamese speakers at Park Place Elementary School. The Cultural Heritage Bilingual Program is an early-exit bilingual program serving students in grades prekindergarten through 5 . Students enrolled in the CHBP program receive 45 minutes of daily instruction in Vietnamese, during which Vietnamese literature, culture, and history are taught in the students' native language via listening, speaking, reading, and writing. Combined with this is an intensive program of English language development using ESL instructional techniques for language arts and all content subjects.

## Methods

## Participants

ELLs in the CHBP program were identified using 2013-2014 Chancery Student Management System (SMS) and Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) databases. A summary of enrollment figures for ELLs in the CHBP program is shown in Table 1. All current or exited CHBP students in kindergarten through 5th grade with valid STAAR, Stanford 10, or TELPAS test results from 20132014 were included in the analyses for this report. The report also includes data from the following comparison groups:

- exited CHBP students (students previously exited from the CHBP program);
- Vietnamese students in an ESL program;
- ELLs in a bilingual program ${ }^{1}$;
- ELLs not served in a bilingual or ESL program due to parental waiver; and
- HISD districtwide data ${ }^{2}$.


## Data Collection \& Analysis

CHBP student performance on three assessments is included in this report: the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR), the Stanford Achievement Test Series, Tenth Edition (Stanford

Table 1. CHBP Enrollment by Grade Level, 2008-2009 to 2013-2014

| Year | Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-K | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | Total |
| $2008-2009$ | 33 | 36 | 27 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathbf{9 6}$ |
| $2009-2010$ | 29 | 34 | 33 | 25 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ |
| $2010-2011$ | 42 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 25 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ |
| $2011-2012$ | 32 | 37 | 30 | 20 | 33 | 15 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 7}$ |
| $2012-2013$ | 33 | 29 | 32 | 31 | 18 | 27 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ |
| $2013-2014$ | 20 | 37 | 30 | 26 | 28 | 14 | 4 | $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ |

10), and the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) (see Appendix A, p. 9). All ELLs in HISD are assessed in their primary language of instruction; therefore, CHBP students are assessed in English. All assessments were analyzed only at the district level, since only one campus currently offers the CHBP program, and all data are from 2014.

STAAR results are reported and analyzed for the reading and mathematics tests. For each test, the percentage of students who met standard (Satisfactory - Level II) is shown. Stanford 10 results (Normal Curve Equivalents, or NCEs) are reported and analyzed for reading, mathematics, language, science, and social science.

TELPAS results are reported and analyzed for two indicators. One of these reflects attainment, i.e., the overall level of English language proficiency demonstrated by ELLs. For this indicator, the number and percent of students at each proficiency level are presented. The second indicator reflects progress, i.e., whether students gained one or more levels of English language proficiency between testing in 2013 and 2014. For this second TELPAS indicator, the number and percent gaining one or more proficiency levels in the previous year are reported.

## Results

## STAAR

Figure 1 presents the percent of students meeting standard for the reading and mathematics sections of the STAAR in 2014. Data are shown for CHBP students, other Vietnamese students in an ESL program, students in other bilingual programs, waived ELLs ${ }^{3}$, and all students districtwide. Also included for reference purposes are the number of students tested.

- Students in the CHBP program showed better performance than did those in any of the comparison groups (see Appendix B for further details, including grade-level results and data for 2013, p. 10). This was true for both reading and mathematics.
- CHBP students outperformed other Vietnamese ELLs who were enrolled in ESL programs in the district (by 10 percentage points in reading and by 11 percentage points in mathematics).

Figure 1. English STAAR Percent Met Standard for Current CHBP Students and Comparison Groups, 2014 (Combined Results for Grades 3 through 5).


Figure 2. English STAAR Percent Met Standard for Exited CHBP Students and Comparison Groups, 2014 (Combined Results for Grades 3 through 6).


- Results for students who have exited ELL status (see Figure 2 above) show that exited CHBP students continued to perform better than most other comparison groups. Compared to Vietnamese students who had exited from an ESL program, they were lower in reading (by 6 percentage points) and also in mathematics (by 2 percentage points). Details including data for 2013 are shown in Appendix C (see p. 11).


## Stanford

- Figure 3 (see below) shows 2014 Stanford 10 data for the same student groups reported previously. Results are included for the reading, mathematics, language, science, and social science tests.
- Further details, including grade-level results and data for 2013, can be found in Appendix D (p. 12).
- CHBP students had higher average NCEs than did students from any of the comparison groups. This was true for all subjects.

Figure 3. Mean Stanford Scores for Current CHBP Students and Comparison Groups, 2014 (Combined results for grades 1 through 5).


Figure 4. Mean Stanford Scores for Exited CHBP Students and Comparison Groups, 2014 (Combined Results for Grades 2 Through 6).


- CHBP students also were at or above average (NCE 50) in all subjects.
- The CHBP advantage over HISD overall performance ranged from 4 NCE points in social science to 21 NCE points in mathematics (see Figure 3).
- Data from exited CHBP students (see Figure 4 above) showed that they performed better than exited bilingual students and the district overall, in all subjects. However, there was little overall difference between their performance and that of exited ESL students who were Vietnamese.
- Exited CHBP students performed well above average in all five subject areas with the lowest performance consisting of an NCE of 65 in reading (see Appendix E, p. 13).


## TELPAS

## Attainment:

Data concerning the overall levels of English language proficiency of ESL students are presented in Figure 5 (see p.7). Shown are the percentage of students at each of the four levels of English language proficiency from the TELPAS in 2013-2014. Results are shown for the following groups: current CHBP students, Vietnamese students in an ESL program, other bilingual students, waived ELLs, and all ELLs in the district.

- CHBP students had a higher percentage of students scoring Advanced High than did any of the comparison groups.
- $67 \%$ of CHBP students had ratings of Advanced or Advanced High, compared to $71 \%$ for Vietnamese ESL students, $41 \%$ for all bilingual students as a group, $64 \%$ for waived ELLs, and $46 \%$ for all ELLs.
- Further details, including the number of students at each proficiency level, can be found in Appendix F (see p. 14).

Figure 5. Distribution of TELPAS Proficiency Ratings for CHBP Students and Comparison Groups in 2014, (Combined Results for Grades K Through 5).


## Yearly Progress:

Yearly progress data for the TELPAS are shown in Figure 6. Shown are the percentage of students gaining at least one level of English language proficiency between 2012-2013 and 2013-2014. Results are shown for the same comparison groups as discussed previously.

- $74 \%$ of CHBP students gained at least one level of English language proficiency in 2013-2014, which was lower than the corresponding figure for Vietnamese students in an ESL program (77\%).
- The percentage of CHBP students showing gains was greater than that for the bilingual ( $55 \%$ ), waived ELL (58\%), or all ELL (56\%) comparison groups.
- Further details, including the number of students making gains by grade level, can be found in Appendix G (see p. 15).

Figure 6. Percentage of CHBP Students and Comparison Groups Showing Proficiency Gains on the TELPAS in 2014, (Combined Results for Grades 1 Through 5).


Table 2. Percentage of CHBP and Vietnamese ESL Students Eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch

| ELL Status | Group | \# Students | \% Eligible | significance |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current ELLs | CHBP | 159 | $83 \%$ | $\ll .001$ |
|  | Vietnamese ESL | 182 | $68 \%$ |  |
| Exited ELLs | CHBP | 60 | $92 \%$ | $<.002$ |
|  | Vietnamese ESL | 127 | $71 \%$ |  |

## Differences between CHBP and Vietnamese ESL Students

While CHBP students usually show performance advantages in comparison with other groups, ESL students who are Vietnamese show the smallest performance gaps, and in fact exceed the performance of CHBP students when only exited ELLs are considered. To put this pattern of results into context, the final set of analyses compares the percentage of students in both groups who are eligible for free or reduced lunch. These data are shown in Table 2. Note that for both current and exited ELLs, CHBP students have a higher percentage who could be considered economically disadvantaged. Furthermore, both of these differences are statistically significant. Thus, the absence of large performance advantages for CHBP students in comparison with Vietnamese ESL students may be at least partly due to SES factors that mitigate any effect of the CHBP program.

## Discussion

This report summarizes the most recent performance data available for ELLs enrolled in the CHBP program. Results show that current CHBP students outperformed all other comparison groups on both the 2014 Stanford 10 and the 2014 English language STAAR. On both assessments, CHBP students outperformed all students districtwide. CHBP students showed a higher level of English language proficiency than did other comparison groups of ELLs, as measured by the percentage who scored at the highest proficiency level on the TELPAS. The performance advantage for students in the CHBP program over other Vietnamese ELLs who were in ESL (see Figures 1, 3, and 5) shows that the advantage for CHBP cannot solely be accounted for by ethnicity or culture.

While current CHBP students had a performance advantage over Vietnamese students who were in an ESL program, this advantage did not exist when data for exited ELLs was considered. Exited CHBP students did just about as well as monitored Vietnamese ESL students, and often did less well. However, this latter trend may be related to the fact that Vietnamese ESL students appear to have fewer students who would be considered economically disadvantaged

In summary, students in the CHBP program, as well as those no longer considered ELL, performed at a high level. These results should encourage the district to consider expanding the program to other eligible language groups.

## Endnotes

[^0]
## Appendix A

## Explanation of Assessments Included in Report

The STAAR is a state-mandated, criterion-referenced assessment used to measure student achievement. All students in the Houston Independent School District (HISD) are assessed in their primary language of instruction; therefore, CHBP students are assessed in English. The STAAR measures academic achievement in reading and mathematics in grades 3-8; writing at grades 4 and 7 ; social studies in grades 8 ; and science at grades 5 and 8 .

The Stanford 10 is a norm-referenced, standardized achievement test in English used to assess students' level of content mastery. Stanford 10 tests exist for reading, mathematics, and language (grades 1-11), science (3-11), and social science (grades 3-11). This test provides a means of determining the relative standing of students' academic performance when compared to the performance of students from a nationally-representative sample.

The TELPAS is an English language proficiency assessment which is administered to all ELL students in kindergarten through twelfth grade, and which was developed by the Texas Education Agency (TEA) in response to federal testing requirements. Proficiency scores in the domains of listening, speaking, reading, and writing are used to calculate a composite score. Composite scores are in turn used to indicate where ELL students are on a continuum of English language development. This continuum, based on the stages of language development for second language learners, is divided into four proficiency levels: Beginning, Intermediate, Advanced, and Advanced High.

## Appendix B

English STAAR Performance of Current CHBP Students, and Comparison Groups: Number Tested, and Percent Met Standard, by Grade Level and Year of Testing

| Program | Grade | Enrollment |  | Reading |  |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ \mathrm{~N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ \mathrm{~N} \end{gathered}$ | tested | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { passed } \end{gathered}$ | tested | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { passed } \end{gathered}$ | tested | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { passed } \end{gathered}$ | tested | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { passed } \end{gathered}$ |
| CHBP | 3 | 18 | 28 | 18 | 83 | 26 | 96 | 16 | 94 | 25 | 88 |
|  | 4 | 27 | 14 | 25 | 92 | 14 | 79 | 24 | 96 | 12 | 100 |
|  | 5 | 0 | 4 | -- | -- | 4 | * | -- | -- | 2 | * |
|  | Total | 45 | 46 | 43 | 88 | 44 | 84 | 40 | 95 | 39 | 92 |
| Vietnamese | 3 | 27 | 20 | 26 | 81 | 20 | 80 | 24 | 92 | 18 | 89 |
| ESL | 4 | 21 | 17 | 20 | 60 | 16 | 88 | 16 | 81 | 15 | 87 |
|  | 5 | n/a | 10 | -- | -- | 10 | 40 |  |  | 9 | 56 |
|  | Total | 48 | 47 | 46 | 72 | 46 | 74 | 40 | 88 | 42 | 81 |
| All | 3 | 5,428 | 5,837 | 1,138 | 70 | 1,374 | 70 | 1,100 | 73 | 1,419 | 78 |
| Bilingual | 4 | 4,721 | 4,863 | 2,781 | 51 | 3,064 | 57 | 2,788 | 65 | 3,060 | 67 |
|  | 5 | n/a | 3,327 | -- | -- | 3,109 | 48 | -- | -- | 3,063 | 71 |
|  | Total | 10,149 | 14,027 | 3,919 | 56 | 7,547 | 56 | 3,888 | 68 | 7,542 | 71 |
| Waived | 3 | 1,091 | 1,092 | 976 | 66 | 976 | 59 | 985 | 60 | 989 | 61 |
| ELL | 4 | 991 | 904 | 866 | 56 | 784 | 52 | 877 | 57 | 799 | 57 |
|  | 5 | n/a | 670 | -- | -- | 568 | 47 | -- | -- | 578 | 62 |
|  | Total | 2,082 | 2,666 | 1,842 | 61 | 2,328 | 54 | 1,862 | 59 | 2,366 | 60 |
| HISD | 3 | 16,279 | 17,592 | 11,183 | 74 | 12,195 | 67 | 11,094 | 64 | 12,136 | 65 |
|  | 4 | 16,050 | 16,638 | 13,179 | 64 | 13,871 | 66 | 13,104 | 64 | 13,787 | 65 |
|  | 5 | n/a | 15,858 | -- | -- | 14,675 | 68 | -- | -- | 14,572 | 75 |
|  | Total | 32,329 | 50,088 | 24,362 | 69 | 40,741 | 67 | 24,198 | 64 | 40,495 | 69 |

Source: STAAR, Chancery

## Appendix C

English STAAR Performance of Exited CHBP Students, and Comparison Groups: Number Tested, and Percent Met Standard, by Grade Level and Year of Testing

| Program | Grade | Enrollment |  | Reading |  |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ \mathrm{~N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ \mathrm{~N} \end{gathered}$ | tested | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { passed } \end{gathered}$ | tested | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { passed } \end{gathered}$ | tested | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { passed } \end{gathered}$ | tested | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { passed } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exited } \\ & \text { CHBP } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 11 | 3 | 10 | 91 | 3 | * | 11 | 100 | 3 | * |
|  | 4 | 10 | 14 | 10 | 100 | 14 | 93 | 10 | 100 | 14 | 93 |
|  | 5 | 12 | 28 | 11 | 92 | 28 | 96 | 12 | 100 | 28 | 100 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 9 | -- | -- | 9 | 89 | -- | -- | 9 | 100 |
|  | Total | 33 | 54 | 31 | 94 | 54 | 94 | 33 | 100 | 54 | 98 |
| Exited | 3 | 13 | 17 | 13 | 100 | 16 | 100 | 13 | 100 | 16 | 100 |
| Vietnamese ESL | 4 | 27 | 22 | 26 | 100 | 21 | 100 | 26 | 100 | 21 | 100 |
|  | 5 | 41 | 34 | 41 | 100 | 32 | 100 | 41 | 98 | 32 | 100 |
|  | 6 | n/a | 42 | -- | -- | 41 | 100 |  |  | 41 | 100 |
|  | Total | 81 | 115 | 80 | 100 | 110 | 100 | 80 | 99 | 110 | 100 |
| Exited <br> Bilingual | 3 | 87 | 70 | 80 | 96 | 63 | 95 | 80 | 96 | 63 | 97 |
|  | 4 | 553 | 422 | 521 | 93 | 414 | 94 | 520 | 92 | 414 | 90 |
|  | 5 | 1,248 | 1,473 | 1,240 | 91 | 1,459 | 92 | 1,242 | 91 | 1,456 | 95 |
|  | 6 | n/a | 1,994 | -- | -- | 1,962 | 86 |  |  | 1,970 | 86 |
|  | Total | 1,888 | 3,959 | 1,841 | 92 | 3,898 | 89 | 1,842 | 92 | 3,903 | 90 |
| HISD | 3 | 16,279 | 17,592 | 11,183 | 74 | 12,195 | 67 | 11,094 | 64 | 12,136 | 65 |
|  | 4 | 16,050 | 16,638 | 13,179 | 64 | 13,871 | 66 | 13,104 | 64 | 13,787 | 65 |
|  | 5 | 15,156 | 15,858 | 14,027 | 70 | 14,675 | 68 | 13,941 | 69 | 14,572 | 75 |
|  | 6 | n/a | 13,478 | -- | -- | 12,453 | 68 |  |  | 12,091 | 73 |
|  | Total | 47,485 | 63,566 | 38,389 | 69 | 53,194 | 67 | 38,139 | 66 | 52,586 | 70 |

Source: STAAR, Chancery

## Appendix D

Mean Stanford 10 Normal Curve Equivalents (NCE) for Current CHBP Students and Comparison Groups, by Grade Level and Year of Testing


Source: Stanford, Chancery
*The science and social science subtests of the Stanford 10 are not administered in grades 1 and 2.

## Appendix E

Mean Stanford 10 Normal Curve Equivalents (NCE) for Exited CHBP Students and Comparison Groups, by Grade Level and Year of Testing

| Program | Grade | Tested |  | Reading |  | Math |  | Language |  | Science |  | Soc Sci |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exited } \\ & \text { CHBP } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 0 | 6 | -- | 69 | -- | 75 | -- | 70 | -- | -- | -- | --* |
|  | 3 | 11 | 3 | 58 | * | 81 | * | 60 | * | 69 | * | 54 | * |
|  | 4 | 10 | 14 | 77 | 59 | 85 | 73 | 84 | 75 | 77 | 70 | 76 | 60 |
|  | 5 | 12 | 28 | 61 | 64 | 81 | 86 | 68 | 69 | 77 | 76 | 65 | 74 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 9 | -- | 67 | -- | 87 | -- | 69 | -- | 85 | -- | 73 |
|  | Total | 33 | 60 | 65 | 65 | 82 | 82 | 70 | 70 | 74 | 76 | 65 | 70 |
| Exited | 2 | 10 | 13 | 71 | 68 | 73 | 72 | 68 | 64 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Vietnamese ESL | 3 | 13 | 16 | 68 | 75 | 83 | 85 | 69 | 77 | 74 | 70 | 72 | 78 |
|  | 4 | 26 | 21 | 70 | 72 | 78 | 81 | 76 | 77 | 73 | 72 | 65 | 68 |
|  | 5 | 41 | 32 | 64 | 68 | 79 | 77 | 65 | 71 | 75 | 76 | 67 | 69 |
|  | 6 | 65 | 41 | 66 | 68 | 78 | 70 | 65 | 68 | 77 | 78 | 66 | 69 |
|  | Total | 155 | 123 | 67 | 69 | 78 | 79 | 68 | 71 | 75 | 77 | 67 | 70 |
| Exited | 2 | 8 | 40 | 69 | 55 | 70 | 61 | 64 | 59 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Bilingual | 3 | 80 | 65 | 60 | 59 | 75 | 73 | 63 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 59 | 57 |
|  | 4 | 521 | 414 | 56 | 55 | 68 | 67 | 67 | 68 | 65 | 64 | 59 | 58 |
|  | 5 | 1,241 | 1,469 | 53 | 53 | 66 | 65 | 58 | 57 | 66 | 65 | 58 | 59 |
|  | 6 | 2,048 | 1,975 | 47 | 49 | 58 | 59 | 50 | 51 | 58 | 60 | 48 | 50 |
|  | Total | 3,898 | 3,963 | 51 | 51 | 62 | 63 | 55 | 55 | 62 | 62 | 53 | 54 |
| HISD | 2 | 10,739 | 11,371 | 45 | 42 | 48 | 47 | 47 | 45 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 3 | 11,423 | 12,542 | 48 | 45 | 56 | 54 | 49 | 47 | 51 | 49 | 47 | 45 |
|  | 4 | 13,648 | 14,325 | 45 | 44 | 54 | 54 | 52 | 51 | 52 | 50 | 46 | 45 |
|  | 5 | 14,626 | 15,223 | 44 | 43 | 52 | 52 | 47 | 46 | 55 | 54 | 48 | 47 |
|  | 6 | 12,784 | 12,837 | 43 | 42 | 51 | 50 | 44 | 43 | 52 | 51 | 44 | 44 |
|  | Total | 63,220 | 66,298 | 45 | 43 | 53 | 52 | 48 | 46 | 53 | 51 | 46 | 45 |

[^1]*The science and social science subtests of the Stanford 10 are not administered in grades 1 and 2.

## Appendix F

Number and Percentage of Students from CHBP and Comparison Groups at Each TELPAS Proficiency Level, by Grade

| Program | Grade Level | \# Tested | Beginning |  | Intermediate |  | Advanced |  | Advanced High |  | Composite Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| CHBP | K | 36 | 19 | 53 | 16 | 44 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 |
|  | 1 | 29 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 22 | 76 | 3 | 10 | 2.7 |
|  | 2 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 23 | 20 | 77 | 3.5 |
|  | 3 | 28 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 21 | 18 | 64 | 3.4 |
|  | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 36 | 8 | 57 | 3.2 |
|  | 5 | 5 | 2 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 |
|  | Total | 138 | 24 | 17 | 22 | 16 | 43 | 31 | 49 | 36 | 2.7 |
| Vietnamese | K | 39 | 11 | 28 | 12 | 31 | 12 | 31 | 4 | 10 | 2.1 |
| ESL | 1 | 38 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 13 | 34 | 17 | 45 | 2.5 |
|  | 2 | 35 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 17 | 13 | 37 | 14 | 40 | 2.7 |
|  | 3 | 20 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 50 | 8 | 40 | 2.9 |
|  | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 50 | 8 | 50 | 2.9 |
|  | 5 | 11 | 2 | 18 | 4 | 36 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 36 | 3.1 |
|  | Total | 159 | 19 | 12 | 28 | 18 | 57 | 36 | 55 | 35 | 2.9 |
| All | K | 6,299 | 5,423 | 86 | 699 | 11 | 146 | 2 | 31 | 0 | 1.2 |
| Bilingual | 1 | 6,462 | 3,226 | 50 | 2,320 | 36 | 711 | 11 | 205 | 3 | 1.7 |
|  | 2 | 5,761 | 868 | 15 | 2,332 | 40 | 1,830 | 32 | 731 | 13 | 2.3 |
|  | 3 | 5,792 | 489 | 8 | 1,768 | 31 | 2,003 | 35 | 1,532 | 26 | 2.7 |
|  | 4 | 4,827 | 224 | 5 | 954 | 20 | 2,028 | 42 | 1,621 | 34 | 2.9 |
|  | 5 | 3,294 | 128 | 4 | 422 | 13 | 1,189 | 36 | 1,555 | 47 | 3.2 |
|  | Total | 32,435 | 10,358 | 32 | 8,495 | 26 | 7,907 | 24 | 5,675 | 17 | 2.2 |
| Waived | K | 635 | 221 | 35 | 191 | 30 | 144 | 23 | 79 | 12 | 2.1 |
|  | 1 | 968 | 169 | 17 | 327 | 34 | 274 | 28 | 198 | 20 | 2.5 |
|  | 2 | 1035 | 70 | 7 | 302 | 29 | 378 | 37 | 285 | 28 | 2.7 |
|  | 3 | 1070 | 58 | 5 | 240 | 22 | 407 | 38 | 365 | 34 | 2.9 |
|  | 4 | 870 | 21 | 2 | 191 | 22 | 352 | 40 | 306 | 35 | 2.9 |
|  | 5 | 648 | 23 | 4 | 79 | 12 | 253 | 39 | 293 | 45 | 3.1 |
|  | Total | 5,226 | 562 | 11 | 1,330 | 25 | 1,808 | 35 | 1,526 | 29 | 2.7 |
| All ELLs | K | 7,952 | 6,078 | 76 | 1,167 | 15 | 481 | 6 | 226 | 6 | 1.4 |
|  | 1 | 8,402 | 3,584 | 43 | 2,907 | 35 | 1,259 | 15 | 652 | 8 | 1.9 |
|  | 2 | 7,563 | 1,073 | 14 | 2,850 | 38 | 2,461 | 33 | 1,179 | 16 | 2.4 |
|  | 3 | 7,484 | 645 | 9 | 2,155 | 29 | 2,599 | 35 | 2,085 | 28 | 2.7 |
|  | 4 | 6,395 | 348 | 5 | 1,314 | 21 | 2,621 | 41 | 2,112 | 33 | 2.9 |
|  | 5 | 4,745 | 233 | 5 | 668 | 14 | 1,732 | 37 | 2,112 | 45 | 3.1 |
|  | Total | 42,541 | 11,961 | 28 | 11,061 | 26 | 11,153 | 26 | 8,366 | 20 | 2.3 |

## Appendix G

Number and Percentage of Students from CHBP and Comparison Groups Showing Gains in TELPAS Proficiency, by Grade

| Program | Grade <br> Level | Cohort Size N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gained 1 } \\ \text { Proficiency } \\ \text { Level } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gained 2 } \\ \text { Proficiency } \\ \text { Levels } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gained 3 } \\ \text { Proficiency } \\ \text { Levels } \end{gathered}$ |  | Gained at Least 1 Proficiency Level |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| CHBP | 1 | 26 | 12 | 46 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 62 |
|  | 2 | 25 | 20 | 80 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 88 |
|  | 3 | 26 | 18 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 69 |
|  | 4 | 12 | 9 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 75 |
|  | 5 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 100 |
|  | Total | 92 | 62 | 67 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 74 |
| Vietnamese ESL | 1 | 34 | 18 | 53 | 10 | 29 | 2 | 6 | 30 | 88 |
|  | 2 | 33 | 21 | 64 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 79 |
|  | 3 | 19 | 11 | 58 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 63 |
|  | 4 | 15 | 9 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 60 |
|  | 5 | 8 | 7 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 88 |
|  | Total | 109 | 66 | 61 | 16 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 84 | 77 |
| All <br> Bilingual | 1 | 6,136 | 2,231 | 36 | 515 | 8 | 91 | 1 | 2,837 | 46 |
|  | 2 | 5,521 | 2,551 | 46 | 888 | 16 | 119 | 2 | 3,558 | 64 |
|  | 3 | 5,500 | 2,469 | 45 | 99 | 2 | 1 | <1 | 2,569 | 47 |
|  | 4 | 4,599 | 2,454 | 53 | 156 | 3 | 1 | <1 | 2,611 | 57 |
|  | 5 | 3,114 | 1,983 | 64 | 170 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2,153 | 69 |
|  | Total | 24,870 | 11,688 | 47 | 1,828 | 7 | 212 | 1 | 13,728 | 55 |
| Waived | 1 | 847 | 362 | 43 | 129 | 15 | 36 | 4 | 527 | 62 |
|  | 2 | 944 | 439 | 47 | 125 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 571 | 60 |
|  | 3 | 983 | 504 | 51 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 525 | 53 |
|  | 4 | 818 | 398 | 49 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 412 | 50 |
|  | 5 | 594 | 351 | 59 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 379 | 64 |
|  | Total | 4,186 | 2,054 | 49 | 317 | 8 | 43 | 1 | 2,414 | 58 |
| All ELLs | 1 | 7,583 | 2,905 | 38 | 750 | 10 | 152 | 2 | 3,807 | 50 |
|  | 2 | 7,056 | 3,246 | 46 | 1,067 | 15 | 133 | 2 | 4,446 | 63 |
|  | 3 | 6,965 | 3,198 | 46 | 142 | 2 | 1 | <1 | 3,341 | 48 |
|  | 4 | 5,973 | 3,104 | 52 | 186 | 3 | 2 | <1 | 3,292 | 55 |
|  | 5 | 4,355 | 2,677 | 61 | 229 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2,906 | 67 |
|  | Total | 31,932 | 15,130 | 47 | 2,374 | 7 | 288 | 1 | 17,792 | 56 |

[^2]
[^0]:    1 The bilingual student group includes all ELLs participating in a bilingual program in the district, including those in CHBP.

    2 Note that districtwide performance data includes results from the students in all other comparison groups.

    3 Waived ELLs are those whose parents have signed a waiver indicating that they are to receive no special language program (i.e., they are in neither a bilingual nor an ESL program).

[^1]:    Source: Stanford, Chancery

[^2]:    Source: TELPAS, Chancery

