## MEMORANDUM

TO: Board Members
FROM: Terry B. Grier, Ed.D.
Superintendent of Schools

## SUBJECT: 2013 ESL STUDENT PERFORMANCE REPORT

CONTACT: Carla Stevens, 713-556-6700
The Houston Independent School District offers two different English as a Second Language (ESL) programs for language minority students. One of these is a ContentBased ESL program where ESL methodology is used to deliver English instruction across a variety of subject areas. The second is a Pullout ESL program where students attend special intensive language classes for part of the day, separate from their regular allEnglish classes. Attached is a report summarizing the performance of students who were in these two ESL programs during the 2012-2013 school year.

Included in the report are findings from assessments of academic achievement and English language proficiency, including results from the English STAAR, STAAR EOC, TAKS, Stanford 10, and the TELPAS.

A total of 5,310 students were in the Content-Based ESL program in 2012-2013, with 8,539 students in the Pullout ESL program. Results from a variety of assessments showed that performance of students in the Content-Based ESL program was slightly superior to that of students in Pullout ESL, but that this advantage was small in comparison with the performance gap both groups showed compared to the district. Students who had exited from an ESL program (ie., monitored ELLs) seemed to have largely eliminated the performance gap relative to the district, with exited CB-ESL students performing better than the district average on all measures. On the TELPAS, students in Pullout ESL showed higher overall English proficiency in 2013 than those in Content-Based ESL, but a higher percentage of Content-Based ESL students showed gains in proficiency compared to 2012.
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# English as a Second Language Student Performance Report: English STAAR, TAKS, Stanford, and TELPAS 2012-2013 

## Executive Summary

## Program Description

The Houston Independent School District offers two different ESL programs for students whose native language is not English and who need to develop and enhance their English language skills (English Language Learners, or ELLs). The Content-Based ESL model (CB-ESL) consists of an intensive program of English instruction in all subject areas with instruction delivered through the use of ESL methodology, commensurate with the student's level of English proficiency. The district also offers a Pullout ESL model (PO-ESL), where students are served with an ESL language program for part of each day. This report contains summaries of ESL student enrollment and academic performance.

## Highlights

- During the 2012-2013 school year, there were 5,310 students receiving ESL instruction using the CB-ESL model, and 8,539 receiving instruction using the PO-ESL model.
- Students in both ESL programs did not perform as well as those in the district overall, across a variety of different assessments (STAAR, STAAR-L, STAAR EOC, TAKS, and Stanford10).
- On the majority of assessments and subtests, students in CB-ESL performed slightly better than those in PO-ESL.
- The performance gaps for ESL students relative to the district were largely eliminated for those ESL students who had exited ELL status.
- Exited CB-ESL students performed better than the district average across all measures.
- Results for exited PO-ESL students were mixed, with performance often being slightly lower than that of the district but being higher on some measures.
- On the TELPAS, PO-ESL students showed more proficiency overall than did CB-ESL students, but showed slightly lower proficiency gains over the previous year.


## Recommendations

1. Overall, the performance gaps for ESL students relative to the district were largely eliminated for those ESL students who had exited ELL status. Thus, efforts should be focused on putting systems in place to closely monitor the English proficiency progress of ESL students to give them an opportunity to meet exit criteria.
2. The Everyday ExcELLence Institute is a professional development opportunity that the district now offers for teachers of secondary ELLs. Staff development efforts should be a result of collaboration between the Professional Support and Development and Multilingual Programs departments so that all educators who teach identified ELLs at the secondary level participate in the Everyday ExcELLence Institute.
3. Collaboration between the Curriculum and Instruction and the Multilingual Programs departments should result in the development of curricula that can be differentiated for ELLs at various stages of English proficiency. Additionally, district assessments need to be equally aligned to the various English proficiency levels so that the academic progress of these students can be accurately measured and monitored.
4. The district and Multilingual Programs department should consult the findings of the previously solicited review of bilingual and ESL programs in the district, and implement that report's recommendations concerning ELL students served by ESL program.

## Administrative Response

Now that a Secondary division of Multilingual Programs is specifically dedicated to supporting secondary campuses, a strategic approach to meeting the needs of secondary ELLs will be implemented. Specific ELL needs were identified and campuses demonstrating the highest needs were personally visited for instructional consultations during the fall semester. Staff shared student history, assessment, and English proficiency data with school administrators and teachers. Additionally, student schedules were reviewed to verify that ELLs received appropriate services.

Comprehensive data reports have been compiled and provided to campuses both as a summary of overall performance, and at the level of individual students. Special "at-risk" reports have been generated to focus attention on students who are overage, failed any section on the state assessment, and failed one or more courses in a given semester.

Specialized training in TELPAS (Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System) and ELPS (English Language Proficiency Standards) will be conducted to further align the training received by teachers who will ultimately be responsible for rating students in the areas of Listening, Speaking, and Writing. This will ensure that teachers follow the designated rubric so that the holistic ratings are based on student linguistic abilities, giving more students more opportunities for program exit.

## Introduction

The Houston Independent School District (HISD) offers two English as a second language (ESL) programs for students whose native language is not English and who need to develop and enhance their English language skills (English Language Learners, or ELLs). The Content-Based ESL model (CBESL) consists of an intensive program of English instruction in all subject areas with instruction delivered through the use of ESL methodology, commensurate with the student's level of English proficiency. At the secondary level CB-ESL is available for Newcomers (students with three or fewer years in U.S. schools), and students receive ESL/ELA and content ESL courses (e.g., ESL History, ESL Biology). The district also offers a Pullout ESL model (PO-ESL), where students are served with an ESL language program for part of each day. In middle and high school, PO-ESL means that students are receiving the minimal support of one or more ESL/ELA courses. Appendix A (see p. 12) provides further details.

The purpose of this report is to provide program staff with a detailed examination of ELL students enrolled in the district's two ESL programs. The report includes data concerning the number of students enrolled in ESL, as well as information on their academic progress in English (STAAR, STAAR-EOC, TAKS, and Stanford performance), and level of English-language proficiency (TELPAS).

## Methods

## Participants

ELL students in either the Content-Based or Pullout ESL program were identified using 2012-2013 Chancery Student Management System (SMS) and Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) databases. A summary of enrollment figures for ELL students in the two programs is shown in Figure 1. Note that the majority of ESL students are served under the PO-ESL program $(8,539)$, with fewer students served under the CB-ESL program $(5,310)$.

Figure 1. ELL Enrollment by ESL Program Type, 2009-2010 to 2012-2013


Figure 2 (see p. 4) shows ESL enrollment by program and grade level. As can be seen, CB-ESL is more common in the elementary grades, whereas PO-ESL is dominant at the secondary level. All ESL students in grades K through 12 with valid STAAR, STAAR-EOC, TAKS, Stanford 10, or TELPAS test results from 2012-2013 were included in the analyses for this report.

## Data Collection \& Analysis

ELL student performance on seven assessments is included in this report; the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) for grade 3-8, the STAAR End-of-Course (EOC) for grades 9

Figure 2. ESL student enrollment by ESL program and grade level, 2013.

and 10, the STAAR-L and the STAAR EOC-L (linguistically accommodated versions of the regular STAAR and EOC tests), the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) for grade 11, the Stanford Achievement Test Series, Tenth Edition (Stanford 10) for grades 3-8, and the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) (see Appendix B, p. 13). All ELL students in HISD are assessed in their primary language of instruction; therefore, ESL students are assessed in English, and all data are from 2013.

STAAR results are reported and analyzed for the reading and mathematics tests. For each subtest, the percentage of students who met standard is reported. For STAAR-L, results are reported for students who took the STAAR-L version of the mathematics test. For STAAR EOC, results are reported for English I and II Reading and Writing, Algebra I, Biology, World Geography, World Histiory, Chemistry, and Geometry. Results are also included for students taking the linguistically-accommodated versions of EOC tests in algebra, biology, world geography, world history, chemistry, and geometry. For TAKS, the percent of students meeting standard are reported for the reading and mathematics tests. Stanford 10 results are reported and analyzed for reading, mathematics, language, science, and social science, in the form of Normal Curve Equivalents (NCEs).

TELPAS results are reported and analyzed for two indicators. One of these reflects attainment, i.e., the overall level of English language proficiency exhibited by ELL students. For this indicator, the percent of students at each proficiency level is presented. The second indicator reflects progress, i.e., whether students gained one or more levels of English language proficiency between testing in 2012 and 2013. For this second TELPAS indicator, the percent gaining one or more proficiency levels in the previous year is reported.

## Data Limitations

There are some limitations to this student performance report. Enrollment data came from the fall of 2012 PEIMS snapshot. Therefore, the counts of students in the CB-ESL and PO-ESL programs do not reflect students who enrolled after that date. In addition, results for the STAAR, STAAR-L, and the STAAR End-of-course assessments cannot be used to show any long-term trends, since these assessments were first introduced in 2012.

## Results

## STAAR

- Figure 3 shows the percent of students who met standard (Satisfactory Level II performance) for the reading and mathematics sections of the STAAR in 2012. Further details, including the number of students tested and performance by grade level, as well as results for 2012, can be seen in Appendix C (see p. 14).

Figure 3. ESL student STAAR and STAAR-L performance by ESL program and subject, 2013.


- CB-ESL performance was better than that of PO-ESL overall, in both reading (3 percentage points and mathematics ( 5 percentage points).
- Scores for both groups of ESL students were lower than the district, and this was true in both reading (gaps of 30 and 33 percentage points, respectively) and mathematics (gaps of 10 and 15 percentage points, respectively).
- Performance of both ESL groups on the STAAR mathematics exceeded the performance of ESL students who took the STAAR-L (note that there is no STAAR-L for reading).

Figure 4. Exited ESL student STAAR performance by ESL program and subject, 2013.


- Results for exited ESL students (Figure 4) show that students who had exited CB-ESL exceeded the district on reading and mathematics, as did those who had exited PO-ESL.

Figure 5. ESL student STAAR-EOC percent met standard by ESL program, and subject, 2013


Source: STAAR, Chancery

## STAAR EOC

Figure 5 shows results for current ESL students on the STAAR-EOC assessment (see also Appendix D., p. 15). Tests included English I and II Reading and Writing, Algebra I, Biology, World Geography, World History, Chemistry, and Geometry. For each test the figure shows the percentage of students who met the Satisfactory standard (green). Red indicates the percentage of students who scored Unsatisfactory or Met Minimum. Figures in parentheses show the number of students tested.

- Both CB-ESL and PO-ESL had fewer students rated Satisfactory or better, and more who were Unsatisfactory, than did the district overall. This was true for all subjects.
- Performance of ESL students was particularly low on the English I and II Writing assessments, where only $2 \%$ to $7 \%$ of ESL students passed.

Figure 6. ESL student STAAR-EOC percent met standard by ESL program, and subject, 2013: Results for Students Taking Linguistically Accommodated Version of the STAAR EOC


## Source: STAAR, Chancery

- Figure 6 (above) shows STAAR-EOC performance for students who took the linguisticallyaccommodated version of the STAAR EOC, in those subjects where it was offered.
- Neither CB-ESL nor PO-ESL performed as well as the district overall, and each performed less well than those taking the regular EOC tests (compare with Figure 5). This was true for all subjects.
- Students in PO-ESL performed better than did those in CB-ESL in all subjects.
- Figure 7 (see p. 8) shows STAAR-EOC performance for students who had previously exited ELL status. HISD overall results are included for comparison (see also Appendix E, p. 16).
- Among students who had previously been in CB-ESL, a higher percentage were rated Satisfactory or better, and a smaller percentage rated Unsatisfactory, than was the case for HISD overall. This was true for all subjects.

Figure 7. Exited ESL student STAAR-EOC percent met standard by ESL program, subject, and grade level, 2013.


- Exited PO-ESL students had lower passing rates than the district in all subjects except Geometry (where they were one percentage point higher), and Algebra I (passing rate of $75 \%$ for both groups).


## TAKS

- Figure 8 (see p. 9) summarizes performance on the TAKS test for ESL students in grade 11. Shown are the percentages of students who met standard on the reading and mathematics tests. Also included are results for ESL students who previously exited ELL status.
- Both CB-ESL and PO-ESL students had lower TAKS passing rates than the district overall, and this was true for both reading and mathematics.

Figure 8. ESL student TAKS percent met standard by ESL program and subject, 2013: Results are included for both current and exited ESL students, as well as HISD overall.


- In contrast, students who had formerly been in CB-ESL, but had exited ELL status, outperformed the district in both reading and mathematics ( 5 percentage points in reading, and 6 in mathematics).
- Exited ELLs who had been in PO-ESL performed slightly lower than the district in both subjects (gaps of 1 percentage point in reading and 2 points in mathematics).
- For further details, including grade level results and data for 2012, see Appendix $\mathbf{F}$ (p. 17)


## Stanford 10

- Figure 9 summarizes Stanford 10 data for the 2012-2013 school year. Shown are mean NCE scores for five subtests of the Stanford. The dashed red line indicates an average NCE of 50.
- Students in CB-ESL had higher scores than those in PO-ESL in reading (7 NCE points), mathematics (4 NCE points), language ( 7 NCE points), and social science ( 2 NCE points).

Figure 9. ESL student Stanford 10 performance (mean NCE) by ESL program and subject, 2013.


- The two groups were equivalent on the science subtest.
- Both groups of ESL students performed below the level of the district, with gaps ranging from 5 NCE points (mathematics for CB-ESL students) to 18 NCE points (reading for PO-ESL students).
- For further details, including grade level results and data for 2012, see Appendix G (p. 18).

Figure 10. Exited ESL student Stanford reading performance by ESL program and grade level, 2013.


- Data for exited ESL students (see Figure 10) show that students formerly in CB-ESL who had exited ELL status, outperformed the district in all subjects. Exited CB-ESL students also scored above the average NCE of 50 in every subject as well.
- Exited PO-ESL students did not perform as well as exited CB-ESL students, with performance gaps in each subject (gaps of 9 to 14 NCE points).
- Exited PO-ESL outperformed the district in mathematics and science, but were lower than the district in reading (-4 NCE points), language (-2 NCE points), and social science (-1 NCE points).


## TELPAS

- Figure 11 (see p. 11) summarizes TELPAS performance for students in the two ESL programs. Shown are the percentages of students scoring at each proficiency level on the TELPAS as well as the percentage of students who made gains in proficiency between 2012 and 2013.
- Overall, the PO-ESL program had more students at the Advanced High ( $49 \%$ vs. $35 \%$ ) and fewer at the Beginning level in 2013 ( $5 \%$ vs. 18\%) than did CB-ESL (see Figure 11a).
- The CB-ESL program had a higher percentage of students who made progress in 2013 than did POESL ( $64 \%$ vs. $62 \%$; see Figure 11b).

Figure 11. ESL student TELPAS performance 2013: A. Percent of students at each proficiency level by ESL program, B. Percent of students making gains in proficiency between 2012 and 2013.


- Further details including grade level data can be seen in Appendices H and I (pp. 19-20).


## Discussion

The district provides two different ESL programs for ELL students, Content-Based ESL and Pullout ESL. Direct comparison of the two programs is difficult, given that enrollment is largely a function of grade level (see Figure 2). However, performance data from 2012-2013 appeared to show that students in the CB-ESL program performed slightly better than those in the PO-ESL program across some assessments (STAAR, Stanford 10), while PO-ESL performed better than CB-ESL on other assessments (STAAR EOC, TAKS, TELPAS). Results for exited ESL students showed students from both programs did well relative to the district, indicating that ESL students were capable of closing the performance gap relative to the district, with former CB-ESL doing somewhat better than former PO-ESL students.

## Endnotes
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## Appendix A

## Some Background on District ESL Programs

The Texas Education Code (§ 29.051) requires school districts to provide every language minority student with the opportunity to participate in a bilingual or other special language program. Texas Administrative Code (BB § 89.1205) further specifies that all elementary schools must offer a bilingual program to English Language Learners (ELLs) whose home language is spoken by 20 or more students in any single grade level across the entire district. If an ELL student's home language is spoken by fewer than 20 students in any single grade level across the district, elementary schools must provide an English as a Second Language (ESL) program, regardless of the students' grade levels, home language, or the number of such students.

As a results of these two requirements, the district has offered two different types of ESL programs for its ELL students. Mainly at the elementary level, Content Based ESL (CB-ESL) offers English language support to ELL students who do not have access to a bilingual education program. In CB ESL, instruction within content areas is delivered using ESL methodologies. At the secondary level, CB-ESL is available for Newcomers (students with three or fewer years in U.S. schools), and these students receive ESL/ELA as well as content ESL courses (e.g., ESL History, ESL Biology).

The district also offers a Pullout ESL model (PO-ESL) where students are served with an ESL language program for part of each day. Since bilingual programs in the district are generally not offered at the secondary level, PO-ESL is the dominant ESL program in middle and high school. PO-ESL students receive the minimal support of one or more ESL/ELA courses. PO-ESL is also offered for some ELL students at the elementary level, (e.g., if a student's homeroom teacher is not ESL certified and the student needs to attend a separate class to get their required English language support).

## Appendix B

## Explanation of Assessments Included in Report

The STAAR is a state-mandated, criterion-referenced assessment used to measure student achievement. STAAR measures academic achievement in reading and mathematics in grades 3-8; writing at grades 4 and 7 ; social studies in grades 8 ; and science at grades 5 and 8 . The STAAR-L is a linguistically accommodated version of the STAAR given to ELLs who meet certain eligibility requirements.

For high school students in 2012-2013, STAAR includes end-of-course (EOC) exams in English language arts (English I, II, and III reading and writing), mathematics (Algebra I, Geometry, Algebra II), science (Biology, Chemistry, Physics), and social studies (World Geography, World History, U.S. History). In 2012-2013, students in grades 9 and 10 took the EOC exams, while those in grade 11 continued to take the TAKS. There is also a linguistically accommodated version of the STAAR-EOC for some subjects.

The TAKS is a state-mandated, criterion-referenced test first administered in the spring of 2003, and which started being phased out in 2012. It measures academic achievement in reading, mathematics, science, and social studies in grade 11 . Students currently in grade 11 as of 2012-2013 continue to take exit-level TAKS tests in order to graduate, while those in grades 9 and 10 instead take STAAR EOC exams (see above).

The Stanford 10 is a norm-referenced, standardized achievement test in English used to assess students' level of content mastery. Stanford 10 tests exist for reading, mathematics, and language (grades $1-8$ ), science (3-8), and social science (grades 3-8). This test provides a means of determining the relative standing of students' academic performance when compared to the performance of students from a nationally-representative sample.

The TELPAS is an English language proficiency assessment which is administered to all ELL students in kindergarten through twelfth grade, and which was developed by the Texas Education Agency (TEA) in response to federal testing requirements. Proficiency scores in the domains of listening, speaking, reading, and writing are used to calculate a composite score. Composite scores are in turn used to indicate where ELL students are on a continuum of English language development. This continuum, based on the stages of language development for second language learners, is divided into four proficiency levels: Beginning, Intermediate, Advanced, and Advanced High.

## Appendix C

English STAAR and STAAR-L Performance of CB-ESL and PO-ESL Students, with HISD for Comparison: Number Tested, and Percentage of Students Who Met Satisfactory Standard, by Grade Level and Subject

| Program | Grade | Enrollment |  | Reading |  |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ \mathrm{~N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ \mathrm{~N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | tested | Met Sat. | tested | Met Sat. | tested | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Met Sat } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { tested } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Met Sat. } \end{gathered}$ |
| ContentBased ESL | 3 | 286 | 443 | 245 | 54 | 409 | 53 | 151 | 60 | 305 | 61 |
|  | 4 | 266 | 519 | 233 | 46 | 454 | 46 | 147 | 62 | 341 | 60 |
|  | 5 | 331 | 502 | 290 | 47 | 439 | 46 | 215 | 66 | 323 | 62 |
|  | 6 | 769 | 531 | 707 | 31 | 484 | 29 | 605 | 55 | 404 | 57 |
|  | 7 | 408 | 344 | 366 | 31 | 303 | 25 | 240 | 45 | 207 | 33 |
|  | 8 | 309 | 259 | 268 | 27 | 237 | 35 | 180 | 45 | 146 | 66 |
|  | Total | 2,369 | 2,598 | 2,109 | 37 | 2,326 | 40 | 1,538 | 55 | 1,726 | 57 |
| Pullout ESL | 3 | 19 | 15 | 13 | 77 | 12 | 67 | 5 | 80 | 7 | 57 |
|  | 4 | 22 | 20 | 15 | 67 | 16 | 63 | 16 | 50 | 13 | 46 |
|  | 5 | 9 | 31 | 4 | * | 24 | 58 | 4 | * | 22 | 64 |
|  | 6 | 1,424 | 1,859 | 1,281 | 36 | 1,678 | 33 | 1,160 | 61 | 1,546 | 56 |
|  | 7 | 1,744 | 1,498 | 1,567 | 35 | 1,376 | 33 | 1,162 | 43 | 1,073 | 40 |
|  | 8 | 1,270 | 1,566 | 1,142 | 33 | 1,445 | 44 | 930 | 47 | 1,146 | 59 |
|  | Total | 4,488 | 4,989 | 4,021 | 35 | 4,551 | 37 | 3,277 | 51 | 3,807 | 52 |
| ContentBased ESL STAAR-L | 3 | 97 | 105 |  |  |  |  | 97 | 39 | 105 | 41 |
|  | 4 | 87 | 115 |  |  |  |  | 87 | 36 | 115 | 37 |
|  | 5 | 78 | 116 |  |  |  |  | 78 | 44 | 116 | 24 |
|  | 6 | 105 | 84 | No S | STAAR-L f | or Readi |  | 105 | 24 | 84 | 31 |
|  | 7 | 82 | 75 |  |  |  |  | 82 | 21 | 75 | 23 |
|  | 8 | 80 | 83 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 14 | 83 | 16 |
|  | Total | 529 | 578 |  |  |  |  | 529 | 29 | 578 | 29 |
| Pullout ESL STAAR-L | 3 | 7 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 100 | 5 | 100 |
|  | 4 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 | * | 3 | * |
|  | 5 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- | 3 | * |
|  | 6 | 116 | 160 | No | TAAR-L for | or Readi |  | 218 | 43 | 160 | 26 |
|  | 7 | 185 | 167 |  |  |  |  | 185 | 28 | 167 | 20 |
|  | 8 | 185 | 207 |  |  |  |  | 185 | 29 | 207 | 23 |
|  | Total | 494 | 545 |  |  |  |  | 494 | 33 | 545 | 24 |
| Exited ContentBased ESL | 3 | 139 | 105 | 137 | 93 | 100 | 98 | 137 | 93 | 100 | 99 |
|  | 4 | 192 | 156 | 183 | 97 | 148 | 94 | 183 | 95 | 148 | 94 |
|  | 5 | 318 | 220 | 302 | 92 | 205 | 96 | 303 | 94 | 205 | 93 |
|  | 6 | 463 | 324 | 437 | 84 | 300 | 89 | 437 | 89 | 300 | 91 |
|  | 7 | 764 | 586 | 727 | 84 | 548 | 81 | 378 | 72 | 303 | 69 |
|  | 8 | 920 | 788 | 887 | 84 | 764 | 90 | 623 | 75 | 501 | 81 |
|  | Total | 2,796 | 2,179 | 2,673 | 86 | 2,065 | 89 | 2,061 | 83 | 1,557 | 85 |
| Exited Pullout ESL | 3 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 86 | 10 | 100 | 7 | 100 | 10 | 100 |
|  | 4 | 18 | 9 | 18 | 83 | 9 | 89 | 18 | 78 | 9 | 89 |
|  | 5 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 82 | 18 | 94 | 19 | 74 | 18 | 100 |
|  | 6 | 25 | 22 | 24 | 88 | 19 | 79 | 24 | 83 | 21 | 62 |
|  | 7 | 425 | 286 | 385 | 78 | 251 | 73 | 227 | 60 | 174 | 61 |
|  | 8 | 951 | 783 | 866 | 76 | 719 | 80 | 733 | 72 | 581 | 77 |
|  | Total | 1,447 | 1,128 | 1,317 | 77 | 1,026 | 79 | 1,028 | 70 | 813 | 74 |
| HISD | 3 | 16,718 | 16,279 | 11,184 | 71 | 11,183 | 74 | 11,090 | 64 | 11,094 | 64 |
|  | 4 | 15,760 | 16,050 | 12,657 | 71 | 13,179 | 64 | 12,619 | 66 | 13,104 | 64 |
|  | 5 | 15,551 | 15,156 | 14,518 | 72 | 14,027 | 70 | 14,404 | 75 | 13,941 | 69 |
|  | 6 | 13,111 | 13,374 | 12,240 | 67 | 12,390 | 64 | 11,915 | 73 | 11,931 | 70 |
|  | 7 | 12,651 | 12,829 | 11,747 | 70 | 11,982 | 72 | 7,371 | 53 | 8,093 | 56 |
|  | 8 | 12,657 | 12,592 | 11,752 | 76 | 11,779 | 77 | 12,827 | 71 | 12,401 | 76 |
|  | Total | 86,448 | 86,280 | 74,098 | 71 | 74,540 | 70 | 70,226 | 68 | 70,564 | 67 |
| Source: STAAR, Chancery * indicates < 5 students tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix D

STAAR End-of-Course Performance of Current CB-ESL and PO-ESL Students:
Number Tested, And Number and Percentage at Unsatisfactory Below Minimum, Unsatisfactory Met Minimum, Satisfactory Not Advanced, and Advanced Standards (2013 Data Only, All Students Tested Including Retesters)


Source: STAAR, Chancery
Note: HISD percentages may differ from district EOC report due to rounding error

## Appendix E

STAAR End-of-Course Performance of Exited CB-ESL and PO-ESL Students:
Number Tested, And Number and Percentage at Unsatisfactory Below Minimum, Unsatisfactory Met Minimum, Satisfactory Not Advanced, and Advanced Standards (2013 Data Only, All Students Tested Including Retesters)

|  | Student Group | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Tested } \end{gathered}$ | Unsatisfactory < Minimum |  | Unsatisfactory Met Minimum |  | Satisfactory Not Advanced |  | Advanced |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| English I Reading | Exited CB-ESL <br> Exited PO-ESL <br> HISD | 963 | 251 | 26 | 56 | 6 | 572 | 59 | 84 | 9 |
|  |  | 1,243 | 504 | 41 | 103 | 8 | 612 | 49 | 24 | 2 |
|  |  | 12,983 | 4,561 | 35 | 714 | 5 | 6,599 | 51 | 1,109 | 9 |
| English I Writing | Exited CB-ESL | 1,023 | 461 | 45 | 91 | 9 | 456 | 45 | 15 | 1 |
|  | Exited PO-ESL | 1,283 | 787 | 61 | 117 | 9 | 378 | 29 | 1 | 0 |
|  | HISD | 13,389 | 6,692 | 50 | 1,011 | 8 | 5,453 | 41 | 233 | 2 |
| English II Reading | Exited CB-ESL | 1,021 | 179 | 18 | 86 | 8 | 581 | 57 | 175 | 17 |
|  | Exited PO-ESL | 1,007 | 249 | 25 | 118 | 12 | 572 | 57 | 68 | 7 |
|  | HISD | 10,452 | 2,202 | 21 | 802 | 8 | 5,653 | 54 | 1,795 | 17 |
| English II Writing | Exited CB-ESL | 1,025 | 461 | 45 | 95 | 9 | 453 | 44 | 16 | 2 |
|  | Exited PO-ESL | 1,011 | 590 | 58 | 117 | 12 | 301 | 30 | 3 | 0 |
|  | HISD | 10,486 | 4,777 | 46 | 999 | 10 | 4,488 | 43 | 222 | 2 |
| Algebra I | Exited CB-ESL | 886 | 84 | 9 | 64 | 7 | 586 | 66 | 152 | 17 |
|  | Exited PO-ESL | 1,148 | 178 | 16 | 112 | 10 | 758 | 66 | 100 | 9 |
|  | HISD | 11,845 | 1,802 | 15 | 1,115 | 9 | 7,168 | 61 | 1,760 | 15 |
| Biology | Exited CB-ESL | 988 | 75 | 8 | 73 | 7 | 726 | 73 | 114 | 12 |
|  | Exited PO-ESL | 1,227 | 137 | 11 | 116 | 9 | 926 | 75 | 48 | 4 |
|  | HISD | 12,511 | 1,206 | 10 | 998 | 8 | 8,887 | 71 | 1,420 | 11 |
| World Geography | Exited CB-ESL | 969 | 145 | 15 | 50 | 5 | 669 | 69 | 105 | 11 |
|  | Exited PO-ESL | 1,213 | 304 | 25 | 130 | 11 | 728 | 60 | 51 | 4 |
|  | HISD | 12,385 | 2,736 | 22 | 854 | 7 | 7,404 | 60 | 1,391 | 11 |
| World History | Exited CB-ESL | 992 | 201 | 20 | 151 | 15 | 577 | 58 | 63 | 6 |
|  | Exited PO-ESL | 1,003 | 322 | 32 | 158 | 16 | 502 | 50 | 21 | 2 |
|  | HISD | 9,964 | 2,447 | 25 | 1,302 | 13 | 5,480 | 55 | 735 | 7 |
| Chemistry | Exited CB-ESL | 926 | 95 | 10 | 91 | 10 | 644 | 70 | 96 | 10 |
|  | Exited PO-ESL | 894 | 156 | 17 | 96 | 11 | 612 | 68 | 30 | 3 |
|  | HISD | 9,222 | 1,335 | 14 | 865 | 9 | 6,133 | 67 | 889 | 10 |
| Geometry | Exited CB-ESL | 930 | 68 | 7 | 71 | 8 | 654 | 70 | 137 | 15 |
|  | Exited PO-ESL | 924 | 75 | 8 | 86 | 9 | 703 | 76 | 60 | 6 |
|  | HISD | 9,037 | 831 | 9 | 797 | 9 | 6,039 | 67 | 1,370 | 15 |

## Appendix F

English TAKS Performance of CB-ESL and PO-ESL Students, with Exited ESL and HISD for Comparison: Number Tested and percentage of Students who Met Standard, by Grade Level and Subject (2012 and 2013 Data)

| Program | Grade | Enrollment |  | English Reading |  |  |  | English Mathematics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ \mathrm{~N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ \mathrm{~N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { tested } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { tested } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { passed } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { tested } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { passed } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { tested } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { passed } \end{gathered}$ |
| Current | 11 | 37 | 37 | 24 | 21 | 24 | 38 | 25 | 48 | 29 | 48 |
| CB ESL | Total | 37 | 37 | 21 | 21 | 24 | 38 | 25 | 48 | 29 | 48 |
| Current | 11 | 697 | 700 | 463 | 36 | 496 | 45 | 502 | 63 | 498 | 56 |
| PO ESL | Total | 697 | 700 | 463 | 36 | 496 | 45 | 502 | 63 | 498 | 56 |
| Exited | 11 | 1,150 | 1,222 | 1,040 | 95 | 1,123 | 97 | 1,025 | 92 | 1,124 | 93 |
| CB ESL | Total | 1,150 | 1,222 | 1,040 | 95 | 1,123 | 97 | 1,025 | 92 | 1,124 | 93 |
| Exited | 11 | 494 | 859 | 419 | 84 | 751 | 91 | 417 | 88 | 744 | 85 |
| PO ESL | Total | 494 | 859 | 419 | 84 | 751 | 91 | 417 | 88 | 744 | 85 |
| HISD | 11 | 10,795 | 10,598 | 9,525 | 90 | 9,255 | 92 | 9,478 | 89 | 9,270 | 87 |
|  | Total | 10,795 | 10,597 | 9,525 | 90 | 9,255 | 92 | 9,478 | 89 | 9,270 | 87 |

Source: TAKS, Chancery

## Appendix G

## Stanford 10 Performance for CB-ESL and PO-ESL Students, With HISD for Comparison: Number Tested and Mean Normal Curve Equivalents (NCE) by Grade Level, Subject, and Year of Testing (2012 vs. 2013)

| Program | Grade | Tested |  | Reading |  | Math |  | Language |  | Science |  | Soc Sci |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 |
|  |  | N | N | NCE | NCE | NCE | NCE | NCE | NCE | NCE | NCE | NCE | NCE |
| Content Based ESL | 1 | 386 | 471 | 51 | 48 | 57 | 54 | 51 | 51 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 2 | 303 | 372 | 38 | 41 | 47 | 49 | 39 | 43 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 3 | 231 | 400 | 39 | 37 | 52 | 52 | 41 | 41 | 46 | 43 | 41 | 38 |
|  | 4 | 224 | 458 | 38 | 36 | 50 | 50 | 45 | 45 | 43 | 43 | 38 | 40 |
|  | 5 | 284 | 447 | 32 | 31 | 47 | 44 | 36 | 35 | 47 | 44 | 37 | 37 |
|  | 6 | 751 | 512 | 28 | 26 | 41 | 42 | 32 | 29 | 39 | 39 | 31 | 32 |
|  | 7 | 393 | 335 | 28 | 21 | 41 | 36 | 31 | 25 | 44 | 33 | 36 | 28 |
|  | 8 | 281 | 251 | 21 | 22 | 36 | 39 | 25 | 26 | 36 | 40 | 30 | 31 |
|  | Total | 2,853 | 3,246 | 34 | 34 | 46 | 47 | 37 | 38 | 42 | 40 | 34 | 35 |
| Pullout ESL | 1 | 21 | 25 | 69 | 56 | 59 | 58 | 62 | 57 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 2 | 14 | 11 | 43 | 58 | 47 | 53 | 51 | 60 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 3 | 13 | 11 | 44 | 44 | 69 | 59 | 45 | 47 | 56 | 62 | 52 | 42 |
|  | 4 | 15 | 16 | 49 | 44 | 61 | 54 | 52 | 50 | 51 | 50 | 48 | 47 |
|  | 5 | 4 | 24 | * | 39 | * | 49 | * | 42 | * | 53 | * | 44 |
|  | 6 | 1,362 | 1,774 | 29 | 27 | 44 | 42 | 33 | 30 | 39 | 40 | 32 | 32 |
|  | 7 | 1,660 | 1,433 | 30 | 25 | 43 | 41 | 34 | 30 | 44 | 35 | 37 | 31 |
|  | 8 | 1,193 | 1,468 | 27 | 28 | 41 | 43 | 30 | 31 | 42 | 44 | 35 | 36 |
|  | Total | 4,282 | 4,762 | 29 | 27 | 43 | 43 | 33 | 31 | 42 | 40 | 35 | 33 |
| Exited ContentBased ESL | 1 | 0 | 1 | -- | * | -- | * | -- | * | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 2 | 92 | 79 | 68 | 72 | 74 | 78 | 68 | 73 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 3 | 137 | 100 | 67 | 73 | 75 | 84 | 68 | 75 | 72 | 75 | 67 | 70 |
|  | 4 | 184 | 147 | 70 | 67 | 76 | 75 | 76 | 74 | 69 | 69 | 65 | 63 |
|  | 5 | 301 | 206 | 59 | 64 | 71 | 73 | 61 | 66 | 75 | 72 | 58 | 68 |
|  | 6 | 448 | 299 | 52 | 59 | 62 | 68 | 56 | 60 | 58 | 68 | 53 | 59 |
|  | 7 | 751 | 562 | 51 | 50 | 61 | 63 | 54 | 54 | 62 | 59 | 55 | 54 |
|  | 8 | 884 | 773 | 49 | 48 | 58 | 60 | 49 | 49 | 60 | 63 | 51 | 54 |
|  | Total | 2,797 | 2,167 | 54 | 55 | 63 | 66 | 56 | 57 | 63 | 65 | 55 | 57 |
| Exited Pullout ESL | 1 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 2 | 11 | 12 | 67 | 68 | 76 | 61 | 64 | 69 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 3 | 7 | 10 | 64 | 77 | 80 | 87 | 70 | 72 | 70 | 78 | 63 | 68 |
|  | 4 | 18 | 9 | 65 | 61 | 71 | 75 | 70 | 72 | 62 | 62 | 61 | 58 |
|  | 5 | 19 | 18 | 50 | 65 | 63 | 78 | 54 | 68 | 65 | 66 | 54 | 69 |
|  | 6 | 24 | 21 | 48 | 48 | 61 | 59 | 57 | 49 | 54 | 57 | 52 | 51 |
|  | 7 | 400 | 270 | 45 | 39 | 57 | 53 | 48 | 44 | 58 | 50 | 51 | 43 |
|  | 8 | 914 | 749 | 41 | 40 | 51 | 54 | 42 | 43 | 55 | 57 | 45 | 47 |
|  | Total | 1,393 | 1,089 | 43 | 41 | 54 | 55 | 45 | 45 | 56 | 56 | 47 | 46 |
| HISD | 1 | 10,635 | 10,802 | 47 | 46 | 49 | 49 | 48 | 50 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 2 | 10,618 | 10,739 | 45 | 45 | 49 | 48 | 44 | 47 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 3 | 11,394 | 11,423 | 47 | 48 | 54 | 56 | 47 | 49 | 53 | 51 | 48 | 47 |
|  | 4 | 13,045 | 13,648 | 48 | 45 | 55 | 54 | 55 | 52 | 51 | 52 | 47 | 46 |
|  | 5 | 14,973 | 14,626 | 45 | 44 | 53 | 52 | 47 | 47 | 61 | 55 | 47 | 48 |
|  | 6 | 12,527 | 12,784 | 43 | 43 | 52 | 51 | 47 | 44 | 49 | 52 | 43 | 44 |
|  | 7 | 11,976 | 12,166 | 47 | 43 | 53 | 53 | 48 | 46 | 56 | 51 | 49 | 46 |
|  | 8 | 11,932 | 11,915 | 45 | 44 | 53 | 54 | 45 | 44 | 56 | 57 | 48 | 49 |
|  | Total | 97,100 | 98,103 | 46 | 45 | 52 | 52 | 48 | 47 | 55 | 53 | 47 | 47 |

*indicates < 5 students tested

## Appendix H

TELPAS Performance for CB-ESL and PO-ESL Students: Number Tested and Number and Percentage of Students at Each Proficiency Level, by Grade Level (Data From 2013, With 2012 Results Shown in Shaded Column)

| Program | Grade Level | Tested | Beginning |  | Intermediate |  | Advanced |  | Advanced High |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \%AH } \\ & 2012 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Composite Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |  |
| Content Based ESL | K | 596 | 220 | 37 | 178 | 30 | 103 | 17 | 95 | 16 | 13 | 2.0 |
|  | 1 | 500 | 107 | 21 | 116 | 23 | 111 | 22 | 166 | 33 | 33 | 2.7 |
|  | 2 | 413 | 43 | 10 | 103 | 25 | 91 | 22 | 176 | 43 | 39 | 2.9 |
|  | 3 | 427 | 65 | 15 | 74 | 17 | 99 | 23 | 189 | 44 | 45 | 2.9 |
|  | 4 | 483 | 62 | 13 | 98 | 20 | 111 | 23 | 212 | 44 | 41 | 3.0 |
|  | 5 | 482 | 55 | 11 | 81 | 17 | 98 | 20 | 248 | 51 | 50 | 3.1 |
|  | 6 | 514 | 33 | 6 | 106 | 21 | 174 | 34 | 201 | 39 | 46 | 3.1 |
|  | 7 | 333 | 22 | 7 | 81 | 24 | 114 | 34 | 116 | 35 | 51 | 3.0 |
|  | 8 | 246 | 42 | 17 | 46 | 19 | 72 | 29 | 86 | 35 | 42 | 2.9 |
|  | 9 | 215 | 67 | 31 | 48 | 22 | 43 | 20 | 57 | 27 | 25 | 2.4 |
|  | 10 | 111 | 23 | 21 | 43 | 39 | 21 | 19 | 24 | 22 | 37 | 2.5 |
|  | 11 | 35 | 6 | 17 | 7 | 20 | 10 | 29 | 12 | 34 | 19 | 2.8 |
|  | 12 | 279 | 84 | 30 | 95 | 34 | 58 | 21 | 42 | 15 | 21 | 2.3 |
|  | Total | 4,634 | 829 | 18 | 1,076 | 23 | 1,105 | 24 | 1,624 | 35 | 39 | 2.8 |
| Pullout ESL | K | 8 | 3 | 38 | 2 | 25 | 2 | 25 | 1 | 13 | 10 | 2.2 |
|  | 1 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 6 | 23 | 16 | 62 | 60 | 3.4 |
|  | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 17 | 8 | 67 | 50 | 3.5 |
|  | 3 | 13 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 15 | 2 | 15 | 8 | 62 | 69 | 3.3 |
|  | 4 | 18 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 28 | 10 | 56 | 56 | 3.3 |
|  | 5 | 27 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 22 | 16 | 59 | 20 | 3.3 |
|  | 6 | 1,814 | 81 | 4 | 287 | 16 | 592 | 33 | 854 | 47 | 49 | 3.2 |
|  | 7 | 1,454 | 50 | 3 | 183 | 13 | 425 | 29 | 796 | 55 | 58 | 3.4 |
|  | 8 | 1,519 | 92 | 6 | 188 | 12 | 425 | 28 | 814 | 54 | 57 | 3.3 |
|  | 9 | 1,157 | 108 | 9 | 142 | 12 | 318 | 27 | 589 | 51 | 47 | 3.2 |
|  | 10 | 888 | 42 | 5 | 180 | 20 | 257 | 29 | 409 | 46 | 39 | 3.2 |
|  | 11 | 655 | 44 | 7 | 135 | 21 | 216 | 33 | 260 | 40 | 41 | 3.1 |
|  | 12 | 320 | 7 | 2 | 67 | 21 | 114 | 36 | 132 | 41 | 31 | 3.2 |
|  | Total | 7,911 | 432 | 5 | 1,196 | 15 | 2,370 | 30 | 3,913 | 49 | 49 | 3.3 |

[^2]
## Appendix I

TELPAS Performance for CB-ESL and PO-ESL Students: Number Tested and Number and Percentage of Students Gaining 1, 2, 3, or 1 or More Proficiency Levels, by Grade Level (Data From 2013, With 2012 Results in Shaded Column)

| Program | Grade Level | Cohort Size N | Gained 1 Proficiency Level |  | Gained 2 Proficiency Levels |  | Gained 3 Proficiency Levels |  | Gained at Least 1 Proficiency Level |  | \%Gained2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| Content | 1 | 394 | 184 | 47 | 71 | 18 | 21 | 5 | 276 | 70 | 76 |
| Based | 2 | 310 | 177 | 57 | 48 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 227 | 73 | 65 |
| ESL | 3 | 351 | 211 | 60 | 22 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 237 | 68 | 61 |
|  | 4 | 397 | 247 | 62 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 264 | 66 | 71 |
|  | 5 | 368 | 254 | 69 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 275 | 75 | 74 |
|  | 6 | 443 | 223 | 50 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 52 | 62 |
|  | 7 | 276 | 134 | 49 | 8 | 3 | 1 | <1 | 143 | 52 | 68 |
|  | 8 | 180 | 84 | 47 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 89 | 49 | 58 |
|  | 9 | 123 | 73 | 59 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 80 | 65 | 51 |
|  | 10 | 71 | 36 | 51 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 55 | 56 |
|  | 11 | 20 | 11 | 55 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 60 | 77 |
|  | 12 | 80 | 39 | 49 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 51 | 50 |
|  | Total | 3,013 | 1,673 | 56 | 210 | 7 | 32 | 1 | 1,915 | 64 | 66 |


| Program | Grade Level | Cohort Size N | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Gained 1 } \\ \text { Proficiency } \\ \text { Level } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Gained 2 Proficiency Levels |  | Gained 3ProficiencyLevels |  | Gained at Least 1 Proficiency Level |  | Gained 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| Pullout ESL | 1 | 21 | 13 | 62 | 5 | 24 | 1 | 5 | 19 | 90 | 89 |
|  | 2 | 8 | 3 | 38 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 63 | 67 |
|  | 3 | 8 | 6 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 75 | 71 |
|  | 4 | 16 | 12 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 75 | 79 |
|  | 5 | 21 | 17 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 81 | 50 |
|  | 6 | 1,641 | 932 | 57 | 20 | 1 | 1 | $<1$ | 953 | 58 | 58 |
|  | 7 | 1,277 | 828 | 65 | 36 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 864 | 68 | 70 |
|  | 8 | 1,311 | 825 | 63 | 22 | 2 | 1 | $<1$ | 848 | 65 | 67 |
|  | 9 | 902 | 567 | 63 | 26 | 3 | 2 | $<1$ | 595 | 66 | 65 |
|  | 10 | 781 | 427 | 55 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 442 | 57 | 55 |
|  | 11 | 563 | 302 | 54 | 22 | 4 | 2 | $<1$ | 326 | 58 | 59 |
|  | 12 | 295 | 168 | 57 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 173 | 59 | 47 |
|  | Total | 6,844 | 4,100 | 60 | 153 | 2 | 7 | <1 | 4,260 | 62 | 63 |

Source: TELPAS, Chancery


[^0]:    cc: Superintendent's Direct Reports Gracie Guerrero
    Chief Schools Officers
    School Support Officers
    Principals

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note that all districtwide performance data includes results from ESL students and exited ESL students.
    ${ }^{2}$ These eligibility requirements can be reviewed at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/student.assessment/ell/staarl/.

[^2]:    Source: TELPAS, Chancery

