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#### Abstract

It is the policy of the State Board of Education and a priority of the Oregon Department of Education that there will be no discrimination or harassment on the grounds of race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, national origin, marital status, age or disability in any educational programs, activities, or employment. Persons having questions about equal opportunity and nondiscrimination should contact the Deputy Superintendent of Public Instruction at the Oregon Department of Education.
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OREGON DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Public Service Building, 255 Capitol Street NE, Salem, Oregon 97310
Phone (503) 947-5600 • Fax (503) 378-5156 • www.ode.state.or.us

Dear Oregonians,

I am pleased to present the 2011-12 edition of the Oregon Statewide Report Card. This annual report contains information about Oregon's schools and provides a look at our education system as a whole. Our state has set an ambitious goal - 100\% high school graduation by 2025 with at least $40 \%$ of students receiving a 4 -year degree and $40 \%$ receiving a community college or other workforce credential. Looking at the data, it is clear that we have a ways to go, and reaching this goal, known as 40-40-20, will require significant changes to our state's education system. As we look ahead th the changes to come, I want to thank all of our teachers, administrators, and school staff who have been working incredibly hard to meet these higher expectations in a time of reduced budgets and larger class sizes. As we ask more of our schools, we must also ask more of ourselves as citizens and community members. Our schools cannot do this important work alone. My hope is that this report will help contribute to the conversations going on around the state about where we are, where we need to be, and what role we can all play to help achieve the outcomes we want and need for our students.

## The 2011-12 Statewide Report Card includes:

- Student demographics
- School staff information
- Test results
- Dropout and graduation rates
- Charter school data
- Early childhood data
- Alternative education information
- Public school funding information

The education landscape in Oregon has changed significantly in the past year and will continue to change even more in the years to come. In my opinion, there has never been a more exciting time to be involved in education in our state. Below are a few of the highlights and significant changes since last year.

## Highlights of the 2011-12 School Year

- There have been significant changes to the education leadership at the state level this year. Governor John Kitzhaber became the Superintendent of Public Instruction and appointed me to serve as his Deputy Superintendent and head up the operations and reorganization of the Oregon Department of Education. He also brought in Dr. Rudy Crew as the state's first Chief Education Officer. Dr. Crew is charged with coordinating our education system from birth through graduate school and works with the Oregon Education Investment Board on ensuring the funding of our system is aligned to the outcomes we want. I am working closely with our Governor, Dr. Crew, and the Legislature on our state's education redesign efforts.
- Our state applied for, and was granted, a waiver from the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) also known as the No Child Left Behind Act. This waiver provides our state with additional flexibility to build a locally designed accountability system that better meets the needs of our students and our schools and focuses on student growth and learning rather than solely on how many students reach federal achievement targets.
- As part of the waiver application, Oregon is developing a next generation accountability system which uses multiple measures to rate schools. Using this system, we identified a list of Priority, Focus, and Model schools. These high poverty schools have been identified as needing additional supports and interventions (Priority and Focus) or as examples of successful student outcomes (Model).
- We are piloting new models of teacher and administrator evaluation to ensure our educators have all of the tools they need to be highly effective at their jobs.
- We continue to move forward with implementation of the Common Core State Standards and prepare for the Smarter Balanced assessment which will be used to assess these rigorous, national standards starting in 201415.
- In 2011, the State Board of Education adopted higher expectations for elementary and middle school students in reading. This change aligned Oregon's standards with rigorous national and international expectations and provides students, parents, and teachers with better information about how prepared students are to meet Oregon's high school diploma requirements.
- Seniors in 2012 were the first required to graduate with the Essential Skill of Reading. This year's seniors will be required to demonstrate proficiency in both reading and writing with math being added on for this year's juniors.
- Oregon students continue to perform above the national average on the SAT and ACT college entrance tests with more students taking the tests each year.


## Looking Ahead

As I said, this is an incredibly exciting time to be involved in education. We are undertaking bold and substantive changes to our education system, changes which have the potential to transform how we do our work and the outcomes we see for our students. I feel a real sense of urgency around these efforts. We need to increase the number of students exiting our schools with the skills to succeed in college and the workplace. And as we work to redesign our system, we must ensure that we are keeping equity and a focus on closing the achievement gap front and center. Our new system wont work unless it helps all kids achieve at high levels.

As part of our ongoing efforts to redesign our education system, we will be focusing on several key areas over the coming months and years. We need to ensure that we have a streamlined service delivery system to support students PK-20, and to that end we are working with our Education Service Districts and local school districts on ambitious ESD reform. We are working to redesign the school report cards to reflect recent changes and provide parents and community members with better information about our schools. We are making changes at the state level to organize our work around key initiatives, make the Oregon Department of Education more service oriented, and ensure we are providing the high quality supports our schools and districts will need to meet these ambitious goals. And, as a state, we are working to better coordinate education funding around four key areas: educator effectiveness, a comprehensive focus on reading and literacy, better engagement with parents and families, and strengthening the transition from high school to higher education.

I hope that as you explore the information in this report it will spark conversations around the past, the present and most importantly - the future of education in our state. I look forward to working with you over the coming years as we continue to move this exciting work forward!

Sincerely,
Radeboto
Rob Sexton
Deputy Superintendent of Public Instruction
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## Oregon Students

There were 560,946 students enrolled in Oregon public schools on the first school day in October, 2011. Overall, student enrollment in Oregon public schools has fallen since 2006-2007, with a net decrease of 735 students or 0.13 percent. From 2010-11 to 2011-12, the number of students decreased by 382 students or 0.07 percent. Based on intercensal estimates from the US Census Bureau, Population Division, in each year included in the chart below 75-77\% of Oregon's school aged (5-19) population was receiving publicly funded K-12 education. ${ }^{1}$

Oregon Public School Enrollment
Number of Kindergarten through 12th Grade Students


The figures in this chart are based on October 1 Student Membership (enrollment) for each year.
For more data, including school and district enrollment counts, see http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?=3225. For data from school years 2008-2009 and earlier, see report \#73 under Students at http://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reports/toc.aspx. Note: This report includes some PK students, who have not been included in the graph above.

See page 67 for information on pre-kindergarten programs.
${ }^{1}$ See http://www.census.gov/popest/data/intercensal/state/ST-ESTOOINT-02.html for more information on intercensal population estimates. The enrollment proportion estimates in the text above include only students in the 5-19 age range. The enrollment figures printed may include students younger than 5 and older than 20 , if they are receiving a publicly funded, grade K-12 education.

Statewide Student-Teacher Ratios

| Type of School | 2008-09 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary School | 18.8 | 19.9 | 20.2 | 21.0 |
| Middle School | 18.6 | 19.9 | 20.3 | 21.4 |
| High School | 19.9 | 21.0 | 21.4 | 22.4 |

Note: The average student-teacher ratio above includes all teachers by FTE - music, art and physical education specialists in addition to the individual classroom teachers - whereas a calculation of average class size would only include individual classroom teachers.

Percent of Oregon School Districts by Size of Student Enrollment 2011-12
$\square \%$ of Districts $\quad \%$ of Total Enrollment


## Oregon Public Charter School Enrollment

Public charter school student enrollment has risen from 3.63 percent of the total enrollment in 2010-11 and now represents 4.32 percent of the total students enrolled.

Charter schools, authorized by legislation in 1999, were designed to create new, innovative, and more flexible ways of educating all children within the public school system. In Oregon, all charter schools are public schools.

In the 2011-12 school year, there were 115 charter schools (up from 108 in 2010-11 and 100 charter schools in 2009-10).

Charter School Enrollment Fall Membership Data Collection and EdFacts Report N29: Directory


Charter School Enrollment by Ethnicity 2011-12


Source: Fall Membership 2011-12
Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - those students are all reported under Hispanic. See
http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.
In 2011-12:

- $3.0 \%$ of students attending charter schools were identified as Talented and Gifted (TAG), compared with $7.5 \%$ in non-charter schools. See page 68 for more information on TAG students.
- $38.6 \%$ of students attending charter schools were identified as eligible for free or reduced lunches, compared with $53.4 \%$ in non-charter schools. See page 27 for more information on economically disadvantaged students.
- $10.9 \%$ of students attending charter schools were identified as receiving special education services, compared with $14.2 \%$ in non-charter schools. See page 65 for more information on special education.

Source: Spring Membership 2011-12

## Oregon Public Charter School Performance

In 2011-12, Oregon received an ESEA waiver, and replaced AYP determinations with Priority, Focus, and Model school determinations. Of the 34 schools identified as Priority Schools this year, three were charters. No charter schools were identified as Focus or Model schools. For more information on Priority, Focus, and Model Schools, see page 17 or http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=3742.

Percent of Schools Meeting AYP*

*Note that under Oregon's ESEA waiver, Priority, Focus, and Model School determinations replaced AYP determinations. This chart is included for historical purposes only.
Historical AYP/AMO data available at http://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reportcard/reports.aspx


[^0]Note: Each year above includes the schools that were operating charter schools in that year.

## Minority Student Population

In 2009-10, the definitions used in collecting race/ethnicity data changed per new federal requirements. "Hispanic" includes all students of Hispanic ethnicity, irrespective of other races indicated. In 2010-11, "Declined to Report" was removed from the reporting categories and "Asian/Pacific Islander" was split into two separate categories, "Asian" and "Pacific Islander."

Oregon K-12 Public School Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity


Source: Fall Membership
Fall Membership (October 1 Enrollment) in Oregon K-12 Public Schools By Race/Ethnicity

| School Year | White | Black | Hispanic | Asian/ Pacific Islander | Asian | Pacific Islander | American Indian/ Alaskan Native | Multi-racial/ <br> Multi-ethnic | Multi-Racial | Declined | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008-09 | 385,807 | 16,512 | 97,287 | 26,775 | N/A ${ }^{*}$ | N/A* | 11,349 | 16,136 | N/A ${ }^{*}$ | 10,179 | 564,064 |
| 2009-10 | 379,036 | 15,485 | 109,842 | 25,927 | N/A ${ }^{*}$ | N/A* | 10,850 | 15,190 | N/A ${ }^{*}$ | 5,366 | 561,696 |
| 2010-11* | 372,194 | 14,599 | 115,102 | N/A ${ }^{*}$ | 21,720 | 3,513 | 10,406 | N/A ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 23,794 | N/A* | 561,328 |
| 2011-12 | 366,470 | 14,182 | 118,017 | N/A ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 22,048 | 3,657 | 10,131 | N/A ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 26,441 | N/A* | 560,946 |

 information.

** Declined to Report was removed from the reporting categories in 2010-11.
See enrollment reports available at http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?=3225 for more information, including ethnicity breakdowns by school and district.

## Language Diversity

According to data reported on the Limited English Proficient (LEP) Collection, in the 2011-12 school year, 58,580 LEP students ( $10.41 \%$ of all K -12 students) reported a language of origin other than English.

Most Common Languages of Origin of Students in Oregon Public Schools
(K-12 Students)
2011-12

| Language of Origin | Number of Enrolled Students by Language of Origin ${ }^{1}$ | Number of Limited English Proficient Students ${ }^{2}$ | Percent of Enrollment ${ }^{3}$ (Total: 553,279) | Percent of Limited English Proficient Enrollment ${ }^{3}$ <br> (Total: 58,580) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | 431,344 | $994{ }^{4}$ | 77.96\% | 1.70\% |
| Spanish | 76,698 | 45,157 | 13.86\% | 77.09\% |
| Russian | 4,900 | 2,222 | 0.89\% | 3.79\% |
| Vietnamese | 4,447 | 1,834 | 0.80\% | 3.13\% |
| Chinese | 2,880 | 953 | 0.52\% | 1.63\% |
| Korean | 1,167 | 453 | 0.21\% | 0.77\% |
| Ukrainian | 1,155 | 541 | 0.21\% | 0.92\% |
| Somali | 914 | 760 | 0.17\% | 1.30\% |
| Arabic | 894 | 571 | 0.16\% | 0.97\% |
| Romanian | 807 | 298 | 0.15\% | 0.51\% |
| Japanese | 702 | 262 | 0.13\% | 0.45\% |
| Hmong | 691 | 298 | 0.12\% | 0.51\% |
| Chuukese | 593 | 472 | 0.11\% | 0.81\% |
| Tagalog | 536 | 213 | 0.10\% | 0.36\% |
| Marshallese | 393 | 311 | 0.07\% | 0.53\% |
| Hindi | 357 | 95 | 0.06\% | 0.16\% |
| Lao | 347 | 117 | 0.06\% | 0.20\% |
| German | 278 | 53 | 0.05\% | 0.09\% |
| Persian | 262 | 87 | 0.05\% | 0.15\% |
| Telugu | 226 | 35 | 0.04\% | 0.06\% |
| Nepali | 225 | 186 | 0.04\% | 0.32\% |
| Thai | 213 | 119 | 0.04\% | 0.20\% |
| French | 196 | 61 | 0.04\% | 0.10\% |
| Amharic | 192 | 110 | 0.03\% | 0.19\% |
| Karen | 180 | 185 | 0.03\% | 0.32\% |
| Burmese | 176 | 138 | 0.03\% | 0.24\% |
| Bosnian | 150 | 66 | 0.03\% | 0.11\% |
| Other or N/A ${ }^{5}$ | 22,356 | 1,989 | 4.04\% | 3.40\% |

[^1]${ }^{2}$ Source: Unduplicated Limited English Proficient Collection, 2011-12, excluding students determined not to be eligible for ESL services.
${ }^{3}$ Percentage columns do not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
${ }^{4}$ Native American/Alaskan Native students may qualify as LEP even though these students have English as their language of origin.
${ }^{5}$ Includes students reported with a language of origin with few students reported, as well as students who indicated "Other" or "Not Applicable" as their language of origin.

## Minority Students and Teachers

Oregon has made some progress in hiring and retaining teachers of minority populations. In 1997-98, $3.9 \%$ of teachers and $16.3 \%$ of students were of minority populations. In 2011-12, 8.39\% of teachers and $34.67 \%$ of students were of minority


Note that in 2009-10 for students, and 2010-11 for teachers, the guidelines for reporting race/ethnicity changed - see http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for details. These data may not be comparable to prior years.


Source: Fall Membership and Staff Position Collections
Note: Multi-Racial does not include students or staff who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - they are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

The difference between teacher and student minority proportions was most noticeable for Hispanics, where $21 \%$ of students were Hispanic compared to only $3.6 \%$ of teachers. $91.6 \%$ of teachers were White, compared to only $65.3 \%$ of students.

Experienced, Highly Educated Workforce

|  | Average Age | Gender* |  | Average Experience |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Female | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | 2011-12 | Change |  |
| Teachers | 43.2 | $30.05 \%$ | $69.95 \%$ | 12.8 | 13.1 | $+1.91 \%$ |
| Administrators | 46.8 | $55.22 \%$ | $44.78 \%$ | 19.5 | 19.1 | $-1.89 \%$ |

*Some staff members serve as both principal and superintendent, or hold other administrative positions - the numbers in the chart below are not directly comparable to the data in this table.

Women have made substantial progress moving into superintendent positions. In 2000-01, only $14 \%$ of superintendents were women. In 2011-12, 28\% were. The percentage of women principals and teachers has remained fairly constant over the last decade.

Percentage of Positions held by Women


2011-12 Highest Degree Held


Oregon requires teachers to hold at a minimum a bachelor's degree, be fully licensed, and meet state requirements to demonstrate mastery of subject knowledge, either by rigorous state exam, major in the core academic area, or graduate degree in the core academic area. All teachers of core academic subjects must meet the definition of a highly qualified teacher. For more information, see the "Highly Qualified Teachers" section, page 17.


## All School Staff

In the last year, the total number of full-time equivalent (FTE) school employees decreased by $4.51 \%$, from 63.786 .82 in 2010-11 to 60,908.03 in 2011-12, a difference of $2,878.79$ FTE. The sharpest decrease was among library and media specialists and support staff, dropping over 9\% from 2010-11 to 2011-12.

Oregon School Employees
(Full-Time Equivalent Positions)

|  | 2010-11 (revised) |  | 2011-12 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Teachers | $28,157.30$ | $44.14 \%$ | $26,873.02$ | $44.12 \%$ |
| Educational Assistants | $9,922.51$ | $15.56 \%$ | $9,260.19$ | $15.20 \%$ |
| District Administrators | 448.31 | $0.70 \%$ | 436.91 | $0.72 \%$ |
| School Administrators | $1,588.85$ | $2.49 \%$ | $1,557.93$ | $2.56 \%$ |
| Guidance Counselors | 999.22 | $1.57 \%$ | 942.17 | $1.55 \%$ |
| Library and Media | 989.62 | $1.55 \%$ | 899.57 | $1.48 \%$ |
| Support Staff | $19,779.75$ | $31.01 \%$ | $19,073.18$ | $31.31 \%$ |
| Special Education Specialists | $1,901.26$ | $2.98 \%$ | $1,865.07$ | $3.06 \%$ |
| Total | $63,786.82$ | $100 \%$ | $60,908.03$ | $100 \%$ |

Includes all grade levels and institution types. Both years of data make adjustments for partial year employment. Note that the Library and Media category combines the FTE of library/media specialists and library/media support. All data above reflects employment as of December $1^{\text {st }}$ of the school year.

## Annual Instructional Hours

The minimum instructional hours required per year, by grade level, are specified in OAR 581-022-1620 Required Instructional Time.

|  | Minimum Required Instructional <br> Hours per Year |
| :--- | :---: |
| Kindergarten | 405 |
| Grades 1-3 | 810 |
| Grades 4-8 | 900 |
| Grades 9-12 | 990 |



## Historical Salary Charts

## for Teachers, Principals, Assistant Principals, and Superintendents

Oregon Average Actual \& Inflation-Adjusted Salaries 2006-07 to 2011-12
Superintendents, Principals, Assistant Principals, and Teachers

|  | Actual Salary |  |  | Inflation-Adjusted Salary |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | Percent <br> Change | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| Percent <br> Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Superintendent | $\$ 105,833$ | $\$ 117,867$ | $11.37 \%$ | $\$ 105,833$ | $\$ 106,671$ | $0.79 \%$ |
| Principal | $\$ 89,571$ | $\$ 96,423$ | $7.65 \%$ | $\$ 89,571$ | $\$ 87,264$ | $-2.58 \%$ |
| Assistant Principal | $\$ 82,730$ | $\$ 90,318$ | $9.17 \%$ | $\$ 82,730$ | $\$ 81,739$ | $-1.2 \%$ |
| Teacher | $\$ 51,158$ | $\$ 56,958$ | $11.34 \%$ | $\$ 51,158$ | $\$ 51,547$ | $0.76 \%$ |

Source: ODE Staff Position Data Collection
The Staff Position Data Collection expanded in 2010-11 to collect contracted staff and extra duty or coaching contracts data for teacher salaries from 2011-12 may include additional extra duty pay and thus not be perfectly comparable to previous years.


Average Oregon Superintendent Salaries: 2006-07 to 2011-12
Actual \& Inflation-Adjusted (Portland CPI; 2006-07 = 100)


Average Oregon Principal Salaries: 2006-07 to 2011-12
Actual \& Inflation-Adjusted (Portland CPI; 2006-07 = 100)


Average Oregon Assistant Principal Salaries: 2006-07 to 2011-12
Actual \& Inflation-Adjusted (Portland CPI; 2006-07 = 100)


Average Oregon Teacher Salaries: 2006-07 to 2011-12
Actual \& Inflation-Adjusted (Portland CPI; 2006-07 = 100)


## School Funding

The majority of spending is allocated to classroom expenses. In Oregon, about 95 percent of spending is concentrated in school buildings and services to students with 5 percent spent on central support services.

Operating Expenditures per Student

| Where Dollars Were Spent | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \mathbf{- 1 1}$ | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Classroom | $\$ 5,532$ | $55 \%$ | $\$ 5,484$ | $56 \%$ | $\$ 5,514$ | $56 \%$ |
| Classroom Support | $\$ 2,110$ | $21 \%$ | $\$ 2,032$ | $21 \%$ | $\$ 2,025$ | $20 \%$ |
| Building Support | $\$ 1,942$ | $19 \%$ | $\$ 1,890$ | $19 \%$ | $\$ 1,931$ | $19 \%$ |
| Central Support | $\$ 472$ | $5 \%$ | $\$ 463$ | $5 \%$ | $\$ 462$ | $5 \%$ |
| TOTAL* | $\$ 10,057$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 9,869$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 9,933$ | $100 \%$ |

*Figures may not sum to TOTAL, due to rounding.
Note: Per student calculation excludes students in state-run programs because spending on those students is not included.
Source: School District and Education Service District (ESD) Audits

## School Resources

Not only have school resources per student not kept pace with inflation over the decade, but school districts have also experienced cost increases above the inflation rate.

- Staff salaries increased at about the rate of inflation during the 1990's, but health care benefit costs have greatly increased.
- Changing student demographics and declining student enrollment in a majority of school districts have also driven costs up.
- Growth rates for Special Education students and English as a Second Language (ESL) students have been far more rapid than the growth rate for all students, and these students are more expensive to educate than students without special needs.



## Student Enrollment

Student enrollment is counted in several ways because it is used for a variety of purposes:
Average Daily Membership - Resident (ADMr): This is the annual average of daily student enrollment for students residing within the district. Some resident students may attend school in another district. Kindergarten students are counted as half-time students.

Average Daily Membership - Weighted (ADMw): This count is the basis for funding in Oregon. Resident average daily membership weighted to compensate for special student needs and uncontrollable cost factors, including Special Education students, English Language Learners, students in poverty, teen parents, neglected and delinquent youth, and small school correction factors.

October 1 Student Membership (Enrollment): Used for federal reporting purposes, this is the headcount of students enrolled on October 1 of every year.

Average Daily Attendance (ADA): This is the annual average of daily student attendance for students residing within the district. It is collected by the federal government and is used as the basis for funding in some states, but not in Oregon.

| Measures of Student Enrollment | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | 2010-11 <br> (Revised) | 2011-12 <br> (Preliminary) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Daily Membership - ADMr | 533,405 | 534,142 | 533,407 | 532,972 | 533,160 |
| Weighted Average Daily Membership - ADMw | 660,913 | 661,507 | 662,137 | 660,322 | 662,000 |
| Fall Membership (Enrollment on October 1)** | 566,067 | 564,064 | 561,698 | 561,331 | 560,951 |
| Average Daily Attendance (ADA)* | 497,416 | 499,168 | 497,763 | 499,411 | 499,073 |

* ADA includes students who are counted based on instructional hours. ADA for those students is estimated by multiplying the ADM of those students by the ratio of

ADA to ADM for "regular" students (Type 1 records in the ADM collection). Kindergarteners are counted as 0.5 in ADM and ADA.
**Fall Membership reported here includes some PK students.

## A Major Shift in Responsibility for School Funding

Historically, the largest source of revenue for public schools in Oregon has been local property taxes. Measure 5 changed that dramatically by lowering the amount of property taxes schools could raise. By 1995-96, with local property taxes for education limited to $\$ 5$ per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation, the full impact of Measure 5 was felt. In 1997, Measure 50 further limited local property taxes for schools. Measure 5 required the state legislature to offset lost property tax revenue with money from the state general fund, which is composed primarily of state income taxes. As a result, Oregon schools increasingly are supported by state, not local, dollars.

Oregon uses a formula to provide financial equity among school districts. Each school district receives (in combined state and local funds) an allocation per student, plus an additional amount for each student enrolled in more costly programs such as Special Education or English as a Second Language.

## State School Fund Formula Revenue

(In Billions of Dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 7}$ | 2007-09** | 2009-11*** |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local | $\$ 2.3$ | $\$ 2.7$ | $\$ 2.9^{*}$ | $\$ 3.0$ |
| State | $\$ 4.9$ | $\$ 5.2$ | $\$ 5.7$ | $\$ 5.7^{*}$ |
| Total | $\$ 7.2$ | $\$ 7.9$ | $\$ 8.5$ | $\$ 8.7^{*}$ |

Includes School Districts and Education Service Districts. Note: Totals may not equal the sum of State and Local amounts due to rounding.
*Revised
**2007-09 State amount does not include $\$ 260$ million appropriated to the School Improvement Fund or $\$ 115$ million in federal stimulus funds.
***2009-11 includes $\$ 200$ million in state funds triggered by economic conditions. It does not include $\$ 227$ million in federal stimulus funds.
Source: State School Fund Distribution Formula
The table above includes only funds distributed through the state's equalization formula. Districts also receive federal, state, and local funds that are not distributed through the formula. TOTAL Operating Revenues, which include those dollars, are shown in the table below.

District and ESD Operating Revenues by Source
(Dollars in Millions)

| Year | Local |  | Intermediate |  | State |  | Federal |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ |
| $2005-06$ | $\$ 1,657.8$ | $32.6 \%$ | $\$ 161.3$ | $3.2 \%$ | $\$ 2,731.7$ | $53.8 \%$ | $\$ 529.7$ | $10.4 \%$ | $\$ 5,080.5$ | $100 \%$ |
| $2006-07$ | $\$ 1,741.8$ | $32.9 \%$ | $\$ 101.7$ | $1.9 \%$ | $\$ 2,901.9$ | $54.8 \%$ | $\$ 546.7$ | $10.3 \%$ | $\$ 5,292.1$ | $100 \%$ |
| $2007-08$ | $\$ 1,841.8$ | $32.5 \%$ | $\$ 80.3$ | $1.4 \%$ | $\$ 3,193.4$ | $56.3 \%$ | $\$ 554.4$ | $9.8 \%$ | $\$ 5,669.9$ | $100 \%$ |
| $2008-09$ | $\$ 1,870.5$ | $32.7 \%$ | $\$ 69.4$ | $1.2 \%$ | $\$ 3,106.1$ | $54.3 \%$ | $\$ 670.1$ | $11.7 \%$ | $\$ 5,716.1$ | $100 \%$ |
| $2009-10$ | $\$ 1,928.0$ | $33.5 \%$ | $\$ 70.9$ | $1.2 \%$ | $\$ 2,934.2$ | $51.0 \%$ | $\$ 820.1$ | $14.3 \%$ | $\$ 5,753.1$ | $100 \%$ |
| $2010-11$ | $\$ 1,949.9$ | $34.4 \%$ | $\$ 75.1$ | $1.3 \%$ | $\$ 2,782.4$ | $49.1 \%$ | $\$ 856.5$ | $15.1 \%$ | $\$ 5,663.9$ | $100 \%$ |

[^2]
## Operating Revenues by Source (Historical)

The graph below illustrates the dramatic shift from a locally-funded school system to a system that is primarily statefunded. Pale bars are historical - darker bars display more current data.


In the decade following the passage of Ballot Measure 5, the Property Tax Limitation Measure, which was passed in 1990, there was a dramatic shift in sources of public school funds. As a result, Oregon schools are now supported primarily by State, not local, dollars.

Audited Operating Revenues for Public Elementary and Secondary Schools, and ESDs by Source of Funds (Dollars in Millions)


## Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)

## Federal Compensatory Education Programs

Through the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), the Oregon Department of Education receives and distributes federal education funds to eligible school districts throughout Oregon. These supplemental funds support district efforts in meeting federal and state requirements and in implementing programs that improve the ability of all students to meet high academic standards. The Oregon Department of Education continues its commitment to develop processes that ensure that ESEA federal funds contribute to these opportunities.

Oregon students are served through the following programs provided through the Elementary and Secondary Education Act:

Title I-A Improving Basic Programs
Title I-B1 Reading First
Title I-B2 Early Reading First
Title I-B3 Even Start Family Literacy Program
Title IC Education of Migratory Children
Title ID Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth Who Are Neglected, Delinquent, or At-Risk
Title II-A Preparing, Training, and Recruiting High Quality Teachers and Principals
Title II-B Mathematics \& Science Partnerships
Title II-C Troops to Teachers
Title II-D Enhancing Education Through Technology
Title III Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient and Immigrant Students
Title IV-A Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities
Title IV-B $\quad 21^{\text {st }}$ Century Community Learning Centers
Title V-A Innovative Programs
Title V-B Charter Schools
Title VI-A Funding Flexibility
Title VI-B Rural Education Initiative
Title VII Indian, Native Hawaiian, and Alaskan Native Education
Title X McKinney-Vento Homeless Education Assistance Improvements
In addition to the management of federal funds, the Office of Educational Improvement and Innovation and the Office of Student Learning and Partnerships provide ongoing guidance, technical assistance, model programs, and monitoring to ensure that all students receive these opportunities for academic success.

## Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Flexibility Waiver

The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) was passed in 1965 as a part of the "War on Poverty." The federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 was signed into law on January 8, 2002. This legislation reauthorized the ESEA, mandated new requirements for state-level funding, professional development of teachers, assessment of student performance, and reporting student and school information to parents and communities.

In September 2011 the U.S. Department of Education invited states to request flexibility regarding specific requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 in exchange for rigorous and comprehensive state-developed plans designed to improve educational outcomes for all students, close achievement gaps, increase equity, and improve the quality of instruction.

Oregon submitted its ESEA Flexibility Request in January 2012, and the request received federal approval in July 2012. With this approval, Oregon will be able to tailor the mechanisms of the ESEA to create a more accountable and responsive system that meets the needs of Oregon students and strengthens Oregon schools.

As part of the federal ESEA Flexibility application, Oregon developed a new accountability system which uses multiple measures to rate schools. For high schools these measures include academic achievement, academic growth, subgroup growth, graduation rates, and subgroup graduation. For elementary and middle schools the first three measures are used. Schools receive an overall rating based on how well they are doing in each of these areas.

Oregon used this system to identify 94 Oregon schools ( 34 Priority Schools and 60 Focus Schools) which will receive additional supports and interventions from the state to help increase student achievement and close persistent achievement gaps. Priority schools represent the lowest $5 \%$ of high poverty schools (Title 1) in the state according the new accountability system, while Focus schools are additional schools in the lowest $15 \%$ of high poverty schools in the state that have achievement gaps. The accountability system also identified 30 high poverty Model schools. These Model schools have been identified as examples of successful student outcomes and will serve as models and mentors to other schools around the state.

|  | 2012-13 ESEA Flexibility Designations |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of <br> Schools | Number of <br> Title I Schools | Priority <br> Schools | Focus <br> Schools | Model <br> Schools |
| All Schools | 1253 | 585 | 34 | 60 | 30 |
| Elementary/Middle | 922 | 533 | 19 | 57 | 28 |
| High Schools | 331 | 52 | 15 | 3 | 2 |

Oregon's ESEA Flexibility Waiver Request is available online at:
http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=3475

## Elementary and Secondary Education Act - Highly Qualified Teachers (HQT)

Oregon requires teachers to hold at a minimum a bachelor's degree, be fully licensed, and meet state requirements to demonstrate mastery of subject knowledge, either by rigorous state exam, major in the core academic area, or graduate degree in the core academic area. All teachers of core academic subjects (English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, social studies, and the arts) must meet the definition of a highly qualified teacher. It is possible for a teacher to meet all qualifications and still not meet the highly qualified definition if the class assignment is outside the teacher's academic licensure/endorsement. The federal designation of "highly qualified" is given when a teacher's assignment matches the area of preparation, licensure, and credentials.

As a requirement of the Elementary Secondary Education Act, if a school receives funds from the federal government because of the high poverty levels of its students under Title IA Improving Basic Programs, the school is required to send letters to the parents of students who are being taught for four or more weeks by a teacher who is not designated as highly qualified. Throughout the United States, students in high-poverty schools are less likely than other students to be taught by a highly qualified teacher; in Oregon elementary students are more likely to be taught by highly qualified teachers in high poverty versus low poverty schools.

The Oregon Department of Education requires each district to conduct an annual assessment of staff and explain in the plan how it will increase the number of highly qualified teachers, either through re-assignment of its teachers or continued professional development.

Percent of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers 2011-12

|  | All Schools |  | High Poverty Schools |  | Low Poverty Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Class | Percent of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | Percent of Classes NOT Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | Percent of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | Percent of Classes NOT Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | Percent of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | Percent of Classes NOT Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers |
| All | 98.3\% | 1.7\% | 98.0\% | 2.0\% | 98.4\% | 1.6\% |
| Self-Contained | 99.3\% | 0.7\% | 99.0\% | 1.0\% | 99.2\% | 0.8\% |
| English | 98.2\% | 1.8\% | 98.0\% | 2.0\% | 98.4\% | 1.6\% |
| Foreign Languages | 98.1\% | 1.9\% | 99.6\% | 0.4\% | 98.2\% | 1.8\% |
| The Arts | 98.7\% | 1.3\% | 98.4\% | 1.6\% | 99.1\% | 0.9\% |
| Science | 98.7\% | 1.3\% | 98.0\% | 2.0\% | 99.1\% | 0.9\% |
| Math | 98.0\% | 2.0\% | 97.6\% | 2.4\% | 98.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Social Sciences | 98.3\% | 1.7\% | 97.7\% | 2.3\% | 98.4\% | 1.6\% |

Source: Staff Assignment (Highly Qualified Teachers) Data Collection 2011-12.

| School Type | Percentage of Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | Percentage of Core Academic Classes NOT Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | School Type | Percentage of Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | Percentage of Core Academic Classes NOT <br> Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary Level |  |  | Elementary Level |  |  |
| High Poverty | 99.0\% | 1.0\% | High Minority | 98.9\% | 1.1\% |
| Low Poverty | 97.7\% | 2.3\% | Low Minority | 98.1\% | 1.9\% |
| All Elementary | 98.7\% | 1.3\% | All Elementary | 98.7\% | 1.3\% |
| Secondary Level |  |  | Secondary Level |  |  |
| High Poverty | 98.3\% | 1.7\% | High Minority | 98.4\% | 1.6\% |
| Low Poverty | 98.7\% | 1.3\% | Low Minority | 98.2\% | 1.8\% |
| All Secondary | 98.4\% | 1.6\% | All Secondary | 98.4\% | 1.6\% |

[^3]
## Elementary and Secondary Education Act - Persistently Dangerous Schools

The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) requires the state to provide options, at schools deemed "persistently dangerous," for students to attend a different school of choice. This is known as the Unsafe School Choice Option. A school can be deemed "persistently dangerous" as an entire entity, or for an individual student who is the victim of a violent crime. Should either of these occur, parents may exercise their right to move their student to a different public school in the district.

Oregon identifies a school as "persistently dangerous" if the school exceeds the following threshold, as tabled at the right, for the number of expulsions listed depending on school size for three consecutive years.

Expulsions fall within the following two categories:

1. Expulsions for weapons
2. Expulsions for students arrested for violent criminal offenses on school grounds

|  | Number of Expulsions for <br> Weapons and/or arrested <br> for Violent Criminal <br> Behavior |
| :---: | :---: |
| Schools with FEWER than 300 <br> Students | 9 or more within a school <br> year |
| Schools with 300 or MORE <br> Students | 3 for every 100 students per <br> school year |

Source: Oregon Department of Education

In 2009-10, 2010-11, and 2011-12 Oregon did not identify any schools meeting the criteria for being placed on "watch status." Oregon also did not identify any schools as "persistently dangerous" for exceeding the threshold for three or more consecutive years.

It should be noted that a school with a higher than average number of expulsions may indicate a safer climate than one with a lower rate, because the school is confronting the issue of school safety.

ESEA Unsafe School Choice Option:
http://www.ode.state.or.us/opportunities/grants/nclb/title_iv/a drugfr ee/unsafeschchoiceoption.doc
http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/results/?id=107 http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=1319

Discipline Incidents by Subgroup - Suspensions and Expulsions

| Subgroup | Percent of Students <br> enrolled on May 1, <br> 2012 with One or More <br> Discipline Incidents in <br> the 2011-12 School <br> Year |
| :---: | ---: |
| Total | F.43\% |
| Gender |  |
| Male |  |
| Female | $10.49 \%$ |
| Asian | $4.19 \%$ |
| Black/African American | $2.44 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $15.59 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $8.92 \%$ |
| Multi-Racial | $11.07 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | $7.73 \%$ |
| White | $8.57 \%$ |


| Subgroup | Percent of Students <br> enrolled on May 1, <br> 2012 with One or <br> More Discipline <br> Incidents in the 2011- <br> 12 School Year |
| :---: | ---: |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $10.20 \%$ |
| Not Economically Disadvantaged | $4.33 \%$ |
| TAG | $3.45 \%$ |
| Not TAG | $7.74 \%$ |
| Limited English Proficient | $6.90 \%$ |
| Not Limited English Proficient | $7.48 \%$ |
| Special Education | $13.30 \%$ |
| Not Special Education | $6.47 \%$ |

Source: Spring Membership 11-12, Discipline Incidents 11-12, Title III: Limited English Proficiency 11-12. Includes only discipline incidents resulting in suspension (in school or out of school) or expulsion.
For more data regarding discipline incidents, please see ODE's Searchable Discipline Tool:
http://www.ode.state.or.us/apps/Navigation/Navigation.Web/default.aspx\#/D iscipline.

[^4] http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

## Annual Measurable Objectives (AMO)

The No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, which was a reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), requires the annual determination of whether student subgroups at the school, district and state level meet Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) toward the goal of having all students meet rigorous state academic standards. Each year, the performance of all students in the school and district, as well as demographic subgroups such as special education and race/ethnicity, is measured against annual performance targets. For 2011-12 these Annual Measurable objectives were:

- Participation: 95 percent participation rates in statewide assessments in reading and math.
- Performance: 70 percent of students meeting or exceeding on statewide assessments in reading and math.
- Graduation: 67 percent of students graduating with a regular diploma within four years of entering high school and 72 percent of students graduating with a regular diploma within five years of entering high school.
- Attendance: attendance rates of 92 percent or higher. Applies to elementary/middle schools only.

State level data on the Annual Measurable Objectives is shown in the tables below. School and district data are available in detailed AMO reports at the link on the bottom of the next page.

## Performance and Graduation Details <br> 2011-12 State AMO Report

Performance Target for English/Language Arts and Mathematics is 70\%.
Four-Year Graduation target is 67\%; Five-Year target is $72 \%$.

| Student Subgroup | State Annual Measurable Objectives |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent Meeting or Exceeding |  | Cohort Graduation Rates |  |
|  | English/ <br> Language Arts ${ }^{1}$ | Mathematics ${ }^{1}$ | Four-Year Rate ${ }^{1}$ | Five-Year Rate |
| All Students | 77.46\% | 63.86\% | 67.0\% | 70.9\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 67.95\% | 52.12\% | 60.6\% | 65.4\% |
| Limited English Proficient | 48.37\% | 40.06\% | 50.8\% | 57.8\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 45.58\% | 30.79\% | 42.0\% | 46.8\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 81.19\% | 76.63\% | 76.9\% | 80.0\% |
| Asian | 83.50\% | 80.45\% | N/A ${ }^{2}$ | N/A ${ }^{2}$ |
| Pacific Islander | 66.37\% | 52.09\% | N/A ${ }^{2}$ | N/A ${ }^{2}$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | 61.34\% | 42.32\% | 51.7\% | 57.0\% |
| Hispanic origin | 61.83\% | 48.51\% | 56.5\% | 61.9\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 67.58\% | 49.14\% | 50.9\% | 54.7\% |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | 82.72\% | 68.78\% | 70.1\% | 73.6\% |
| Multi-Racial ${ }^{3}$ | 81.15\% | 66.98\% | 70.9\% | 69.3\% |

Notes:

1. Based on the last two years of data combined.
2. Asian and Pacific Islander cohort graduation rate data not yet available - these races were first reported separately in 2010-11, which does not yet allow for reliable graduation rates for these subgroups.
3. Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

# English / Language Arts (Reading Knowledge and Skills) Details 2011-12 State AMO Report 

Participation Target: 95\%

|  | Participation |  | Non Participation |  | Participation Denominator | Participation Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 |  |  |
| All Students | 296090 | 296116 | 1986 | 1705 | 595897 | 99 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 153555 | 158780 | 952 | 921 | 314208 | 99 |
| Limited English Proficient | 38760 | 38844 | 219 | 174 | 77997 | 99 |
| Students with Disabilities | 45223 | 44968 | 748 | 711 | 91650 | 98 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 13493 | 13679 | 81 | 76 | 27329 | 99 |
| Asian ${ }^{1}$ | 11659 | 11767 | 61 | 64 | 23551 | 99 |
| Pacific Islander ${ }^{1}$ | 1834 | 1912 | 20 | 12 | 3778 | 99 |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | 7612 | 7411 | 124 | 93 | 15240 | 99 |
| Hispanic origin | 60512 | 62132 | 404 | 348 | 123396 | 99 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 5534 | 5259 | 65 | 59 | 10917 | 99 |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | 195678 | 193401 | 1217 | 1039 | 391335 | 99 |
| Multi-Racial | 13261 | 14234 | 95 | 90 | 27680 | 99 |

Mathematics Knowledge and Skills Details 2011-12 State AMO Report
Participation Target: 95\%

|  | Participation |  | Non Participation |  | Participation Denominator | Participation Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 |  |  |
| All Students | 295504 | 295432 | 2504 | 2311 | 595751 | 99 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 153274 | 158362 | 1216 | 1298 | 314150 | 99 |
| Limited English Proficient | 38716 | 38805 | 261 | 220 | 78002 | 99 |
| Students with Disabilities | 45073 | 44774 | 879 | 863 | 91589 | 98 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 13476 | 13670 | 101 | 86 | 27333 | 99 |
| Asian ${ }^{1}$ | 11646 | 11763 | 80 | 68 | 23557 | 99 |
| Pacific Islander ${ }^{1}$ | 1830 | 1907 | 21 | 18 | 3776 | 99 |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | 7587 | 7383 | 148 | 119 | 15237 | 98 |
| Hispanic origin | 60417 | 62019 | 478 | 455 | 123369 | 99 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 5512 | 5224 | 85 | 91 | 10912 | 98 |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | 195268 | 192943 | 1579 | 1435 | 391225 | 99 |
| Multi-Racial ${ }^{2}$ | 13244 | 14193 | 113 | 125 | 27675 | 99 |

## Notes:

1. Asian and Pacific Islander races were first reported separately in 2010-11.
2. Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

Detailed AMO reports for Oregon public schools are available online at: http://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reportcard/reports.aspx

## School and District Report Cards

The Oregon Department of Education produces annual school and district report cards that provide parents and communities with consistent information about how local schools are performing. The Report Cards were established by the 1999 state legislature. The first report cards were issued in January 2000.

School report cards describe student performance on statewide assessments, attendance, dropout rates, graduation rates, class size, expulsions due to weapons, SAT scores, and teacher education and experience. The report card is a work in progress, and other elements may be included as the report card continues to evolve in measuring additional factors that lead to student success.

A growth model for student achievement was added in the 2008-09 Report Card which looks at individual student performance over time to allow schools, districts, and the state to analyze the rate at which student learning (i.e., growth) is occurring. By analyzing rates of growth it is possible to provide a more complete view of school performance than can be achieved by status models alone.

For 2001-02 through 2007-08, schools received the Overall rating of Exceptional, Strong, Satisfactory, Low, or Unacceptable. Beginning with 2008-09 report cards, the number of ratings categories was reduced to three: Outstanding, Satisfactory, and In Need of Improvement. Ratings are based on student performance on statewide assessments, improvement in student performance, attendance, graduation, and participation rates on statewide assessments.

In October 2012 Oregon began the process of redesigning the report cards and school rating system. The revised report cards are scheduled be available following the 2012-13 school year.

## Number of Schools Receiving Each Overall Rating by Year

| Overall Rating | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Exceptional | 147 | 157 | 129 |
| Strong | 436 | 457 | 414 |
| Satisfactory | 463 | 472 | 555 |
| Low | 24 | 24 | 20 |
| Unacceptable | 5 | 9 | 12 |


| Overall Rating | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11* | 2011-12** |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Outstanding | 399 | 426 | 333 | 364 |
| Satisfactory | 711 | 684 | 751 | 678 |
| In Need of Improvement | 61 | 45 | 98 | 113 |

* Math cut scores increased from 2009-10 to 2010-11, see http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?ID=7001\&TypeID=4
**Reading cut scores increased from 2010-11 to 2011-12, see
http://www.ode.state.or.us/go/readingachievement or page 28. The rating cut scores were adjusted to take this change into account.

To view specific district or school report cards, go to: http://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reportcard/reports.aspx

## Homeless Students in Oregon

The right of homeless children and youth to have equal access to the same free, appropriate public education provided to other children is ensured under the federal McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, Subtitle VII-B, Education of Homeless Children and Youth Program, enacted in 1987.

The Act requires that every district designate a Homeless Liaison to identify and provide services to homeless students, and to contribute to the annual data collection on preschool (ages 3-5) through grade 12 public schoolenrolled homeless children and youth.

The Education of Homeless Children and Youth Program works to ensure that school age-eligible homeless children and youth are provided with immediate school enrollment and access to education services, despite lack of a permanent residence, a supervising parent or legal guardian, or lack of records from a previous school. To reduce frequent school changes, districts are asked to stabilize homeless students in their school of origin, if feasible, even though the transportation route might involve crossing district boundaries.

## How is "Homeless" Defined?

For the purposes of the Education of Homeless Children and Youth Programs under the Elementary and Secondary Schools Act, homeless children and youth "lack a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence." A homeless family could live in an emergency shelter or transitional housing unit, share housing with others due to loss of housing or economic hardship, reside in motels or live in tents or trailers for lack of alternative, adequate housing. Unaccompanied minors who have been abandoned by their parents, or who have run away from home - whatever the reason - are also eligible for educational rights and services as homeless students.

What are the Living Situations of Homeless Students in Oregon?

| School <br> Year | In <br> Shelters | Sharing <br> Housing | Unsheltered | Motels |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2009-10^{*}$ | 1,778 | 14,944 | 2,577 | 672 |
| $2010-11$ | 2,016 | 16,643 | 2,149 | 824 |
| $2011-12$ | 2,533 | 16,151 | 1,798 | 900 |

*Does not include PK students enrolled in public pre-schools.

School districts are asked to provide information about the living situations of the homeless students they served. The majority of homeless students, both those in families and those who are not accompanied by their families, may share residences with relatives or friends due to loss of housing or experience economic hardship or similar circumstances.

## How are Homeless Students Counted?

State education agencies are required to submit an aggregate report of the counts from all school districts in the state to the U.S. Department of Education. To protect student confidentiality, individual students are not identified in the data collection. Media releases include district counts in which count numbers from 15 students are "suppressed" when the counts are reported publically.

Eight districts in Oregon, listed to the right, have the highest numbers of homeless students in the 2011-12 Homeless Student Count for students enrolled in grades K-12. The number of homeless students as a percent of each district's fall enrollment is also provided.

| DISTRICT | K-12 Total <br> Homeless <br> 2011-12 | \% of <br> enrollment <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beaverton SD 48J | 1809 | $4.62 \%$ |
| Portland SD 1J | 1447 | $3.13 \%$ |
| Medford SD 549C | 1235 | $9.70 \%$ |
| Reynolds SD 7 | 1008 | $8.90 \%$ |
| Salem-Keizer SD 24J | 842 | $2.09 \%$ |
| Bend-LaPine | 753 | $4.63 \%$ |
| Eugene SD 4J | 717 | $4.19 \%$ |
| Springfield SD 19 | 524 | $4.85 \%$ |

How many homeless students attend public schools in Oregon?

| Grade <br> Level | Count <br> 2011-12 |
| :---: | ---: |
| PK | 1,012 |
| KG | 1,644 |
| 1 | 1,537 |
| 2 | 1,561 |
| 3 | 1,516 |
| 4 | 1,414 |
| 5 | 1,401 |
| 6 | 1,383 |
| 7 | 1,385 |
| 8 | 1,360 |
| 9 | 1,417 |
| 10 | 1,465 |
| 11 | 1,573 |
| 12 | 2,730 |
| TOTAL | 21,382 |

## What are the trends in poverty and homelessness?

The number of K-12 homeless students in public schools is increasing at pace with unemployment and housing foreclosures rates in Oregon, as it is nationally. Despite the high number of students who qualify for McKinney-Vento Homeless Program assistance because they reside in "homeless" living situations, Oregon schools and districts are responding with help.

Homeless Student Count, K-12
2006-07 to 2011-12


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homeless Students* | 15,859 | 18,051 | 19,040 | 20,545 | 20,370 |
| Total Enrollment* | 559,708 | 558,522 | 556,171 | 558,558 | 558,056 |
| \% of Total Enrollment | $2.83 \%$ | $3.23 \%$ | $3.42 \%$ | $3.68 \%$ | $3.65 \%$ |

*K-12 Students enrolled in Oregon School Districts only. Excludes students enrolled in ESDs, correctional programs, and other non-school district run programs.


| Homeless Students by County of <br> Enrollment, 2011-12 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| County | Total Enrolled, PK - $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| Baker | 124 |
| Benton | 276 |
| Clackamas | 1492 |
| Clatsop | 256 |
| Columbia | 202 |
| Coos | 542 |
| Crook | 71 |
| Curry | 66 |
| Deschutes | 1142 |
| Douglas | 429 |
| Gilliam | 0 |
| Grant | 16 |
| Harney | 77 |
| Hood River | 41 |
| Jackson | 2047 |
| Jefferson | 152 |
| Josephine | 475 |
| Klamath | 330 |
| Lake | 12 |
| Lane | 2262 |
| Lincoln | 476 |
| Linn | 1040 |
| Malheur | 365 |
| Marion | 1611 |
| Morrow | 37 |
| Multnomah | 3894 |
| Polk | 129 |
| Sherman | $*$ |
| Tillamook | 137 |
| Umatilla | 240 |
| Union | 186 |
| Wallowa | 22 |
| Wasco | 94 |
| Washington | 2665 |
| Wheeler |  |
| Yamhill |  |
| *Sa |  |

*Suppressed; 5 or fewer students

NOTE: Students enrolled in a district that contains schools in more than one county are included in the total of the county where the district administrative office is located.

Of all K - 12 homeless students reported who were enrolled in public schools in 2011-2012, 3,913 were considered "unaccompanied minors" who were abandoned by parents, or had run away from home: an increase of over 9 percent from last school year. District Homeless Liaisons and high school counselors often act as an emergency contact for the homeless youths who typically do not have a parent or guardian looking after their educational best interests, to assist them with issues related to absenteeism, school performance, and progress toward their Oregon High School Diploma. The number of homeless students in grade 12 has been higher than other grade levels, and in proportion to grade 12 counts in other states, for several years.

## How do public school programs serve homeless students?

Services and accommodations for homeless students may include school transportation, tutoring, extended-day and summer school programs, shoes, clothing and hygiene supplies, and referrals to social services and housing programs. Local district general funds may be supplemented by Title I-A funds, McKinney-Vento competitive subgrants, community agencies and local donations. Many districts receive donations from community sponsors, foundations and local businesses to help provide resources for homeless students such as dental and medical care, glasses, mentoring, family support, and other services.

Partnerships extend across the state between school districts, communities, and county agencies working to end homelessness. Many Liaisons are involved in county Continuum of Care Committees and Homeless Councils, Oregon Pre-Kindergarten Programs, Runaway \& Homeless Youth Programs, and the Oregon Coalition on Homelessness and Housing. Liaisons find collaboration and assistance from non-profit agencies, coalitions and faith-based service organizations. The role of the Homeless Liaison in school districts, as well as the role of school districts in statewide efforts to prevent and end homelessness, has become an imperative. It is hoped that these collaborations will eventually help reduce the number of homeless students in Oregon.

2011-12 Percent Met or Exceeded Standard, All Grades


## McKinney-Vento Subgrant Projects

Oregon received $\$ 664,393$ in federal McKinney-Vento Act funds in 2011-12 to serve homeless students. More than $75 \%$ of this amount went to districts in the form of competitive subgrants. During the 2011-12 school year, 56 local districts were served by ten subgrant projects from this program.

McKinney-Vento Subgrant Projects were required to report results on Oregon State Achievement tests of the homeless students in their districts. Following are the results of those tests.

|  | Math |  |  | Reading* |  |  | Science |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | NUMBER OF HOMELESS STUDENTS TESTED IN MATH 2011-12 | PERCENT OF <br> HOMELESS <br> STUDENTS <br> WHO MET <br> OR <br> EXCEEDED <br> STANDARD <br> 2010-11 | PERCENT OF <br> HOMELESS <br> STUDENTS <br> WHO MET <br> OR <br> EXCEEDED <br> STANDARD <br> 2011-12 | NUMBER OF HOMELESS STUDENTS TESTED IN READING 2011-12 | PERCENT OF <br> HOMELESS <br> STUDENTS <br> WHO MET <br> OR <br> EXCEEDED <br> STANDARD <br> 2010-11 | PERCENT OF <br> HOMELESS <br> STUDENTS <br> WHO MET <br> OR <br> EXCEEDED <br> STANDARD <br> 2011-12 | NUMBER <br> OF <br> HOMELESS <br> STUDENTS <br> TESTED IN <br> SCIENCE <br> 2011-12 | PERCENT OF <br> HOMELESS <br> STUDENTS <br> WHO MET <br> OR <br> EXCEEDED <br> STANDARD <br> 2010-11 | PERCENT OF <br> HOMELESS <br> STUDENTS <br> WHO MET <br> OR <br> EXCEEDED <br> STANDARD <br> 2011-12 |
| 3 | 536 | 41.56\% | 48.88\% | 537 | 73.82\% | 55.68\% |  | , |  |
| 4 | 501 | 47.25\% | 45.91\% | 501 | 75.33\% | 56.69\% | , | , |  |
| 5 | 483 | 34.56\% | 40.58\% | 483 | 63.03\% | 56.52\% | 472 | 61.20\% | 55.72\% |
| 6 | 516 | 36.96\% | 34.88\% | 517 | 61.85\% | 41.78\% |  |  |  |
| 7 | 508 | 37.48\% | 38.98\% | 509 | 64.16\% | 59.14\% | , | , | , |
| 8 | 501 | 42.74\% | 40.32\% | 499 | 55.87\% | 48.10\% | 495 | 58.73\% | 49.49\% |
| HS | 484 | 31.02\% | 44.63\% | 506 | 47.39\% | 67.98\% | 467 | 47.33\% | 49.46\% |

*Reading cut scores increased from 2010-11 to 2011-12. See the Student Success section (page 28) for more details.
2011-12 Percent of Students Who Met or Exceeded Standard, by Grade Level


For more information about the ODE Education of Homeless Children and Youth Program:
Contact Dona Bolt, Coordinator: dona.bolt@state.or.us or visit the Homeless Education webpage on the ODE website at the following link: www.ode.state.or.us/Go/HomelessEd

Free and Reduced Price Lunch
Oregon Public Schools Number and Percent of All Students Eligible
October 1, 2011 Enrollment

| School Type and Level | Total Number of Students Eligible for Free and Reduced Price Lunch | Total Number of All Students 10/1/2011 ${ }^{1}$ | Free and Reduced Price Lunch Eligible Students as a Percent of All Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REGULAR |  |  |  |
| Elementary | 144,772 | 253,294 | 57\% |
| Middle/Jr. High | 55,085 | 101,659 | 54\% |
| High | 75,244 | 159,455 | 47\% |
| Combined | 2,771 | 5,513 | 50\% |
| ALTERNATIVE |  |  |  |
| Elementary | 826 | 2,152 | 38\% |
| Middle | 216 | 855 | 25\% |
| High | 1,734 | 2,719 | 64\% |
| Combined | 545 | 1,150 | 47\% |
| CHARTER |  |  |  |
| Elementary | 2,061 | 5,021 | 41\% |
| Middle | 115 | 350 | 33\% |
| High | 1,135 | 1,783 | 64\% |
| Combined | 2,042 | 4,489 | 45\% |
| ALL SCHOOLS (Includes REGULAR, ALTERNATIVE, CHARTER, and others noted below) |  |  |  |
| Elementary | 147,659 | 260,467 | 57\% |
| Middle | 55,416 | 102,864 | 54\% |
| High | 78,113 | 163,957 | 48\% |
| Combined | 5,358 | 11,152 | 48\% |
| Other | 236 | 594 | 40\% |
| Total | 286,782 | 539,034 | 53\% |

[^5]
## Student Success

## Indicators of Achievement

The Oregon Report Card provides statewide results of academic achievement along with other indicators of student success. Oregon measures student performance and progress in several ways: through statewide assessments at grades $3,4,5,6,7,8$, and 11; through national and international achievement tests; and through performance on college admissions tests such as the SAT and ACT. In addition, graduation and dropout rates, as well as school and district report cards, provide useful measures of student performance and progress.

## Statewide Tests Measure Standards

Oregon began testing students statewide in reading, writing, and mathematics in the spring of 1991. In 2001, science was added to the list of subjects tested for grades 8 and 10 , and the following year it was expanded to include grade 5 . Statewide tests are "criterion-referenced," meaning student performance is evaluated against predetermined standards. In 2010-11, the high school grade of accountability was changed from $10^{\text {th }}$ grade to $11^{\text {th }}$ grade.

In 2010, the State Board of Education adopted more rigorous achievement standards in mathematics for grades 3-8, effective for the 2010-11 school year.

In 2011, the Oregon State Board of Education increased science and reading achievement standards for grades 3-8, effective for the 2011-12 school year.

As a result of these changes, the percent of students meeting the standards in years after the cut score changed is not comparable to the percent in years before the cut score changed. ODE has adjusted the historical data for the year before the cut score changed, using the more recent scores, in order to measure student progress. For example, the percent of students meeting or exceeding the math standards in 2009-10 is presented twice - once using the 2009-10 cut scores that were in effect at the time, and once using the standards for 2010-11 and 2011-12.

Note: In 2008-09, ODE suspended the double scoring system for writing due to budgetary shortfalls. This may affect the comparability of writing scores to previous years. See
http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?ID=4626\&TypeID=4 for more information.
Scores Required to Meet or Exceed Standards on Statewide Assessments (cut scores)
2011-12

|  | Reading/ Literature |  | Math |  | Science |  | Writing |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Meet | Exceed | Meet | Exceed | Meet | Exceed | Meet | Exceed |
| Grade 3 | 211 | 224 | 212 | 219 | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | $N / A$ |
| Grade 4 | 216 | 226 | 219 | 227 | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | 32 | 40 |
| Grade 5 | 221 | 230 | 225 | 234 | 226 | 239 | $N / A$ | $N / A$ |
| Grade 6 | 226 | 237 | 227 | 237 | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | $N / A$ |
| Grade 7 | 229 | 241 | 232 | 242 | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | 40 | 50 |
| Grade 8 | 232 | 242 | 234 | 245 | 235 | 247 | $N / A$ | $N / A$ |
| High School | 236 | 247 | 236 | 251 | 240 | 252 | 40 | 50 |

Data from http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/results/?id=223
Green fill indicates that the cut score has increased from 2010-11 to 2011-12
Information about Oregon academic standards can be found at the following link: http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/results/?id=53

Test score data is online at:
http://www.ode.state.or.us/data/schoolanddistrict/testresults/reporting/pagrsurpressed.aspx

## Grade 3 Percent Meeting Standards

In 2011-12, third grade students were tested in reading and in mathematics.

Grade 3 All Students
Statewide Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards

Source: Oregon Assessment of Knowledge and Skills
*revised (on 2010-11 and/or 2011-12 standards)

## Grade 3 Extended Assessment Students

Percent Meeting or Exceeding
Reading and Math Alternate
Standards in 2011-12


Extended assessments are alternatives to grade level assessments for students with significant cognitive impairments. They are scored on a special scale. In 2006-07, the extended assessment system was expanded to include students who previously would have taken the CLRAS, which was discontinued.

Grade 3 Reading
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}(\mathbf{1 0}$ 11- <br> $\mathbf{1 2}$ standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $83 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $52 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $73 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $70 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $78 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $87 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $86 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $76 \%$ |

## Grade 3 Mathematics

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ (on 10- <br> 11 standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $78 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $51 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $86 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $62 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $66 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $69 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $83 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $81 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $67 \%$ |

[^6]Grade 3 Students by Subgroup
Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards in 2011-12


Note: Talented and gifted (TAG) subgroup percent is actually greater than $95 \%$ but the exact percent is not displayed to protect student confidentiality.


## Grade 4 Percent Meeting Standards

In 2011-12, fourth grade students were tested in reading and mathematics.

Grade 4 All Students
Statewide Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards

Grade 4 Extended Assessment Students
Percent Meeting or Exceeding Reading and Math Alternate Standards in 2011-12


Extended assessments are alternatives to grade level assessments for students with significant cognitive impairments. They are scored on a special scale. In 2006-07, the extended assessment system was expanded to include students who previously would have taken the CLRAS, which was discontinued.

## Grade 4 Reading

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ (on 11- <br> $\mathbf{1 2}$ standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $84 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $53 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $71 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $72 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $88 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $78 \%$ |

## Grade 4 Writing**

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2 * * *}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $44 \%$ | $41 \%$ | -- |
| Students with Disabilities | $16 \%$ | $14 \%$ | -- |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $58 \%$ | $57 \%$ | -- |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ | -- |
| Hispanic origin | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ | -- |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $31 \%$ | $28 \%$ | -- |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $47 \%$ | $44 \%$ | -- |
| Multi-racial | $49 \%$ | $43 \%$ | -- |

[^7]
## Grade 4 Mathematics

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | 2009-10 (on 10- <br> 11 standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $78 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $50 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $85 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $61 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $66 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $67 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $83 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $79 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $68 \%$ |

Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See
http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.
Grade 4 Students by Subgroup
Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards in 2011-12


Note: Talented and gifted (TAG) subgroup percent is actually greater than $95 \%$ but the exact percent is not displayed to protect student confidentiality.

## Grade 5 Percent Meeting Standards

In 2011-12, fifth grade students were tested in reading, mathematics, and science.

Grade 5 All Students
Statewide Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards

|  | $2009-10$ | $2010-11$ | $2011-12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $77 \%$ | $78 \%$ <br> $\left(64 \%^{*}\right)$ | $69 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $79 \%$ <br> $\left(45 \%^{*}\right)$ | $57 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| Science | $74 \%$ | $74 \%$ <br> $\left(70 \%^{*}\right)$ | $69 \%$ |

Source: Oregon Assessment of Knowledge and Skills

## Grade 5 Extended Assessment Students

Percent Meeting or Exceeding Alternate Standards in 2011-12

*revised (on 2010-11 and/or 2011-12 standards)
Extended assessments are alternatives to grade level assessments for students with significant cognitive impairments. They are scored on a special scale. In 2006-07, the extended assessment system was expanded to include students who previously would have taken the CLRAS, which was discontinued.

Grade 5 Reading
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}(\mathbf{0 n} \mathbf{1 1 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 2}$ standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $77 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $43 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | $31 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $59 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $59 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $66 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $82 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Grade 5 Mathematics

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | 2009-10 (on 10- <br> 11 standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $79 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $48 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $86 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $60 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $68 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $68 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $82 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $83 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ |

[^8]
## Grade 5 Science

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ (on 11- <br> $\mathbf{1 2}$ standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $74 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $50 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 7 \%}$ | $42 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $76 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $54 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $52 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $65 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $82 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $80 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ |

Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

Grade 5 Students by Subgroup
Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards in 2011-12


Note: Talented and gifted (TAG) subgroup percent is actually greater than $95 \%$ but the exact percent is not displayed to protect student confidentiality.

## Grade 6 Percent Meeting Standards

In 2011-12, sixth grade students were tested in reading and mathematics.

Grade 6 All Students
Statewide Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards

|  | $2009-10$ | $2010-11$ | $2011-12$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $77 \%$ | $79 \%$ <br> $\left(61 \%^{*}\right)$ | $65 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $74 \%$ <br> $\left(46 \%^{*}\right)$ | $59 \%$ | $59 \%$ |

Source: Oregon Assessment of Knowledge and Skills
*revised (on 2010-11 and/or 2011-12 standards)

## Grade 6 Extended Assessment Students

Percent Meeting or Exceeding Reading and Math Alternate Standards in 2011-12


Extended assessments are alternatives to grade level assessments for students with significant cognitive impairments. They are scored on a special scale. In 2006-07, the extended assessment system was expanded to include students who previously would have taken the CLRAS, which was discontinued.

Grade 6 Reading
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ (on 11- <br> $\mathbf{1 2}$ standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $77 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $39 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $82 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $63 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $60 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $66 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $82 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $81 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $70 \%$ |

## Grade 6 Mathematics

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ (on 10- <br> 11 standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $74 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $39 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $82 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $56 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $60 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $61 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $79 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $79 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $63 \%$ |

[^9]Grade 6 Students by Subgroup
Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards in 2011-12


Note: Talented and gifted (TAG) subgroup percent is actually greater than $95 \%$ but the exact percent is not displayed to protect student confidentiality.


## Grade 7 Percent Meeting Standards

In 2011-12, seventh grade students were tested in reading and mathematics.

Grade 7 All Students
Statewide Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards

|  | $2009-10$ | $2010-11$ | $2011-12$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $79 \%$ | $80 \%$ <br> $\left(72 \%^{*}\right)$ | $75 \%$ |
| Writing** | $50 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $* * *$ |
| Mathematics | $80 \%$ <br> $\left(51 \%^{*}\right)$ | $61 \%$ | $63 \%$ |

Source: Oregon Assessment of Knowledge and Skills
*revised (on 2010-11 and/or 2011-12 standards)

Grade 7 Extended Assessment Students
Percent Meeting or Exceeding Reading and Math Alternate Standards in 2011-12


Extended assessments are alternatives to grade level assessments for students with significant cognitive impairments. They are scored on a special scale. In 2006-07, the extended assessment system was expanded to include students who previously would have taken the CLRAS, which was discontinued.

## Grade 7 Reading

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}(\mathbf{n}$ 11- <br> $\mathbf{1 2}$ standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $79 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $83 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $61 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $62 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $70 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $83 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $84 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $80 \%$ |

Grade 7 Writing
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | 2011-12*** |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $50 \%$ | $52 \%$ | -- |
| Students with Disabilities | $15 \%$ | $16 \%$ | -- |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $63 \%$ | $65 \%$ | -- |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $32 \%$ | $42 \%$ | -- |
| Hispanic origin | $35 \%$ | $38 \%$ | -- |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $36 \%$ | $39 \%$ | -- |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $55 \%$ | $56 \%$ | -- |
| Multi-racial | $55 \%$ | $56 \%$ | -- |
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## Grade 7 Mathematics

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0 ~ ( o n ~ 1 0 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 1}$ standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $80 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $44 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | $24 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $90 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $61 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $69 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $69 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $83 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $83 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $68 \%$ |

Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See
http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.
Grade 7 Students by Subgroup
Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards in 2011-12


Note: Talented and gifted (TAG) subgroup percent is actually greater than $95 \%$ but the exact percent is not displayed to protect student confidentiality.

## Grade 8 Percent Meeting Standards

In 2011-12, eighth grade students were tested in reading, mathematics, and science.

Grade 8 All Students
Statewide Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards

|  | $2009-10$ | $2010-11$ | $2011-12$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $70 \%$ | $72 \%$ <br> $\left(66 \%^{*}\right)$ | $68 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $72 \%$ <br> $\left(53 \%^{*}\right)$ | $65 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Science | $71 \%$ | $71 \%$ <br> $\left(67 \%^{*}\right)$ | $66 \%$ |

Source: Oregon Assessment of Knowledge and Skills *revised (on 2010-11 and/or 2011-12 standards)

## Grade 8 Extended Assessment Students

Percent Meeting or Exceeding Alternate Standards in 2011-12


Extended assessments are alternatives to grade level assessments for students with significant cognitive impairments. They are scored on a special scale. In 2006-07, the extended assessment system was expanded to include students who previously would have taken the CLRAS, which was discontinued.

## Grade 8 Reading

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}(\mathbf{0 n} \mathbf{1 1 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 2}$ standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $70 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $75 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $52 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $52 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $58 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $75 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $73 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $71 \%$ |

## Grade 8 Mathematics

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0 ~ ( o n ~ 1 0 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 1}$ standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $72 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $33 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $83 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $51 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $57 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $60 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $76 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $74 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
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## Grade 8 Science

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | 2010-11 (on 11- <br> 12 standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $71 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $40 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $75 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $47 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $50 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $59 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $77 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $73 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $70 \%$ |

Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See
http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.
Grade 8 Students by Subgroup
Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards in 2011-12


Note: Talented and gifted (TAG) subgroup percent is actually greater than $95 \%$ but the exact percent is not displayed to protect student confidentiality.

## High School Percent Meeting Standards

In 2011-12, eleventh grade students were tested in reading, writing, mathematics, and science. In 2009-10 and earlier, students were tested in tenth grade.

High School All Students
Statewide Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards

2009-10 2010-11 2011-12

| Reading | $71 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Writing | $53 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $56 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| Science | $58 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $64 \%$ |

Source: Oregon Assessment of Knowledge and Skills

High School Extended Assessment Students
Percent Meeting or Exceeding Alternate Standards in 2011-12


Extended assessments are alternatives to grade level assessments for students with significant cognitive impairments. They are scored on a special scale. In 2006-07, the extended assessment system was expanded to include students who previously would have taken the CLRAS, which was discontinued.

## High School Reading

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | 2011-12* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | $83 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $29 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $73 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $49 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $49 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $60 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $78 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $75 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $86 \%$ |

*The cut score for meeting the standard did not increase for high school students - percent meeting or exceeding is the same on 2010-11 and 2011-12 standards.
High School Writing
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $53 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $14 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $62 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $36 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $34 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $39 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $58 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $58 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
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## High School Mathematics

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1 *}$ | 2011-12 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $56 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $17 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Asian /Pacific Islander | $72 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $31 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $38 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $38 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $61 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $58 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $68 \%$ |

*The cut score for meeting the standard did not increase for high school students - percent meeting or exceeding is the same on 2009-10 and 2010-11 standards.
High School Science
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2010-2012

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $58 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $23 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $61 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $31 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $47 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $65 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $57 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $67 \%$ |

Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

## High School Students by Subgroup

Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards in 2011-12


Note: Talented and gifted (TAG) subgroup percent is actually greater than $95 \%$ but the exact percent is not displayed to protect student confidentiality.

## National Comparison of Student Achievement

## The National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), also known as "The Nation's Report Card," has conducted nationally representative assessments since 1969 in many content areas, including social studies and the arts. Since 1990, NAEP has produced reports on student achievement at the state as well as the national level in mathematics, reading, science, and writing. The National Center for Education Statistics within the U.S. Department of Education is responsible for carrying out NAEP. The independent, non-partisan National Assessment Governing Board oversees and sets policy for NAEP.

## Differences between NAEP and OAKS

Unlike Oregon's Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (OAKS), NAEP does not provide individual scores for students, schools, or districts in Oregon for several reasons:

- NAEP is a survey assessment, so results are based on samples of students representative of the state or nation;
- Each student takes a small part of the overall assessment, so only when the scores are aggregated for groups of students are the data considered valid and reliable estimates of what students know and can do in the content area;
- Federal law requires that NAEP data remain confidential, so all personally identifiable information about students is removed from test booklets at the school on the day of the assessment.

There are other important differences between NAEP and OAKS assessments. The NAEP math and reading assessments are paper-pencil tests that include both multiple-choice and constructed-response items. The OAKS reading and math assessments are computer adaptive tests. OAKS math assessments include multiple-choice and machine-scored constructed-response items, while OAKS reading assessments consist of multiple-choice items. NAEP produces state results only for $4^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ graders, while OAKS reports results for students in grades 3-8 and high school. The content tested in a NAEP assessment is determined by a national panel of experts convened by the National Assessment Governing Board. OAKS tests the knowledge and skills laid out in the content standards crafted by Oregon educators.

## NAEP Assessment Schedule

In 2010-2011, NAEP conducted national and state assessments in mathematics and reading at grade 4 and in mathematics, reading, and science at grade 8. The results from the 2011 assessments are included in this report. A special study to link the results from the grade 8 NAEP 2011 mathematics and science assessments to the 2011 Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) will provide Oregon with projected international benchmarks to over 50 countries in mathematics and science. Results from the NAEP-TIMSS linking study will be released in late 2012 or early 2013. In 2011-2012, NAEP conducted a national assessment in economics at grade 12. Results will be reported in 2013. For 2012-2013, NAEP will conduct national and state assessments in mathematics and reading at grades 4 and 8 as well as national assessments in mathematics and reading at grade 12 .


## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Reading, Grade 4

NAEP reports achievement levels and average scale scores. Average scale scores for reading are expressed on a 0-500 scale. NAEP has three achievement levels: Basic, Proficient, and Advanced. The National Assessment Governing Board defines the NAEP achievement levels as follows:

NAEP Achievement Levels:

| Basic | Partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for <br> proficient work at each grade |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proficient | Solid academic performance for each grade assessed. Students reaching this level <br> have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter, including subject- <br> matter knowledge, application of such knowledge to real world situations, and <br> analytical skills appropriate to the subject matter |
| Advanced | Superior performance beyond proficient |


| 2011 NAEP Grade 4 Reading Results Achievement Levels \& Participation Rates |  | Advanced <br> \% | Proficient \% | Basic <br> \% | Below Basic \% | Participating \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Oregon | 7 | 23 | 32 | 37 | 97 |
|  | United States | 7 | 25 | 34 | 34* | 96* |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Oregon | 3 | 16 | 31 | 50 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 2 | 16 | 34 | 48 | $\sim$ |
| Limited English Proficient | Oregon | \# | 4 | 21 | 75 | 95 |
|  | United States | 1 | 6 | 24 | 70* | 89* |
| Students with Disabilities | Oregon | 2 | 7 | 15 | 76 | 84 |
|  | United States | 2 | 9 | 20* | 69* | 77* |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | Oregon | 16 | 31 | 25 | 28 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 17 | 32 | 30 | 21 | $\sim$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 3 | 15 | 31 | 51 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 2 | 14 | 32 | 51 | $\sim$ |
| Hispanic origin | Oregon | 2 | 11 | 28 | 60 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 2 | 15* | 33 | 50* | ~ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | Oregon | 7 | 20 | 33 | 39 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 4 | 15 | 30 | 51 | $\sim$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 8 | 27 | 34 | 30 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 10* | 32* | 35 | 23* | ~ |
| Multi-Racial ${ }^{1}$ | Oregon | 10 | 29 | 33 | 29 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 10 | 27 | 34 | 29 | $\sim$ |
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## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Reading, Grade 8

| 2011 NAEP Grade 8 Reading Results Achievement Levels \& Participation Rates |  | Advanced \% | Proficient \% | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Basic } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Below Basic \% | Participating \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Oregon | 3 | 30 | 43 | 24 | 98 |
|  | United States | 3 | 29 | 43 | 25 | 97* |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Oregon | 1 | 19 | 45 | 35 | ~ |
|  | United States | 1 | 17 | 45 | 37 | $\sim$ |
| Limited English Proficient | Oregon | \# | 1 | 21 | 78 | 94 |
|  | United States | \# | 3 | 26 | 71 | 86* |
| Students with Disabilities | Oregon | \# | 4 | 28 | 68 | 85 |
|  | United States | \# | 6 | 28 | 66 | 76* |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | Oregon | 9 | 30 | 31 | 31 | ~ |
|  | United States | 8 | 39 | 36 | 18* | ~ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 1 | 19 | 40 | 41 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 1 | 14 | 44 | 42 | $\sim$ |
| Hispanic origin | Oregon | 1 | 16 | 45 | 39 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 1 | 17 | 45 | 37 | $\sim$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | Oregon | 7 | 23 | 36 | 34 | ~ |
|  | United States | 2 | 20 | 42 | 36 | ~ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 3 | 34 | 44 | 19 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 4 | 37 | 43 | 16 | $\sim$ |
| Multi-Racial ${ }^{1}$ | Oregon | 6 | 36 | 41 | 17 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 4 | 31 | 42 | 23 | $\sim$ |

Legend
~ Not reported by U.S. Department of Education
\# Rounds to zero

* Value is significantly different from OR
${ }^{1}$ Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

Achievement level results from the 2011 NAEP reading assessment show that, for several student reporting groups, a larger percentage of Oregon 4th graders performed at the "Below Basic" level than their peers in the nation as a whole. This is true for all 4th graders as well as the Limited English Proficient, Students with Disabilities, Hispanic, and White student groups. Also, in comparison with their peers in the nation as a whole, smaller percentages of Oregon Hispanic and White students performed at the "Proficient" level, while a smaller percentage of Students with Disabilities performed at the "Basic" level and a smaller percentage of White students performed at the "Advanced" level.

In 8th grade, a larger percentage of Oregon Asian/Pacific Islander students performed at the "Below Basic" level than their peers in the nation's public schools.

## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Reading

## Grade 4 Average Scale Scores 1998-2011: Oregon and the Nation

In 1998, Oregon's $4^{\text {th }}$ graders scored statistically the same as the nation's $4^{\text {th }}$ graders. In 2011, Oregon's $4^{\text {th }}$ graders scored 216, which was statistically lower than the nation's (220).


* Value is significantly different from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2011.


## Grade 8 Average Scale Scores 1998-2011: Oregon and the Nation

In 1998, Oregon's $8^{\text {th }}$ graders scored statistically higher than the nation's $8^{\text {th }}$ graders. In 2011, Oregon's $8^{\text {th }}$ graders scored 264; the nation's also scored 264.


[^14]
## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Mathematics, Grade 4

NAEP reports achievement levels and average scale scores. Average scale scores for reading are expressed on a $0-500$ scale. NAEP has three achievement levels: Basic, Proficient, and Advanced. The National Assessment Governing Board defines the NAEP achievement levels as follows:

## NAEP Achievement Levels

| Basic | Partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for <br> proficient work at each grade |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proficient | Solid academic performance for each grade assessed. Students reaching this level <br> have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter, including subject- <br> matter knowledge, application of such knowledge to real world situations, and <br> analytical skills appropriate to the subject matter |
| Advanced | Superior performance beyond proficient |


| 2011 NAEP Grade 4 Math Results Achievement Levels \& Participation Rates |  | Advanced \% | Proficient \% | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Basic } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Below Basic \% | Participating \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Oregon | 6 | 30 | 41 | 23 | 97 |
|  | United States | 6 | 33* | 42 | 18* | 98 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Oregon | 2 | 20 | 45 | 33 | ~ |
|  | United States | 2 | 22 | 48 | 27* | ~ |
| Limited English Proficient | Oregon | \# | 5 | 37 | 57 | 94 |
|  | United States | 1 | 13* | 45 | 42* | 96 |
| Students with Disabilities | Oregon | 2 | 11 | 35 | 51 | 85 |
|  | United States | 2 | 15* | 38 | 46 | 84 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | Oregon | 17 | 34 | 32 | 16 | ~ |
|  | United States | 20 | 43 | 29 | 9 | $\sim$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 2 | 12 | 36 | 50 | ~ |
|  | United States | 1 | 16 | 49* | 34* | $\sim$ |
| Hispanic origin | Oregon | 1 | 14 | 43 | 42 | ~ |
|  | United States | 2 | 22* | 48 | 28* | ~ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | Oregon | 3 | 18 | 38 | 41 | ~ |
|  | United States | 2 | 22 | 44 | 32 | ~ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 7 | 36 | 41 | 16 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 9 | 43* | 39 | 9* | $\sim$ |
| Multi-Racial ${ }^{1}$ | Oregon | 8 | 38 | 36 | 18 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 9 | 34 | 43 | 15 | ~ |
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## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Mathematics, Grade 8

| 2011 NAEP Grade 8 Math Results Achievement Levels \& Participation Rates |  | Advanced <br> \% | Proficient \% | Basic <br> \% | Below Basic \% | Participating \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Oregon | 7 | 25 | 39 | 28 | 99 |
|  | United States | 8 | 26 | 39 | 28 | 97* |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Oregon | 2 | 18 | 41 | 38 | ~ |
|  | United States | 2 | 16 | 41 | 41 | ~ |
| Limited English Proficient | Oregon | \# | 5 | 22 | 73 | 98 |
|  | United States | 1 | 4 | 24 | 72 | 93* |
| Students with Disabilities | Oregon | 2 | 5 | 22 | 71 | 89 |
|  | United States | 1 | 6 | 25 | 67 | 80* |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | Oregon | 18 | 31 | 33 | 18 | ~ |
|  | United States | 22 | 33 | 30 | 15 | $\sim$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 1 | 17 | 30 | 51 | ~ |
|  | United States | 1 | 11 | 37 | 50 | ~ |
| Hispanic origin | Oregon | 2 | 15 | 41 | 42 | ~ |
|  | United States | 3 | 17 | 40 | 40 | $\sim$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | Oregon | 3 | 13 | 29 | 55 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 4 | 14 | 38 | 45 | $\sim$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 9 | 29 | 40 | 22 | ~ |
|  | United States | 10 | 33* | 39 | 17* | $\sim$ |
| Multi-Racial ${ }^{1}$ | Oregon | 7 | 29 | 34 | 30 | ~ |
|  | United States | 10 | 27 | 38 | 24 | ~ |

Legend
~ Not reported by U.S. Department of Education
\# Rounds to zero

* Value is significantly different from OR
${ }^{1}$ Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

Achievement level results from the 2011 NAEP math assessment show that, for several student reporting groups, a larger percentage of Oregon 4th graders performed at the "Below Basic" level than their peers in the nation as a whole. This is true for all 4th graders as well as the Economically Disadvantaged, Limited English Proficient, Black, Hispanic, and White student groups. Also, in comparison with their peers in the nation as a whole, smaller percentages of all Oregon 4th graders as well as the Limited English Proficient, Students with Disabilities, Hispanic, and White student groups performed at the "Proficient" level, while a smaller percentage of Black students performed at the "Basic" level.

In 8th grade, a larger percentage of Oregon White students performed at the "Below Basic" level and a smaller percentage of White students performed at the "Proficient" level than their peers in the nation as a whole.

## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Mathematics

## Grade 4 Average Scale Scores 2000-2011: Oregon and the Nation

In 2000, Oregon's $4^{\text {th }}$ graders scored statistically the same as the nation's $4^{\text {th }}$ graders. In 2011, Oregon's $4^{\text {th }}$ graders scored 237, which was statistically lower than the nation's (240).


* Value is significantly different from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2011.

Grade 8 Average Scale Scores 2000-2011: Oregon and the Nation
In 2000, Oregon's th $^{\text {th }}$ graders scored statistically higher than the nation's $8^{\text {th }}$ graders. In 2011, Oregon's $8^{\text {th }}$ graders scored 283; the nation's also scored 283.
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## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Science, Grade 4

NAEP reports achievement levels and average scale scores. Average scale scores for science are expressed on a 0-300 scale. NAEP has three achievement levels: Basic, Proficient, and Advanced. The National Assessment Governing Board defines the NAEP achievement levels as follows:

## NAEP Achievement Levels

| Basic | Partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for <br> proficient work at each grade |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proficient | Solid academic performance for each grade assessed. Students reaching this level <br> have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter, including subject- <br> matter knowledge, application of such knowledge to real world situations, and <br> analytical skills appropriate to the subject matter |
| Advanced | Superior performance beyond proficient |

A new science framework was introduced in 2009, so testing results are not comparable to previous years. Therefore, trend data is not included in this section.

| 2009 NAEP Grade 4 Science Results Achievement Levels \& Participation Rates |  | Advanced \% | Proficient \% | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Basic } \\ \% \end{array}$ | Below Basic \% | Participating \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Oregon | 1 | 33 | 40 | 27 | 98 |
|  | United States | 1 | 32 | 39 | 29 | 97 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Oregon | \# | 19 | 41 | 40 | ~ |
|  | United States | \# | 16 | 40 | 44 | $\sim$ |
| Limited English Proficient | Oregon | \# | 4 | 31 | 65 | 94 |
|  | United States | \# | 5 | 28 | 67 | 93 |
| Students with Disabilities | Oregon | \# | 20 | 34 | 45 | 83 |
|  | United States | \# | 15* | 33 | 51* | 87 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | Oregon | 3 | 41 | 36 | 20 | ~ |
|  | United States | 2 | 43 | 36 | 20 | ~ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | \# | 12 | 40 | 47 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | \# | 10 | 36 | 54 | $\sim$ |
| Hispanic origin | Oregon | \# | 12 | 35 | 53 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | \# | 13 | 39 | 48 | ~ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | Oregon | \# | 25 | 40 | 35 | ~ |
|  | United States | \# | 19 | 41 | 40 | $\sim$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 1 | 39 | 41 | 20 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 1 | 45* | 40 | 14* | ~ |
| Multi-Racial ${ }^{1}$ | Oregon | 1 | 39 | 39 | 21 | ~ |
|  | United States | 1 | 33 | 42 | 24 | $\sim$ |
| Legend <br> ~ Not reported by U.S. Department of Education <br> \# Rounds to zero <br> * Value is significantly different from OR <br> ${ }^{1}$ Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Science, Grade 8

| 2011 NAEP Grade 8 Science Results Achievement Levels \& Participation Rates |  | Advanced \% | Proficient \% | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Basic } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Below Basic \% | Participating \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Oregon | 2 | 34 | 35 | 30 | 98 |
|  | United States | 2 | 29* | 34 | 36* | 98 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Oregon | \# | 23 | 35 | 41 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | \# | 16* | 32 | 52* | $\sim$ |
| Limited English Proficient | Oregon | \# | 1 | 10 | 89 | 96 |
|  | United States | \# | 2 | 14 | 83 | 94 |
| Students with Disabilities | Oregon | 1 | 11 | 24 | 64 | 88 |
|  | United States | \# | 9 | 22 | 68 | 87 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | Oregon | 2 | 38 | 35 | 25 | ~ |
|  | United States | 3 | 38 | 33 | 26 | $\sim$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | $\sim$ | ~ | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | \# | 9 | 27 | 64 | $\sim$ |
| Hispanic origin | Oregon | \# | 13 | 32 | 54 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | \# | 16 | 32 | 52 | ~ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | Oregon | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 1 | 18 | 33 | 48 | ~ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 2 | 41 | 35 | 22 | ~ |
|  | United States | 2 | 40 | 36 | 21 | ~ |
| Multi-Racial ${ }^{1}$ | Oregon | 2 | 36 | 40 | 22 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 3 | 31 | 35 | 31 | $\sim$ |

Legend
~ Not reported by U.S. Department of Education
\# Rounds to zero

* Value is significantly different from OR
${ }^{1}$ Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

Achievement level results from the 2009 NAEP science assessment show that, in comparison with their peers in the nation as a whole, a smaller percentage of Oregon 4th grade Students with Disabilities performed at the "Below Basic" level and a larger percentage performed at the "Proficient" level. However, a larger percentage of Oregon White 4th graders performed at the "Below Basic" level, and a smaller percentage at the "Proficient" level, than White students in the nation as a whole.

Achievement level results from the 2011 NAEP science assessment show that smaller percentages of all Oregon 8th graders as well as Economically Disadvantaged students performed "Below Basic" than their peers in the nation as a whole. Larger percentages of all Oregon 8th graders and Economically Disadvantaged students reached the "Proficient" level than their peers in the nation as a whole.

## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Science

## Grade 4 Average Scale Scores 2009: Oregon and the Nation

In 2009, Oregon's 4th graders scored statistically higher than the nation's 4th graders.


## Grade 8 Average Scale Scores 2011: Oregon and the Nation

In 2009, Oregon's 8th graders scored statistically higher than the nation's 8th graders. In 2011, Oregon's 8th graders scored 155, which was statistically higher than the nation's (151).
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## College Admission Tests

Students preparing for admission to various post-secondary programs take a variety of tests or go through other screening procedures. Two of the most widely established college admissions tests are the ACT (American College Testing Program) and the SAT Reasoning Test.

## American College Testing Program (ACT)

The number of Oregon high school graduates who took the ACT college admission and placement exam increased in 2012. A total of 12,462 students in the Oregon graduating class of 2012 took the ACT, the highest Oregon total ever, as compared to 11,715 in 2011. This represents 38 percent of the state's 2012 graduating class, compared to 35 percent who took the ACT in 2011. Nationally, 52 percent of graduating seniors took the ACT in 2012, as compared to 49 percent in 2011, another all-time high.

Often, increased participation correlates with lower average scores, but in 2012, Oregon's average ACT dropped only slightly, from 21.5 in 2011 to 21.4 in 2012, and the percent of students meeting all of the ACT's benchmarks increased from $27 \%$ to $29 \%$. The national average score remained steady at 21.1 , with $25 \%$ of students meeting all four ACT benchmarks.

## Percent Meeting ACT College Readiness Benchmarks

 Oregon students who took the ACT exam, 2008-2012

The ACT tests student knowledge of English, math, reading, and science reasoning. Possible scores range from 1 to 36. ACT data is posted at http://www.act.org/newsroom/data/.

## SAT Reasoning Test

18,813 graduating seniors took the SAT in Oregon in 2012, an increase from 2011 when 18,754 graduating seniors took the test.

## Oregon and United States SAT Scores 2006-2012



Historically, Oregon students have outscored U.S. students on the SAT. In 2012, Oregon students scored 25 points higher than the national average on the critical reading test, 9 points higher than the national average on the mathematics test and 10 points higher than the national average on the writing test.

Source: The College Board
Key displays the most recent year's average score.

Among the states that tested at least 50 percent of their high school graduates ${ }^{1}$, Oregon students were tied with New Hampshire's for the highest average score in the nation on the SAT critical reading section ${ }^{2}$, and tied with Vermont's for fourth on mathematics. ${ }^{3}$ Washington State participation (58\%) was slightly higher than Oregon's (57\%), and Washington students outperformed Oregon students on both math and writing.

Oregon students averaged 498 on the SAT writing section, ranking seventh in the nation among the states that tested at least 50 percent of their high school graduates. 24 states tested at least 50 percent of their graduates.

Oregon and Washington SAT Scores: 2006-2012
Data labels display most recent year's average score

${ }^{1}$ The percentage of high school graduates is based upon the projection of high school graduates in 2002, 2011 and 2012 by the Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education (WICHE), and the number of students in the class of 2002, 2011 and 2012 who took the SAT in each state.
${ }^{2}$ Critical Reading test replaced Verbal in 2007
${ }^{3}$ Mean SAT Scores by State at http://media.collegeboard.com/homeOrg/content/pdf/sat-report-college-career-readiness-2012.pdf Data labels display the most recent year's average score.
Source: The College Board
As the table on the next page indicates, there appears to be a wide gap in both critical reading/verbal and math scores by race/ethnicity. Since this information is derived from a voluntary survey of SAT test takers and is neither a census ( $100 \%$ of test takers) nor a scientifically administered survey, these results should be used with caution. This is because the non-respondents ( 426 students, or $2.26 \%$ of Oregon SAT takers) could be of any race, and they might not be distributed in the same proportion as those who identified their race/ethnicity. Because of this, the scores for each race/ethnicity may not represent the true average of that specific race/ethnicity.

SAT data for the 2011-12 Statewide Report Card includes all students from the Oregon report at:
http://media.collegeboard.com/digitalServices/pdf/research/OR 120303 01.pdf. For results limited to public school students only, see:
http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?ID=8567\&TypeID=5. $89 \%$ of Oregon students who took the SAT were enrolled in a public school at the time that they took the exam.

Oregon and Nation - All Schools

|  | Oregon - All Schools |  |  |  |  | Nation - All Schools |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Test Takers | \% of Total | Critical <br> Reading | Math | Writing | \# of Test Takers | \% of Total | Critical <br> Reading | Math | Writing |
| Total Change from last year | 18,813 | 100.00\% | 521 | 523 | 498 | 1,664,479 | 100.00\% | 496 | 514 | 488 |
|  | 0.31\% |  | 1 | 2 | -1 | 1.05\% |  | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| Male <br> Change from last year | 8,458 | 44.96\% | 525 | 544 | 492 | 778,142 | 46.75\% | 498 | 532 | 481 |
|  | 2.05\% |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0.98\% |  | -2 | 1 | -1 |
| Female Change from last year | 10,355 | 55.04\% | 517 | 505 | 503 | 886,337 | 53.25\% | 493 | 499 | 494 |
|  | -1.06\% |  | -1 | -1 | -2 | 1.12\% |  | -2 | -1 | -2 |
| American Indian Change from last year | 295 | 1.57\% | 482 | 485 | 448 | 9,716 | 0.58\% | 482 | 489 | 462 |
|  | 0.34\% |  | -9 | 3 | -10 | 5.11\% |  | -2 | 1 | -3 |
| Asian Change from last year | 1,756 | 9.33\% | 510 | 565 | 503 | 192,577 | 11.57\% | 518 | 595 | 528 |
|  | -0.68\% |  | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4.75\% |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Black <br> Change from last year | 486 | 2.58\% | 466 | 453 | 446 | 217,656 | 13.08\% | 428 | 428 | 417 |
|  | -9.33\% |  | 13 | 13 | 10 | 0.85\% |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Mexican American <br> Change from last year | 1,210 | 6.43\% | 451 | 458 | 436 | 108,238 | 6.50\% | 448 | 465 | 443 |
|  | 15.02\% |  | 2 | 5 | 3 | 9.15\% |  | -3 | -1 | -2 |
| Puerto Rican Change from last year | 38 | 0.20\% | 526 | 529 | 506 | 27,793 | 1.67\% | 452 | 452 | 442 |
|  | -5.00\% |  | 13 | 24 | 16 | 4.80\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Hispanic Change from last year | 570 | 3.03\% | 470 | 471 | 452 | 136,602 | 8.21\% | 447 | 461 | 442 |
|  | 4.20\% |  | 4 | 5 | -2 | 7.55\% |  | -4 | -1 | -2 |
| White Change from last year | 13,597 | 72.27\% | 532 | 528 | 507 | 852,144 | 51.20\% | 527 | 536 | 515 |
|  | -0.20\% |  | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1.56\% |  | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| Other Change from last year | 435 | 2.31\% | 540 | 534 | 517 | 62,340 | 3.75\% | 491 | 516 | 491 |
|  | 0.93\% |  | 8 | 6 | 4 | 6.20\% |  | -2 | -1 | -1 |
| No Response Change from last year | 426 | 2.26\% | 519 | 517 | 492 | 57,413 | 3.45\% | 444 | 502 | 448 |
|  | -7.79\% |  | -5 | 7 | -3 | -6.11\% |  | -4 | 6 | -2 |

The ethnicity categories are determined and reported by The College Board and may not correspond to the ethnicity categories reported in other sections of this report card.
Source: The College Board

## Advanced Placement (AP) Exams

Although these exams are not required for college admission, students may choose to take them while in high school. Many colleges and universities offer course credit for certain scores on AP exams. The number of exams taken by Oregon students has almost doubled since 2005, according to data provided by the College Board.

## AP Scores

"The AP Program periodically conducts college score comparability studies in all AP subjects. These studies compare the performance of AP students with that of college students in the courses for which successful AP students will receive credit. In general, the AP composite score
 cutpoints are set so that the lowest composite score for an AP score of 5 is equivalent to the average score for college students earning scores of A. Similarly, the lowest composite scores for AP scores of 4, 3, and 2 are equivalent to the average scores for students with college scores of B, C, and D, respectively. Students who earn AP Exam scores of 3 or above are generally considered to be qualified to receive college credit and/or placement into advanced courses due to the fact that their AP Exam scores are equivalent to a college course score of "middle C" or above. However, the awarding of credit and placement is determined by each college or university and students should check with the institution to verify its AP credit and placement policies." - The College Board

See http://research.collegeboard.org/programs/ap/data for more information.
Mean Scores, Oregon and US, All AP Subjects


## The Oregon Diploma

In June 2008, the State Board of Education adopted new graduation requirements. These requirements are designed to better prepare each student for success in college, work, and citizenship. To earn a diploma, students will need to successfully complete the credit requirements, demonstrate proficiency in essential skills, and meet the personalized learning requirements. Students also have the option to earn credit by demonstrating proficiency.

Credit Requirements \& Phase-In

| Subject Areas* | Cohort Year 2008-09** <br> (expected graduation in <br> 2012) | Cohort Year 2010-11** <br> (expected graduation in <br> 2014) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| English/Language Arts | 4 | 4 |
| Mathematics | 3 | 3 - Algebra I \& above* |
| Science | 3 - Scientific Inquiry \& Lab <br> Experiences** | 3 |
| Social Sciences | 3 | 3 |
| Physical Education | 1 | 1 |
| Health | 1 | 1 |
| Second Language | 3 | 3 |
| The Arts |  |  |
| Career \&Technical Ed | 6 | 6 |
| Electives | 24 | 24 |
| Total Credits |  |  |

*Applied and integrated courses aligned to standards can meet credit requirements.

- Lab experiences ( 2 credits) can take place outside of the school in field-based experiences.


## Credit for Proficiency

This provides students the opportunity to earn graduation credits within Oregon's standards-based system by demonstrating what they know and can do. Students may demonstrate proficiency through classroom work or documentation of learning experiences outside of school, or through a combination of these means. The State Board adopted revised OAR 581-022-1131 Credit Options in April 2009.

## Essential Skills

Beginning in 2012 (2011 for early graduates), students must demonstrate proficiency in identified Essential Skills (ES). These are 21st century skills needed for success in college, the workplace, and civic life. The State Board approved three assessment options for students to demonstrate Essential Skill proficiency: (1) state test, or (2) local performance assessments consistent with state criteria, or (3) other approved standardized test (SAT, ACT, PLAN, PSAT, Work Keys, Compass, ASSETT).

Essential Skills Required* by Cohort Year**
2008-09: Read and comprehend a variety of texts 2009-10: Write clearly and accurately
2010-11: Apply mathematics in a variety of settings
*Revised Essential Skills timeline adopted by the State Board, August 2009.

Essential Skills to be Phased In over Subsequent Years

- Think critically and analytically
- Use technology to learn, live, and work
- Demonstrate civic and community engagement
- Demonstrate global literacy
- Demonstrate personal management and teamwork skills
- Listen actively and speak clearly and coherently


## ${ }^{* *}$ Cohort year is the school year of the student's first enrollment in any high school grade.

Beginning in 2013, ODE will publish an Essential Skills Report. See
http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?ID=8641\&TypeID=6 for details.

## Personalized learning

These requirements personalize the diploma for each student and help prepare them for their post-high school goals.
Education Plan and Profile: Students develop an Education Plan and Profile to guide their learning and document academic achievement and progress toward their personal, career, and post-high school goals.

Career-Related Learning Experiences: Students participate in experiences that connect classroom learning with real life experiences in the workplace, community, and/or school relevant to their education plan.

Extended Application: Students apply and extend their knowledge in new and complex situations related to the student's personal and career interests and post-high school goals through critical thinking, problem solving, or inquiry in real world contexts.

Career-Related Learning Standards (CRLS): Students demonstrate personal management, communication, problem solving, teamwork, employment foundations, and career development. The CRLS merged with the Essential Skills in 2012.


## Oregon Graduation Rates

The Oregon Department of Education (ODE) published the first cohort graduation rates in spring 2010 for use in accountability reports. Consistent with federal Title I requirements, Oregon is transitioning from the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) model to the cohort model for graduation rates. This year, as last year, the Statewide Report Card and AYP include a 4 -year cohort rate and a 5 -year cohort rate, and no longer include the NCES rate.

## Summary of 2006-07 Cohort Graduation Rates

The cohort below consists of the students who first entered high school in 2006-07. The cohort is adjusted for students who move into or out of the system, emigrate, or are deceased. The cohort graduation rate is calculated by taking the number of students in the cohort who graduated with a regular diploma within four (or five) years and dividing that by the total number of students in the cohort. The four-year rate consists of outcomes as of the end of the 2009-10 school year. The five-year rate consists of outcomes as of the end of the 2010-11 school year.

Oregon Cohort Statewide High School Graduation Rates, 2006-07 High School Cohort

| Subgroup | 4- year Cohort Rate |  |  | 5-year Cohort Rate |  |  | Change from 4-year rate to 5-year rate *** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adjusted Cohort from 2006-07 to 2009-10 | Graduates with a Regular High School Diploma | Cohort Graduation Rate | Adjusted Cohort from 2006-07 to 2010-11 | Graduates with a Regular High School Diploma | Cohort Graduation Rate |  |
| All students | 49,640 | 32,951 | 66.38\% | 49,265 | 34,930 | 70.90\% | 4.52\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2,167 | 1,650 | 76.14\% | 2,143 | 1,714 | 79.98\% | 3.84\% |
| Native <br> American/Alaskan Native | 1,148 | 577 | 50.26\% | 1,140 | 624 | 54.74\% | 4.48\% |
| African American | 1,592 | 793 | 49.81\% | 1,573 | 896 | 56.96\% | 7.15\% |
| Hispanic | 7,937 | 4,385 | 55.25\% | 7,933 | 4,913 | 61.93\% | 6.68\% |
| White | 35,327 | 24,709 | 69.94\% | 35,322 | 25,983 | 73.56\% | 3.62\% |
| Multi-Ethnic/MultiRacial | 980 | 656 | 66.94\% | 1154 | 800 | 69.32\% | 2.39\% |
| Unknown | 489 | 181 | 37.01\% | *** | *** | *** |  |
| Female | 23,986 | 16,976 | 70.77\% | 23,809 | 17,814 | 74.82\% | 4.05\% |
| Male | 25,654 | 15,975 | 62.27\% | 25,456 | 17,116 | 67.24\% | 4.97\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 23,195 | 13,871 | 59.80\% | 22,660 | 14,816 | 65.38\% | 5.58\% |
| Not Economically Disadvantaged | 26,445 | 19,080 | 72.15\% | 26,605 | 20,114 | 75.60\% | 3.45\% |
| Limited English Proficient | 4,305 | 2,138 | 49.66\% | 4,278 | 2,474 | 57.83\% | 8.17\% |
| Not Limited English Proficient | 45,335 | 30,813 | 67.97\% | 44,987 | 32,456 | 72.15\% | 4.18\% |
| Special Education | 6,479 | 2,707 | 41.78\% | 6,470 | 3,026 | 46.77\% | 4.99\% |
| Not Special Education | 43,161 | 30,244 | 70.07\% | 42,795 | 31,904 | 74.55\% | 4.48\% |
| Talented and Gifted | 4,728 | 4,258 | 90.06\% | 4,716 | 4,340 | 92.03\% | 1.97\% |
| Not Talented and Gifted | 44,912 | 28,693 | 63.89\% | 44,549 | 30,590 | 68.67\% | 4.78\% |

[^18]Oregon Cohort Statewide High School Graduation Rates, 2007-08 High School Cohort
Outcomes as of the end of the 2010-11 school year

| Subgroup | Adjusted Cohort from 200708 to 2010-11 | Graduates with a Regular High School Diploma | Cohort Graduation Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All students | 48,173 | 32,587 | 67.65\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2,100 | 1,632 | 77.71\% |
| Native American/Alaskan Native | 1,038 | 536 | 51.64\% |
| African American | 1,408 | 758 | 53.84\% |
| Hispanic | 8,328 | 4,809 | 57.74\% |
| White | 33,647 | 23,642 | 70.26\% |
| Multi-Ethnic/Multi-Racial | 1652 | 1210 | 73.24\% |
| Female | 23,229 | 16,828 | 72.44\% |
| Male | 24,944 | 15,759 | 63.18\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 23,422 | 14,363 | 61.32\% |
| Not Economically Disadvantaged | 24,751 | 18,224 | 73.63\% |
| Limited English Proficient | 4,133 | 2,152 | 52.07\% |
| Not Limited English Proficient | 44,040 | 30,435 | 69.11\% |
| Special Education | 6,375 | 2,693 | 42.24\% |
| Not Special Education | 41,798 | 29,894 | 71.52\% |
| Talented and Gifted | 4,659 | 4,222 | 90.62\% |
| Not Talented and Gifted | 43,514 | 28,365 | 65.19\% |

Source: Cohort Media Files, http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=2644. The file also provides district and school-level data, including counts of students with other outcomes, such as modified diplomas or continuing enrollment.

Note: Multi-Racial/Multi-Ethnic does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

| 4-Year Cohort Graduation Rates by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort | Total | Asian/Pacific <br> Islander | Native <br> American/ <br> Alaskan <br> Native | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | Multi-Ethnic/ <br> Multi-Racial |  |
| $2005-06$ | $66.2 \%$ | $78.5 \%$ | $51.7 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ |  |
| $2006-07$ | $66.4 \%$ | $76.1 \%$ | $50.3 \%$ | $49.8 \%$ | $55.3 \%$ | $69.9 \%$ | $66.9 \%$ |  |
| $2007-08$ | $67.7 \%$ | $77.7 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ | $53.8 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ | $70.3 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ |  |

Source: Cohort Media Files, http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=2644. The file also provides district and school-level data, including counts of students with other outcomes, such as modified diplomas or continuing enrollment.

Note: Multi-Racial/Multi-Ethnic does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

## Types of High School Outcomes in 2010-11 (2007-08 High School Cohort)

Regular Diploma: Diploma earned when students have fulfilled all school district requirements and state requirements ( 22 credits, plus competencies) as described in ORS 329.451 and OAR 581-022-1130.

Modified Diploma, Extended Diploma, Alternative Certificate: Diploma/credential received by students who have met the requirements as defined in ORS 329.451 and OAR 581-022-1133 through 1135.

All Adjusted 2007-08 High School Cohort Outcomes (including non-completers) by Race/Ethnicity, 2010-11


Honorary Diplomas (awarded to foreign exchange students) are not included.
Source: Oregon Department of Education, Cohort Media File (more details available at http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=2644)
Due to small bar size, data labels are not displayed for Adult High School Diplomas, Extended Diplomas, and Alternative Certificates. See the Cohort Media File for the counts.

## DROPOUT RATES

Dropout Rate Formula: The one-year statewide dropout rate calculation is made by dividing the number of dropouts (for grades 9-12) by the number of students reported on the October 1 Membership (Enrollment) Data Collection for grades 9-12.

## Oregon Statewide Dropout Rate Calculation

For a given school year:
$\frac{\text { Number of Dropouts for Grades } 9-12}{\text { October 1st Enrollment for Grades } 9-12}$
Dropout rates are also calculated for schools and districts.
For more information, access the following webpage: http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=1

Oregon High School Dropout Rates


## Oregon Dropout Rates by Race/Ethnicity

Minority students were disproportionately represented among Oregon's dropouts. Hispanic students comprised $18 \%$ of the total grade 9-12 October 1 enrollment in 2010-11, but represented $26 \%$ of total dropouts. This October 1 enrollment for Hispanics is a slight increase from $17 \%$ in 2009-10, while the dropout rate remained constant for Hispanics at 4.7\% in 2009-10 and 2010-11.

African American students comprised 3\% of grade 9-12 October 1 enrollment in 2010-11 (the same as in 2008-09 and 2009-10), but represented 5\% of all dropouts in 2010-11 The dropout rate for African American students decreased significantly from $6.2 \%$ in 2009-10 to $5.6 \%$ in 2010-11

Male students dropped out at a higher rate than female students. Males comprised $51.7 \%$ of the grade 9-12 October $1^{\text {st }}$ enrollment and $58.3 \%$ of the dropouts. Male students of every race/ethnicity dropped out at a higher rate than female students of the same race/ethnicity. The difference was particularly striking among African American students. $4.6 \%$ of African American Female students (in 9-12 grades) dropped out in 2010-11, compared to $6.5 \%$ of African American male students.

Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See
http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announce ment.aspx?=4630 for more information.

Percent of Fall Membership by Race/Ethnicity Grades 9-12 2010-11


Percent of All Dropouts by Race/Ethnicity with Counts Grades 9-12 2010-11


## Oregon Dropout Rates by Race/Ethnicity - Grades 9-12

 2004-05 to 2010-11

Note that ethnicity definitions changed from 2008-09 to 2009-10. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

## Special Programs

Many Oregon students receive additional services through special programs to assist them in school.

## Special Education

The number of Oregon students receiving special education services through the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) has averaged 13\% of total enrollment over the last five years.

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2002- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2003- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004- \\ 05 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2005- \\ 06 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2006- \\ 07 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2007- \\ 08 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2008- \\ 09 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009- \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special <br> Education | 71,875 | 70,824 | 71,398 | 71,517 | 71,834 | 72,051 | 72,838 | 73,449 | 74,099 | 74,430 |
| Total Enrollment | 554,071 | 551,410 | 552,339 | 559,254 | 562,828 | 566,067 | 564,064 | 561,698 | 561,628 | 560,946 |
| \% of Total Enrollment | 13.0\% | 12.8\% | 12.9\% | 12.8\% | 12.8\% | 12.7\% | 12.9\% | 13.1\% | 13.2\% | 13.3\% |

Sources: December Special Education Child Count, Fall Membership
Number of Special Education Students - School Age (Ages 5-21)


Number of Students with Disabilities (Ages 5-21)
Each special education student in Oregon has at least one of the eleven different disabilities listed for school age students in the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Over time, several disability categories have shown an increase in the number of identified students. These changing percentages reflect trends in the field and require that the Department of Education, Office of Student Learning and Partnerships keep up with the ever-changing needs of Oregon's children.

| Type of Disability | 2006-07 <br> Number of <br> Students | 2011-12 <br> Number of <br> Students | Percent <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Autism | 5,752 | 7,948 | $38.2 \%$ |
| Deaf/Blindness | 13 | 13 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Emotional Disturbance | 4,688 | 4,605 | $-1.8 \%$ |
| Hearing Impairment/Deaf | 847 | 854 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Intellectual Disability* | 4,264 | 3,877 | $-9.1 \%$ |
| Other Health Impairment | 8,212 | 10,482 | $27.6 \%$ |
| Orthopedic Impairment | 803 | 790 | $-1.6 \%$ |
| Specific Learning Disability | 28,997 | 27,077 | $-6.6 \%$ |
| Visual Impairment | 323 | 330 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Communication Disorder | 17,663 | 18,180 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Traumatic Brain Injury | 272 | 274 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Total | 71,834 | 74,430 | $3.6 \%$ |

[^19]
## School Age Students with Disabilities Receiving Special Education Services

2011-12 School Year
Total: 74,430 Students


Placement of School Age Special Education Students by Disability - 2011-12

|  | Regular Class <br> $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ or Greater | Regular Class <br> $\mathbf{4 0 \% - - 7 9 \%}$ | Regular Class <br> $<\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | Other* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autism | $50.49 \%$ | $16.85 \%$ | $29.69 \%$ | $2.97 \%$ |
| Deaf/Blindness | $84.62 \%$ | $7.69 \%$ | $7.69 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Emotional Disturbance | $55.44 \%$ | $15.09 \%$ | $21.28 \%$ | $8.19 \%$ |
| Hearing Impairment/Deaf | $64.40 \%$ | $11.48 \%$ | $11.12 \%$ | $13.00 \%$ |
| Intellectual Disability** | $13.88 \%$ | $28.99 \%$ | $54.11 \%$ | $3.02 \%$ |
| Other Health Impairment | $71.62 \%$ | $15.70 \%$ | $10.20 \%$ | $2.48 \%$ |
| Orthopedic Impairment | $36.08 \%$ | $14.56 \%$ | $44.43 \%$ | $4.94 \%$ |
| Specific Learning Disability | $80.57 \%$ | $16.79 \%$ | $1.60 \%$ | $1.03 \%$ |
| Visual Impairment | $50.30 \%$ | $14.24 \%$ | $28.79 \%$ | $6.67 \%$ |
| Communication Disorder | $88.51 \%$ | $6.41 \%$ | $2.74 \%$ | $2.34 \%$ |
| Traumatic Brain Injury | $54.01 \%$ | $19.71 \%$ | $21.90 \%$ | $4.38 \%$ |
| Total Special Education <br> Population | $72.12 \%$ | $14.55 \%$ | $10.80 \%$ | $2.52 \%$ |

[^20]
## Early Childhood - Oregon Head Start Pre-Kindergarten (OHS PreK) Programs

OHS PreK is a high quality, comprehensive, early childhood program serving children ages three to five from families living at or below the federal poverty level. The program offers integrated services to support school readiness in the areas of:

- Early childhood education and development
- Child health and nutrition
- Parent education and family support

There are 28 Oregon Head Start Prekindergarten (OHS PreK) programs receiving state funds. These programs serve children in all 36 counties in Oregon. Programs receive funding from the Federal Office of Head Start, the Oregon Department of Education, or both. A state and federal partnership agreement allows grantees to blend funding to provide a seamless, integrated program that is tailored to meet the needs of children and families in their community.

OHS PreK programs serve children with the highest needs from families living at or below the federal poverty level. Children ages 3-5 living in poverty are considered eligible for Head Start. Children in foster care and children who are homeless are automatically income eligible. At least $10 \%$ of enrollment is reserved for children with disabilities. While federal law allows up to $10 \%$ of Head Start slots to be filled by children from over-income families who meet locally based need criteria, OHS PreK services are free for qualifying children.

The 2011-2013 Oregon Legislative approved budget for Oregon Prekindergarten is $\$ 61,119,891$ million per year, which funds 7,290 enrollment slots for children in Oregon Head Start Prekindergarten (OHS PreK) programs. The 2011-2013 budget appropriation restored 606 enrollment slots lost due to budget cuts in 2010-2011 and expanded the program by an additional 736 slots. When combined with Federal and other funding sources, total OHS PreK funded enrollment for 2011-2012 was 13,366. An estimated 12,523 of the enrollment slots were filled by age eligible children living at or below the federal poverty level. The remaining slots were filled by children with other identified risk factors.

| School Year | Number of <br> Children <br> Eligible for <br> Services* | Number <br> of Eligible <br> Children <br> Served | Number of <br> Eligible <br> Children <br> Not Served | Percent of <br> Eligible <br> Children <br> Served | Percent of <br> Eligible <br> Children <br> Not Served |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $2006-2007$ | 17,249 | 9,793 | 7,456 | $57.0 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ |
| $2007-2008$ | 18,154 | 11,325 | 6,829 | $62.4 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ |
| $2008-2009$ | 18,444 | 12,582 | 5,862 | $68.2 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ |
| $2009-2010$ | 17,894 | $11,938^{* *}$ | 5,956 | $66.7 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
| $2010-2011$ (revised) | 19,605 | $10,686^{* *}$ | 8,919 | $54.5 \%$ | $43.5 \%$ |
| $2011-2012$ | 19,605 | $12,523^{* *}$ | 7,082 | $63.9 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ |

[^21]
## Talented and Gifted (TAG)

Talented and gifted (TAG) children are defined by the State of Oregon as "those children who require special educational programs or services, or both, beyond those normally provided by the regular school program in order to realize their contribution to self and society and who demonstrate outstanding ability or potential" in one of the recognized areas of giftedness (ORS 343.391 ). Oregon statutes and administrative rules require school districts to identify TAG students and to provide TAG students with instruction that is designed to meet their assessed levels of learning and accelerated rates of learning (OAR 581-022-1330). The needs of identified TAG students are met through a combination of classroom instruction and enrichment programming.

## Oregon Talented and Gifted Students 2011-12 Statewide

Total: 40,375 Students (7.3\% of Spring Membership Enrollment)*

| State-defined |  |  | Districtdefined | District Option to Identify |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intellectually Gifted | Academically <br> Talented Reading | Academically <br> Talented - <br> Math | Potential to Perform at the 97th Percentile | Creativity** | Leadership** | Visual and Performing Arts** |
| 18,626 | 16,841 | 15,494 | 4,588 | 58 | 52 | 39 |

*It is possible for individual students to have multiple identifications.
**Districts may choose to identify students in this TAG category. It is permissible for a student to be identified in one of these three optional categories who was not in one of the four required TAG identifications.
Source: Spring Student Membership TAG data
School districts are required to identify TAG students in the categories of Intellectually Gifted, Academically Talented-Reading, Academically TalentedMathematics, and Potential to Perform at the $97^{\text {th }}$ Percentile. The definition of Potential to Perform at the $97^{\text {th }}$ Percentile may be determined locally by individual districts. The Oregon Department of Education defines the categories Intellectually Gifted and Academically Talented in either Reading or Mathematics. Districts also have the option to identify students in three other areas: creativity, leadership, and visual and performing arts. All TAG identification is based on a body of evidence and multiple data points.

Complete TAG Oregon Revised Statute and Oregon
Administrative Rule information is available from the Oregon
Department of Education website at:
http://www.ode.state.or.us/go/TAG

| Subgroup | Number <br> of TAG <br> Students | Percent <br> of TAG <br> Students | Number <br> of All <br> Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { of All } \\ & \text { Students } \end{aligned}$ | Percent of <br> Subgroup <br> Identified <br> as TAG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 40,375 | 100.00\% | 553,279 | 100.00\% | 7.30\% |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 21,454 | 53.14\% | 284,130 | 51.35\% | 7.55\% |
| Female | 18,921 | 46.86\% | 269,149 | 48.65\% | 7.03\% |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 30,113 | 74.58\% | 361,083 | 65.26\% | 8.34\% |
| Hispanic | 3,406 | 8.44\% | 116,391 | 21.04\% | 2.93\% |
| Native American | 291 | 0.72\% | 9,774 | 1.77\% | 2.98\% |
| Asian | 3,583 | 8.87\% | 22,053 | 3.99\% | 16.25\% |
| African American | 518 | 1.28\% | 13,900 | 2.51\% | 3.73\% |
| Pacific Islander | 123 | 0.30\% | 3,662 | 0.66\% | 3.36\% |
| Multi-Racial | 2,341 | 5.80\% | 26,416 | 4.77\% | 8.86\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 9,736 | 24.11\% | 291,905 | 52.75\% | 3.34\% |
| Not Economically Disadvantaged | 30,639 | 75.89\% | 261,374 | 47.24\% | 11.72\% |
| Special Education** | 1,454 | 3.60\% | 77,501 | 14.01\% | 1.88\% |
| Not Special Education | 38,921 | 96.40\% | 475,778 | 85.98\% | 8.18\% |

**Students may be TAG-identified while also being identified for Special Education, or "Twice Exceptional" Source: All student data based on Spring Student Membership
Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

| School Year | TAG Graduates | All Graduates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2010-11$ (07-08 Cohort) | $4,222(90.6 \%)$ | $32,587(67.6 \%)$ |
| $2009-10$ (06-07 cohort) | $4,258(90.1 \%)$ | $32,-951(66.4 \%)$ |
| $2008-09$ (05-06 cohort) | $4,-1037(91.6 \%)$ | $33,557(66.2 \%)$ |

Source: Cohort Graduation Rates (data not comparable to previous Statewide Report Cards)

## Alternative Education Programs

An alternative education program is a school or separate class group designed to best serve students' educational needs and interests and assist students in achieving the academic standards of the school district and the state. (ORS 336.615)

School districts provide alternative education programs for students who need

- additional academic supports because they do not meet state academic standards,
- additional academic supports because they are exceeding academic standards, or
- additional behavioral supports.

Alternative education programs are also provided for students who

- are pregnant or are parenting,
- have been expelled from school,
- have dropped out of school, or are at risk of dropping out, or
- need additional supports to earn a diploma

In general, Oregon student enrollment in alternative education remained at similar levels as compared with past years, while there continued to be a decline in the number of programs and services. Reductions in district resources are likely the primary reason for the decrease in the number of alternative education programs and services in 2012. Districts report that on average they serve an estimated 15,000 students in alternative education statewide. "Alternative education program" means a school or separate class group designed to best serve students educational needs and interests and assist students in achieving the academic standards of the school district and the state (ORS 336.615).

Alternative Education Services in Oregon
By Type of Program Service -- Number of Students
Source: ODE Alternative Education Data Collection

|  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |$|$| School Year |
| :--- |
| Type of Operation |

District alternative schools and programs, smaller learning communities, programs within schools as well as a variety of alternative, charter and magnet schools and programs of choice are utilized to meet individualized student learning needs. District and school report cards depict summative data for all students enrolled at these schools and districts. In addition, school districts offer a variety of guidance and career counseling services, tutoring, small-group instruction, online/blended learning, career related learning experiences, and proficiency credit options to support student achievement.

District alternative schools and programs, smaller learning communities, programs within schools as well as a variety of alternative, charter and magnet schools and programs of choice are utilized to meet individualized student learning needs. District and school report cards depict summative data for all students enrolled at these schools and districts. In addition, school districts offer a variety of guidance and career counseling services, tutoring, small-group instruction, online/blended learning, career related learning experiences, and proficiency credit options to support student achievement.


Source: ODE Alternative Education Data Collection

Alternative education is included in school district improvement planning and goal setting. Often alternative schools are regionally accredited as "special purpose schools" and are required to be at least annually evaluated by districts to assure they are comprehensive, contributing instruction on content standards and essential skills, and offering the courses required for high school graduation with an Oregon Diploma, Extended Diploma, Modified Diploma or Alternative Certificate. Some school districts have begun including educational program data and information about schools/programs of choice in achievement compacts required in Oregon's next generation system of accountability. At least annual evaluation and site visits are required and result in "compliance" information that supports school boards in their annual approval of programs. These program evaluations are required to include the review of an annual statement of expenditures to ensure that the program enhances the ability of the district and its students to achieve district and state standards (ORS 336.655).

The majority of alternative education is estimated to occur in schools and programs operated by the student's resident school district (40\%), but a consistent percentage of alternative program services have been offered by private organizations (30\%) and community colleges (15\%) with the rest occurring in programs operated by the Education Service District (ESD) or in another district, school or program. In general, with statewide reductions in funding, school districts have continued the trend of being selective about additional services they are able to provide and programs where they place students.

Alternative Education Services in Oregon
by Type of Program Service -- Number of Programs

| School Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Operation | Number of <br> Programs | Percent of <br> Programs | Number of <br> Programs | Percent of <br> Programs | Number of <br> Programs | Percent of <br> Programs |
| Resident School District | 173 | $41.00 \%$ | 165 | $40.94 \%$ | 168 | $43.75 \%$ |
| Another School District | 12 | $2.80 \%$ | 15 | $3.72 \%$ | 11 | $2.86 \%$ |
| Private Program | 125 | $29.60 \%$ | 117 | $29.03 \%$ | 101 | $26.30 \%$ |
| Community College | 68 | $16.10 \%$ | 63 | $15.63 \%$ | 58 | $15.10 \%$ |
| Educational Service District (ESD) | 28 | $6.60 \%$ | 23 | $5.71 \%$ | 25 | $6.51 \%$ |
| Other Program | 7 | $1.70 \%$ | 6 | $1.49 \%$ | 7 | $1.82 \%$ |
| Terminated Program | 9 | $2.10 \%$ | 14 | $3.47 \%$ | 14 | $3.65 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 2 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{4 0 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{3 8 4}$ |  |

Source: ODE Alternative Education Data Collection


## Type of Program Services Statewide

Alternative education programs must continue to be designed and evaluated for quality as well as compliance to assure they address the diverse student needs the social behaviors as well as knowledge/skills with a level of rigor that will enable youth to be successful in post-secondary education and careers. The National Alternative Education Association (NAEA) describes youth attending alternative education programs as students who were found to have not been succeeding in the traditional education setting. Traditional school staff is left to respond to the needs of an increased number of students that might benefit from alternative programs and attempt to do so through personalized learning experiences in accordance with a student's education plan and profile. A number of school districts have responded by offering differing types of online/blended learning and intervention programs. Alternative schools and programs offer innovative, non-traditional approaches to teaching and learning which helps to prevent these students from becoming dropouts and assists the state and district in serving all students.

For the past two years federal School Improvement Grants (SIG) have provided significant resources to alternative schools. Common issues have emerged with the "transformation model" for improvement chosen by these schools. Eight alternative schools were among the sixteen schools statewide that received School Improvement Grant (SIG) funding. The National Dropout Prevention Center (NDPC) published Alternative Schools: Best Practices for Development and Evaluation, Effective Strategies for School Improvement, which suggests that the simple reporting of output indicators such as class graduation rate, suspension rate, attendance rate, etc., is only a snapshot of one brief moment in time relating to the operation and effectiveness of the [alternative] school. In order to accurately evaluate alternative programs in the context for which they are designed, it is imperative that district and school leaders and program directors collect longitudinal data to document the impacts of their programming and the quality of student services over time.

Alternative Education Services in Oregon
By Type of Program Service -- Number of Services

|  | Number of <br> Services Provided <br> TYPES OF PROGRAM SERVICES STATEWIDE | Number of <br> Services Provided <br> 2010 | Number of <br> Services Provided <br> 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with At-Risk Behaviors | 296 | 281 | 262 |
| Remediation, Credit Recovery, or GED | 270 | 240 | 247 |
| Pregnant or Parenting Students | 95 | 85 | 91 |
| Students Advanced Beyond Standards | 73 | 67 | 62 |
| Other Programs | 29 | 29 | 27 |
| Total Number of Services | $\mathbf{7 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 9}$ |

Source: ODE Alternative Education Data Collection
Alternative Education Services in Oregon
By Grade Range - Number of Students

| GRADE RANGE | Number of <br> Students Using <br> Services 2010 | Number of <br> Students Using <br> Services 2011 | Number of <br> Students Using <br> Services 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Secondary | 13,519 | 11,993 | 13,764 |
| Elementary | 1,860 | 1,581 | 1,564 |
| Total Services | 15,379 | 13,574 | 15,328 |

Source: ODE Alternative Education Data Collection

## Links to Reference Documents

Oregon Alternative Education: http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/results/?id=78
Oregon Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS): www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=553 Oregon Response to Intervention (RTI): www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=315 Alternative Schools: Best Practices for Development and Evaluation: Effective Strategies for School Improvement: http://www.eric.ed.gov/ERICWebPortal/detail?accno=ED481475

Visit topic pages for topic contact information. Topic contacts are generally listed at the bottom of the page on ODE sites.

## School and District Accountability

Elementary and Secondary Education Act
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc
h/results/?id=75
Highly Qualified Teachers http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=102

Annual Measurable Objectives
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/page/?id=1192

Unsafe School Choice Option (USCO) and Persistently Dangerous Schools
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/page/?id=1319

Oregon School \& District Report
Cards and Adequate Yearly
Progress (AYP)/ Annual
Measurable Objectives (AMO)
http://www.ode.state.or.us/data/ reportcard/reports.aspx

Quality Education Model
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=166

Next Generation of Accountability
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/page/?id=3475

## School Funding and Finance

State School Fund
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc
h/results/?id=168
K-12 Stimulus (ARRA)
http://stimulus.k12partners.org/
Special Education Funding
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc
h/page/?id=894


## Student Information

Fall Membership Report
http://www.ode.state.or.us/wma/ teachlearn/testing/fallmembershi p2010-11finalpub.xls

Historical Student Enrollment and Demographics
http://www.ode.state.or.us/data/ reports/toc.aspx\#Students

Limited English Proficient
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=106

School Nutrition/Free and Reduced Price Lunch
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=207

## Teacher Information

## Teacher Licensure

http://www.tspc.state.or.us

Highly Qualified Teachers
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc
h/results/?id=102


## Special Programs

and Information

## Alternative Education

http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=78

Charter Schools
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=124

## Early Childhood

http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?=252

## Homeless Students

http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?=113

Special Education Programs
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=40

Talented and Gifted
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=76

## Title I

Title I-A : Improving Basic Programs
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=95

Title I-B1: Reading First http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=96

Title I-B2: Early Reading First
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=97
Administered by U.S. Dept. of Education, not ODE

Title I-C: Migrant Education http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=99

Title I-D: Neglected and Delinquent or At-Risk Children http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=100

## Student <br> Achievement

Oregon Statewide Assessment
http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/res ults/? id=169

National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP)
http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/res ults/?id=163
http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard
Proficiency-Based Admissions
Standards System - Oregon University System
http://www.ous.edu/
Scholastic Assessment Test (SAT)
http://www.collegeboard.com
American College Testing (ACT)
http://www.act.org
Advanced Placement (AP)
http://www.collegeboard.com/student/ testing/ap/about.html

High School Completers
http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/pag e/?id=878

Cohort Graduation Rate
http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/pag e/?id=2644

## Dropout Reports

http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/pag e/? id=1

## Data Collections

https://district.ode.state.or.us/apps/info/
Other Resources and Topic Area Information
http://search.ode.state.or.us/default.aspx



[^0]:    *Math Standards increased from 2009-10 to 2010-11. See page 28 for details.
    Reading Standards Increased from 2010-11 to 2011-12. See page 28 for details.
    Assessment data by school and district available via the Education Data Explorer, http://www.ode.state.or.us/apps/Navigation/Navigation.Web/\#/PAGR

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Source: Spring Membership 2012

[^2]:    Columns may not sum to total due to rounding
    Source: Actuals from audited financial reports of School Districts and Education Service Districts

[^3]:    Source: Oregon Department of Education
    High and low poverty schools are, respectively, the highest and lowest $25 \%$ of schools ranked by percentage of students eligible for free or reduced-priced lunches. High and low minority schools are the highest and lowest $25 \%$ of schools ranked by percentage of students identified as minority races or ethnicities.

[^4]:    Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note: Includes October 1 Membership (column B) for the 1,188 schools and programs in the 2011-12 report at
    http://www.ode.state.or.us/sfda/reports/r0061Select.asp which reported at least one student eligible for free or reduced lunch. Students attending schools that did not report free/reduced lunch data are not included in the totals.
    Source: Oregon Department of Education

[^6]:    Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

[^7]:    **Due to budget constraints most 4th and 7th grade writing assessments were only scored using one rater (versus the two rater system that is generally employed). This may affect comparability to previous years' assessments.
    ***During the 2011 legislative session, in response to budgetary restrictions, the Oregon Legislature voted to suspend funding for the state writing test at grades 4 and 7.
    Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See
    http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

[^8]:    Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See
    http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

[^9]:    Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See
    http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

[^10]:     This may affect comparability to previous years' assessments.
     and 7.
    Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

[^11]:    Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

[^12]:    Note: Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See
    http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

[^13]:    Legend
    ~ Not reported by U.S. Department of Education
    \# Rounds to zero

    * Value is significantly different from OR
    ${ }^{1}$ Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

[^14]:    * Value is significantly different from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2011.

[^15]:    Legend
    ~ Not reported by U.S. Department of Education
    \# Rounds to zero

    * Value is significantly different from OR
    ${ }^{1}$ Multi-Racial does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

[^16]:    * Value is significantly different from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2011.

[^17]:    * Value is significantly different from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2011.

[^18]:    Source: Cohort Media Files, http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=2644. The file also provides district and school-level data, including counts of students with other outcomes, such as modified diplomas or continuing enrollment.
    ***Unknown/declined to report was not a valid ethnic code in the 2010-11 school year. Students previously reported as unknown/declined to report were assigned to one of the valid ethnic groups by the district in the event that the student was no longer available to self-identify. Note: Multi-Racial/Multi-Ethnic does not include students who reported Hispanic Ethnicity - these students are all reported under Hispanic. See
    http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?=4630 for more information.

[^19]:    Source: December Special Education Child Count
    *Before 2010-11, this category was labeled "Mental Retardation"

[^20]:    * This category includes students in corrections, home school, separate schools, parentally placed in private schools, hospital programs, homebound, public and private facilities.
    **Before 2010-11, this category was labeled "Mental Retardation"
    Percentages reflect the portion of students with the listed disability in each placement. Previous years' report cards used the total number of special education students as the denominator.
    Note: not all rows sum to total due to rounding.

[^21]:    * State population and poverty rates for children ages 3-4 were provided by Kanhaiya Vaidya, Senior Demographer for the Office of Economic Analysis, based on age group numbers from the 2010 Decennial Census and poverty characteristics from the 2009 American Community Survey. Numbers for 2006-2007 through 2009-2010 were estimates based on data from the 2000 census. 2010-2011 data were revised when the 2010 census data became available and reflect an increase in the number of young children in Oregon living in poverty due to significant economic factors in the past several years.
    ** Starting in 2009-2010, the number of children served and the percent served/not served calculations do not include children from over-income families.
    Source: Oregon Department of Education, Office of Student Learning and Partnerships
    Oregon Head Start Prekindergarten Annual Estimates of Eligible 3 and 4 Year-Olds

