

RTI Fidelity of Implementation Rubric

The Response to Intervention (RTI) Fidelity Rubric is for use by individuals who are responsible for monitoring school-level fidelity of RTI implementation. The rubric is aligned with the essential components of RTI and the infrastructure that is necessary for successful implementation. It is accompanied by a worksheet with guiding questions and score points for use in an interview with a school’s RTI leadership team.

<i>Assessments—Screening, progress monitoring, and other supporting assessments are used to inform data-based decision making.</i>			
Measures	1	3	5
<i>Screening—The RTI framework accurately identifies students at risk of poor learning outcomes or challenging behaviors.</i>			
Screening Tools	Insufficient evidence that the screening tools are reliable, correlations between the instruments and valued outcomes are strong, and predictions of risk status are accurate.	Evidence indicates that the screening tools are reliable, correlations between the instruments and valued outcomes are strong, and predictions of risk status are accurate, but staff is unable to articulate the supporting evidence.	Evidence indicates that the screening tools are reliable, correlations between the instruments and valued outcomes are strong, and predictions of risk status are accurate, and staff is able to articulate the supporting evidence.
Universal Screening	One or none of the following conditions is met: (1) screening is conducted for all students (i.e., is universal); (2) procedures are in place to ensure implementation accuracy (i.e., all students are tested, scores are accurate, cut points/decisions are accurate); and (3) a process to screen all students occurs more than once per year (e.g., fall, winter, spring).	Two of the following conditions are met: (1) screening is conducted for all students (i.e., is universal); (2) procedures are in place to ensure implementation accuracy (i.e., all students are tested, scores are accurate, cut points/decisions are accurate); and (3) a process to screen all students occurs more than once per year (e.g., fall, winter, spring).	All of the following conditions are met: (1) screening is conducted for all students (i.e., is universal); (2) procedures are in place to ensure implementation accuracy (i.e., all students are tested, scores are accurate, cut points/decisions are accurate); and (3) a process to screen all students occurs more than once per year (e.g., fall, winter, spring).
Data Points to Verify Risk	Screening data are not used or are used alone to verify decisions about whether a student is or is not at risk.	Screening data are used in concert with at least one other data source (e.g., classroom performance, curriculum-based assessment, performance on state	Screening data are used in concert with at least two other data sources (e.g., classroom performance, performance on state assessments, diagnostic assessment

		assessments, diagnostic assessment data, short-term progress monitoring) to verify decisions about whether a student is or is not at risk.	data, short-term progress monitoring) to verify decisions about whether a student is or is not at risk.
Progress Monitoring —Ongoing and frequent monitoring of progress quantifies rates of improvement and informs instructional practice and the development of individualized programs. Measures are appropriate for the student’s grade and/or skill level.			
Progress-Monitoring Tools	Selected progress-monitoring tools meet no more than one of the following criteria: (1) have sufficient number of alternate forms of equal and controlled difficulty to allow for progress monitoring at recommended intervals based on intervention level; (2) specify minimum acceptable growth; (3) provide benchmarks for minimum acceptable end-of-year performance; and (4) reliability and validity information for the performance-level score is available.	Selected progress-monitoring tools meet two or three of the following criteria: (1) have sufficient number of alternate forms of equal and controlled difficulty to allow for progress monitoring at recommended intervals based on intervention level; (2) specify minimum acceptable growth; (3) provide benchmarks for minimum acceptable end-of-year performance; and (4) reliability and validity information for the performance-level score is available.	Selected progress-monitoring tools meet all of the following criteria: (1) have sufficient number of alternate forms of equal and controlled difficulty to allow for progress monitoring at recommended intervals based on intervention level; (2) specify minimum acceptable growth; (3) provide benchmarks for minimum acceptable end-of-year performance; and (4) reliability and validity information for the performance-level score is available and staff is able to articulate the supporting evidence.
Progress-Monitoring Process	Neither of the following conditions is met: (1) progress monitoring occurs at least monthly for students receiving secondary-level intervention and at least weekly for students receiving intensive intervention; and (2) procedures are in place to ensure implementation accuracy (i.e., appropriate students are tested, scores are accurate, decision-making rules are applied consistently).	Only one of the following conditions is met: (1) progress monitoring occurs at least monthly for students receiving secondary-level intervention and at least weekly for students receiving intensive intervention; and (2) procedures are in place to ensure implementation accuracy (i.e., appropriate students are tested, scores are accurate, decision-making rules are applied consistently).	Both of the following conditions are met: (1) progress monitoring occurs at least monthly for students receiving secondary-level intervention and at least weekly for students receiving intensive intervention; and (2) procedures are in place to ensure implementation accuracy (i.e., appropriate students are tested, scores are accurate, decision-making rules are applied consistently).

Data-Based Decision Making—Data-based decision-making processes are used to inform instruction, movement within the multilevel system, and disability identification (in accordance with state law).

Measures	1	3	5
Decision-Making Process	The mechanism for making decisions about the participation of students in the instruction/ intervention levels meets no more than one of the following criteria: The process (1) is data-driven and based on validated methods; (2) involves a broad base of stakeholders; and (3) is operationalized with clear, established decision rules (e.g., movement between levels or tiers, determination of appropriate instruction or interventions).	The mechanism for making decisions about the participation of students in the instruction/ intervention levels meets two of the following criteria: The process (1) is data-driven and based on validated methods; (2) involves a broad base of stakeholders; and (3) is operationalized with clear, established decision rules (e.g., movement between levels or tiers, determination of appropriate instruction or interventions).	The mechanism for making decisions about the participation of students in the instruction/intervention levels meets all of the following criteria: The process (1) is data-driven and based on validated methods; (2) involves a broad base of stakeholders; and (3) is operationalized with clear, established decision rules (e.g., movement between levels or tiers, determination of appropriate instruction or interventions).
Data System	A data system is in place that meets two or fewer of the following conditions: (1) the system allows users to document and access individual student- level data (including screening and progress-monitoring data) and instructional decisions; (2) data are entered in a timely manner; (3) data can be represented graphically; and (4) there is a process for setting/evaluating goals.	A data system is in place that meets three of the following four conditions: (1) the system allows users to document and access individual student-level data (including screening and progress-monitoring data) and instructional decisions; (2) data are entered in a timely manner; (3) data can be represented graphically; and (4) there is a process for setting/evaluating goals.	A data system is in place that meets all of the following conditions: (1) the system allows users to document and access individual student-level data (including screening and progress-monitoring data) and instructional decisions; (2) data are entered in a timely manner; (3) data can be represented graphically; and (4) there is a process for setting/evaluating goals.
Responsiveness to Secondary and Intensive Levels of Intervention	Neither of the following conditions is met: (1) decisions about responsiveness to intervention are based on reliable and valid progress-monitoring data that reflect slope of improvement or progress toward the attainment of a goal at the end of the intervention; and (2) these decision-making criteria are implemented accurately.	Only one of the following conditions is met: (1) decisions about responsiveness to intervention are based on reliable and valid progress-monitoring data that reflect slope of improvement or progress toward the attainment of a goal at the end of the intervention; and (2) these decision-making criteria are implemented accurately.	Both of the following conditions are met: (1) decisions about responsiveness to intervention are based on reliable and valid progress-monitoring data that reflect slope of improvement or progress toward the attainment of a goal at the end of the intervention; and (2) these decision-making criteria are implemented accurately.

Multilevel Instruction—The RTI framework includes a school-wide, multilevel system of instruction and interventions for preventing school failure. Commonly represented by the three-tiered triangle, multilevel instruction also is known as the multi-tiered system of support (MTSS).

Measures	1	3	5
Primary-Level Instruction/Core Curriculum (Tier I)			
Research-Based Curriculum Materials	Few core curriculum materials are research based for the target population of learners (including subgroups).	Some core curriculum materials are research based for the target population of learners (including subgroups).	All core curriculum materials are research based for the target population of learners (including subgroups).
Articulation of Teaching and Learning (in and across grade levels)	Neither of the following conditions is met: (1) teaching and learning objectives are well articulated from one grade to another; and (2) teaching and learning is well articulated within grade levels so that students have highly similar experiences, regardless of their assigned teacher.	Only one of the following conditions is met: (1) teaching and learning objectives are well articulated from one grade to another; and (2) teaching and learning is well articulated within grade levels so that students have highly similar experiences, regardless of their assigned teacher.	Both of the following conditions are met: (1) teaching and learning objectives are well articulated from one grade to another; and (2) teaching and learning is well articulated within grade levels so that students have highly similar experiences, regardless of their assigned teacher.
Differentiated Instruction	Neither of the following conditions is met: (1) interviewed staff can describe how most teachers in the school differentiate instruction for students on, below, or above grade level; and (2) interviewed staff can explain how most teachers in the school use student data to identify and address the needs of students.	Only one of the following conditions is met: (1) interviewed staff can describe how most teachers in the school differentiate instruction for students on, below, or above grade level; and (2) interviewed staff can explain how most teachers in the school use student data to identify and address the needs of students.	Both of the following conditions are met: (1) interviewed staff can describe how most teachers in the school differentiate instruction for students on, below, or above grade level; and (2) interviewed staff can explain how most teachers in the school use data to identify and address the needs of students.
Standards-Based	The core curriculum (reading and mathematics) is not aligned with the Common Core or other state standards.	The core curriculum (reading and mathematics) is partially aligned with the Common Core or other state standards.	The core curriculum (reading and mathematics) is aligned with the Common Core or other state standards.

Exceeding Benchmark	Neither of the following conditions is met: (1) the school provides enrichment opportunities for students exceeding benchmarks; and (2) teachers implement those opportunities consistently at all grade levels.	One of the following conditions is met: (1) the school provides enrichment opportunities for students exceeding benchmarks; and (2) teachers implement those opportunities consistently at all grade levels.	Both of the following conditions are met: (1) the school provides enrichment opportunities for students exceeding benchmarks; and (2) teachers implement those opportunities consistently at all grade levels.
Secondary-Level Intervention (Tier II)			
Evidence-Based Intervention	Secondary-level interventions are not evidence based in content areas and grade levels where they are available.	Some secondary-level interventions are evidence based in content areas and grade levels where they are available.	All secondary-level interventions are evidence based in content areas and grade levels where they are available.
Complements Core Instruction	Secondary-level intervention is poorly aligned with core instruction and incorporates different topics, even though those topics are not foundational skills that support core program learning objectives.	Secondary-level intervention incorporates foundational skills, but these only occasionally align with the learning objectives of core instruction.	Secondary-level intervention is well aligned with core instruction and incorporates foundational skills that support the learning objectives of core instruction.
Instructional Characteristics	One or none of the following conditions is met: (1) interventions are standardized; (2) secondary-level interventions are led by staff trained in the intervention according to developer requirements; and (3) group size and dosage are optimal (according to research) for the age and needs of students.	Two of the following conditions are met: (1) interventions are standardized; (2) secondary-level interventions are led by staff trained in the intervention according to developer requirements; and (3) group size and dosage are optimal (according to research) for the age and needs of students.	All three of the following conditions are met: (1) interventions are standardized; (2) secondary-level interventions are led by staff trained in the intervention according to developer requirements; and (3) group size and dosage are optimal (according to research) for the age and needs of students.
Addition to Primary	Secondary-level interventions replace core instruction.	Secondary-level interventions sometimes supplement core instruction and sometimes replace core instruction.	Secondary-level interventions supplement core instruction.

Intensive Intervention—Individualized with a focus on the academic needs of students with disabilities and those significantly below grade level (Tier III)			
Data-Based Interventions Adapted Based on Student Need	Intensive interventions are not more intensive (e.g., no increase in duration or frequency, change in interventionist, change in group size, or change in intervention) than secondary interventions.	Intensive interventions are more intensive than secondary interventions based only on preset methods to increase intensity (e.g., sole reliance on increased duration or frequency, change in interventionist, decreased group size, or change in intervention program).	Intensive interventions are more intensive than secondary interventions and are adapted to address individual student needs in a number of ways (e.g., increased duration or frequency, change in interventionist, decreased group size, change in instructional delivery, and change in type of intervention) through an iterative manner based on student data.
Instructional Characteristics	None of the following conditions is met: (1) the intervention is individualized; (2) intensive interventions are led by well-trained staff experienced in individualizing instruction based on student data; and (3) the group size is optimal (according to research) for the age and needs of students.	Only one of the following conditions is met: (1) the intervention is individualized; (2) intensive interventions are led by well-trained staff experienced in individualizing instruction based on student data; and (3) the group size is optimal (according to research) for the age and needs of students.	All of the following conditions are met: (1) the intervention is individualized; (2) intensive interventions are led by well-trained staff experienced in individualizing instruction based on student data; and (3) the group size is optimal (according to research) for the age and needs of students.
Relationship to Primary	Neither of the following conditions is met: (1) decisions regarding student participation in both core instruction and intensive intervention are made on a case-by-case basis, according to student need; and (2) intensive interventions are aligned to the specific skill needs of students to help them make progress toward core curriculum standards.	Only one of the following conditions is met: (1) decisions regarding student participation in both core instruction and intensive intervention are made on a case-by-case basis, according to student need; and (2) intensive interventions address the general education curriculum in an appropriate manner for students.	Both of the following conditions are met: (1) decisions regarding student participation in both core instruction and intensive intervention are made on a case-by-case basis, according to student need; and (2) intensive interventions address the general education curriculum in an appropriate manner for students.

Infrastructure and Support Mechanisms—*Knowledge, resources, and organizational structures necessary to operationalize all components of RTI in a unified system to meet the established goals.*

Measures	1	3	5
Prevention Focus	Staff generally perceives RTI as a program that solely supports the prereferral process for special education.	Some staff understand that RTI is a framework to prevent all students, including students with disabilities, from having academic problems.	All staff understand that RTI is a framework to prevent all students, including students with disabilities, from having academic problems.
Leadership Personnel	Decisions and actions by school and district leaders undermine the effectiveness of the essential components of the RTI framework at the school.	Decisions and actions by school and district leaders are inconsistent and only somewhat supportive of the essential components of the RTI framework at the school; support for RTI implementation is not very evident.	Decisions and actions by school and district leaders proactively support the essential components of the RTI framework at the school, and help make the RTI framework more effective; support for RTI implementation is a high priority.
School-Based Professional Development	The school has no well-defined, school-based professional development mechanism to support continuous improvement of instructional practice, data-based decision making, and delivery of interventions.	Some forms of school-based professional development are available, but most are not consistent or job embedded to ensure continuous improvement in instructional practice, data-based decision making, and delivery of interventions.	School-based professional development is institutionalized and structured so that all teachers continuously examine, reflect upon, and improve instructional practice, data-based decision making, and delivery of interventions.
Schedules	School wide schedules are not aligned to support multiple levels of intervention based on student need; inadequate time is available for interventions.	School wide schedules are partially aligned to support multiple levels of intervention based on student need; some additional time is built in for interventions.	School wide schedules are aligned to support multiple levels of intervention based on student need; adequate additional time is built in for interventions.
Resources	Resources (e.g., funds, programs) are not allocated to support RTI implementation.	Resources (e.g., funds, programs) are partially allocated to support RTI implementation.	Resources (e.g., funds, programs) are adequately allocated to support RTI implementation.
Cultural and Linguistic Responsiveness	One or none of the following conditions is met: Staff can articulate information and factors that they consider when adopting	Two of the following conditions are met: Staff can articulate information and factors that they consider when adopting	All three of the following conditions are met: Staff can articulate information and factors that they consider when adopting

	culturally and linguistically relevant (1) instructional practices, (2) assessments, and (3) intervention programs.	culturally and linguistically relevant (1) instructional practices, (2) assessments, and (3) intervention programs.	culturally and linguistically relevant (1) instructional practices, (2) assessments, and (3) intervention programs.
Communications With and Involvement of Parents	One or none of the following conditions is met: (1) a description of the school’s essential components of RTI is shared with parents; (2) a coherent mechanism is implemented for updating parents on the progress of their child who is receiving secondary or intensive interventions; and (3) parents are involved during decision making regarding the progress of students receiving intensive intervention.	Two of the following conditions are met: (1) a description of the school’s essential components of RTI is shared with parents; (2) a coherent mechanism is implemented for updating parents on the progress of their child who is receiving secondary or intensive interventions; and (3) parents are involved during decision making regarding the progress of students receiving intensive intervention.	All of the following conditions are met: (1) a description of the school’s essential components of RTI is shared with parents; (2) a coherent mechanism is implemented for updating parents on the progress of their child who is receiving secondary or intensive interventions; and (3) parents are informed about decision making regarding the progress of students receiving intensive intervention.
Communication With and Involvement of All Staff	One or none of the following conditions is met: (1) a description of the school’s essential components of RTI and data-based decision- making process is shared with staff; (2) a system is in place to keep staff informed; and (3) teacher teams collaborate frequently.	At least two of the following conditions are met: (1) a description of the school’s essential components of RTI and data-based decision-making process is shared with staff; (2) a system is in place to keep staff informed; and (3) teacher teams collaborate frequently.	All of the following conditions are met: (1) a description of the school’s essential components of RTI and data-based decision- making process is shared with staff; (2) a system is in place to keep staff informed; and (3) teacher teams collaborate frequently.
RTI Teams	Only one of the following conditions is met: (1) the RTI team is representative of all key stakeholders; (2) structures and clear processes are in place to guide decision making; and (3) time is set aside for the team to meet regularly.	At least two of the following conditions are met: (1) the RTI team is representative of all key stakeholders; (2) structures and clear processes are in place to guide decision making; and (3) time is set aside for the team to meet regularly.	All of the following conditions are met: (1) the RTI team is representative of all key stakeholders; (2) structures and clear processes are in place to guide decision making; and (3) time is set aside for the team to meet regularly.

Fidelity and Evaluation—System for collecting and analyzing data to measure fidelity and effectiveness of the RTI model.

Measures	1	3	5
Fidelity	Neither of the following conditions is met: (1) procedures are in place to monitor the fidelity of implementation of the core curriculum and secondary and intensive interventions; and (2) procedures are in place to monitor the processes of administering and analyzing assessments.	One of the following conditions is met: (1) procedures are in place to monitor the fidelity of implementation of the core curriculum and secondary and intensive interventions; and (2) procedures are in place to monitor the processes of administering and analyzing assessments.	Both of the following conditions are met: (1) procedures are in place to monitor the fidelity of implementation of the core curriculum and secondary and intensive interventions; and (2) procedures are in place to monitor the processes of administering and analyzing assessments.
Evaluation	None of the following conditions are met: (1) an evaluation plan is in place to monitor short- and long-term goals; (2) student data are reviewed for all students and subgroups of students across the essential components to evaluate effectiveness of the RTI framework (i.e., core curriculum is effective, interventions are effective, screening process is effective); and (3) implementation data (e.g., walk-throughs) are reviewed to monitor fidelity and efficiency across all components of the RTI framework.	At least one of the following conditions is met: (1) an evaluation plan is in place to monitor short- and long-term goals; (2) student data are reviewed for all students and subgroups of students across the essential components to evaluate effectiveness of the RTI framework (i.e., core curriculum is effective, interventions are effective, screening process is effective); and (3) implementation data (e.g., walk-throughs) are reviewed to monitor fidelity and efficiency across all components of the RTI framework.	All of the following conditions are met: (1) an evaluation plan is in place to monitor short- and long-term goals; (2) student data are reviewed for all students and subgroups of students across the essential components to evaluate effectiveness of the RTI framework (i.e., core curriculum is effective, interventions are effective, screening process is effective); and (3) implementation data (e.g., walk-throughs) are reviewed to monitor fidelity and efficiency across all components of the RTI framework.

RTI Essential Components Worksheet

School: _____ District: _____ Date: _____

Person(s) Interviewed: _____

Interviewer(s): _____

Purpose:

The purpose of this worksheet is to provide a tool for collecting relevant information and for recording a school's rating on various items related to response to intervention (RTI) implementation. Descriptions of ratings for each item are provided on the RTI Essential Components Integrity Rubric (the Rubric).

Information about school-level implementation (Grades K–8) may be collected through interviews with school personnel and through observations and document review. After all of the information has been collected, use your notes and the Rubric to rate the school on each item. The Rubric provides a five-point rating scale and descriptions of practices that would score a 1, 3, or 5. Data collectors may assign the school a rating of 2 or 4 if the information collected suggests the school falls between the rubric descriptions. For example, if the reviewer judges a school to be performing at a level higher than the Rubric describes for a 3 rating but not quite at the level described for a 5, then the reviewer should rate the school as performing at a 4.

Assessments: Screening, progress monitoring, and other supporting assessments are used to inform data-based decision making.

Item	Sample Interview Questions	Comments/Remarks	Ratings
<i>Screening—The RTI system accurately identifies students at risk of poor learning outcomes or challenging behaviors.</i>			
1. Screening Tools	<p>What tools do you use for universal screening (probe across content areas)?</p> <p>How much attention was given to the vendor’s evidence regarding the validity, reliability, and accuracy of the tools when selected?</p> <p>Does your school have documentation from the vendor that these tools have been shown to be valid, reliable, and accurate with subgroups in your school?</p> <p>Does staff understand how the tool is intended to be used?</p> <p>Can you or other staff provide evidence of the technical adequacy (i.e., reliability, validity, classification accuracy) of the tools?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification for rating</p>

Progress Monitoring—Ongoing and frequent monitoring of progress quantifies rates of improvement and informs instructional practice and the development of individualized programs. Measures are appropriate for the student’s grade and/or skill level.

Item	Sample Interview Questions	Comments/Remarks	Ratings
Progress Monitoring Tools	<p>What tools does your school use for progress monitoring (probe across content areas)?</p> <p>Did school or district staff consider the evidence from the vendor regarding the validity, reliability, and accuracy of the progress monitoring tool(s) when selecting it/them?</p> <p>Does your school have documentation from the vendor that the tool(s) have been shown to be valid, reliable, and accurate with subgroups in your school?</p> <p>Can staff articulate the evidence supporting the rigor of the tool(s)?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>
Progress Monitoring Process	<p>Describe the process used for monitoring progress.</p> <p>How often is the progress of students in secondary level interventions monitored?</p> <p>How often is the progress of students in intensive intervention monitored?</p> <p>Does monitoring occur with sufficient frequency to show a trend in academic progress over time?</p> <p>How closely does administration of the progress monitoring tool(s) follow the developer’s guidelines?</p> <p>To what extent is this process</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>

	consistently followed?		
<i>Data-Based Decision-Making—Data-based decision-making processes are used to inform instruction, movement within the multilevel system, and disability identification (in accordance with state law).</i>			
Item	Sample Interview Questions	Comments/Remarks	Ratings
Decision-Making Process	<p>Describe how decisions are made to move students between tiers.</p> <p>Who is involved in decision making?</p> <p>What data are used to inform those decisions, and how are they used?</p> <p>What criteria and guidelines are used for making decisions?</p> <p>To what extent are the screening, progress monitoring, and other assessment data used to inform instruction at all tiers, including the core instruction?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>
Data System	<p>Is there a system for collecting and organizing student academic data, screening data, progress monitoring data, and other forms of data? If so, please describe.</p> <p>Is the system used consistently across school staff?</p> <p>Are instructional decisions made about students tracked in the data system or through another method (including movement between tiers)?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>

<p>Responsiveness to Secondary and Intensive Levels of Intervention</p>	<p>Describe how decisions about responsiveness to secondary-level interventions or intensive intervention are made.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Are progress monitoring data used? ▪ How is baseline performance established? ▪ What goal setting method is used? (e.g., end-of-year benchmarks, rate of improvement, intra-individual framework? Are rates or norms provided by the vendor/developer? ▪ What decision rules are used? <p>Are the criteria implemented accurately and consistently?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>
--	--	---	---

Multilevel Instruction—The RTI framework includes a school-wide, multilevel system of instruction and interventions for preventing school failure. Commonly represented by the three-tiered triangle, multilevel instruction also is known as the multi-tiered system of support (MTSS).

Item	Sample Interview Questions	Comments/Remarks	Ratings
Primary-Level Instruction/Core Curriculum (Tier I)			
Research-Based Curriculum Materials	Describe primary-level instruction (core curriculum) materials. What is the research base? When your school selected its core instructional materials, how much attention was paid to the research base?	Notes Evidence Sources Recommendations	Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ Justification of Rating
Articulation of Teaching and Learning (in and across grade levels)	Describe the process that supports the articulation of teaching and learning from one grade to another. Describe the process that supports the articulation of teaching and learning among teachers in the same grade. How consistent is the learning experience among students in the same grade and subject with different teachers?	Notes Evidence Sources Recommendations	Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ Justification of Rating

<p>Differentiated Instruction</p>	<p>To what extent do teachers in this school use student assessment data and knowledge of student readiness, language, and culture to offer students in the same class different teaching and learning strategies to address student needs?</p> <p>How consistent is this effort among the teaching staff?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>
<p>Standards-Based</p>	<p>To what extent is the core curriculum in reading and mathematics aligned to state standards?</p> <p>Are the instructional materials aligned to the standards? Are model or sample lessons and activities that demonstrate effective teaching of the standards available to teachers?</p> <p>Have teachers been trained in the content of the standards and in how to use that content within their lessons?</p> <p>Are teachers utilizing their training and aligning their instruction to these standards?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>

<p>Exceeding Benchmark</p>	<p>Are programs and activities provided to enrich or augment the curriculum for students exceeding benchmarks? If so, please describe.</p> <p>Are any of these programs and activities available above and beyond the core instruction?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>
<p>Secondary-Level Intervention (Tier II)</p>			
<p>Evidence-Based Intervention</p>	<p>What program(s) does your school use for secondary-level intervention?</p> <p>How were these programs selected?</p> <p>Have these programs demonstrated efficacy with the target populations (e.g., has research shown that the interventions positively impact student achievement)?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>

<p>Complements Core Instruction</p>	<p>How do instructors of secondary-level interventions ensure that the content they address is well aligned and complements the core instruction for each student?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>
<p>Instructional Characteristics</p>	<p>Are the secondary level interventions always led by staff adequately trained to implement the interventions with fidelity?</p> <p>If not, who provides the secondary level intervention and what is their background?</p> <p>Are the secondary interventions always conducted with small groups of students?</p> <p>What is the maximum group size?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>
<p>Addition to Primary</p>	<p>Are secondary-level interventions (i.e., Tier II) always implemented as supplements to the core curriculum?</p> <p>If not, please explain.</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>

Intensive Intervention — <i>Individualized with a focus on the academic needs of students with disabilities and those significantly below grade level (Tier III)</i>			
Data-Based Interventions Adapted Based on Student Need	<p>How are evidence-based interventions intensified or individualized at the intensive level?</p> <p>How are the interventions used at this level developed?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>
Instructional Characteristics	<p>Who provides intensive intervention? Can you describe their background and level of training in providing data-based individualized instruction?</p> <p>Does the group size allow for the interventionist to adjust and individualize instruction to address the needs of each student?</p> <p>Describe an example of a student experiencing intensive intervention.</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>

Relationship to Primary	<p>Are intensive interventions always implemented as supplements to the core curriculum?</p> <p>If not, please explain.</p> <p>How do you decide if a student receiving intensive intervention should remain in primary-level instruction?</p> <p>How do you ensure meaningful connections between intensive intervention and the general education curriculum (e.g., the Common Core)?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>
--------------------------------	---	---	---

<i>Infrastructure and Support Mechanisms—Knowledge, resources, and organizational structures necessary to operationalize all components of RTI in a unified system to meet the established goals.</i>			
Item	Sample Interview Questions	Comments/Remarks	Ratings
Prevention Focus	<p>To what extent do you believe the teaching staff views the purpose of RTI as primarily to prevent students from having academic and/or behavioral problems?</p> <p>What portion of the teaching staff view RTI as primarily a means for special education identification?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>

<p>Leadership Personnel</p>	<p>To what extent are the school and district administrators aware of the RTI framework at your school?</p> <p>To what extent do the actions taken and decisions made by district administrators improve the effectiveness of the RTI framework at your school?</p> <p>To what extent do the actions taken and decisions made by school administrators improve the effectiveness of the RTI framework at your school?</p> <p>Does your school have a designated person who oversees and manages RTI implementation? If yes, what percentage of that person's time is devoted to overseeing and managing RTI?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>
<p>School-Based Professional Development</p>	<p>Has the staff been trained on the RTI framework and essential components?</p> <p>How often is refresher or new training provided?</p> <p>Is RTI training provided to new teachers?</p> <p>What ongoing professional development is made available for those who provide secondary-level and intensive intervention?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>

<p>Schedules</p>	<p>Does the schedule reflect additional time beyond the core for secondary-level and intensive intervention?</p> <p>Is there time scheduled for teacher collaboration on instruction and interventions?</p> <p>Are all the pertinent teachers and interventionists available for these collaborative meetings?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>
<p>Resources</p>	<p>Are there adequate materials, programs, and resources allocated to support interventions, assessments, professional development, staffing?</p> <p>Do the programs and materials match the needs of the students at each tier?</p> <p>Is there a process for monitoring the use of resources?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>

<p>Cultural and Linguistic Responsiveness</p>	<p>What efforts have been made to ensure that core instruction, secondary-level and intensive intervention, and assessments take into account cultural and linguistic factors?</p> <p>How are the demographic and academic data of subgroups represented in your school used to inform the RTI framework?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>
<p>Communications With and Involvement of Parents</p>	<p>Are parents knowledgeable about the RTI framework in your school?</p> <p>Describe how you communicate with parents about RTI and student performance.</p> <p>How are parents involved in decision making regarding the participation of their child in secondary-level or intensive intervention?</p> <p>How are parents of students at the secondary or intensive level informed of the progress of their children?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>

<p>Communication With and Involvement of All Staff</p>	<p>Are teachers in your school knowledgeable about the RTI framework?</p> <p>Describe how you communicate with teachers about the school's RTI plan.</p> <p>How are teachers of students at the secondary or intensive level informed of their progress in the intervention?</p> <p>What process does your school use to ensure teacher collaboration in implementing RTI?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>
<p>RTI Teams</p>	<p>Does your school have an RTI team? If so:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Who composes that team? ▪ How often does the team meet? ▪ Are there established processes and protocols that help the team work effectively? What are they? <p>How does the team communicate and collaborate with other staff?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>

Fidelity and Evaluation—System for collecting and analyzing data to measure fidelity and effectiveness of the RTI model.

Item	Sample Interview Questions	Comments/Remarks	Ratings
Fidelity	<p>Are procedures in place to monitor the fidelity of implementation of the core curriculum? Of secondary-level and intensive intervention? Of screening, progress monitoring, and the decision-making process? If so please describe.</p> <p>Who is involved in monitoring the fidelity of implementation?</p> <p>Does the evidence indicate that instruction, interventions, assessments, and decisions are implemented with fidelity?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>
Evaluation	<p>How is RTI evaluated at your school?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Is a plan in place for evaluation? ▪ Is a process in place for reviewing student-level data for all students and for subgroups of students? ▪ Is a process in place to evaluate implementation fidelity? <p>How are evaluation data used?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Are teachers and interventionists involved in giving and receiving feedback on the effectiveness of the programs and materials? <p>Who is involved in evaluating RTI implementation?</p>	<p>Notes</p> <p>Evidence Sources</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Rating: ① ② ③ ④ ⑤</p> <p>Justification of Rating</p>