Abstract Title Page
Not included in page count.

Title: Supports for High School Success: An Evaluation of the Texas Ninth Grade Transition and Intervention Grant Program

Author(s): Kelly Hallberg, Andrew Swanlund, and Lisa Hoogstra

Abstract Body
Limit 5 pages single spaced.

Background / Context:
Description of prior research and its intellectual context.

Recent research on dropout prevention indicates that the ninth grade is the "make or break year" for students to be on track to graduate (Allensworth & Easton, 2007; Herlihy, 2007; Kennelly & Monrad, 2007). More students fail ninth grade than any other grade in high school, and a disproportionate number of students who are held back in ninth grade subsequently drop out (Herlihy, 2007). The transition into a larger school system with a different structure, new teachers and peers, and more challenging coursework is often associated with poor grades in core subjects, decline in student achievement, failure to be promoted to the next grade, student disengagement in the classroom, behavioral problems, and decline in student motivation (Alspaugh, 1998; Herlihy, 2007; Isakson & Jarvis, 1999; Kennelly & Monrad, 2007).

In addition to the academic demands of high school, students' report facing a variety of new non-academic challenges upon their arrival in high school. Researchers Barber and Olsen (2004) found that ninth graders perceive less support and monitoring from teachers and principals and generally like school less than they did in middle school. In another study, middle school students identified academic ability as especially important to their success in secondary school; however, after they entered high school, students identified additional components essential to success in school, including time management, ability to stay on task, social skills, and behavior (Zeedyk, Gallacher, Henderson, Hope, Husband, & Lindsay, 2003). In this same study, students reported that social concerns, such as bullying and establishing peer relationships at secondary school, often overshadowed concerns about academics. Similarly, Akos and Galassi (2004) found that students' greatest concerns about starting high school revolved around the amount of homework, class difficulty, and organizational issues (e.g., getting lost).

Purpose / Objective / Research Question / Focus of Study:
Description of the focus of the research.

To provide greater support for students as they transition to ninth grade, the Texas Legislature funded the Texas Ninth Grade Transition and Intervention (TNGTI) grant program. TNGTI grantees implemented a variety of supports to at-risk students transitioning to ninth grade, including a summer transition program, an early warning data system, and research-based interventions targeted to meet student needs. The study examined the effectiveness of the supports schools provide under the TNGTI grant program. Specifically, the study compared the performance of students who participated in the TNGTI summer transition program to similar students who did not participate using a propensity score stratification approach. Students' ninth grade attendance and performance on math and reading Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skill (TAKS) assessments were the outcomes of interest. In addition, we examined the theory that the TNGTI program would improve student academic performance by increasing their ninth grade attendance by examining whether ninth grade attendance was a mediator for academic achievement.

Setting:
Description of the research location.

School districts and open-enrollment charter schools from across the state of Texas were eligible to apply for the 2009–10 TGNTI grant program if they met all of the following criteria: (1) served 75 percent or more economically disadvantaged students in each of the 2006, 2007, and 2008 school years; (2) had a population of at least 25 eighth graders in 2007–2008, and (3) had a ninth grade retention rate for 2007–2008 that placed them in the highest three school districts or open-enrollment charter schools within a comparable size category. Campuses selected to participate in the program must have served at least 50 percent at-risk students in the 2007–2008 school year. In the 2009–10 school year, 24 districts applied for and received TNGTI grants, serving a total of 63 school sites throughout the state.

Population / Participants / Subjects:
Description of the participants in the study: who, how many, key features or characteristics.

The analytic sample consisted of 30,735 ninth graders across the 63 TNGTI campuses. Of these students, 3,013 attended the TNGTI summer program.

Intervention / Program / Practice:
Description of the intervention, program or practice, including details of administration and duration.

To provide greater support for students as they transition to ninth grade, the Texas Legislature funded Texas Ninth Grade Transition and Intervention (TNGTI) grant program. TNGTI grants provide funding for eligible districts and open-enrollment charter schools to implement three supports for identified students: (1) a summer transition program to introduce incoming ninth graders to high school culture and develop their academic, social, and study skills; (2) an early warning data system to monitor the progress of program participants throughout the school year; and (3) fall and spring interventions for participants who exhibit warning indicators for retention or drop out. These supports consist of successful ninth grade transition and intervention programs identified in the literature on dropout prevention (Heppen & Bowles Therriault, 2008).

Research Design:
Description of research design (e.g., qualitative case study, quasi-experimental design, secondary analysis, analytic essay, randomized field trial).

The study employed a quasi-experimental research design to examine the effect of participating in the TNGTI summer transition program. Specifically, the study compared the performance of students who participated in the TNGTI program to similar students who did not participate using a propensity score stratification approach.

Data Collection and Analysis:
Description of the methods for collecting and analyzing data.

In any evaluation of a program where participants are not randomly assigned to participate in the program or not, the problem of selection is paramount. We know that students who participate in the TNGTI program are different from those who do not attend above and beyond differences in

that arise as a result of the program. These differences can bias estimates of program effectiveness because they make it difficult to disentangle pre-existing differences between students who attended the program and those who did not from the effect of attending the program.

Propensity score matching is a two stage process designed to address this problem. In the first stage, the probability that each student participates in the TNGTI program is modeled on available observable characteristics. By modeling selection into the program, this approach allowed us to compare participating and non-participating students who would have had a similar propensity to select into the program based on observables. In the second stage, the predicted probability of participation was used to model student outcomes.

Stage 1: Creation of the Comparison Group. The outcome of interest in modeling propensity scores is treatment status (1 for students participating in the TNGTI program, 0 for the comparison group). To account for this binary outcome, logistic regression was used to model the logit (or log-odds) of student group assignment status. Because characteristics of students, the middle schools they attended, and the high schools they would attend influence whether they attend the TNGTI program, data on all of these pre-treatment characteristics were acquired from the Texas Education Agency. Student-level variables used to fit the propensity score models included: age, gender, race/ethnicity, special education, limited English proficient status, gifted education status, previous retention, number of 8th grade disciplinary incidences, percent of days absent during 8th grade, Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) scores in grades 4 through 8, and whether a student was classified as economically disadvantaged. Middle and high school characteristics used to fit the propensity score model included: percent race/ethnicity, percent LEP, percent special education, percent economically disadvantaged, percent bilingual, number of students, teacher/student ratio, number of full time teachers, accountability status, attendance rate, teachers' average years of experience, mobility rate, and percent of teachers teaching subjects for which they do not hold a certification. Data were not available for each of these covariates for all students. To account for this, indicator variables were used to model the relationship between the pattern of missing data and propensity to participate in the program (Rosenbaum & Rubin, 1984).

All pre-treatment covariates were initially considered as candidates for inclusion in the propensity score model. To select an initial propensity score model, we began by regressing each of the covariates on its own on program participation. All covariates with a *p* value of greater than 0.2 were then included in a forward stepwise regression function to produce an initial propensity score model. Propensity scores and propensity score logits were then estimated using this model. We examined overlap in the treatment and comparison groups and deleted non-overlapping cases. We then looked at balance across the two groups on all covariates. Balance statistics (standardized mean differences and variance ratios) were used to guide model selection. The final model included 79 covariates and the adjusted standardized mean differences between the treatment and comparison groups were below 0.2 on all pretreatment covariates, consistent with current best practice in the propensity score literature (Ho, Imai, King, & Stuart, 2007).

Stage 2: Statistical Modeling of Student Outcomes. Outcomes of students in the TNGTI program were then compared with the outcomes of students who did not participate (the comparison group). We balanced pretreatment group differences in observed covariates using a propensity score stratification and marginal mean weighting approach (Hong & Hong, 2009).

Fifteen strata were used based on the spread and overlap of the data. The propensity score logit along with the pre-treatment eighth grade measure of the outcome were also included in the outcome model to control for within strata differences and residual bias (Schafer & Kang, 2008). Student outcomes were modeled using two-level hierarchical linear models to account for the nested nature of the data (students within high schools) as follows:

Level 1 – Students

$$y_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_{1j} TNGTI_{ij} + \sum_{S=2}^{15} \alpha\beta_s L_{sij} + \beta_{3j} LP_{ij} + \beta_{4j} Pretest_{ij} + r_{ij}$$

Where y_{ij} are the student level outcomes (9th grade TAKS scores, 9th grade attendance), $TNGTI_{ij}$ is an indicator of whether the student participated in the TNGTI summer program, L_{sij} is an indicator variable for the logit propensity score strata, LP_{ij} is the logit propensity score, and $Pretest_{ij}$ is the pre-treatment eighth grade measure of the outcome.

Level 2 – High School

$$\beta_{0j} = \gamma_{00} + u_{0j}$$
$$\beta_{1j} = \gamma_{10}$$
$$\beta_{2j} = \gamma_{20}$$
$$\beta_{3j} = \gamma_{30}$$
$$\beta_{4j} = \gamma_{40}$$

In addition to modeling both ninth grade attendance and ninth grade TAKS scores as an outcome, the study examined whether attendance was a mediator for the program effect on ninth grade TAKS scores. That is, we investigated the theory that participating in the summer program led to increased attendance in ninth grade, which in turn led to increased learning reflected in ninth grade TAKS scores. These analyses followed the guidance outlined in Schochet (2009). While it should be acknowledge that they analyses are exploratory in nature and should not be interpreted causally, the evaluation team thought they provided valuable insights as we try to better understand program mechanisms and get within the proverbial "black box."

Findings / Results:
Description of the main findings with specific details.

All of the analyses described above have been completed. However, the evaluation report is currently with the Texas Education Agency for review. Until this review is complete, findings cannot be shared publically.

Conclusions:
Description of conclusions, recommendations, and limitations based on findings.

This study provides an important examination of the effects of providing additional supports to at-risk students as they transition into high school. Given the critical role ninth grade plays in

students' success in high school and beyond, this information will undoubtedly be valuable to education practitioners and policy makers alike.

In addition, the study is a strong example of the use of propensity scores to estimate the effectiveness of a program when randomization was not feasible. As in any observational study, it is not possible empirically validate that the strong ignorability assumption holds in this case. It remains possible that unobserved differences between students who participated in the TNGTI program are confounding treatment effect estimates. However, the rich array of pre-treatment covariates available in the study, including multiple years of pretest data, give reason for confidence in the inferences drawn from this study.

Appendices
Not included in page count.

Appendix A. References
References are to be in APA version 6 format.

Allensworth, E., & Easton, J. (2005). *The on-track indicator as a predictor of high school graduation.* Chicago: Consortium on Chicago School Research. Retrieved April 8, 2008, from http://ccsr.uchicago.edu/content/publications.php?pub_id=10

Alspaugh, J. W. (1998). Achievement loss associated with the transition to middle school and high school. *The Journal of Educational Research. 92*(1), 20–25.

Akos, P., & Galassi, J. P. (2004). Gender and race as variables in psychosocial adjustment to middle and high school. *The Journal of Educational Research, 98*(2), 102–108.

Heppen, J. B., & Bowles Therriault, S. (2008). *Developing early warning systems to identify potential high school dropouts.* Washington, DC: National High School Center.

Herlihy, C. (2007). *Toward ensuring a smooth transition into high school.* Washington, DC: National High School Center. Retrieved April 8, 2009, from www.betterhighschools.org/docs/NHSC_TowardEnsuring_051607.pdf

Ho, D.E., Imai, K., King, G., & Stuart, E.A. (2007). Matching on nonparametric preprocessing for reducing model dependence in parametric causal inference. *Political Analysis*, 15, 199-236.

Isakson, K., & Jarvis, P. (1999). The adjustment of adolescents during the transition into high school: A short-term longitudinal study. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 28*(1), 1–26.

Kennelly, L., & Monrad, M. (2007). *Approaches to dropout prevention: Heeding early warning signs with appropriate interventions.* Washington, DC: National High School Center & American Institutes for Research. Retrieved April 8, 2008, from www.betterhighschools.org/docs/NHSC_ApproachestoDropoutPrevention.pdf

Rosenbaum, P.R. & Rubin, D.B. (1984). Reducing bias in observational studies using subclassification on the propensity score. *The Journal of the American Statistical Association.*

Schafer, J.L. & Kang, J. (2008). Average causal effects from nonrandomized studies: A practical guide and simulated examples. *Psychological Methods*, 13, 4, 279-313.

Schochet, P.Z. (2009). Do typical RCTs of education interventions have sufficient statistical power for linking impacts on teacher practice and student achievement outcomes? (NCEE 2009-4065). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education.

Zeedyk, M. S., Gallacher, J., Henderson, M., Hope, G., Husband, B., & Lindsay, K. (2003). Negotiating the transition from primary to secondary school: Perceptions of pupils, parents, and teachers.*School Psychology International, 24*(1), 67–79.