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## $12^{\text {th }}$ Annual Comparative Analysis of the Racine Unified School District

## Summary

This is the $12^{\text {th }}$ annual report on conditions affecting the Racine Unified School District (RUSD). This year, our analysis again focuses on the long-term historical trends in RUSD. The analysis compares RUSD data to data of nine peer school districts as well as statewide data. The peer districts are defined as those Wisconsin districts with enrollments most similar to the enrollment in Racine. In addition to enrollment trends, the findings pertain to three RUSD quality objective measures: operational efficiency, student engagement, and student achievement. Operational efficiency includes finance data, student engagement includes attendance and disciplinary data, while student achievement includes test scores and graduation rates.

## Major Findings

Enrollment and student diversity: RUSD enrollment declined for the second year in a row. This year's $1.8 \%$ decline was the largest of all the peer districts. However, minority enrollment continued its decade-long growth, and for the first time surpassed $50 \%$ of total enrollment in RUSD. At the same time, 2008-09 saw the decade's only decrease in the portion of RUSD students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, from $49 \%$ to $48 \%$, causing the district to drop from first to second most impoverished student enrollment among peer districts.

Operational efficiency: RUSD jumped up in the peer rankings, from seventh to fourth, in terms of operations revenue per pupil (now at \$11,222). Over the past decade, RUSD's growth in perpupil property tax revenue was exceeded only by that of Kenosha. Racine's revenue growth since 1998-99 has outpaced the average revenue growth statewide in all three categories of revenue: property tax, state aid, and federal aid.

Over the past year Racine's per-pupil expenditures grew more than any other peer district, at 4\%. RUSD also ranks first in expenditure growth since 1998-99, now spending $64 \%$ more on operations costs than 10 years ago. This compares to statewide growth in operations costs of 45\%.

Student engagement: The 2007-08 drop-out rate in Racine was 6.6\%, the highest rate since 1999-00. This year also saw an increase in truancy, which had been on a six-year decline. The $9.1 \%$ truancy rate puts RUSD below the state average and in the middle of the peer districts. Suspension, expulsion, and attendance rates all declined over last year.

Student achievement: Racine's test scores lag behind the state average in all grades and subjects examined: third grade reading, fourth grade reading and math, eighth grade reading and math, and $10^{\text {th }}$ grade reading and math. However, in all areas except third grade reading and $10^{\text {th }}$ grade math, RUSD scores improved over the year before. This improvement is tempered by the finding that white RUSD students score higher than African American and Hispanic students in every grade and subject. This racial achievement gap in Racine is smaller than the state's racial achievement gap in fourth and $10^{\text {th }}$ grades, but larger than in eighth grade.

## Enrollment and Student Diversity

Figure 1 shows that 21,172 students were enrolled in RUSD in the 2008-09 school year. While six of the 10 peer districts experienced enrollment decreases, Racine's was by far the largest, at $1.8 \%$. Green Bay had the biggest enrollment increase, with $5.3 \%$ more students enrolled this year than last year. Across the state, public enrollment remained steady, decreasing by only $0.1 \%$. RUSD remains the fourth largest district in the state, behind Milwaukee, Madison and Kenosha.

Figure 1: Ten-year public school enrollment trend, 1999 to 2009


Among peer districts, RUSD has enrolled the highest percentage of minority students for 10 consecutive years. In 2008-09, $50.6 \%$ of students in RUSD were minorities - the highest figure in the 10-year period from 1999-00 to 2008-09. Across the state, $23.7 \%$ of students are minorities. In 2008-09, 49.4\% of RUSD students were white, $27 \%$ were African American, and 21.7\% were Hispanic.

Figure 2: Ten-year minority enrollment trend, 1999 to 2009


As seen in Figure 3, 2008-09 was the third year in a row in which there was a marked increase in enrollment between the $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grades. The question is whether the increase is "real" or if it is attributable to changes in the way data are collected and reported at RUSD. Plausible explanations for the increase include counting $12^{\text {th }}$ graders held over from the previous year ("fifth year seniors") or adding special education students over the age of 18 into the $12^{\text {th }}$ grade enrollment. The cause of the increase is unclear, and we are unable to interpret recent cohort trends for RUSD.

Figure 3: RUSD class of 2008-09 cohort analysis high school enrollment by ethnicity


Figure 4 shows that private school enrollment has not significantly changed since the 2006-07 school year. In 2008-09, 4,058 students living in the Racine school district attended private schools. Private school enrollment in Racine was $19.2 \%$ of public enrollment, down slightly from the previous school year. These figures put Racine second among peer districts - only Appleton's percentage of students attending private schools was higher, at $20 \%$.

Figure 4: Five-year private school enrollment trend, 2004-05 to 2008-09


Figure 5 shows that the number of students attending the two charter schools - McKinley Middle School and The R.E.A.L. School - in Racine in 2008-09 increased 4\% over 2007-08. Over the last five years, Racine's charter school enrollment has decreased $1.4 \%$, from 1,106 students enrolled in 2004-05 to 1,091 students in 2008-09. In contrast, charter school enrollment has increased about 90\% in Appleton, and nearly 200\% in Kenosha. Today, RUSD's charter school enrollment comprises $5.1 \%$ of public enrollment, which place it fifth among peer districts; however, this ranking does not include the 493 students who attended the $21{ }^{\text {st }}$ Century Preparatory School. The $21^{\text {st }}$ Century Preparatory School is chartered by UW-Parkside and not affiliated with RUSD. Oshkosh had the highest charter school enrollment rate, at 14.7\%.

Figure 5: Five-year charter school enrollment trend, 2004-05 to 2008-09


With regard to community demographics, Table 1 shows that RUSD ranks high when compared to peers in the percentage of students eligible for free or reduced lunch, and in the middle in income and property value indicators. Figure 6 shows that for the first time in 10 years, however, the percentage of RUSD students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch decreased, from $49 \%$ in 2007-08 to $48 \%$ in 2008-09. Despite this recent slight decrease, the free lunch rate has increased 50\% since 1999-00. This year, Racine ranks second among peer districts for the highest percentage of eligible students - only Green Bay is higher, at 55\%. Across the state, $34 \%$ of students are eligible for free or reduced price lunch - up nearly two percentage points from last year.

Table 1: Community demographics among peer districts, 2008-09

| Community Demographics | Free or reduced lunch eligible | Rank | Income per return | Rank | Income per pupil | Rank | Property value per pupil | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madison | 44.1\% | 3 | \$52,842 | 3 | \$262,792 | 1 | \$923,151 | 1 |
| Kenosha | 40.5\% | 4 | \$47,273 | 7 | \$117,935 | 10 | \$424,795 | 7 |
| Racine | 47.7\% | 2 | \$48,888 | 5 | \$150,541 | 7 | \$451,778 | 6 |
| Green Bay | 52.2\% | 1 | \$45,732 | 8 | \$156,946 | 6 | \$419,806 | 8 |
| Appleton | 34.8\% | 7 | \$51,918 | 4 | \$176,298 | 4 | \$478,727 | 4 |
| Waukesha | 24.3\% | 10 | \$60,146 | 2 | \$237,159 | 2 | \$759,682 | 2 |
| Eau Claire | 33.2\% | 8 | \$65,838 | 1 | \$231,756 | 3 | \$526,487 | 3 |
| Janesville | 35.9\% | 5 | \$47,411 | 6 | \$150,495 | 8 | \$396,978 | 9 |
| Oshkosh | 33.0\% | 9 | \$45,577 | 9 | \$159,179 | 5 | \$476,008 | 5 |
| Sheboygan | 35.9\% | 6 | \$43,812 | 10 | \$134,585 | 9 | \$372,273 | 10 |
| Milwaukee | 76.7\% |  | \$35,238 |  | \$106,071 |  | \$356,269 |  |
| Wisconsin | 33.6\% |  | \$49,487 |  | \$153,955 |  | \$579,269 |  |

Figure 6: Ten-year trend in RUSD free or reduced-price lunch eligibility, 1999-2000 to 2008-09


Finally, Racine ranks in the middle of the pack among peer districts in terms of the educational attainment of the population residing in the school district, with $32.5 \%$ of adults having a college degree. This puts Racine slightly below the state as a whole, with $33.9 \%$ of Wisconsin adults having a college degree. The last time educational attainment among school district residents was analyzed, in 2002, Racine ranked ninth among its peers with $22.4 \%$ of adults having college degrees (Census 2000 data).

Table 2: Educational attainment among peer districts, 2006*

| Community$\underline{\text { Demographics }}$ | Educational Attainment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No college | Some college | College Degree | Rank |
| Madison | 24.6\% | 15.8\% | 59.6\% | 1 |
| Kenosha | 50.4\% | 21.3\% | 28.3\% | 8 |
| Racine | 49.0\% | 18.5\% | 32.5\% | 6 |
| Green Bay | 50.5\% | 18.4\% | 31.1\% | 7 |
| Appleton | 40.2\% | 19.7\% | 40.1\% | 4 |
| Waukesha | 34.6\% | 21.4\% | 44.0\% | 3 |
| Eau Claire | 32.9\% | 23.0\% | 44.1\% | 2 |
| Janesville | 54.6\% | 19.1\% | 26.3\% | 9 |
| Oshkosh | 48.3\% | 18.9\% | 32.7\% | 5 |
| Sheboygan | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Milwaukee | 55.0\% | 19.2\% | 25.9\% | -- |
| State of Wisconsin | 46.5\% | 19.6\% | 33.9\% | -- |

* 2006 American Community Survey


## Operational Efficiency

In 2008-09, RUSD ranked fourth in per-pupil operations revenue among peer districts, up three places from last year, when it ranked seventh. At $\$ 11,222$, Racine's per-pupil operations revenue is slightly lower than the state average of $\$ 11,484$.

As seen in Figure 7, Racine’s per-pupil operations revenue has increased over the last five years; however, RUSD's revenue is not growing as fast as other districts. For example, while Racine's per-pupil operations revenue has increased about 10\% since 2004-05, Green Bay’s figure has increased by nearly $13 \%$, and Kenosha's by about $15 \%$.

Table 3 shows that over the past decade, RUSD’s state aid has grown by $39.1 \%$, placing it seventh among peer districts. Property tax revenue in Racine has increased $36 \%$ since 1998-99, placing Racine second among peer districts. Only Kenosha is higher, with a property tax increase of $60.1 \%$. Federal aid to RUSD has increased by $121.5 \%$ in the past 10 years, placing the district third among peers, behind Waukesha and Janesville.

Figure 7: Five-year trend in per-pupil operations revenue, 2004-05 to 2008-09


Table 3: Change in aggregate revenue among peer districts, 1998-99 to 2008-09

| Finances | Property Tax |  |  |  | State Aid |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rank | Federal Aid <br> \% change |  | Rank |  |  |
| Madison | $24.8 \%$ | 3 | $34.0 \%$ | 9 | $89.0 \%$ | 7 |
| Kenosha | $60.1 \%$ | 1 | $69.5 \%$ | 2 | $86.3 \%$ | 8 |
| Racine | $\mathbf{3 6 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Green Bay | $-1.9 \%$ | 8 | $68.9 \%$ | 3 | $-78.5 \%$ | 10 |
| Appleton | $9.4 \%$ | 7 | $56.9 \%$ | 4 | $117.1 \%$ | 4 |
| Waukesha | $22.9 \%$ | 4 | $38.9 \%$ | 8 | $160.7 \%$ | 1 |
| Eau Claire | $21.4 \%$ | 5 | $31.5 \%$ | 10 | $29.5 \%$ | 9 |
| Janesville | $-12.0 \%$ | 10 | $53.7 \%$ | 5 | $132.6 \%$ | 2 |
| Oshkosh | $17.0 \%$ | 6 | $48.4 \%$ | 6 | $114.3 \%$ | 5 |
| Sheboygan | $-3.4 \%$ | 9 | $69.8 \%$ | 1 | $95.8 \%$ | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | $58.1 \%$ |  | $16.5 \%$ |  | $82.1 \%$ |  |
| State of Wisconsin | $31.4 \%$ |  | $30.5 \%$ |  | $61.7 \%$ |  |

On the expenditure side, in 2008-09, Racine ranked sixth in operations spending among peer districts, spending $\$ 10,954$ per pupil. Its lowest rank was seventh in building administration expenditures, and its highest rank was second in transportation expenditures. Instruction spending accounts for $60 \%$ of RUSD total operations spending, down four percentage points from last year.

Over the past five years, RUSD per-pupil operations spending has increased by more than $9 \%$. As seen in Figure 8, Kenosha and Green Bay experienced more significant increases in per-pupil operations spending since 2004-05 - 10\% and 13\%, respectively. However, from 2007-08 to 2008-09, Racine saw the greatest one-year increase among the four peer districts - $4 \%$ (Figure 8).

Over the past decade, RUSD instructions spending has increased 69.2\%, while total operations spending has increased 64\%. Racine ranks highest among peer districts for growth in these categories (Table 4). Racine's total operations spending growth is considerably higher than the 44.5\% statewide growth. Pupil services spending in Racine has increased more than any other category from 1998-99 to 2008-09 - nearly 96\%. However, RUSD ranks eighth among peer districts for growth in staff services spending and ninth for growth in building administration spending.

Figure 8: Five-year trend in per-pupil operations spending, 2004-05 to 2008-09


Table 4: Change in aggregate spending among peer districts, 1998-99 to 2008-09

| Finances | Operations |  | Instruction |  | Pupil <br> Services |  | Staff Services |  | General <br> Admin |  | Building <br> Admin |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Change | Rank | Change | Rank | Change | Rank | Change | Rank | Change | Rank | Change | Rank |
| Madison | 40.7\% | 8 | 36.1\% | 8 | 60.6\% | 6 | 13.2\% | 7 | 17.6\% | 6 | 75.5\% | 2 |
| Kenosha | 52.2\% | 5 | 56.2\% | 4 | 101.4\% | 2 | 98.5\% | 2 | 8.0\% | 7 | 75.3\% | 3 |
| Racine | 64.0\% | 1 | 69.2\% | 1 | 95.9\% | 3 | 13.0\% | 8 | 41.3\% | 3 | 44.0\% | 9 |
| Green Bay | 53.6\% | 4 | 49.0\% | 5 | 64.6\% | 5 | 85.4\% | 3 | 45.5\% | 2 | 112.9\% | 1 |
| Appleton | 54.7\% | 3 | 57.9\% | 3 | 56.2\% | 7 | 48.9\% | 4 | 49.9\% | 1 | 52.0\% | 6 |
| Waukesha | 47.4\% | 6 | 48.1\% | 6 | 40.2\% | 8 | 20.8\% | 6 | 38.7\% | 4 | 44.4\% | 8 |
| Eau Claire | 35.0\% | 9 | 27.9\% | 9 | 23.1\% | 9 | -8.9\% | 9 | -13.1\% | 9 | 50.2\% | 7 |
| Janesville | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Oshkosh | 46.3\% | 7 | 39.9\% | 7 | 109.8\% | 1 | 102.0\% | 1 | -10.6\% | 8 | 57.5\% | 4 |
| Sheboygan | 58.7\% | 2 | 61.6\% | 2 | 66.5\% | 4 | 41.4\% | 5 | 27.5\% | 5 | 57.4\% | 5 |
| Milwaukee | 29.7\% |  | 13.5\% |  | 52.6\% |  | 94.4\% |  | 73.3\% |  | 7.9\% |  |
| Wisconsin | 44.5\% |  | 52.1\% |  | 47.3\% |  | 18.8\% |  | 37.3\% |  | 43.6\% |  |

* 2008-09 Janesville school district data unavailable.

In 2008, the average teacher's salary in RUSD was \$50,624, up 4.3\% from 2007 and almost 20\% from 1999. As shown in Figure 9, the average salary in Racine is equal to that of Madison and slightly below that of Kenosha. RUSD ranked fifth among peer districts. Janesville had the lowest average teacher's salary, at $\$ 47,037$, while Waukesha had the highest at $\$ 57,805$.

Figure 9: Ten-year trends in teacher salary, 1999 to 2008


In regard to fringe benefits, Figure 10 shows that Racine's average fringe benefits allocation fluctuates dramatically. In 2008, RUSD's average fringe allocation per teacher was $\$ 18,552$, down $23 \%$ from 2007, but up $51 \%$ from 1999. The 2007-08 avergae places Racine in tenth place among peer districts, nearly $17 \%$ behind the next lowest district, Madison.

Figure 10: Ten-year trends in fringe benefits, 1999 to 2008


Figure 11 reflects a downward trend in RUSD teacher experience over the past 10 years. Although both Kenosha and Madison are experiencing similar declines, the downward trend is most steep in Racine. In 2008, teachers in Racine had an average of 11.72 years of total experience. This figure is down $7.5 \%$ from 2007 and $37.6 \%$ from 1999. Kenosha saw a similar decrease (7.3\%) in the past year. Racine ranks ninth among peer districts, with only Kenosha having a lower figure for average total teacher experience.

Figure 11: Ten-year trends in teacher experience, 1999 to 2008


## Student Engagement

Figure 12 shows that the RUSD attendance rate for the 2008-09 school year (93.2\%) has decreased 0.4 percentage points from 2007-08 (93.6\%). This decrease dropped Racine from ninth to tenth in the attendance rankings among peer districts. Since the 1998-99 school year, the RUSD attendance rate has increased by 1.9 percentage points.

Figure 12: Ten-year trends in attendance rates, 1998-99 to 2007-08


As seen in Figure 13, the RUSD dropout rate for the 2007-08 school year is 6.6\%, up from 5.3\% in 2006-07. This figure is the highest dropout rate RUSD has seen since 1999-00. Racine is ranked first among peer districts, almost three percentage points higher than the next-highest district, Green Bay. Over the past ten years, the RUSD dropout rate has increased 2.2 percentage points, from $4.4 \%$ to $6.6 \%$.

Figure 13: Ten-year trends in dropout rates, 1998-99 to 2007-08


Figure 14 reflects the first increase in RUSD truancy rates since the 2000-2001 school year. From 2006-07 to 2007-08, the Racine truancy rate increased 0.6 percentage points, from $8.5 \%$ to 9.1\%. Racine remains in fifth place among peer districts. In the 2007-08 school year, Kenosha had the highest habitual truancy rate (22.5\%). Racine's figure is lower than the state average (10.1\%).

Figure 14: Ten-year trends in truancy rates, 1998-99 to 2007-08


Figure 15 shows that from 2006-07 to 2008-09, the suspension rate in RUSD decreased 1.6 percentage points, from $12.2 \%$ to $10.6 \%$. This decrease reflects a continuing downward trend in RUSD suspension rates that began in 2005-06. Despite this improvement, Racine ranks second among peer districts for the highest suspension rate. Only Kenosha is higher, with $10.9 \%$ of students suspended each year. Figure 15 also shows slight improvements in the rate of expulsion in Racine. While 138 students were expelled in 2006-07, only 105 students were expelled in 2007-08. RUSD ranks first among peer districts in this category.

Figure 15: Ten-year trends in suspension and expulsion rates, 1998-99 to 2007-08


## Student Achievement

The Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE) is now administered annually to all third, fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth and $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students. The 2005-06 school year was the first year that the WKCE was administered to students in the third, fifth, sixth and seventh grades. In these grades, students are only tested in reading and math; in the fourth, eighth and $10^{\text {th }}$ grades, students are tested in reading, math, language arts, science and social studies. The $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade WKCE replaced the Wisconsin Reading and Concepts Test (WRCT), which is no longer administered.

In 2008-09, $62.9 \%$ of third graders in RUSD scored at or above proficient on the reading portion of the WKCE. This figure puts Racine in last place among peer districts, and more than six percentage points behind the next lowest district, Green Bay. Figure 16 shows that the 2008-09 figure for RUSD is three percentage points lower than the 2007-08 figure. Across the state, 79\% of third graders scored at or above proficient in 2008-09. Oshkosh had the highest percentage of third graders reading at or above proficient - 83.2\%.

Among Racine's fourth graders, $70.2 \%$ were at or above proficient on the reading portion of the WKCE in 2008-09. This compares to $82 \%$ of fourth graders statewide who scored at or above proficient. Among peer districts, RUSD is ranked tenth. Fourth graders in Eau Claire scored highest among peer districts, with $84 \%$ scoring at or above proficient.

Figure 17 shows that the 2008-09 school year marks the third consecutive yearly increase in the percentage of fourth graders reading at or above proficiency. In comparison, Green Bay has posted increases in two of the last five years, and Kenosha has posted increases in four of the last five years.

Figure 16: Third grade reading score trends, percent proficient or advanced


Figure 17: Fourth grade reading score fiveyear percent proficient or advanced


Figure 18: Fourth math score five-year trends, percent proficient or advanced


In 2008-09, 67.2\% of RUSD fourth graders scored at or above proficient levels in math. This figure puts Racine last among peer districts, more than eight percentage points behind Madison, the next lowest district. Sheboygan had the highest percentage of $4^{\text {th }}$ graders scoring at or above proficient - 85.3\%. Among fourth graders statewide, $81 \%$ scored at or above proficient in math - nearly 14 percentage points higher than the Racine figure.

Despite this low ranking, the percentage of Racine fourth graders at or above proficient in math increased nine percentage points (Figure 18). In the past five years, the RUSD figure has increased from $58 \%$ to $67 \%$. This mirrors the statewide increase in the percentage of $4^{\text {th }}$ graders at or above proficient - from $71 \%$ to $81 \%$.

As Figure 19 shows, 72.6\% of RUSD eighth graders scored at or above proficient in reading in 2009-09. This figure again places Racine last among peer districts, seven percentage points below the next lowest district, Madison. Across the state, $85.2 \%$ of eighth graders scored at or above proficient in reading in 2008-09. The percentage of Racine eighth graders reading at or above proficient has increased nearly four percentage points during the past five years, from 69\% in 2004-05 to 73\% in 2008-09. Meanwhile, the statewide figure has essentially remained stagnant during this five-year period.

In regard to eighth grade math, in 2008-09, $56.7 \%$ of eighth graders in Racine scored at or above proficient. RUSD ranked last among peer districts, nearly 17 percentage points below the next lowest district, Madison. Statewide, 79\% of eighth graders were at or above proficient in 200708. Figure 20 shows that while the RUSD figure has remained relatively stagnant during the five year period from 2004-05 to 2008-09, the statewide average scores increased by seven percentage points.

Figure 19: Eighth grade reading score fiveyear trends, percent proficient or advanced


Figure 20: Eighth grade math score fiveyear trends, percent proficient or advanced


As shown in Figure 21, 55.6\% of RUSD $10^{\text {th }}$ graders scored at or above proficient in reading in 2008-09. This figure puts Racine last among peer districts, nearly 14 percentage points behind Madison, the ninth-ranked district. The percentage of $10^{\text {th }}$ graders reading at or above proficient has increased two percentage points since the 2007-08 school year. The statewide figure also increased two percentage points in the past year, from $74 \%$ to $76 \%$.

Figure 22 shows that the percentage of RUSD sophomores scoring at or above proficient in math has decreased by about one percentage point from 2007-08 to 2008-09. This puts Racine in last place among peer districts, almost 14 percentage points below Green Bay, the ninth-ranked district. Statewide, about $70 \%$ of $10^{\text {th }}$ graders scored at or above proficient in 2008-09.

Figure 21: $\mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}$ grade reading score five-year trends, percent proficient or advanced


Figure 22: $\mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}$ grade math score five-year trends, percent proficient or advanced


Table 5: Fourth through seventh grade cohort analysis, 2004-05 to 2008-09

| Performance | Cohort Reading Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4th } \\ \text { Grade } \\ \text { 2004-05 } \end{gathered}$ | 5th <br> Grade 2005-06 | 6th <br> Grade 2006-07 | 7th <br> Grade <br> 2007-08 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4th to } \\ & \text { 5th } \end{aligned}$ | 5th to 6th | 6th to <br> 7th | 7th to 8th |
| Madison | 79.7\% | 79.8\% | 82.0\% | 80.4\% | 80.9\% | 0.1 | 2.2 | -1.6 | 0.5 |
| Kenosha | 78.8\% | 79.6\% | 82.7\% | 81.3\% | 83.5\% | 0.8 | 3.2 | -1.4 | 2.2 |
| Racine | 73.0\% | 70.2\% | 72.3\% | 71.8\% | 72.6\% | -2.8 | 2.1 | -0.5 | 0.8 |
| Green Bay | 76.7\% | 75.2\% | 76.1\% | 76.7\% | 79.6\% | -1.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 2.9 |
| Appleton | 84.8\% | 85.4\% | 87.9\% | 87.7\% | 88.3\% | 0.6 | 2.5 | -0.2 | 0.6 |
| Waukesha | 87.0\% | 86.2\% | 90.6\% | 89.1\% | 87.6\% | -0.8 | 4.4 | -1.5 | -1.5 |
| Eau Claire | 83.4\% | 86.9\% | 88.2\% | 88.1\% | 88.4\% | 3.4 | 1.3 | -0.1 | 0.3 |
| Janesville | 85.2\% | 84.9\% | 84.7\% | 84.4\% | 86.6\% | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.3 | 2.2 |
| Oshkosh | 84.1\% | 86.0\% | 87.4\% | 86.9\% | 87.2\% | 1.8 | 1.5 | -0.5 | 0.3 |
| Sheboygan | 80.1\% | 77.9\% | 79.9\% | 81.8\% | 82.0\% | -2.2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| Milwaukee | 60.9\% | 60.7\% | 61.8\% | 59.7\% | 62.1\% | -0.2 | 1.1 | -2.1 | 2.4 |
| State of Wisconsin | 81.0\% | 81.6\% | 85.1\% | 85.0\% | 75.6\% | 0.6 | 3.4 | -0.1 | -0.1 |

Table 5 shows the proficient or advanced reading scores for the 2004-05 fourth grade cohort. From seventh to eighth grade, this RUSD cohort experienced a slight ( 0.8 percentage point) increase of students at or above proficient on the WKCE reading portion. From fourth to eighth grade, the percentage of the RUSD cohort scoring at or above proficient, decreased by 0.4 percentage points. Every district but Waukesha experienced an increase in the percentage of students in this cohort proficient or advanced in reading depicted in Figures 16-22 from seventh grade to eighth grade.

The overall progress in scores is tempered by RUSD's large racial achievement gap present in RUSD (Table 6). For example, in math, the percentage of white fourth graders scoring proficient or advanced is 29 percentage points higher than the percentage of African American fourth graders. Among the peer districts with enough minority students to measure, Racine's gaps were g smaller than Madison’s but larger than Kenosha's. Racine's gaps also were generally smaller than the state average. With regard to high school completion rates, the racial gaps in RUSD were smaller than those in the Madison school district, but significantly larger than the statewide gaps (Table 7).

Table 6: WKCE achievement racial gaps

| Performance | African American |  | Hispanic |  | White |  | Achievement Gap (in percentage points) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4th, 8th, and 10th grade percent proficient or advanced |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\mathbf{0}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{2} \\ & \frac{7}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 了ె0 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Madison- ${ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 57\% | 54\% | 53\% | 60\% | 90\% | 90\% | 33 | 36 | 37 | 30 |
| Racine | 54\% | 50\% | 66\% | 61\% | 81\% | 79\% | 27 | 29 | 15 | 18 |
| Kenosha | 67\% | 59\% | 63\% | 71\% | 86\% | 87\% | 19 | 28 | 23 | 16 |
| Milwaukee | 52\% | 49\% | 61\% | 62\% | 78\% | 78\% | 26 | 29 | 17 | 16 |
| State of Wisconsin | 57\% | 53\% | 65\% | 66\% | 86\% | 86\% | 29 | 33 | 21 | 20 |
| Madison-8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 60\% | 42\% | 65\% | 61\% | 93\% | 88\% | 33 | 46 | 28 | 27 |
| Racine | 53\% | 39\% | 62\% | 42\% | 86\% | 70\% | 33 | 31 | 24 | 28 |
| Kenosha | 68\% | 57\% | 76\% | 65\% | 89\% | 86\% | 21 | 29 | 13 | 21 |
| Milwaukee | 57\% | 40\% | 64\% | 54\% | 80\% | 68\% | 23 | 28 | 16 | 14 |
| State of Wisconsin | 61\% | 44\% | 69\% | 59\% | 89\% | 84\% | 28 | 40 | 20 | 25 |
| Madison-10th grade | 46\% | 30\% | 51\% | 41\% | 81\% | 80\% | 35 | 50 | 30 | 39 |
| Racine | 32\% | 20\% | 40\% | 37\% | 71\% | 63\% | 39 | 43 | 31 | 26 |
| Kenosha | 48\% | 29\% | 54\% | 47\% | 78\% | 71\% | 30 | 42 | 24 | 24 |
| Milwaukee | 34\% | 18\% | 44\% | 36\% | 64\% | 55\% | 30 | 37 | 20 | 19 |
| State of Wisconsin | 40\% | 26\% | 52\% | 44\% | 81\% | 76\% | 41 | 50 | 29 | 32 |

Table 7: High school completion racial gaps

| HS Completion | African American |  | Hispanic |  | White |  | Achievement Gap (in percentage points) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Regular diplomas | Rank | \% Regular diplomas | Rank | \% Regular diplomas | Rank | $\begin{gathered} \text { Black } \\ \text { vs. } \\ \text { white } \end{gathered}$ | Rank | Hisp. vs. white | Rank |
| Madison | 59.5\% | 2 | 69.4\% | 2 | 89.8\% | 2 | 30.3 | 1 | 20.4 | 1 |
| Racine | 55.8\% | 3 | 61.4\% | 3 | 80.1\% | 3 | 24.3 | 3 | 18.7 | 2 |
| Kenosha | 63.6\% | 1 | 71.7\% | 1 | 90.0\% | 1 | 26.4 | 2 | 18.3 | 3 |
| Milwaukee | 65.4\% |  | 67.3\% |  | 76.6\% |  | 11.2 |  | 9.3 |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 66.6\% |  | 74.9\% |  | 92.9\% |  | 26.3 |  | 18 |  |

Finally, the percentage of RUSD students taking the ACT exam held steady from last year at $39 \%$, a rate that has not changed significantly in five years. Last year's increase in average composite ACT score was not sustained, however, with the average score declining to 20.6 (out of 36), the lowest score in the past decade. The difference between the 1999-00 RUSD average ACT composite score and the 2008-09 score is one full point, which equates to missing an additional $3 \%$ of the test questions.

Figure 23: Ten-year trend in ACT composite scores, 1999-00 through 2008-09, RUSD


# $12^{\text {th }}$ annual comparative analysis <br> of the Racine Unified School District 

## Appendix A - Definitions of terms

This report is based on information supplied periodically by school districts to the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction (DPI). Enrollment and financial data, and third, fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth and $10^{\text {th }}$ grade test scores, are current as of 2008-09. Other information on performance, attendance, and discipline is from 2007-08.

## Here are the definitions of the included measurements:

ACT scores: ACT data are reported for the class of 2009. Most students take the test to fulfill admissions requirements for colleges and universities. If a student has taken the test more than once (in either his or her junior or senior year), the most recent score was reported. The maximum possible score on any individual section is 36 . The four sections of the test are English, math, reading, and science reasoning. The composite score is the weighted average of the subject area scores, out of a possible 36. The percentage of students tested is the number of students tested divided by the $12^{\text {th }}$ grade enrollment.

Advanced placement tests: If a high school student receives a score of three, four, or five on an AP exam, he or she passed the test and may receive college credit. Students can take 29 exams in 16 fields. Schools may or may not offer formal courses in preparation for these exams. Enrollment data are used to calculate the percentage of students taking the tests.

Attendance: Based upon the state-required 180 school days, and with attendance taken twice daily, the attendance rate (expressed as a percentage) is computed by dividing the aggregate number of days students are in school by the aggregate number of possible student days in the school year. An attendance rate of $95 \%$ means that 5 out of every 100 students enrolled were not in school on a typical day.

Dropouts: According to the DPI, the definition of a dropout is a student who was enrolled in school at some time during the reported school year, was not enrolled at the beginning of the following school year, has not graduated from high school or completed a state or districtapproved educational program, and does not meet any of the following exclusionary conditions: transfer to another public school district, private school, or state or district-approved educational program; temporary absence due to expulsion, suspension, or school-approved illness; or death. Starting with 2003-04, the dropout rate is the number of students who dropped out during the school term divided by the total number of students who were expected to complete the school term in that school or district. The latter number may be more or less than the enrollment due to
student transfers in and out after the fall enrollment count date. "Total number of students expected to complete the school term" is the denominator used to calculate all dropout rates and is the sum of students who actually completed the school term plus dropouts.

Enrollment: Two types of enrollment data are important: 1) the enrollment as of the third Friday in September, a head count of how many children are enrolled in school on a specific day, and 2) the full-time equivalent enrollment, which accounts for pre-school and Kindergarten children in school for only a portion of the day to calculate state aid and other financial data. In this report, head count enrollments are reported in the tables, but full-time equivalents are the basis for calculation of expenditures and revenue per pupil.

Expulsions: Expulsion is the removal of a student from school permanently. Expulsions are recorded in terms of students expelled as well as days lost due to expulsion. The expulsion rates were calculated by dividing the number of expelled students by the pre-Kindergarten through $12^{\text {th }}$ grade enrollment of the school district.

Fourth, eighth, and $\mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}$ grade knowledge and concept tests: These tests measure student knowledge in the areas of reading, language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies. Prior to 2002-03, the scores are the national percentiles, which correspond to the raw scores. Since 2002-03, the tests are no longer normalized to a national standard.

Third, fifth, sixth, and seventh grade knowledge and concept tests: These tests measure student knowledge in the areas of reading and mathematics. The 2005-06 year was the first year in which knowledge and concept examinations were administered to students in the third, fifth, sixth, and seventh grades. As a result, historical comparisons are not available for these grades.

Free lunch eligibility: The only available measure of the income level of pupils. It is the percentage of pupils who qualify under federal guidelines for free or reduced-price lunch, and, therefore, roughly measures the percentage of low-income children in a school.

Habitual truancy: According to DPI, the definition of a habitual truant is a student who is absent from school without an acceptable excuse for part or all of five or more days on which school is held during a semester. The habitual truancy rate (expressed as a percentage) is the number of habitual truants divided by Kindergarten through $12^{\text {th }}$ grade enrollment counted on the third Friday in September.

High school completion rate: Starting in 2003-04, high school completion rates are defined as the number of graduates divided by an estimate of the total cohort group measured from the beginning of high school, expressed as a percentage. This cohort group included graduate, other high school graduates, and other students who reached the age 21 in the school year. The cohort group also included cohort dropouts over four years. Prior to 2003-04, it was calculated by taking the number of graduates divided by the number of graduates plus dropouts over four years, expressed as a percentage.

Income per pupil: Based on state tax returns, this is a calculation of aggregate earned income among residents of each school district divided by the district full-time enrollment (FTE). The result is an indicator of community wealth that takes into account both the relative number of children in the community and the proportion of the district's children who attend public schools.

Income per return: The aggregate income that was reported to the Wisconsin Department of Revenue divided by the number of returns filed.

Property taxes: An equalized school tax rate, which makes it possible to compare the school tax effort from one community to another. The equalized rate is the amount of money property taxpayers were charged in December 2008 (for the 2007-08 school year) for each \$1,000 of property value at full market value.

Property value per pupil: Another measure of community wealth, this relates directly to Wisconsin's formula for calculating state aid to school districts. The numbers represent the tax base of the school district as measured by equalized taxable property values as of 2008-09. It is a reliable measurement for purposes of comparing the property wealth of school districts.

Retention rates: Retentions are students who, by local district policy, must either repeat a grade or need additional time to complete the prescribed program. The number of retentions is reported for all grades except pre-Kindergarten. The retention rate is the number of retentions divided by the Kindergarten through $12^{\text {th }}$ grade enrollment.

Revenue per pupil: Each autumn, school districts file reports on budgeted revenue and spending. Data in this report were taken from those reports filed in fall 2008. The two principal sources of revenue for schools - property taxes and state aid - are reported on a per-pupil basis (using full-time equivalent enrollments). Also reported are the per-pupil revenues from federal sources.

Spending per pupil: Operations spending per pupil refers to the cost of running the system on a daily basis. It is more useful to look at operations spending for comparative purposes because capital spending and debt service can vary dramatically from year to year (depending on whether a district is building new schools). Operations spending is divided into six categories for the purposes of this report:

- Instruction - Direct spending on educational programs that generally take place in the classroom.
- Pupil services - A wide variety of services outside the classroom, such as guidance counseling, social work, curriculum development, libraries, vocational services, and extracurricular activities.
- Instructional staff services - Includes spending on improvement to instructional staff, library media, and supervision and coordination of staff.
- General administration - Central office expenses related to district administration, such as the superintendent's office and the school board.
- Building administration - Expenses related to the administration of each school building, primarily the principal's office.
- Transportation.
- Other - All expenses not included in the above categories, including community recreation programs, staff services, maintenance, utilities, and other overhead functions.

Suspensions: Suspension is an administrative action that temporarily excludes a student from school. Suspensions are recorded three ways: 1) the number of individual students suspended at least once during the school year, 2) the number of suspensions (a larger number because some students are suspended more than once), and 3) the number of days lost because of suspension. This report measures suspensions as the number of days lost because of suspension. The measurement is reported as a percentage of total possible school days lost to suspension.

## 12th annual comparative analysis of the Racine Unified School District

## Appendix B-Peer district ranking tables

Table 8: Enrollment by race/ethnicity, 2008-09

| Enrollment | Overall |  | Racial composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008-09 | 1-year change | White | African American | Asian | Hispanic | Indian | \% African American | Rank | \% Minority | Rank |
| Madison | 24,496 | -0.7\% | 12,378 | 5,570 | 2,618 | 3,540 | 190 | 22.7\% | 2 | 48.7\% | 2 |
| Kenosha | 22,772 | 0.5\% | 13,973 | 3,786 | 404 | 4,502 | 107 | 16.6\% | 3 | 38.6\% | 4 |
| Racine | 21,172 | -1.8\% | 10,465 | 5,722 | 326 | 4,590 | 69 | 27.0\% | 1 | 50.6\% | 1 |
| Green Bay | 20,573 | 5.3\% | 12,575 | 1,484 | 1,640 | 3,835 | 1,039 | 7.2\% | 4 | 38.9\% | 3 |
| Appleton | 15,235 | 0.0\% | 11,814 | 601 | 1,712 | 981 | 127 | 3.9\% | 7 | 22.5\% | 7 |
| Waukesha | 12,990 | -3.8\% | 10,028 | 556 | 481 | 1,857 | 68 | 4.3\% | 6 | 22.8\% | 6 |
| Eau Claire | 10,730 | -0.6\% | 9,063 | 318 | 1,014 | 216 | 119 | 3.0\% | 10 | 15.5\% | 9 |
| Janesville | 10,571 | 1.8\% | 8,788 | 638 | 236 | 866 | 43 | 6.0\% | 5 | 16.9\% | 8 |
| Oshkosh | 10,331 | 0.0\% | 8,905 | 380 | 679 | 304 | 63 | 3.7\% | 9 | 13.8\% | 10 |
| Sheboygan | 10,336 | -1.0\% | 6,672 | 390 | 1,746 | 1,458 | 70 | 3.8\% | 8 | 35.4\% | 5 |
| Milwaukee | 85,381 | -1.7\% | 12,930 | 48,562 | 3,921 | 19,260 | 708 | 56.9\% |  | 84.9\% |  |
| Wisconsin | 873,586 | -0.1\% | 666,959 | 91,647 | 32,061 | 69,972 | 12,947 | 10.5\% |  | 23.7\% |  |

Table 9: Enrollment by race/ethnicity and grade, 2008-09

| Racine | PK | KG | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | 25 | 16 | 26 | 21 | 31 | 17 | 22 | 20 | 25 | 27 | 25 | 21 | 20 | 30 | 351 |
| Black | 333 | 404 | 406 | 417 | 416 | 386 | 373 | 407 | 402 | 391 | 451 | 434 | 413 | 489 | 6,055 |
| Hispanic | 266 | 403 | 416 | 396 | 411 | 356 | 344 | 329 | 287 | 268 | 302 | 277 | 275 | 260 | 4,856 |
| Indian | 1 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 70 |
| White | 605 | 697 | 696 | 671 | 677 | 708 | 742 | 672 | 673 | 704 | 762 | 854 | 902 | 1,102 | 11,070 |
| Total | 1,230 | 1,527 | 1,546 | 1,515 | 1,542 | 1,470 | 1,491 | 1,432 | 1,388 | 1,395 | 1,541 | 1,593 | 1,616 | 1,886 | 22,402 |
| Kenosha | PK | KG | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| Asian | 8 | 23 | 31 | 30 | 37 | 27 | 33 | 28 | 39 | 27 | 35 | 29 | 33 | 24 | 412 |
| Black | 203 | 302 | 248 | 284 | 295 | 263 | 288 | 289 | 270 | 289 | 356 | 270 | 263 | 166 | 3,989 |
| Hispanic | 291 | 385 | 362 | 402 | 359 | 392 | 324 | 291 | 320 | 289 | 359 | 274 | 278 | 176 | 4,793 |
| Indian | 4 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 15 | 14 | 10 | 12 | 111 |
| White | 462 | 960 | 949 | 969 | 988 | 1,048 | 991 | 924 | 1,014 | 1,009 | 1,243 | 1,116 | 1,292 | 1,008 | 14,435 |
|  | 968 | 1,679 | 1,595 | 1,692 | 1,683 | 1,735 | 1,645 | 1,535 | 1,647 | 1,620 | 2,008 | 1,703 | 1,876 | 1,386 | 23,740 |
| Wiscon$\sin$ | PK | KG | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| Asian | 1,482 | 2,353 | 2,273 | 2,354 | 2,290 | 2,205 | 2,134 | 2,183 | 2,299 | 2,284 | 2,409 | 2,499 | 2,467 | 2,786 | 33,500 |
| Black | 4,853 | 6,279 | 6,307 | 6,271 | 6,408 | 6,468 | 6,457 | 6,326 | 6,355 | 6,547 | 8,865 | 6,742 | 6,759 | 5,912 | 95,402 |
| Hispanic | 4,459 | 6,162 | 6,081 | 5,874 | 5,494 | 5,428 | 5,035 | 4,776 | 4,627 | 4,588 | 5,135 | 4,238 | 4,129 | 3,622 | 74,107 |
| Indian | 547 | 925 | 943 | 870 | 891 | 904 | 844 | 844 | 872 | 885 | 1,076 | 1,144 | 1,068 | 1,085 | 13,445 |
| White | 30,059 | 44,654 | 44,175 | 45,117 | 45,886 | 45,303 | 45,712 | 46,106 | 47,435 | 48,001 | 54,177 | 54,772 | 56,903 | 58,380 | 696,739 |
| Total | 41,400 | 60,373 | 59,779 | 60,486 | 60,969 | 60,308 | 60,182 | 60,235 | 61,588 | 62,305 | 71,66 | 69,395 | 71,326 | 71,7 | 913,193 |

Table 10: Educational options, 2008-09

|  | Private school enrollment | Public enrollment | \% of public enrollment | Rank | Charter school enrollment | \% of public enrollment | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madison | 4,318 | 24,496 | 17.6\% | 4 | 454 | 1.9\% | 9 |
| Kenosha | 2,999 | 22,772 | 13.2\% | 8 | 1,049 | 4.6\% | 6 |
| Racine | 4,058 | 21,172 | 19.2\% | 2 | 1,091 | 5.2\% | 5 |
| 21st Century <br> Charter School |  |  |  |  | 493 | 2.3\% |  |
| Green Bay | 3,635 | 20,573 | 17.7\% | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 10 |
| Appleton | 3,048 | 15,235 | 20.0\% | 1 | 2,246 | 14.7\% | 2 |
| Waukesha | 1,782 | 12,990 | 13.7\% | 6 | 752 | 5.8\% | 4 |
| Eau Claire | 1,446 | 10,730 | 13.5\% | 7 | 306 | 2.9\% | 8 |
| Janesville | 1,294 | 10,571 | 12.2\% | 10 | 302 | 2.9\% | 7 |
| Oshkosh | 1,350 | 10,336 | 13.1\% | 9 | 1,524 | 14.7\% | 1 |
| Sheboygan | 1,486 | 10,331 | 14.4\% | 5 | 1,072 | 10.4\% | 3 |
| Milwaukee Non-MPS Charters State of Wisconsin | 28,893 130,800 | 85,381 873,586 | $33.8 \%$ 15.0\% |  | $\begin{gathered} 12,211 \\ 4,947 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \% \\ 5.8 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |

Table 11: Operations revenue per pupil, 2008-09

| Finances |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Property tax | \% of total op revenue | Rank | State aid | \% of total op revenue | Rank | Federal aid | \% of total op revenue | Rank |
| Madison | \$7,917 | 61.5\% | 1 | \$3,618 | 28.1\% | 10 | \$708 | 5.5\% | 4 |
| Kenosha | \$3,119 | 28.1\% | 5 | \$6,993 | 63.1\% | 6 | \$732 | 6.6\% | 3 |
| Racine | \$2,853 | 25.4\% | 8 | \$7,278 | 64.9\% | 3 | \$894 | 8.0\% | 2 |
| Green Bay | \$2,936 | 26.2\% | 7 | \$7,083 | 63.2\% | 5 | \$899 | 8.0\% | 1 |
| Appleton | \$3,126 | 28.7\% | 4 | \$6,675 | 61.3\% | 7 | \$528 | 4.8\% | 8 |
| Waukesha | \$5,617 | 48.8\% | 2 | \$4,684 | 40.7\% | 9 | \$520 | 4.5\% | 10 |
| Eau Claire | \$3,830 | 34.7\% | 3 | \$6,404 | 58.0\% | 8 | \$515 | 4.7\% | 9 |
| Janesville | \$2,615 | 24.4\% | 9 | \$7,147 | 66.8\% | 2 | \$583 | 5.5\% | 5 |
| Oshkosh | \$2,932 | 28.0\% | 6 | \$6,673 | 63.6\% | 4 | \$543 | 5.2\% | 6 |
| Sheboygan | \$2,792 | 22.8\% | 10 | \$8,317 | 68.0\% | 1 | \$595 | 4.9\% | 7 |
| Milwaukee | \$3,147 | 23.6\% |  | \$7,969 | 59.8\% |  | \$2,087 | 15.7\% |  |
| Wisconsin | \$4,171 | 36.3\% |  | \$5,948 | 51.8\% |  | \$623 | 5.42\% |  |

Table 12: Operations expenditures per pupil, 2008-09

| Finances |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Operations Spending | Instruction | Pupil Services | Instructional Staff Services | General Administration | Building Administration | Transportation |
| Madison | \$12,720 | \$7,638 | \$852 | \$682 | \$100 | \$805 | \$154 |
| Kenosha | \$10,986 | \$7,251 | \$607 | \$609 | \$61 | \$579 | \$104 |
| Racine | \$10,954 | \$7,110 | \$636 | \$577 | \$80 | \$502 | \$202 |
| Green Bay | \$10,938 | \$6,987 | \$597 | \$617 | \$90 | \$735 | \$161 |
| Appleton | \$10,762 | \$7,029 | \$521 | \$478 | \$76 | \$550 | \$76 |
| Waukesha | \$11,202 | \$7,346 | \$455 | \$363 | \$103 | \$638 | \$243 |
| Eau Claire | \$11,028 | \$6,427 | \$412 | \$502 | \$81 | \$589 | \$58 |
| Janesville | \$10,569 | \$6,616 | \$718 | \$495 | \$74 | \$476 | \$103 |
| Oshkosh | \$10,401 | \$6,825 | \$518 | \$482 | \$42 | \$499 | \$152 |
| Sheboygan | \$12,084 | \$8,359 | \$699 | \$502 | \$164 | \$652 | \$68 |
| Milwaukee | \$12,388 | \$6,947 | \$674 | \$842 | \$306 | \$625 | \$180 |
| Wisconsin | \$11,115 | \$6,824 | \$524 | \$571 | \$218 | \$571 | \$96 |


| Finances | Total Operations Spending | Instruction | Pupil Services | Instructional staff services | General Administration | Building <br> Administration | Transportation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madison | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Kenosha | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 6 |
| Racine | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 2 |
| Green Bay | 7 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Appleton | 8 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 8 |
| Waukesha | 3 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| Eau Claire | 4 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Janesville | 9 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 7 |
| Oshkosh | 10 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 5 |
| Sheboygan | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 9 |

Table 13: Average teacher compensation, 2007-08

| Staffing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Salary | Rank | Average Fringe | Rank | Total Compensation | Rank |
| Madison | \$50,596 | 7 | \$22,350 | 9 | \$72,953 | 7 |
| Kenosha | \$51,583 | 4 | \$40,978 | 1 | \$92,565 | 1 |
| Racine | \$50,624 | 5 | \$18,552 | 10 | \$69,181 | 10 |
| Green Bay | \$48,491 | 8 | \$23,267 | 6 | \$71,766 | 8 |
| Appleton | \$53,801 | 3 | \$25,281 | 4 | \$79,085 | 4 |
| Waukesha | \$57,805 | 1 | \$29,285 | 3 | \$87,091 | 2 |
| Eau Claire | \$50,608 | 6 | \$24,253 | 5 | \$74,867 | 6 |
| Janesville | \$47,037 | 10 | \$22,433 | 7 | \$69,480 | 9 |
| Oshkosh | \$47,690 | 9 | \$35,909 | 2 | \$83,608 | 3 |
| Sheboygan | \$56,042 | 2 | \$22,354 | 8 | \$78,398 | 5 |
| Milwaukee | \$55,024 |  | \$29,507 |  | \$84,531 |  |

Table 14: Average teacher experience, 2007-08

| Staffing | Average Local <br> Experience | Rank | Average Total <br> Experience | Rank |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madison | 11.86 | 5 | 14.33 | 6 |
| Kenosha | 10.38 | 9 | 11.49 | 10 |
| Racine | 10.29 | 10 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7 2}$ | 9 |
| Green Bay | 11.77 | 6 | 14.41 | 5 |
| Appleton | 12.14 | 4 | 15.03 | 3 |
| Waukesha | 15.49 | 1 | 17.28 | 1 |
| Eau Claire | 13.07 | 3 | 16.13 | 2 |
| Janesville | 10.56 | 8 | 12.83 | 7 |
| Oshkosh | 10.61 | 7 | 12.45 | 8 |
| Sheboygan | 13.29 | 2 | 14.99 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | 11.40 |  | 11.86 |  |

Table 15: Attendance, truancy, and dropout rate, 2007-08

| Engagement | Attendance |  | Habitual Truancy |  | High School Dropouts |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rate | Rank | Truants | Percent | Rank | Dropouts | Percent | Rank |
| Madison | $95.8 \%$ | 2 | 2,187 | $9.0 \%$ | 6 | 280 | $3.42 \%$ | 5 |
| Kenosha | $93.6 \%$ | 9 | 4,918 | $22.5 \%$ | 1 | 255 | $3.56 \%$ | 3 |
| Racine | $93.2 \%$ | 10 | 1,855 | $9.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | 455 | $6.58 \%$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Green Bay | $93.7 \%$ | 8 | 3,318 | $17.2 \%$ | 3 | 230 | $3.60 \%$ | 2 |
| Appleton | $96.1 \%$ | 1 | 913 | $6.1 \%$ | 7 | 72 | $1.41 \%$ | 9 |
| Waukesha | $94.6 \%$ | 4 | 85 | $0.6 \%$ | 10 | 17 | $0.34 \%$ | 10 |
| Eau Claire | $94.0 \%$ | 7 | 1,219 | $12.0 \%$ | 4 | 58 | $1.66 \%$ | 8 |
| Janesville | $94.2 \%$ | 6 | 1,918 | $18.9 \%$ | 2 | 129 | $3.45 \%$ | 4 |
| Oshkosh | $95.1 \%$ | 3 | 412 | $4.2 \%$ | 9 | 82 | $2.38 \%$ | 7 |
| Sheboygan | $94.3 \%$ | 5 | 463 | $4.8 \%$ | 8 | 81 | $2.41 \%$ | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | $87.4 \%$ |  | 39,111 | $49.1 \%$ |  | 1,882 | $7.5 \%$ |  |
| State of Wisconsin | $94.4 \%$ |  | 84,713 | $10.1 \%$ |  | 6299 | $2.17 \%$ |  |

Table 16: Suspensions and expulsions, 2007-08

| Behavior | Suspensions |  |  | Expulsions |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students | Percent | Rank | Students | Percent | Rank |
| Madison | 2,091 | $8.5 \%$ | 3 | 41 | $0.2 \%$ | 4 |
| Kenosha | 2,479 | $10.9 \%$ | 1 | 80 | $0.4 \%$ | 2 |
| Racine | $\mathbf{2 , 2 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Green Bay | 1,260 | $6.5 \%$ | 4 | 3 | $0.0 \%$ | 6 |
| Appleton | 468 | $3.1 \%$ | 7 | 8 | $0.1 \%$ | 5 |
| Waukesha | 390 | $2.9 \%$ | 8 | 37 | $0.3 \%$ | 3 |
| Eau Claire | 312 | $2.9 \%$ | 8 | 8 | $0.1 \%$ | 5 |
| Janesville | 577 | $5.6 \%$ | 5 | 45 | $0.4 \%$ | 2 |
| Oshkosh | 465 | $4.5 \%$ | 6 | 14 | $0.1 \%$ | 5 |
| Sheboygan | 225 | $2.2 \%$ | 9 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | 26,327 | $30.3 \%$ |  | 325 | $0.4 \%$ |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 58,032 | $6.6 \%$ |  | 1,351 | $0.2 \%$ |  |

Table 17: 3rd grade WKCE reading and math scores, 2008-09

| Performance | 3rd grade scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank | Math Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank |
| Madison | 10.1\% | 17.5\% | 27.7\% | 43.3\% | 8 | 18.8\% | 9.1\% | 34.3\% | 36.5\% | 8 |
| Kenosha | 5.9\% | 16.6\% | 36.5\% | 40.3\% | 7 | 13.9\% | 10.0\% | 42.7\% | 32.6\% | 6 |
| Racine | 9.0\% | 25.4\% | 35.8\% | 27.1\% | 10 | 28.8\% | 13.0\% | 36.2\% | 20.1\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 7.2\% | 17.6\% | 33.7\% | 35.3\% | 9 | 18.4\% | 11.1\% | 41.8\% | 27.4\% | 9 |
| Appleton | 4.4\% | 13.8\% | 28.9\% | 51.1\% | 3 | 14.1\% | 9.3\% | 38.2\% | 36.3\% | 7 |
| Waukesha | 5.3\% | 12.5\% | 29.0\% | 49.4\% | 4 | 14.2\% | 8.6\% | 38.5\% | 37.5\% | 5 |
| Eau Claire | 4.3\% | 11.4\% | 30.0\% | 52.7\% | 2 | 11.1\% | 6.3\% | 40.2\% | 40.8\% | 2 |
| Janesville | 5.0\% | 16.3\% | 36.7\% | 41.0\% | 6 | 13.1\% | 9.3\% | 45.1\% | 31.6\% | 4 |
| Oshkosh | 2.8\% | 11.6\% | 34.6\% | 48.6\% | 1 | 6.1\% | 7.6\% | 44.8\% | 39.0\% | 1 |
| Sheboygan | 5.0\% | 13.3\% | 39.7\% | 38.5\% | 5 | 10.5\% | 9.1\% | 44.2\% | 33.8\% | 3 |
| Milwaukee | 11.3\% | 27.8\% | 37.5\% | 19.3\% |  | 33.6\% | 13.4\% | 35.7\% | 14.5\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 5.1\% | 14.1\% | 33.3\% | 45.8\% |  | 13.3\% | 8.7\% | 40.9\% | 35.7\% |  |

Table 18: 4th grade WKCE reading and math scores, 2008-09

| Performance | 4th grade scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank | Math Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank |
| Madison | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 35.1\% | 39.8\% | 8 | 14.5\% | 8.5\% | 34.2\% | 41.1\% | 9 |
| Kenosha | 4.2\% | 16.9\% | 42.1\% | 36.0\% | 7 | 10.6\% | 9.4\% | 42.6\% | 36.7\% | 5 |
| Racine | 5.0\% | 21.7\% | 40.2\% | 30.1\% | 10 | 18.4\% | 12.1\% | 48.1\% | 19.2\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 6.1\% | 17.6\% | 37.0\% | 34.2\% | 9 | 13.2\% | 9.2\% | 42.5\% | 33.9\% | 8 |
| Appleton | 3.2\% | 11.6\% | 36.2\% | 47.5\% | 3 | 7.7\% | 10.3\% | 41.8\% | 35.8\% | 7 |
| Waukesha | 3.0\% | 10.9\% | 39.3\% | 44.6\% | 2 | 10.7\% | 8.8\% | 45.2\% | 33.9\% | 6 |
| Eau Claire | 2.9\% | 11.1\% | 37.3\% | 46.7\% | 1 | 7.2\% | 5.7\% | 45.0\% | 40.1\% | 2 |
| Janesville | 3.2\% | 14.3\% | 40.5\% | 39.6\% | 6 | 8.1\% | 7.7\% | 44.4\% | 37.2\% | 4 |
| Oshkosh | 2.4\% | 12.0\% | 40.3\% | 41.7\% | 4 | 6.5\% | 5.4\% | 37.0\% | 47.6\% | 3 |
| Sheboygan | 2.6\% | 16.1\% | 44.3\% | 35.9\% | 5 | 7.0\% | 6.9\% | 41.6\% | 43.7\% | 1 |
| Milwaukee | 8.8\% | 28.6\% | 42.3\% | 17.1\% |  | 25.2\% | 13.9\% | 39.8\% | 18.3\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 3.4\% | 13.3\% | 38.9\% | 42.7\% |  | 9.5\% | 7.8\% | 42.4\% | 38.8\% |  |

Table 19: 5th grade WKCE reading and math scores, 2008-09

| Performance | 5th grade scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank | Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank |
| Madison | 8.7\% | 16.5\% | 32.5\% | 40.3\% | 8 | 16.8\% | 9.7\% | 29.8\% | 41.5\% | 9 |
| Kenosha | 5.1\% | 14.6\% | 43.3\% | 36.5\% | 5 | 10.5\% | 7.6\% | 35.7\% | 45.6\% | 4 |
| Racine | 6.5\% | 18.9\% | 43.4\% | 28.2\% | 10 | 20.0\% | 13.6\% | 37.0\% | 26.9\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 6.0\% | 17.8\% | 41.8\% | 30.7\% | 9 | 14.7\% | 8.9\% | 33.8\% | 41.4\% | 8 |
| Appleton | 3.3\% | 11.8\% | 40.9\% | 43.1\% | 1 | 10.4\% | 7.3\% | 34.1\% | 47.4\% | 3 |
| Waukesha | 3.1\% | 11.6\% | 40.1\% | 43.2\% | 2 | 12.5\% | 9.2\% | 35.8\% | 41.2\% | 7 |
| Eau Claire | 4.2\% | 12.1\% | 36.7\% | 45.9\% | 4 | 14.2\% | 7.5\% | 33.2\% | 43.8\% | 6 |
| Janesville | 4.2\% | 14.1\% | 38.4\% | 41.0\% | 6 | 11.8\% | 7.2\% | 33.2\% | 45.6\% | 5 |
| Oshkosh | 2.3\% | 12.4\% | 43.4\% | 39.4\% | 3 | 6.1\% | 7.8\% | 31.4\% | 52.1\% | 1 |
| Sheboygan | 3.2\% | 16.4\% | 43.8\% | 34.9\% | 7 | 8.6\% | 7.4\% | 34.4\% | 47.8\% | 2 |
| Milwaukee | 12.0\% | 26.9\% | 41.8\% | 16.0\% |  | 30.8\% | 13.8\% | 33.6\% | 19.0\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 4.4\% | 13.1\% | 41.6\% | 39.5\% |  | 11.6\% | 8.3\% | 33.5\% | 45.3\% |  |

Table 20: 6th grade WKCE reading and math scores, 2008-09

| Performance | 6th grade scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank | Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank |
| Madison | 9.0\% | 12.9\% | 31.2\% | 44.3\% | 8 | 18.3\% | 11.1\% | 35.1\% | 34.0\% | 9 |
| Kenosha | 5.8\% | 11.7\% | 40.3\% | 40.6\% | 6 | 11.9\% | 10.7\% | 39.8\% | 36.0\% | 7 |
| Racine | 9.6\% | 17.2\% | 43.5\% | 27.5\% | 10 | 26.1\% | 15.4\% | 39.7\% | 17.2\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 8.3\% | 16.1\% | 39.4\% | 32.6\% | 9 | 15.0\% | 11.1\% | 33.4\% | 38.7\% | 8 |
| Appleton | 4.2\% | 9.5\% | 32.6\% | 51.8\% | 4 | 8.7\% | 9.2\% | 40.2\% | 39.9\% | 4 |
| Waukesha | 2.8\% | 6.4\% | 33.5\% | 56.3\% | 1 | 8.7\% | 6.9\% | 39.5\% | 44.0\% | 2 |
| Eau Claire | 3.4\% | 7.7\% | 37.1\% | 50.8\% | 2 | 8.2\% | 7.2\% | 40.6\% | 43.1\% | 1 |
| Janesville | 4.4\% | 11.7\% | 47.0\% | 35.3\% | 5 | 8.3\% | 10.7\% | 46.1\% | 33.3\% | 5 |
| Oshkosh | 3.4\% | 9.5\% | 39.4\% | 45.1\% | 3 | 7.1\% | 8.7\% | 36.3\% | 45.3\% | 3 |
| Sheboygan | 5.2\% | 11.3\% | 40.1\% | 40.4\% | 7 | 11.3\% | 8.5\% | 35.3\% | 42.4\% | 6 |
| Milwaukee | 17.0\% | 21.6\% | 41.4\% | 16.5\% |  | 34.0\% | 17.2\% | 35.1\% | 10.8\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 5.1\% | 10.0\% | 38.5\% | 44.8\% |  | 11.8\% | 9.9\% | 40.6\% | 36.2\% |  |

Table 21: 7th grade WKCE reading and math scores, 2008-09

| Performance | 7th grade scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank | Math Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank |
| Madison | 6.5\% | 11.4\% | 31.9\% | 48.9\% | 7 | 12.3\% | 12.2\% | 38.3\% | 35.8\% | 9 |
| Kenosha | 4.9\% | 10.7\% | 40.4\% | 43.1\% | 6 | 9.2\% | 11.7\% | 44.6\% | 33.6\% | 6 |
| Racine | 7.4\% | 14.1\% | 42.9\% | 33.2\% | 10 | 19.2\% | 17.1\% | 43.4\% | 18.6\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 5.3\% | 11.4\% | 37.6\% | 42.4\% | 8 | 9.3\% | 10.2\% | 37.3\% | 41.6\% | 5 |
| Appleton | 3.5\% | 8.7\% | 32.6\% | 54.6\% | 2 | 8.7\% | 9.9\% | 44.0\% | 36.8\% | 4 |
| Waukesha | 2.8\% | 9.1\% | 39.2\% | 46.6\% | 4 | 8.3\% | 12.5\% | 47.7\% | 29.7\% | 8 |
| Eau Claire | 2.8\% | 6.3\% | 35.2\% | 53.8\% | 1 | 7.6\% | 9.5\% | 51.2\% | 29.8\% | 3 |
| Janesville | 4.1\% | 8.9\% | 45.4\% | 39.9\% | 5 | 8.3\% | 11.9\% | 46.1\% | 31.9\% | 7 |
| Oshkosh | 3.9\% | 7.3\% | 39.3\% | 47.3\% | 3 | 6.1\% | 9.5\% | 42.8\% | 39.5\% | 1 |
| Sheboygan | 5.0\% | 11.9\% | 40.4\% | 39.4\% | 9 | 6.3\% | 9.3\% | 41.8\% | 40.0\% | 2 |
| Milwaukee | 13.8\% | 19.3\% | 45.7\% | 17.5\% |  | 28.0\% | 22.0\% | 38.6\% | 8.1\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 4.2\% | 8.6\% | 38.8\% | 46.8\% |  | 8.9\% | 11.2\% | 44.5\% | 34.0\% |  |

Table 22: 8th grade WKCE reading and math scores, 2008-09

| Performance | 8th grade scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading <br> Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank | Math |  | Proficient | Advanced | Rank |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Minimum | Basic |  |  |  |
| Madison | 8.2\% | 9.9\% | 33.0\% | 47.8\% | 8 | 12.0\% | 13.4\% | 40.6\% | 33.0\% | 9 |
| Kenosha | 6.5\% | 8.7\% | 43.3\% | 40.2\% | 6 | 7.9\% | 12.9\% | 49.1\% | 28.8\% | 5 |
| Racine | 8.5\% | 16.4\% | 45.0\% | 27.5\% | 10 | 16.3\% | 24.8\% | 44.3\% | 12.5\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 7.0\% | 10.5\% | 42.2\% | 37.4\% | 9 | 8.6\% | 12.9\% | 44.7\% | 32.4\% | 6 |
| Appleton | 3.7\% | 7.1\% | 38.5\% | 49.8\% | 2 | 5.7\% | 11.2\% | 43.9\% | 38.2\% | 2 |
| Waukesha | 3.8\% | 7.2\% | 44.0\% | 43.6\% | 3 | 8.1\% | 15.6\% | 48.7\% | 26.5\% | 7 |
| Eau Claire | 3.7\% | 5.4\% | 41.5\% | 46.8\% | 1 | 7.8\% | 8.7\% | 46.1\% | 34.8\% | 3 |
| Janesville | 3.2\% | 8.0\% | 48.2\% | 38.4\% | 5 | 7.7\% | 15.1\% | 51.2\% | 23.6\% | 8 |
| Oshkosh | 3.0\% | 8.0\% | 43.2\% | 44.0\% | 4 | 5.2\% | 10.0\% | 48.1\% | 35.0\% | 1 |
| Sheboygan | 3.4\% | 9.0\% | 41.0\% | 41.0\% | 7 | 4.9\% | 11.6\% | 49.4\% | 30.4\% | 4 |
| Milwaukee | 14.7\% | 19.0\% | 46.1\% | 16.0\% |  | 22.6\% | 25.5\% | 42.5\% | 6.0\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 4.8\% | 8.4\% | 41.3\% | 43.9\% |  | 7.3\% | 12.2\% | 49.0\% | 29.9\% |  |

Table 23: 10th grade WKCE reading and math scores, 2008-09

| Performance | 10th grade scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading <br> Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank | Math Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank |
| Madison | 12.3\% | 12.6\% | 25.5\% | 44.0\% | 9 | 19.5\% | 12.1\% | 37.4\% | 26.8\% | 8 |
| Kenosha | 11.2\% | 16.9\% | 31.9\% | 38.2\% | 8 | 16.9\% | 20.0\% | 46.0\% | 15.2\% | 9 |
| Racine | 18.0\% | 21.9\% | 30.6\% | 25.1\% | 10 | 29.0\% | 19.8\% | 36.5\% | 11.1\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 6.8\% | 14.9\% | 34.3\% | 40.4\% | 5 | 13.6\% | 15.4\% | 48.1\% | 21.4\% | 7 |
| Appleton | 5.4\% | 14.8\% | 35.1\% | 42.7\% | 2 | 10.3\% | 12.0\% | 47.5\% | 28.2\% | 2 |
| Waukesha | 7.5\% | 13.2\% | 31.7\% | 46.1\% | 1 | 12.1\% | 13.5\% | 52.5\% | 20.6\% | 3 |
| Eau Claire | 7.8\% | 13.4\% | 31.2\% | 44.2\% | 4 | 11.6\% | 12.2\% | 47.8\% | 24.9\% | 4 |
| Janesville | 6.2\% | 15.2\% | 29.5\% | 46.4\% | 3 | 14.6\% | 14.6\% | 49.1\% | 18.9\% | 6 |
| Oshkosh | 9.1\% | 14.4\% | 32.0\% | 41.4\% | 6 | 9.0\% | 11.8\% | 50.6\% | 25.6\% | 1 |
| Sheboygan | 5.0\% | 17.0\% | 34.3\% | 37.7\% | 7 | 10.3\% | 12.8\% | 47.1\% | 25.1\% | 5 |
| Milwaukee | 27.9\% | 26.3\% | 26.6\% | 13.6\% |  | 44.6\% | 22.5\% | 24.8\% | 2.8\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 8.2\% | 13.9\% | 32.3\% | 43.3\% |  | 13.2\% | 14.3\% | 48.3\% | 22.1\% |  |

Table 24: High school completion, 2007-08

| Graduation | Total expected to <br> complete high school | Regular <br> diplomas | \% Regular <br> diplomas | Rank |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madison | 2,221 | 1,805 | $81.3 \%$ | 8 |
| Kenosha | 1,700 | 1,430 | $84.1 \%$ | 7 |
| Racine | $\mathbf{1 , 7 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Green Bay | 1,601 | 1,263 | $78.9 \%$ | 9 |
| Appleton | 1,188 | 1,121 | $94.4 \%$ | 2 |
| Waukesha | 1,284 | 1,227 | $95.6 \%$ | 1 |
| Eau Claire | 952 | 891 | $93.6 \%$ | 3 |
| Janesville | 959 | 832 | $86.8 \%$ | 6 |
| Oshkosh | 853 | 758 | $88.9 \%$ | 5 |
| Sheboygan | 856 | 767 | $89.6 \%$ | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | 7,094 | 4,800 | $67.7 \%$ |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 73,271 | 65,211 | $89.0 \%$ |  |

Table 25: Advanced placement (AP) exams, 2007-08

| Performance |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exams <br> passed | \% exams <br> passed | Passed as a \% <br> of enrollment | Rank |
| Madison | 1251 | $86.3 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | 2 |
| Kenosha | 438 | $49.2 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | 8 |
| Racine AP | 222 | $42.3 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |  |
| Racine IB | 98 | $64.9 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |  |
| Racine total AP \& IB | 320 | $47.3 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | 9 |
| Green Bay | 507 | $76.7 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | 6 |
| Appleton | 682 | $68.2 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | 4 |
| Waukesha | 819 | $72.8 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | 1 |
| Eau Claire | 510 | $72.9 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | 3 |
| Janesville | 301 | $58.0 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | 5 |
| Oshkosh | 271 | $64.2 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | 7 |
| Sheboygan | 132 | $60.8 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | 357 | $28.0 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 25,887 | $66.8 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |  |

Table 26: ACT scores, 2008-09

| Performance | ACT test scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> tested | Reading | English | Math | Science | Composite | Rank |
| Madison | $56.6 \%$ | 24.3 | 23.5 | 24.3 | 23.6 | 24.0 | 1 |
| Kenosha | $63.6 \%$ | 21.7 | 20.9 | 21.0 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 9 |
| Racine | $\mathbf{3 8 . 8 \%}$ | 21.0 | 19.6 | 20.3 | 20.7 | 20.6 | 10 |
| Green Bay | $48.9 \%$ | 22.1 | 21.0 | 22.9 | 22.5 | 22.2 | 6 |
| Appleton | $60.0 \%$ | 23.8 | 22.5 | 23.4 | 23.3 | 23.4 | 2 |
| Waukesha | $62.0 \%$ | 23.2 | 22.4 | 22.3 | 22.7 | 22.8 | 3 |
| Eau Claire | $50.7 \%$ | 22.9 | 22.1 | 22.1 | 22.9 | 22.6 | 5 |
| Janesville | $58.2 \%$ | 22.6 | 21.2 | 21.1 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 7 |
| Oshkosh | $56.2 \%$ | 23.0 | 21.5 | 23.0 | 22.9 | 22.7 | 4 |
| Sheboygan | $48.8 \%$ | 21.7 | 20.4 | 22.3 | 22.0 | 21.7 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | $49.0 \%$ | 17.5 | 16.2 | 16.9 | 17.8 | 17.2 |  |
| State of Wisconsin | $57.2 \%$ | 22.5 | 21.5 | 22.1 | 22.3 | 22.2 |  |
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| Gilmore |  | Racine School Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: Kevir | Kevin Brown |  |  | October 2009 |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2008-2009: | 749 |  | Attendance Rate: | 92.8\% |
| 2007-2008: | 756 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 3 |
| 2006-2007: | 752 |  | Habitual Truants: | 64 |
| 2005-2006: | 787 |  | Truancy Rate: | 8.5\% |
| 2004-2005: | 824 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 166 |
| 2003-2004: | 919 |  | Suspension Rate: | 22.0\% |
| 2002-2003: | 979 |  | Dropouts: | 1 |
| 2001-2002: | 972 |  | Dropout Rate: | 0.2\% |
| 2000-2001: | 962 |  |  |  |
| 1999-2000: | 913 |  | Standardized | Tests |
| 1998-1999: | 914 |  |  |  |
| 1997-1998: | 956 | 6th | Reading: | $\frac{\text { At/Above Proficient }}{63.3 \%}$ |
|  |  |  | Math: | 46.0\% |
| Enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| Demographics |  | 7th | Reading: | $\frac{\text { At/Above Proficient }}{73.2 \%}$ |
| Asian: | 7 |  | Math: | 49.6\% |
| African American: | 251 |  | At/Above Proficient |  |
| Hispanic: | $198$ | 8th | Reading: | $\frac{\text { At/Above Proficient }}{}$ |
| Indian: | 1 |  | Language: | 27.1\% |
| White: | 292 |  | Math: | 41.0\% |
| \% African American: | n: 31.9\% |  | Science: | 39.9\% |
| \% Minority: | 62.9\% |  | Social Studies: | 44.7\% |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | e: 62.6\% |  |  | 44.7\% |

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

Jerstad-Agerholm
Principal: Cheri Kulland

Racine School Report
Middle

Total Enrollment by Year
2008-2009: 784

2007-2008: 761
2006-2007: 772
2005-2006: 785
2004-2005: 810
2003-2004: 820
2002-2003: 760
2001-2002: 761
2000-2001: 434
1999-2000: 436
1998-1999: 484
1997-1998: 477

Enrollment
Demographics
Asian:
11
African American: 175
Hispanic: 109
Indian: 1
White: 488
\% African American: $\quad \mathbf{2 2 . 3} \%$
\% Minority: 37.8\%
Free Lunch Eligible: $\quad \mathbf{4 6 . 0 \%}$

Attendance

| Attendance Rate: | $\mathbf{9 2 . 6} \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Pupils Expelled: | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| Habitual Truants: | $\mathbf{4 8}$ |
| Truancy Rate: | $\mathbf{6 . 3} \%$ |
| Pupils Suspended: | $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ |
| Suspension Rate: | $\mathbf{1 8 . 1 \%}$ |
| Dropouts: | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Dropout Rate: | $\mathbf{0 . 2 \%}$ |

## Standardized Tests

6th

|  | At/Above Proficient |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{7 2 . 8 \%}$ |
| Math: | $\mathbf{5 8 . 3 \%}$ |

7th
Reading: $\quad \frac{\text { At/Above Profic }}{73.6 \%}$

## At/Above Proficient

8th
Reading:
80.1\%

Language:
53.2\%

Math:
65.3\%

Science:
61.6\%

Social Studies:
74.5\%





Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

| Fine Arts |  | Racine School Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: | Doug Clum | Grade |  | October 2009 |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2008-2009: | 304 |  | Attendance Rate: | 96.3\% |
| 2007-2008: | 309 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| 2006-2007: | 305 |  | Habitual Truants: | 0 |
| 2005-2006: | 336 |  | Truancy Rate: | 0.0\% |
| 2004-2005: | 329 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 3 |
| 2003-2004: | 325 |  | Suspension Rate: | 1.0\% |
| 2002-2003: | 306 |  |  |  |
| 2001-2002: | 309 |  | Standardized | Tests |
| 2000-2001: | 325 |  |  |  |
| 1999-2000: | 349 | 3rd |  | At/Above Proficient |
| 1998-1999: | 343 |  | Reading: | 91.8\% |
| 1997-1998: | 346 |  | Math: | 75.5\% |
|  |  |  |  | At/Above Proficient |
|  |  | 4th | Reading: | 89.8\% |
| Demographics |  |  | Language: | 93.9\% $87.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  | Math: | 87.8\% |
| Asian: | 9 |  | Science: | 83.7\% |
| African American: | 76 |  | Social Studies: | 98.0\% |
| Hispanic: | 47 | 5th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| Indian: | 3 |  | Reading: | 87.8\% |
| White: | 169 |  | Math: | 77.6\% |
| \% African American | n: 22.6\% |  |  |  |
| \% Minority: | 49.7\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | : 28.0\% |  |  |  |


| Fratt |  | Racine School Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: Ja | James Haas |  |  | October 2009 |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2008-2009: | 536 |  | Attendance Rate: | 94.3\% |
| 2007-2008: | 541 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| 2006-2007: | 536 |  | Habitual Truants: | 16 |
| 2005-2006: | 532 |  | Truancy Rate: | 3.0\% |
| 2004-2005: | 533 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 50 |
| 2003-2004: | 543 |  | Suspension Rate: | 9.2\% |
| 2002-2003: | 576 |  |  |  |
| 2001-2002: | 600 |  | Standardized | Tests |
| 2000-2001: | 589 |  |  |  |
| 1999-2000: | 563 | 3rd |  | At/Above Proficient |
| 1998-1999: | 549 |  | Reading: | 55.3\% |
| 1997-1998: | 591 |  | Math: | 45.9\% |
|  |  |  |  | At/Above Proficient |
|  |  | 4th | Reading: | 69.0\% |
| Enrollment |  |  | Language: | 59.2\% |
| Demographics |  |  | Math: | 60.6\% |
| Asian: | 2 |  | Science: | 64.8\% |
| African American: | 120 |  | Social Studies: | 80.3\% |
| Hispanic: | 248 | 5th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| Indian: | 2 |  | Reading: | 73.2\% |
| White: | 164 |  | Math: | 47.9\% |
| \% African American: | n: $22.6 \%$ |  |  |  |
| \% Minority: | 69.2\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | : 63.1\% |  |  |  |

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

| Giese |  | Grade | Racine School Report |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: An | Anne Swanson |  |  | October 2009 |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  | Attendance |  |  |
| 2008-2009: | 346 |  | Attendance Rate: | 93.4\% |
| 2007-2008: | 305 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| 2006-2007: | 314 |  | Habitual Truants: | 11 |
| 2005-2006: | 341 |  | Truancy Rate: | 3.6\% |
| 2004-2005: | 341 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 16 |
| 2003-2004: | 341 |  | Suspension Rate: | 5.2\% |
| 2002-2003: | 363 |  |  |  |
| 2001-2002: | 410 | Standardized Tests |  |  |
| 2000-2001: | 420 | 3rd |  |  |
| 1999-2000: | 388 |  | Reading: Math: | At/Above Proficient |
| 1998-1999: | 422 |  |  | 62.9\% |
| 1997-1998: | 454 |  |  | 48.6\% |
|  |  | 4th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| Enrollment |  |  | Reading: | 60.6\% |
|  |  | Language: | 69.7\% |
| Demographics |  |  |  | Math: | 60.6\% |
| Asian: | 7 |  | Science: | 63.6\% |
| African American: | : 157 |  | Social Studies: | 78.8\% |
| Hispanic: | 65 | 5th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| Indian: | 3 |  | Reading: | 55.2\% |
| White: | 114 |  | Math: | 58.6\% |
| \% African American: | an: 46.0\% |  |  |  |
| \% Minority: | 66.6\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | le: 64.2\% |  |  |  |

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.


Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

| Goodland |  | Racine School Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Grade |  | October 2009 |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2008-2009: | 317 |  | Attendance Rate: | 92.9\% |
| 2007-2008: | 390 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| 2006-2007: | 370 |  | Habitual Truants: | 28 |
| 2005-2006: | 321 |  | Truancy Rate: | 9.4\% |
| 2004-2005: | 341 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 43 |
| 2003-2004: | 352 |  | Suspension Rate: | 11.0\% |
| 2002-2003: | 376 |  |  |  |
| 2001-2002: | 385 |  | Standardized | Tests |
| 2000-2001: | 410 |  |  |  |
| 1999-2000: | 430 | 3rd |  | At/Above Proficient |
| 1998-1999: | 383 |  | Reading: | 51.5\% |
| 1997-1998: | 401 |  | Math: | 33.3\% |
| Enrollment Demographics |  |  |  | At/Above Proficient |
|  |  | 4th | Reading: | 61.5\% |
|  |  |  | Language: | 57.7\% |
|  |  |  | Math: | 57.7\% |
| Asian: | 4 |  | Science: | 53.8\% |
| African American: | an: 157 |  | Social Studies: | 76.9\% |
| Hispanic: | 56 | 5th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| Indian: | 0 |  | Reading: | 48.6\% |
| White: | 100 |  | Math: | 37.1\% |
| \% African American | rican: 48.9\% |  |  |  |
| \% Minority: | 68.8\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible | gible: 63.3\% |  |  |  |

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.



Jerstad-Agerholm
Grade
Racine School Report
October 2009

Principal: Cecilia Holley-Young


| Johnson <br> Principal: | Kim DeLaO | Grade | Racine | chool Report <br> October 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2008-2009: | 581 |  | Attendance Rate: | 93.4\% |
| 2007-2008: | 538 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| 2006-2007: | 545 |  | Habitual Truants: | 35 |
| 2005-2006: | 575 |  | Truancy Rate: | 6.5\% |
| 2004-2005: | 407 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 55 |
| 2003-2004: | 483 |  | Suspension Rate: | 10.2\% |
| 2002-2003: | 507 |  |  |  |
| 2001-2002: | 556 |  | Standardized Tests |  |
| 2000-2001: | 516 |  | At/Above Proficient |  |
| 1999-2000: | 578 | 3rd |  |  |
| 1998-1999: | 556 |  | Reading: Math: | 65.1\% |
| 1997-1998: | 573 |  |  | 60.3\% |
|  |  |  | At/Above Proficient |  |
|  |  | 4th | Reading: $\quad \mathbf{6 2 . 7 \%}$ |  |
| Enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| Demographics |  |  | Math: | 55.2\% |
| Asian: | 13 |  | Science: | 53.7\% |
| African American: | 247 |  | Social Studies: | 79.1\% |
| Hispanic: | 108 | 5 th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| Indian: | 0 | $\underline{\text { Sth }}$ | Reading: | 51.3\% |
| White: | 213 |  | Math: | 48.7\% |
| \% African American: | n: 43.0\% |  |  |  |
| \% Minority: | 63.0\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | e: 66.4\% |  |  |  |





Note: Blank cells are not applicable.




Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

P-COC/Red Apple
Principal:

Total Enrollment by Year

| 2008-2009: | $\mathbf{8 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2007-2008: | 65 |
| 2006-2007: | 73 |
| 2005-2006: | 102 |
| 2004-2005: | 45 |
| 2003-2004: | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ |
| 2002-2003: | 51 |
| 2001-2002: | 62 |
| 2000-2001: | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ |
| 1999-2000: | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ |
| 1998-1999: | 139 |
| 1997-1998: | $\mathbf{9 8}$ |

2007-2008: 65
2006-2007: 73
2005-2006: 102
2004-2005: 45
2003-2004: 116
2002-2003: 51
2001-2002: 62
2000-2001: 160
1999-2000: 166
1998-1999: 139
1997-1998: 98

## Enrollment Demographics

| Asian: | 0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| African American: | 31 |
| Hispanic: | 20 |
| Indian: | 0 |
| White: | 35 |
| \% African American: | $\mathbf{3 0 . 4 \%}$ |
| \% Minority: | $65.7 \%$ |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | $\mathbf{1 6 . 3 \%}$ |

## o

African American: 31
Hispanic: 20
Indian: 0
\% African American: 30.4\%

Free Lunch Eligible: 16.3\%

Racine School Report
Grade
October 2009

| Attendance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Attendance Rate: | 77.6\% |
|  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
|  | Habitual Truants: |  |
|  | Truancy Rate: |  |
|  | Pupils Suspended: | 0 |
|  | Suspension Rate: | 0.0\% |
| Standardized Tests |  |  |
| 3rd |  | AtAbove Proficient |
|  | Reading: <br> Math: |  |
| 4th |  | AtAbove Proficient |
|  | Reading: |  |
|  | Language: |  |
|  | Math: |  |
|  | Science: |  |
|  | Social Studies: |  |
| 5th | AtAbove Proficient |  |
|  | Reading: |  |






Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

| West Ridge |  | Grade Racine School Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: Christ | Christopher Thompson | Grade |  | October 2009 |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2008-2009: | 423 |  | Attendance Rate: | 95.0\% |
| 2007-2008: | 461 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| 2006-2007: | 444 |  | Habitual Truants: | 5 |
| 2005-2006: | 447 |  | Truancy Rate: | 1.1\% |
| 2004-2005: | 451 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 11 |
| 2003-2004: | 436 |  | Suspension Rate: | 2.4\% |
| 2002-2003: | 423 |  |  |  |
| 2001-2002: | 424 |  | Standardized Tests |  |
| 2000-2001: | 441 |  |  |  |
| 1999-2000: | 456 | 3 rd | Reading: Math: | At/Above Proficient |
| 1998-1999: | 445 |  |  | 74.2\% |
| 1997-1998: | 446 |  |  | 66.7\% |
| EnrollmentDemographics |  |  |  | At/Above Proficient |
|  |  | 4th | Reading: | 84.8\% |
|  |  |  | Language: | 82.6\% |
|  |  |  | Math: | 78.3\% |
| Asian: | 14 |  | Science: | 84.8\% |
| African American: | rican: 97 |  | Social Studies: | 93.5\% |
| Hispanic: | 61 | 5 th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| Indian: | 2 |  | Reading: | 74.3\% |
| White: | 249 |  | Math: | 64.3\% |
| \% African American | merican: 21.7\% |  |  |  |
| \% Minority: | 44.3\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | Eligible: 50.8\% |  |  |  |



