Childhood Obesity and Academic Outcomes

OVERVIEW

Childhood obesity is on the rise across the country and in North Carolina, with four times as many children exhibiting signs of obesity now as they did 20 years ago. The costs in terms of medical expenses alone are staggering, with one estimate putting the cost to North Carolina at $16 million a year.1 Some North Carolina legislators have expressed concern that obesity might also impede student achievement, resulting in even greater long-term social and economic consequences. In response, the Hunt Institute compiled this briefing to summarize research on the relationship between obesity and academic outcomes.

Researchers have not yet established a clear cause-and-effect relationship between childhood obesity and academic performance. However, studies have identified a link between childhood obesity and lower self-esteem, which is often related to lower academic achievement and attainment.2 These findings suggest that childhood obesity may be merely a warning sign of possible academic underperformance.3 Notably, studies also reveal that persistent socioeconomic factors exert an even greater influence on obesity, self esteem, and school performance.4 The relationships suggested by these studies are illustrated in the figure above.

CHILDHOOD OBESITY IN NORTH CAROLINA

• The childhood obesity rate in North Carolina is higher than rates in all but five other states, and the state’s adult obesity rate is 16th worst in the nation.5

• In North Carolina, nearly one in seven children between the ages of 2 and 4, one in four children between the ages of 5 and 11, and one in three adolescents between the ages of 12 and 18 are obese.6 The prevalence of childhood obesity among adolescents in our state has nearly tripled over the past 20 years.7

• More than half of all North Carolina high school students do not engage in recommended levels of physical activity, 35 percent watch three or more hours of television daily, and one in five play video games for three or more hours a day.8

DO WE KNOW HOW OBESITY AFFECTS ACADEMIC OUTCOMES AND FUTURE EDUCATION PROSPECTS?

Over the past 15 years, only a handful of researchers have attempted to identify links between academic performance and childhood obesity. While some of their studies offer promising insight into possible relationships, several raise as many questions as they answer. Consequently, the results reported here are suggestive only.
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**Academic outcomes**

- Recent research at the elementary level indicates that obese kindergartners tend to score significantly lower on math and reading tests than do normal-weight children. Lower scores were also found among girls who became overweight between kindergarten and third grade. In addition, there is evidence that the effects of obesity on school performance are worse for children who remain obese for many years.9

- Studies at the middle and high school levels indicate that adolescents at risk of obesity typically earn lower grades, and individuals who were obese at age 16 complete significantly fewer years of schooling than do their non-obese peers. Also, obese girls tend to perform poorly on math and reading tests when compared to their non-obese peers, and obesity negatively impacts grade-point average for white teenage females.10

**Future Education Prospects**

- Recent studies find that obese girls are more likely to report being poor students, obese boys are more likely to think they are poor students and to consider quitting school, and girls who simply think they are overweight exhibit lower school performance.11

- Overweight students also rate their educational futures lower than do their normal-weight peers, obese women are less likely to pursue college or other post-high school training, and women who are obese as adolescents complete fewer years of schooling.12

**Concluding Thoughts**

Based on the Hunt Institute’s review of research, a clear link between childhood obesity and academic performance has not yet been established. But there is reason to believe that childhood obesity has at least an indirect effect on academic outcomes, with the clearest evidence suggesting an impact on self-esteem and pursuit of schooling beyond high school.

As North Carolina legislators strive for greater academic achievement in the state’s public schools, it is commendable that some leaders have shown a willingness to consider factors of student health and well-being. Though research studies do not suggest that efforts to curb childhood obesity are a primary strategy for improving academic performance, it is possible that efforts to improve student health and wellness could also result in improved school outcomes for some students.

Obesity should not be among the many obstacles our children face today, and North Carolina currently is engaged in a variety of efforts to respond to this crisis (see Appendices A and B). The Hunt Institute remains committed to the task of helping members of the NC General Assembly identify research and evidence-based strategies that will improve outcomes for the students in our state.
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**Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Weight Classification Chart**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Body Mass Index for Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>Less than 5th percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>5th percentile to less than 85th percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>85th percentile to less than 95th percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>Greater than or equal to 95th percentile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Health complications for children due to obesity include: increased risk of type II diabetes, cardiovascular problems, sleep apnea, asthma, liver disease, cancer, orthopedic complications, reduced quality of life, depression, anxiety, eating disorders, and increased risk for becoming obese adults.
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NC Department of Public Instruction (DPI) and NC State Board of Education

- DPI’s Healthy Schools Section has been in operation for about a decade and receives funding from both state and federal sources, including about $900,000 a year from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The Section sponsors childhood obesity reduction efforts and oversees the Coordinated School Health Program (CSHP). CSHP provides coordination and resources in eight areas of school health: health education, physical education; childhood nutrition services; health services; school environment oversight; mental health services; employee wellness services; and community involvement.

- NC State Board of Education Policy HSP-S-000 (the Healthy Active Children Policy), updated in 2005, requires at least 30 minutes of physical activity per day for all students in grades K-8. The policy recommends that schools consider providing 150 minutes per week of formal physical education in elementary school and 225 minutes per week of formal Healthful Living Education in middle school.

NC Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS)

- In 2007, the NC General Assembly and DHHS provided a total of $250,000 for Gaston, Johnson, Nash, Robeson, and Wilkes counties to adopt WakeMed’s ENERGIZE!, a 12-week, family-based healthy lifestyle program targeted at children aged 6 to 18 with type II diabetes, pre-diabetes, or risk factors.

- In 2008, the NC Division of Public Health sponsored a Childhood Obesity Prevention Demonstration Project in Cabarrus, Dare, Henderson, Moore, and Watauga counties. Each county was granted $380,000 to support strategies proven to prevent childhood obesity, including programs in preschools, child care centers, and other community outlets, as well as public awareness campaigns.

NC Health and Wellness Trust Fund (HWTF)

- HWTF was established in 2000 as part of the Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement with an initial grant-making capacity of $73 million. To date, it has invested nearly $300 million statewide in various health-related programs. HWTF sponsored the 2004-2005 Fit Families Study Committee on Childhood Obesity and supports statewide childhood obesity initiatives, including:

  **Fit Kids**, which promotes 30 minutes of physical activity in grades K-8. Eighty-eight of 115 Local Education Agencies (32,868 teachers) have received training;

  **A+ Fit School**, begun in October 2008, which recognizes school efforts to provide a healthy environment for all students and staff. Each year, 10 schools receive $1,000 in recognition of their efforts, while another 10 receive $7,500 to support new health and wellness initiatives;

  **IN4Kids (Integrating Nutrition for Kids)**, which brings dieticians into primary care practices;

  The North Carolina Alliance for Athletics, Health, Physical Education, Recreation, and Dance’s (NCAAHPERD) In-school Prevention of Obesity and Disease (IsPOD) project, which has implemented a physical education and nutrition curriculum (Sports, Play, Active Recreation for Kids, or SPARK) in 135 schools; and

  **Fit Together**, which has awarded 21 community grants totaling $9 million in support of projects that promote fitness and healthy eating habits.
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**Joint Efforts**

- In 2000, *Eat Smart, Move More*, including more than 60 agency partners, was established to promote healthy eating and physical activity. In 2008, the program provided one-time grants ranging in size from $9,000 to $20,000 to support projects in Buncombe, Carteret, Chatham, Davidson, Durham, Granville-Vance, Lee, Wake, and Warren counties.8

- DPI is working in partnership with NCAHPERD and with funding support from HWTF, BlueCross BlueShield of North Carolina, and the Kate B. Reynolds Charitable Trust to expand the *SPARK* curriculum to all Local Education Agencies.9

- In 2008, the NC General Assembly established the Task Force on Preventing Childhood Obesity, co-chaired by NC State Health Director Leah M. Devlin and NC State Board of Education Chair Howard Lee. This effort includes 19 members representing education, hospitals, public health, physicians, researchers, and the public. The Task Force has reviewed current DPI, DHHS, and HWTF efforts and is developing a comprehensive statewide strategic plan for preventing childhood obesity. The Task Force met between September and November 2008, and is expected to report final recommendations to the NC General Assembly in January 2009.

- The NC General Assembly provided $500,000 in non-recurring FY 2008-09 funds for child obesity pilot programs. This effort is overseen by the 27-member Think Tank for Child Obesity, a group formed to complement the Task Force on Preventing Childhood Obesity. The Think Tank dedicated half of the funds to expand Department of Public Health *Child Obesity Prevention Demonstration Project* efforts in six Local Education Agencies ($41,000 per LEA). The remaining funds will be used to support additional obesity-reduction pilot programs in 10 yet-to-be-identified schools.10
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**APPENDIX B: RECENT LEGISLATION RELATED TO CHILDHOOD OBESITY**

**Passed**

HB 855 (October 2005) set improvement goals for North Carolina’s school nutritional standards.

SB 961 (August 2005) made North Carolina’s standards for food sold in school vending machines among the nation’s strictest.

**Proposed but Not Passed**

**2001-2002**

S725/H650   Proposed a moratorium on school contracts with soft drink distributors.

S1466   Proposed the transfer of a soft drink tax to education funds.

**2003-2004**

S34   Proposed the creation of a Commission on Childhood Obesity.

S797   Proposal to link soft drink taxes with school breakfasts.

**2005-2006**

S637   Proposed $3 million in support for UNC obesity research.

H1126   Proposed two years of funding to DHHS for general obesity programs.

H1570   Proposed funds for a child health survey focused on obesity.

S269   Proposed statewide standards for school meals.

H694   Proposed the annual collection of child BMI data.

H773   Proposed requirements for physical activity in schools.

H755   Proposed improvement goals for North Carolina’s school nutritional standards.

**2007-2008 (Pending Bills)**

H1453   Proposes support for childhood diabetes education and prevention.

S25   Proposes funds through 2009 for one full-time health worker per county to work on several issues, including childhood obesity.

H2053   Formally urges Congress to approve the use of locally grown foods in school cafeterias.

H2370/S1676   Proposes additional funds for Eat Smart, Move More.

H23   Proposes funds for statewide health promotion.

H2592   Proposes collection and public provision of data related to physical education, including data on student BMI for each Local Education Agency.
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