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## 8th Annual Comparative Analysis of the Racine Unified School District

## Summary

Each year since 1998, the Public Policy Forum has conducted an analysis of Racine public schools using nine similar-sized Wisconsin districts as the basis for comparison. Each study has confirmed that the Racine Unified School District (RUSD) faces greater challenges than its peers. Although these challenges have led Racine to spend slightly above the average amount per pupil, district performance tends to be below average in some areas, including standardized test scores and student engagement.

Even so, this 8th comparative analysis finds noteworthy changes in both the inputs and outcomes - with RUSD increasing instructional spending somewhat more than its peers, and showing improvements in standardized test scores for the district as a whole.

## Major findings:

Enrollment: Kenosha passed RUSD as the 3rd largest district in the state in 2004-05. RUSD decreased $1 \%$ from 2003-04 to 2004-05 to an enrollment of 21,244. At RUSD $42.5 \%$ of the students were minority in 2004-05, the highest among peer districts.

Finances: RUSD raised its ranking on operations spending per pupil, now ranking 3rd among peer districts for 2004-05. RUSD increased its property tax revenue $10 \%$ from 2003-04 to 2004-05 but still had a tax rate (\$7.62) ranking last among peer districts in 2005. In state aid per pupil, RUSD ranked 1st.

Staffing: RUSD had a student-teacher ratio of 14.6:1 for 2004-05, the lowest ratio among peer districts. On average, RUSD teachers made $\$ 62,140$ in total compensation in 2004-05, last among peer districts and below the state average of $\$ 64,760$. This is most likely because RUSD teachers are less experienced on average than teachers in all peer districts.
Student engagement: For the 2nd year in row, RUSD made improvements on all pupil participation measures. The attendance rate increased to $94.3 \%$, slightly below the state average. Truancy for the 2003-04 school was the lowest since 1997-98.

Extracurricular activity: RUSD showed a slight improvement in both athletic and music participation from 2002-03 to 2003-04. However, the district ranked last in academic extracurricular participation among peer districts, even though it had the 3rd highest number of academic offerings.

Discipline: RUSD had the 2nd highest expulsion rate in 2003-04. Eighty-seven students were expelled, or 2.5 students per school. Suspensions also increased slightly while retentions decreased from 2002-03 to 2003-04.

Student performance: RUSD continued to increase the percentage of student scoring at or above proficient on the 3rd grade reading test in 2004-05. The number of 4th graders scoring at or above proficient decreased in both reading and math from 2003-04 to 200405 . Both 8th and 10th grade reading scores improved along with the 8th grade math score from 2003-04 to 2004-05.

## Enrollment characteristics

Kenosha’s enrollment passed RUSD in number of students, making it the 3rd largest district in the state behind Madison and Milwaukee. RUSD had 21,244 students enrolled in 2004-05, compared to 21,740 at Kenosha. RUSD had the second largest loss in students, $1 \%$, among peer districts. Only three districts - Oshkosh, Eau Claire, and Appleton - had a greater loss. Waukesha had the highest one-year growth, $3.3 \%$, followed by Kenosha, at $1.5 \%$. Only three of the 10 peer districts grew from 2003-04 to 2004-05.

Figure 1: Public school enrollment trend, 1997 to 2005


RUSD again had the highest percentage of African-Americans and total minority students among peer districts. Twenty-six percent of the students at RUSD were black in 2004-05 and $44.8 \%$ of all students were minority. Madison had the next highest percentage of minority students with 42.5\%. RUSD's African-American enrollment decreased from 2003-04 to 2004-05, $2.3 \%$ or 127 students. White enrollment from 2003-04 to 2004-05 decreased $2.9 \%$ or 352 students. RUSD's Hispanic enrollment increased by 243 students or 7.1\%. Asian enrollment increased 5.6\%.

Table 1: Enrollment by race among peer districts, 2004-05

| Enrollment | Overall |  | Racial Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004-05 | 1-year change | White | African American | Asian | Hispanic | Indian | \% black | Rank | \% minority | Rank |
| Madison | 24,894 | -0.1\% | 14,326 | 5,156 | 2,517 | 2,736 | 159 | 20.7\% | 2 | 42.5\% | 2 |
| Kenosha | 21,740 | 1.5\% | 14,772 | 3,202 | 361 | 3,318 | 87 | 14.7\% | 3 | 32.1\% | 4 |
| Racine | 21,244 | -1.0\% | 11,724 | 5,514 | 285 | 3,646 | 75 | 26.0\% | 1 | 44.8\% | 1 |
| Green Bay | 20,300 | 0.0\% | 13,791 | 1,114 | 1,644 | 2,742 | 1,009 | 5.5\% | 4 | 32.1\% | 3 |
| Appleton | 15,060 | -1.4\% | 12,281 | 386 | 1,543 | 733 | 117 | 2.6\% | 9 | 18.5\% | 7 |
| Waukesha | 13,318 | 3.3\% | 10,836 | 437 | 376 | 1,599 | 70 | 3.3\% | 6 | 18.6\% | 6 |
| Eau Claire | 10,432 | -3.0\% | 8,988 | 245 | 939 | 144 | 116 | 2.3\% | 10 | 13.8\% | 8 |
| Janesville | 10,606 | -0.6\% | 9,229 | 554 | 222 | 545 | 56 | 5.2\% | 5 | 13.0\% | 10 |
| Oshkosh | 10,295 | -1.2\% | 8,937 | 290 | 787 | 240 | 41 | 2.8\% | 7 | 13.2\% | 9 |
| Sheboygan | 10,248 | 0.2\% | 7,006 | 269 | 1,756 | 1,148 | 69 | 2.6\% | 8 | 31.6\% | 5 |
| Milwaukee | 93,654 | -3.8\% | 15,845 | 54,924 | 4,096 | 17,956 | 833 | 58.6\% |  | 83.1\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 864,757 | -1.7\% | 677,009 | 90,770 | 29,826 | 54,499 | 12,653 | 10.5\% |  | 21.7\% |  |

The 2005 class at RUSD retained $87 \%$ of its freshmen from four years ago, much higher than the $74 \%$ retention in the class of 2004. Of white students, there were 1,113 12th graders in 2004-05; there were 1,166 students enrolled in 2001-02 for a $95 \%$ retention rate. Seventy-four percent of African-American 9th graders in 2001-02 were 12th graders in 2004-05, a 15 percentage point increase from last year. Among Hispanic students, 77\% of the 9th graders in 2001-02 were seniors in 2004-05, compared to $68 \%$ last year. Figures 2 and 3 show that there was dramatic improvement from the 2001 class to the 2005 class. For the 2001 class, only 34\% of African American 9th graders were 12th graders four years later. The figure was $41 \%$ for Hispanics, while $70 \%$ of white students were in 12th grade four years later.

## Figure 2: RUSD class of 2005 cohort analysis, high school enrollment by ethnicity



Figure 3: RUSD class of 2001 cohort analysis, high school enrollment by ethnicity


Table 2: Public school enrollment by race/ethnicity and grade, 2004-05

| Racine | PK | K5 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | 11 | 15 | 24 | 21 | 25 | 30 | 23 | 18 | 16 | 17 | 22 | 24 | 17 | 22 | 285 |
| Black | 155 | 408 | 385 | 409 | 417 | 407 | 450 | 430 | 441 | 417 | 402 | 415 | 380 | 398 | 5,514 |
| Hispanic | 142 | 344 | 342 | 336 | 273 | 260 | 259 | 232 | 249 | 247 | 242 | 239 | 243 | 238 | 3,646 |
| Indian | 0 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 75 |
| White | 207 | 749 | 793 | 779 | 776 | 776 | 758 | 786 | 856 | 958 | 1,071 | 1,033 | 1,069 | 1,113 | 11,724 |
| Total | 515 | 1,519 | 1,552 | 1,548 | 1,497 | 1,478 | 1,492 | 1,473 | 1,569 | 1,642 | 1,744 | 1,719 | 1,717 | 1,779 | 21,244 |
| Kenosha | PK | K5 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Asian | 11 | 24 | 28 | 19 | 30 | 23 | 29 | 29 | 26 | 27 | 42 | 28 | 32 | 13 | 361 |
| Black | 183 | 236 | 255 | 262 | 230 | 263 | 252 | 242 | 252 | 260 | 293 | 162 | 216 | 96 | 3,202 |
| Hispanic | 218 | 313 | 271 | 253 | 279 | 256 | 261 | 243 | 248 | 231 | 277 | 147 | 208 | 113 | 3,318 |
| Indian | 1 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 14 | 5 | 87 |
| White | 388 | 1,072 | 1,023 | 946 | 996 | 1,015 | 1,080 | 1,061 | 1,128 | 1,131 | 1,467 | 1,121 | 1,359 | 985 | 14,772 |
| Total | 801 | 1,650 | 1,583 | 1,481 | 1,541 | 1,563 | 1,630 | 1,583 | 1,660 | 1,659 | 2,085 | 1,463 | 1,829 | 1,212 | 21,740 |
| Wisconsin | PK | K5 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Asian | 1,036 | 2,021 | 1,984 | 2,014 | 2,164 | 2,103 | 2,186 | 2,221 | 2,208 | 2,374 | 2,513 | 2,402 | 2,325 | 2,275 | 29,826 |
| Black | 4,761 | 6,309 | 6,581 | 6,310 | 6,441 | 6,659 | 6,988 | 6,776 | 7,261 | 6,923 | 9,059 | 6,393 | 5,530 | 4,779 | 90,770 |
| Hispanic | 2,813 | 4,840 | 4,802 | 4,514 | 4,333 | 4,281 | 3,960 | 3,838 | 3,791 | 3,701 | 4,301 | 3,376 | 3,165 | 2,784 | 54,499 |
| Indian | 327 | 845 | 844 | 803 | 842 | 809 | 925 | 998 | 1,009 | 1,049 | 1,190 | 1,047 | 992 | 973 | 12,653 |
| White | 18,507 44,709 44,310 |  |  | 44,166 | 45,094 | 45,415 | 47,434 | 48,724 | 51,826 | 53,121 | 59,110 | 57,978 | 57,916 | 58,699 | 677,009 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 7 , 4 4 4} \mathbf{5 8 , 7 2 4} \mathbf{5 8 , 5 2 1} 57,80758,87459,26761,49362,55766,09567,16876,17371,19669,92869,510$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 864,757 |

RUSD ranks high among peer districts in educational options. In terms of private school enrollment as a percentage of public enrollment, RUSD ranks 1st among peer districts, 20.5\%. Private school enrollment in the RUSD geographic region went down 4\% between 2003-04 and 2004-05, to 4,348 students. In 2003-04, private school enrollment in RUSD was $21.1 \%$ of the public enrollment.

At RUSD, $5.2 \%$ of the students attended a charter school operated and authorized by RUSD in 2004-05, ranking RUSD second among peers. If you included the 21st Century Preparatory School in Racine as part of RUSD charter school enrollment, it would be $6.9 \%$ of the total public school enrollment. Appleton had the highest percentage of students in charter schools among peers, at $7.9 \%$. RUSD had the highest percentage of home-schooled students among peers. There were 532 home schoolers, or 2.5\% in RUSD in 2004-05.

Table 3: Educational options among peer districts, 2004-05

| Enrollment | Private schools |  |  | Charter schools |  |  | Home schooled |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Private } \\ \text { school } \\ \text { enrollment } \end{array}$ | \% of public enrollment | Rank | Charter school enrollment | \% of public enrollment | Rank | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Home } \\ \text { school } \\ \text { enrollment } \end{array}$ | \% of public enrollment | Rank |
| Madison | 4,130 | 16.6\% | 3 | 265 | 1.1\% | 7 | 432 | 1.7\% | 3 |
| Kenosha | 3,266 | 15.0\% | 5 | 352 | 1.6\% | 5 | 479 | 2.2\% | 2 |
| Racine | 4,348 | 20.5\% | 1 | 1,106 | 5.2\% | 2 | 532 | 2.5\% | 1 |
| 21st Century Prep. Charter School |  |  |  | 353 | 1.7\% |  |  |  |  |
| Green Bay | 4,180 | 20.6\% | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% | 10 | 237 | 1.2\% | 7 |
| Appleton | 3,584 | 23.8\% | 4 | 1,197 | 7.9\% | 1 | 245 | 1.6\% | 5 |
| Waukesha | 2,693 | 20.2\% | 6 | 315 | 2.4\% | 6 | 275 | 2.1\% | 4 |
| Eau Claire | 1,644 | 15.8\% | 8 | 239 | 2.3\% | 8 | 239 | 2.3\% | 6 |
| Janesville | 1,424 | 13.4\% | 9 | 100 | 0.9\% | 9 | 210 | 2.0\% | 8 |
| Oshkosh | 1,388 | 13.5\% | 10 | 361 | 3.5\% | 3 | 180 | 1.7\% | 10 |
| Sheboygan | 1,749 | 17.1\% | 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 10 | 210 | 2.0\% | 8 |
| Milwaukee | 26,846 | 28.7\% |  | 11,023 | 11.8\% |  | 879 | 0.9\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 136,792 | 15.8\% |  | 24,451 | 2.8\% |  | 20,514 | 2.4\% |  |

Table 4: Special education enrollment, 2004-05

| Enrollment | Special education |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age 3-21 | Age 3-5 | Age 3-21 as \% of total enrollment | Rank |
| Madison | 4,529 | 401 | 18.2\% | 2 |
| Kenosha | 3,128 | 506 | 14.4\% | 7 |
| Racine | 3,791 | 613 | 17.8\% | 3 |
| Green Bay | 3,840 | 352 | 18.9\% | 1 |
| Appleton | 2,071 | 257 | 13.8\% | 9 |
| Waukesha | 1,734 | 260 | 13.0\% | 10 |
| Eau Claire | 1,435 | 188 | 13.8\% | 8 |
| Janesville | 1,744 | 189 | 16.4\% | 5 |
| Oshkosh | 1,639 | 217 | 15.9\% | 6 |
| Sheboygan | 1,713 | 269 | 16.7\% | 4 |
| Milwaukee | 16,391 | 1,845 | 17.5\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 129,180 | 15,955 | 14.9\% |  |

RUSD had the 3rd highest percentage of students receiving special education in 2004-05 among peers, at $17.8 \%$. Of that, $16 \%$ of the students are ages 3 through 5. RUSD had the highest number of students ages 3 through 5 receiving special education, 613. Only Green Bay and Madison had higher percentages of students receiving special education. All three districts, Green Bay, Madison, and RUSD had higher percentages than Milwaukee. Throughout the state, $17.5 \%$ of students receive some sort of special education. Of those, $12 \%$ are ages 3 through 5.

## Demographic characteristics

For the 3rd year in a row, the percentage of RUSD students receiving free or reduced price lunch has increased. Nevertheless, as figure 5 shows, RUSD continues to rank 2nd in the percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price lunch. Figure 3 shows that the percentage is the highest since the 1998-99 school year. Property wealth continues to grow in RUSD but the ranking did not change from last year - still 7th out of the 10 peer districts. While property wealth is increasing, personal wealth is declining, perhaps helping to explain why free and re-duced-price eligibility continues to rise. From 2000 to 2003, personal income per pupil decreased \$2,714.

Table 5: Community demographics among peer districts, 2004-2005

| Community |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demographics | Affluence <br> Free lunch <br> eligible | Rank | Income per <br> return | Rank | Income per <br> pupil | Rank | Property Value <br> Per Pupil | Rank |
| Madison | $37 \%$ | 3 | $\$ 44,519$ | 3 | $\$ 177,600$ | 2 | $\$ 716,876$ | 1 |
| Kenosha | $37 \%$ | 3 | $\$ 41,600$ | 7 | $\$ 99,119$ | 9 | $\$ 358,195$ | 7 |
| Racine | $40 \%$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 42,912$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 118,864$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 354,280$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| Green Bay | $42 \%$ | 1 | $\$ 41,298$ | 8 | $\$ 134,092$ | 4 | $\$ 380,522$ | 6 |
| Appleton | $25 \%$ | 9 | $\$ 43,546$ | 5 | $\$ 118,659$ | 7 | $\$ 397,219$ | 4 |
| Waukesha | $19 \%$ | 10 | $\$ 50,124$ | 2 | $\$ 165,923$ | 3 | $\$ 596,985$ | 2 |
| Eau Claire | $29 \%$ | 6 | $\$ 59,375$ | 1 | $\$ 189,450$ | 1 | $\$ 444,224$ | 3 |
| Janesville | $27 \%$ | 8 | $\$ 43,903$ | 4 | $\$ 118,143$ | 8 | $\$ 327,200$ | 9 |
| Oshkosh | $28 \%$ | 7 | $\$ 39,657$ | 9 | $\$ 125,566$ | 5 | $\$ 388,079$ | 5 |
| Sheboygan | $32 \%$ | 5 | $\$ 37,281$ | 10 | $\$ 93,083$ | 10 | $\$ 298,263$ | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | $74 \%$ |  | $\$ 31,769$ |  | $\$ 77,842$ |  | $\$ 234,583$ |  |
| State of Wisconsin | $30 \%$ |  | $\$ 41,723$ |  | $\$ 111,979$ |  | $\$ 446,116$ |  |

*2003 Wisconsin Tax Returns (Based on school district boundaries)

Figure 4: Trends in RUSD free lunch or reduced-price lunch eligibility, 1997 to 2005


## Finances

RUSD now ranks 3rd among peer districts in total operations revenue per pupil, compared to 4th last year. Only Madison and Sheboygan school districts bring in more total revenue. RUSD ranks 1st in state aid at $\$ 6,761$ per pupil and 2nd in federal aid at $\$ 737$ per pupil. RUSD has the lowest property tax revenue among the 10 peer districts. Sixty-seven percent of RUSD's total operations revenue comes from state aid, $24 \%$ from property taxes, and $7 \%$ from federal aid. Most of RUSD's revenue comes from state aid because property values and revenue from property taxes are relatively low. The state aid formula compensates for lower property tax revenue.

Table 6: Revenue per pupil among peer districts, 2004-05

| Finances | Revenue per pupil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Property } \\ & \text { tax } \end{aligned}$ | \% of total op. revenue | Rank | State aid | \% of total op. revenue | Rank | Federal aid | \% of total op. revenue | Rank | Total operations revenue | Rank |
| Madison | \$7,644 | 64\% | 1 | \$3,191 | 27\% | 10 | \$638 | 5\% | 4 | \$11,927 | 1 |
| Kenosha | \$2,591 | 27\% | 7 | \$6,225 | 64\% | 4 | \$686 | 7\% | 3 | \$9,679 | 7 |
| Racine | \$2,462 | 24\% | 10 | \$6,761 | 67\% | 1 | \$737 | 7\% | 2 | \$10,144 | 3 |
| Green Bay | \$2,784 | 28\% | 4 | \$6,098 | 61\% | 5 | \$773 | 8\% | 1 | \$9,933 | 5 |
| Appleton | \$2,730 | 28\% | 6 | \$5,862 | 61\% | 6 | \$452 | 5\% | 7 | \$9,620 | 8 |
| Waukesha | \$4,979 | 50\% | 2 | \$4,114 | 42\% | 9 | \$377 | 4\% | 9 | \$9,887 | 6 |
| Eau Claire | \$3,703 | 37\% | 3 | \$5,612 | 56\% | 8 | \$522 | 5\% | 5 | \$10,024 | 4 |
| Janesville | \$2,570 | 27\% | 8 | \$6,387 | 66\% | 3 | \$439 | 5\% | 8 | \$9,612 | 9 |
| Oshkosh | \$2,513 | 28\% | 9 | \$5,859 | 65\% | 7 | \$371 | 4\% | 10 | \$8,971 | 10 |
| Sheboygan | \$2,779 | 27\% | 5 | \$6,592 | 65\% | 2 | \$493 | 5\% | 6 | \$10,200 | 2 |
| Milwaukee | \$1,910 | 18\% |  | \$7,216 | 67\% |  | \$1,480 | 14\% |  | \$10,768 |  |
| State of Wisconsin | \$3,508 | 35\% |  | \$5,543 | 55\% |  | \$561 | 6\% |  | \$9,992 |  |

Racine's tax rate (the amount charged in property taxes per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed property value) is the lowest among peer districts. At $\$ 7.62$ it is the 2nd lowest rate since 1998; $\$ 0.25$ less than the Oshkosh rate, the second lowest among peer districts. In addition to RUSD, Madison, Green Bay, Appleton, and Waukesha saw a decrease in the property tax rate. The overall state property tax rate decreased \$0.10 from 2004 to 2005.

Table 7: Property tax rates, 2005

| Finances | Property <br> Rate |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rank |  |
| Madison | $\$ 11.50$ | 3 |
| Kenosha | $\$ 9.06$ | 6 |
| Racine | $\$ 7.62$ | 10 |
| Green Bay | $\$ 9.29$ | 4 |
| Appleton | $\$ 8.45$ | 8 |
| Waukesha | $\$ 8.94$ | 7 |
| Eau Claire | $\$ 11.62$ | 1 |
| Janesville | $\$ 9.11$ | 5 |
| Oshkosh | $\$ 7.87$ | 9 |
| Sheboygan | $\$ 11.52$ | 2 |
|  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | $\$ 9.63$ |  |
| State of Wisconsin | $\$ 9.46$ |  |

Figure 5: Trend in tax rates, 1998 to 2005


RUSD grew 4\% in total operations revenue from 2003-04 to 2004-05. Property tax revenue increased $10 \%$ from 2003-04 to 2004-05, the second year in a row with a double digit gain. RUSD ranked 4th in property tax revenue growth among peer districts. It also saw increases in state and federal aid, $1.2 \%$ and $3.3 \%$ respectively. RUSD ranked 7th in state aid growth and 8th in federal aid growth. Although the state aid increase was low compared to the other peer districts, it was slightly higher than the state average. RUSD's federal aid increase was well below its state increase of $15 \%$.

Table 8: Change in aggregate revenue among peer districts, 2003-04 to 2004-05

| Finances | Property tax |  | State aid |  | Federal aid |  | Operations revenue |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% change | Rank | \% change | Rank | \% change | Rank | \% change | Rank |
| Madison | 2.7\% | 10 | -0.1\% | 8 | 49.2\% | 2 | 4.1\% | 5 |
| Kenosha | 10.3\% | 2 | 4.6\% | 2 | 19.9\% | 4 | 7.0\% | 2 |
| Racine | 10.0\% | 4 | 1.2\% | 7 | 3.3\% | 8 | 4.0\% | 6 |
| Green Bay | 10.0\% | 3 | 1.8\% | 5 | 9.5\% | 6 | 5.3\% | 3 |
| Appleton | 2.7\% | 9 | 2.9\% | 4 | 284.1\% | 1 | 8.4\% | 1 |
| Waukesha | 3.2\% | 8 | 4.3\% | 3 | 8.7\% | 7 | 3.7\% | 8 |
| Eau Claire | 3.6\% | 6 | -1.3\% | 9 | 3.0\% | 9 | 1.0\% | 10 |
| Janesville | 12.6\% | 1 | 9.5\% | 1 | 30.5\% | 3 | 3.8\% | 7 |
| Oshkosh | 3.2\% | 7 | -7.2\% | 10 | -10.8\% | 10 | 2.3\% | 9 |
| Sheboygan | 6.0\% | 5 | 1.5\% | 6 | 17.5\% | 5 | 4.2\% | 4 |
| Milwaukee | 9.1\% |  | -0.6\% |  | 24.1\% |  | 4.1\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 7.1\% |  | 0.8\% |  | 14.5\% |  | 4.0\% |  |

RUSD spent $\$ 10,048$ per pupil on total operations for 2004-05, putting it 3rd in per-pupil total spending among peer districts compared to 4th in 2003-04. RUSD also ranked 3rd in instructional spending, at $\$ 6,575$ per pupil.

RUSD again was last in debt service spending, at $\$ 171$ per pupil in 2004-05, and 5th in capital spending.

Table 9: Expenditures per pupil among peer districts, 2004-05

| Finances | Operations spending per pupil |  |  |  |  | Other spending |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Instruction | Pupil <br> services | Instructional <br> staff <br> services | General <br> admin. | Building <br> admin. | Transpor- <br> tation | All <br> other | Debt <br> services | Capital <br> spending |
|  | $\$ 11,871$ | $\$ 7,047$ | $\$ 776$ | $\$ 719$ | $\$ 84$ | $\$ 641$ | $\$ 354$ | $\$ 2,249$ | $\$ 267$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Madison | $\$ 9,716$ | $\$ 6,350$ | $\$ 583$ | $\$ 541$ | $\$ 48$ | $\$ 521$ | $\$ 272$ | $\$ 1,401$ | $\$ 533$ | $\$ 20$ |
| Kenosha | $\$ 10,048$ | $\$ 6,575$ | $\$ 396$ | $\$ 437$ | $\$ 72$ | $\$ 514$ | $\$ 408$ | $\$ 1,645$ | $\$ 171$ | $\$ 116$ |
| Racine | $\$ 9,985$ | $\$ 6,349$ | $\$ 610$ | $\$ 654$ | $\$ 82$ | $\$ 493$ | $\$ 273$ | $\$ 1,523$ | $\$ 770$ | $\$ 32$ |
| Green Bay | $\$ 9,609$ | $\$ 6,148$ | $\$ 485$ | $\$ 411$ | $\$ 89$ | $\$ 485$ | $\$ 183$ | $\$ 1,807$ | $\$ 443$ | $\$ 123$ |
| Appleton | $\$ 9,714$ | $\$ 6,275$ | $\$ 526$ | $\$ 350$ | $\$ 74$ | $\$ 545$ | $\$ 398$ | $\$ 1,545$ | $\$ 242$ | $\$ 651$ |
| Waukesha | $\$ 10,037$ | $\$ 5,873$ | $\$ 384$ | $\$ 694$ | $\$ 74$ | $\$ 534$ | $\$ 442$ | $\$ 2,037$ | $\$ 2,775$ | $\$ 46$ |
| Eau Claire | $\$ 9,534$ | $\$ 6,041$ | $\$ 590$ | $\$ 444$ | $\$ 80$ | $\$ 413$ | $\$ 186$ | $\$ 1,779$ | $\$ 401$ | $\$ 1,355$ |
| Janesville | $\$ 9,034$ | $\$ 6,039$ | $\$ 419$ | $\$ 364$ | $\$ 37$ | $\$ 441$ | $\$ 236$ | $\$ 1,498$ | $\$ 504$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Oshkosh | $\$ 10,560$ | $\$ 6,943$ | $\$ 534$ | $\$ 433$ | $\$ 151$ | $\$ 522$ | $\$ 215$ | $\$ 1,762$ | $\$ 308$ | $\$ 274$ |
| Sheboygan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10: Peer district expenditure rankings, 2004-05
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|ccccc|}\hline \text { Finances } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Operations spending per pupil } \\ \text { Total }\end{array} & \text { Instruction } & \text { Pupil services }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Instructional staff } \\ \text { services }\end{array}\right)$

RUSD operations spending increased 4\% from 2003-04 to 2004-05, making it 7th among the 10 peer districts. Only one district, Oshkosh, decreased in total operations spending (3\%) from 2003-04 to 2004-05. RUSD's total operations spending increase is on track with the overall state increase of $3.8 \%$. RUSD spending on pupil services, general administration, and transportation decreased, but the largest decrease was in pupil services (14.8\%), the 2nd largest decrease among peer districts.

Table 11: Change in aggregate spending, 2003-04 to 2004-05

| Finances | Operations |  | Instruction |  | Pupil services |  | Instruction staff services |  | General admin |  | Building admin |  | Transportation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | Rank | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | Rank | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | Rank | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | Rank | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { kChange } \end{gathered}$ | Rank | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | Rank | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | Rank |
| Madison | 4.0\% | 6 | -1.5\% | 10 | 16.7\% | 2 | 14.9\% | 2 | 12.2\% | 3 | 11.2\% | 2 | 16.9\% | 2 |
| Kenosha | 6.8\% | 4 | 7.5\% | 2 | 4.7\% | 6 | 7.0\% | 5 | -5.0\% | 6 | 12.7\% | 1 | 4.4\% | 5 |
| Racine | 4.0\% | 7 | 5.0\% | 5 | -14.8\% | 9 | 6.6\% | 6 | -9.2\% | 9 | 4.9\% | 5 | -4.8\% | 8 |
| Green Bay | 5.7\% | 5 | 5.9\% | 3 | 13.5\% | 3 | 7.4\% | 4 | 2.5\% | 5 | 4.3\% | 6 | 4.7\% | 4 |
| Appleton | 9.1\% | 2 | 9.4\% | 1 | 10.1\% | 4 | 13.9\% | 3 | 20.3\% | 2 | 3.9\% | 7 | 1.4\% | 7 |
| Waukesha | 3.3\% | 8 | 5.1\% | 4 | 1.8\% | 7 | 4.0\% | 9 | -7.0\% | 8 | 5.9\% | 4 | 2.9\% | 6 |
| Eau Claire | 0.7\% | 9 | 1.0\% | 8 | -10.1\% | 8 | 6.2\% | 7 | -6.6\% | 7 | -2.2\% | 10 | 13.5\% | 3 |
| Janesville | 10.3\% | 1 | 3.9\% | 6 | 43.0\% | 1 | 29.9\% | 1 | 133.9\% | 1 | 1.3\% | 9 | -16.1\% | 10 |
| Oshkosh | -3.0\% | 10 | -1.2\% | 9 | -24.2\% | 10 | -11.9\% | 10 | -52.7\% | 10 | 8.5\% | 3 | 28.2\% | 1 |
| Sheboygan | 7.7\% | 3 | 1.5\% | 7 | 5.5\% | 5 | 4.2\% | 8 | 10.0\% | 4 | 2.8\% | 8 | -6.8\% | 9 |
| Milwaukee | 3.9\% |  | 5.6\% |  | 9.4\% |  | 9.8\% |  | -26.2\% |  | 4.2\% |  | -1.4\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 3.8\% |  | 4.0\% |  | 4.9\% |  | 4.8\% |  | -3.5\% |  | 3.5\% |  | 3.2\% |  |

Special education consumes a large portion of RUSD's budget. Of its per pupil expenses, $\$ 2,309$ was for special education in 2004-05, the 2nd highest among peer districts. Of that, $\$ 1,849$ per pupil went to special education instruction and $\$ 184$ to transportation, the most among peer districts.

Figures 6-8 look at special education spending as a percent of overall spending. At RUSD special education spending accounted for $23 \%$ of total operations spending. Special education transportation spending took up almost half, $45 \%$, of all transportation spending at RUSD. Twenty-eight percent of total instruction spending was for special education in 2004-05.

Table 12: Special education spending per pupil among peer districts, 2004-05

| Finances | Special education spending |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Instruction | Pupil services | Instructional staff services | Transportation |
| Madison | \$2,695 | \$1,944 | \$481 | \$102 | \$149 |
| Kenosha | \$1,536 | \$1,213 | \$187 | \$35 | \$100 |
| Racine | \$2,309 | \$1,849 | \$181 | \$82 | \$184 |
| Green Bay | \$2,134 | \$1,598 | \$284 | \$91 | \$108 |
| Appleton | \$1,488 | \$1,138 | \$218 | \$55 | \$59 |
| Waukesha | \$1,812 | \$1,310 | \$207 | \$40 | \$175 |
| Eau Claire | \$1,525 | \$1,142 | \$153 | \$123 | \$72 |
| Janesville | \$1,638 | \$1,195 | \$256 | \$69 | \$95 |
| Oshkosh | \$1,605 | \$1,234 | \$212 | \$48 | \$82 |
| Sheboygan | \$1,691 | \$1,377 | \$191 | \$48 | \$62 |
| Milwaukee | \$1,913 | \$1,271 | \$338 | \$167 | \$127 |
| State of Wisconsin | \$1,589 | \$1,119 | \$196 | \$89 | \$81 |

Figure 6: RUSD special education spending as a percent of total operations spending


Figure 8: RUSD special education instruction as a percent of total instruction spending


Figure 7: RUSD special education transportation as a percent of transportation spending


Figure 9: RUSD special education enrollment as a percent of total enrollment


## Staffing

Staffing is the final of the three school performance inputs considered. Enrollment and finance are the other two.

Class size is often used as a key indicator of student success. To determine class size, pupilstaff ratios are used. RUSD had the lowest pupil-teacher ratio among the 10 peer districts, at 14.6:1. RUSD ranked 4th among peer districts with a $8.5: 1$ pupil- employee ratio. Its pupil to teacher ratio was much lower than the overall state ratio of 17.3:1.

Table 13: Pupil to staff ratios among peer districts, 2004-05

| Staffing | Pupil to staff ratios |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pupil/teacher ratio | Rank | Pupil/employee ratio | Rank |
| Madison | 16.1 | 8 | 6.7 | 10 |
| Kenosha | 1.2 | 6 | 7.6 | 9 |
| Racine | 14.6 | 10 | 8.5 | 4 |
| Green Bay | 17.2 | 5 | 8.3 | 6 |
| Appleton | 19.8 | 1 | 9.3 | 1 |
| Waukesha | 18.4 | 3 | 9.1 | 3 |
| Eau Claire | 18.1 | 4 | 8.5 | 5 |
| Janesville | 15.1 | 9 | 7.7 | 8 |
| Oshkosh | 1.1 | 2 | 9.1 | 2 |
| Sheboygan | 16.4 | 7 | 8.1 | 7 |
|  |  |  | 8.2 |  |
| Milwaukee | 17.4 |  | 8.0 |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 17.3 |  |  |  |

RUSD had the lowest teacher compensation total among peer districts. Average teacher compensation was $\$ 62,140$, with an average salary of $\$ 44,735$. RUSD paid its teachers an average of $\$ 17,396$ in fringe benefits. Waukesha School District had the highest teacher compensation, at $\$ 75,956$. Sheboygan School District had the highest base teacher salary, at $\$ 52,235$.

One reason for RUSD's low teacher compensation might be the result of having the least experienced teachers on average among peer districts. RUSD teachers had an average of 10.4 years of experience in the district and 10.7 years experience overall. Waukesha's teachers were most experienced among peer districts, with an average of 14.2 years in the district.

In five years RUSD has gone from 2nd among peers in both local and total experience to 10th among peers. In 2000-01, its average teacher experience in the district was 14.6 years. In 2004-05, it was 10.4 years. This is the largest decrease among peer districts during the five year period. This change is reflected in teacher salaries. With younger and less experienced teachers joining the district, RUSD has had the least amount of growth in teacher salaries between 2000-01 and 2004-05 among peer districts. Its ranking dropped from 6th in 2000-01 to 9th in 2004-05.

Table 14: Average teacher compensation among peer districts, 2004-05

| Staffing | Teacher compensation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rerage <br> salary | Rank | Average <br> fringe | Rank | Total <br> compensation | Rank |  |
| Madison | $\$ 48,088$ | 4 | $\$ 19,235$ | 6 | $\$ 67,327$ | 6 |
| Kenosha | $\$ 46,690$ | 6 | $\$ 22,114$ | 2 | $\$ 68,810$ | 3 |
| Racine | $\$ 44,735$ | 9 | $\$ 17,396$ | 10 | $\$ 62,140$ | 10 |
| Green Bay | $\$ 45,985$ | 8 | $\$ 20,958$ | 3 | $\$ 66,950$ | 7 |
| Appleton | $\$ 49,535$ | 3 | $\$ 18,895$ | 7 | $\$ 68,432$ | 4 |
| Waukesha | $\$ 51,547$ | 2 | $\$ 24,406$ | 1 | $\$ 75,956$ | 1 |
| Eau Claire | $\$ 47,687$ | 5 | $\$ 20,729$ | 4 | $\$ 68,421$ | 5 |
| Janesville | $\$ 44,704$ | 10 | $\$ 19,248$ | 5 | $\$ 63,961$ | 9 |
| Oshkosh | $\$ 46,252$ | 7 | $\$ 18,844$ | 8 | $\$ 65,103$ | 8 |
| Sheboygan | $\$ 52,235$ | 1 | $\$ 18,636$ | 9 | $\$ 70,873$ | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | $\$ 35,105$ |  | $\$ 21,442$ |  | $\$ 56,547$ |  |
| State of Wisconsin | $\$ 44,520$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 15: Average teacher experience among peer districts, 2004-05

| Staffing | Teacher experience |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Local experience | Rank | Total experience | Rank |
| Madison | 11.6 | 8 | 14.1 | 7 |
| Kenosha | 10.5 | 9 | 12.0 | 9 |
| Racine | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Green Bay | 11.9 | 6 | 14.5 | 4 |
| Appleton | 12.2 | 5 | 15.3 | 3 |
| Waukesha | 14.2 | 1 | 16.0 | 2 |
| Eau Claire | 13.1 | 2 | 16.1 | 1 |
| Janesville | 12.2 | 4 | 14.5 | 6 |
| Oshkosh | 11.8 | 7 | 13.7 | 8 |
| Sheboygan | 12.3 | 3 | 14.5 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | 9.6 |  | 10.0 |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 12.2 |  | 14.4 |  |

## Student engagement

For the 2nd year in a row, RUSD has made improvements across every measure of pupil participation. The attendance rate for 2003-04 was $94.3 \%$, up slightly from 2002-03, ranking RUSD 8th among peer districts, ahead of only Green Bay and Kenosha. The state average attendance rate was $94.6 \%$.

Habitual truancy also improved in 2003-04. RUSD's truancy rate of $18.1 \%$ was its lowest since $1997-98$ when it was $13.3 \%$. This was the 3rd year in a row that RUSD showed an improvement in habitual truancy.

In 2003-04, DPI changed the definition of dropout rates (see Appendix A for definition) so comparisons with data from previous years are not valid. It appears that a reporting error may have occurred with RUSD when DPI changed it’s definition. RUSD had the third lowest dropout rate of the peer districts in 2003-04. In 2002-03, it had the highest dropout rate. RUSD's dropout rate was reported at $0.6 \%$ in 2003-04, and the data suggested that RUSD had 62 dropouts compared to 326 dropouts in 2002-03 under the previous reporting method. Again, this drastic turnaround may have been the result of a reporting error due to DPI's change. If we use the 2002-03 definition to calculate the 2003-04 dropout rates, as we did in figure 12, it shows that RUSD improved its dropout rate by more than four percentage points, which again, however, may be the result of a reporting error.

Table 16: Attendance, truancy, and dropout rate among peer districts, 2003-04

| Engagement | Attendance |  | Habitual truancy |  |  | High school dropouts |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rate | Rank | Truants | Percent | Rank | Dropouts | Percent | Rank |
|  | $96.2 \%$ | 4 | 2,140 | $8.7 \%$ | 5 | 239 | $1.92 \%$ | 2 |
| Madison | $92.4 \%$ | 10 | 3,850 | $18.6 \%$ | 1 | 147 | $1.49 \%$ | 5 |
| Kenosha | $\mathbf{9 4 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| Racine | $93.9 \%$ | 9 | 2,975 | $15.0 \%$ | 4 | 164 | $1.69 \%$ | 3 |
| Green Bay | $96.3 \%$ | 2 | 719 | $4.8 \%$ | 7 | 56 | $0.76 \%$ | 7 |
| Appleton | $96.2 \%$ | 3 | 141 | $1.1 \%$ | 10 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 10 |
| Waukesha | $95.3 \%$ | 6 | 712 | $6.8 \%$ | 6 | 29 | $0.54 \%$ | 9 |
| Eau Claire | $96.3 \%$ | 1 | 1,829 | $17.5 \%$ | 3 | 82 | $1.55 \%$ | 4 |
| Janesville | $95.8 \%$ | 5 | 257 | $2.5 \%$ | 9 | 98 | $1.92 \%$ | 1 |
| Oshkosh | $95.0 \%$ | 7 | 260 | $2.7 \%$ | 8 | 40 | $0.84 \%$ | 6 |
| Sheboygan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 40,847 | $45.4 \%$ |  | 1,974 | $4.80 \%$ |  |
| Milwaukee | $88.6 \%$ |  | 80,333 | $9.4 \%$ |  | 4,407 | $1.06 \%$ |  |
| State of Wisconsin | $94.6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 10: Trends in attendance rates, 1996 to 2004


Figure 11: Trends in habitual truancy, 1996 to 2004


Figure 12: Trends in dropout rates, 1996 to 2004


[^0]RUSD had the 3rd largest number of academic extracurricular activities for 2003-04 among peer districts. Nevertheless, RUSD ranked last in participation for the 2nd year in a row. Only $11.5 \%$ of the students participated in these activities, compared to $67 \%$ in Madison - first among peer districts - and $34 \%$ at the state level. RUSD was the only peer district not having more than $20 \%$ participation.

RUSD did slightly better on athletic and music participation in 2003-04. In athletics, it had a $35.5 \%$ participation rate, ranking it 7th among peer districts, up slightly from last year's $34.7 \%$. In music, RUSD's rate of $11.6 \%$ put it last among peer districts in 2003-04, down one spot from 2002-03.

Table 17: Participation in academic extracurricular activities among peer districts, 2003-04

| Engagement |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total offerings | Academic <br> participation | Academic <br> participation rate | Rank |
| Madison | 501 | 9,328 | $67.0 \%$ | 1 |
| Kenosha | 695 | 4,149 | $36.5 \%$ | 5 |
| Racine | $\mathbf{6 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Green Bay | 289 | 3,987 | $35.8 \%$ | 6 |
| Appleton | 447 | 2,988 | $34.4 \%$ | 7 |
| Waukesha | 657 | 1,616 | $22.2 \%$ | 9 |
| Eau Claire | 179 | 2,272 | $37.0 \%$ | 3 |
| Janesville | 289 | 2,229 | $36.6 \%$ | 4 |
| Oshkosh | 228 | 2,470 | $41.8 \%$ | 2 |
| Sheboygan | 332 | 1,762 | $31.9 \%$ | 8 |
|  |  | 9,146 |  |  |
| Milwaukee | 1,256 | 165,483 | $19.1 \%$ |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 28,673 |  | $33.7 \%$ |  |

Table 18: Participation in athletic and music activities among peer districts, 2003-04

| Engagement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Athletic participation | Athletic participation rate | Rank | Music participation | Music participation rate | Rank |
| Madison | 5,964 | 42.8\% | 3 | 2,361 | 16.96\% | 8 |
| Kenosha | 3,583 | 31.5\% | 9 | 2,948 | 25.92\% | 3 |
| Racine | 4,149 | 35.5\% | 7 | 1,359 | 11.63\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 2,726 | 24.5\% | 10 | 2,733 | 24.52\% | 5 |
| Appleton | 3,099 | 35.7\% | 6 | 2,050 | 23.62\% | 6 |
| Waukesha | 3,819 | 52.5\% | 1 | 2,712 | 37.26\% | 1 |
| Eau Claire | 2,130 | 34.7\% | 8 | 1,811 | 29.49\% | 2 |
| Janesville | 2,562 | 42.1\% | 4 | 1,026 | 16.84\% | 9 |
| Oshkosh | 2,274 | 38.5\% | 5 | 1,390 | 23.52\% | 7 |
| Sheboygan | 2,403 | 43.5\% | 2 | 1,363 | 24.66\% | 4 |
| Milwaukee | 11,352 | 23.7\% |  | 3,401 | 7.09\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 212,051 | 43.2\% |  | 112,914 | 23.00\% |  |

RUSD expulsions more than doubled from 2002-03 to 2003-04. In 2003-04, 87 students, or $0.41 \%$ percent of the student population, were expelled, compared to 32 or $0.15 \%$ in 2002-03. There were 2.5 students expelled per RUSD school in 2003-04, compared to 1.3 students per school in Milwaukee and 0.8 students per school in Kenosha. RUSD ranked 2nd behind Janesville in expulsion rate.

RUSD's retentions decreased two percentage points from 2002-03 to 2003-04, dropping it from 4th to 8th among peer districts. Less than half the number of students were retained in 2003-04 compared to 2002-03. Suspensions increased slightly from 2002-03 to 2003-04, but RUSD's ranking dropped from 1st to 2nd.

In 2003-04, DPI changed the definition of graduation rates (see Appendix A for definition) so comparisons with data from previous years are not valid. Once again, RUSD ranked 10th among the peer districts, with a graduation rate of $79.2 \%$. RUSD was the only district among peers to have a graduation rate below $80 \%$. The next lowest was Oshkosh at $84 \%$. The state's rate was $91.2 \%$. If we use the old calculation to get a graduation rate for RUSD, it shows that RUSD improved its graduation by almost five percentage points from $76.7 \%$ in 2003 to $81.3 \%$ in 2004.

Table 19: Suspension, expulsion, and retention among peer districts, 2003-04

| Behavior | Out-of-school suspensions |  |  | Expulsions |  |  |  | Retention |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students | Percent | Rank | Students | Percent | Rank | Students | Percent | Rank |
| Madison | 2,110 | $8.5 \%$ | 3 | 40 | $0.16 \%$ | 4 | 957 | $3.9 \%$ | 2 |
| Kenosha | 2,689 | $12.6 \%$ | 1 | 34 | $0.16 \%$ | 5 | 646 | $3.1 \%$ | 3 |
| Racine | $\mathbf{2 , 5 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{8 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| Green Bay | 1,365 | $6.7 \%$ | 4 | 12 | $0.06 \%$ | 8 | 1172 | $5.9 \%$ | 1 |
| Appleton | 643 | $4.2 \%$ | 6 | 9 | $0.06 \%$ | 9 | 309 | $2.1 \%$ | 6 |
| Waukesha | 535 | $4.1 \%$ | 7 | 31 | $0.24 \%$ | 3 | 47 | $0.4 \%$ | 10 |
| Eau Claire | 416 | $3.9 \%$ | 9 | 12 | $0.11 \%$ | 6 | 106 | $1.0 \%$ | 9 |
| Janesville | 708 | $6.6 \%$ | 5 | 49 | $0.46 \%$ | 1 | 315 | $3.0 \%$ | 4 |
| Oshkosh | 407 | $3.9 \%$ | 8 | 11 | $0.11 \%$ | 7 | 181 | $1.8 \%$ | 7 |
| Sheboygan | 353 | $3.5 \%$ | 10 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 10 | 250 | $2.6 \%$ | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | 21,775 | $22.4 \%$ |  | 301 | $0.31 \%$ |  | 5935 | $6.6 \%$ |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 60,341 | $6.9 \%$ |  | 1,637 | $0.19 \%$ |  | 19,011 | $2.2 \%$ |  |

Table 20: Graduation rates among peer districts, 2004

| Graduation rates | Total expected to <br> complete high school | Cohort <br> dropouts | Regular <br> diplomas | \% regular <br> diplomas | Rank | Using previous <br> calculation of <br> graduation rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madison | 2,032 | 241 | 1,756 | $86.4 \%$ | 8 | $87.9 \%$ |
| Kenosha | 1,533 | 142 | 1,391 | $90.7 \%$ | 4 | $90.7 \%$ |
| Racine | $\mathbf{1 , 5 7 5}$ | 287 | $\mathbf{1 , 2 4 8}$ | $79.2 \%$ | 10 | $81.3 \%$ |
| Green Bay | 1,452 | 156 | 1,293 | $89.0 \%$ | 6 | $89.2 \%$ |
| Appleton | 1,214 | 55 | 1,158 | $95.4 \%$ | 2 | $95.5 \%$ |
| Waukesha | 987 | 2 | 974 | $98.7 \%$ | 1 | $99.8 \%$ |
| Eau Claire | 961 | 23 | 914 | $95.1 \%$ | 3 | $97.5 \%$ |
| Janesville | 870 | 77 | 758 | $87.1 \%$ | 7 | $90.8 \%$ |
| Oshkosh | 892 | 83 | 749 | $84.0 \%$ | 9 | $90.0 \%$ |
| Sheboygan | 853 | 89 | 764 | $89.6 \%$ | 5 | $89.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | 6,928 | 2,351 | 4,542 | $65.6 \%$ |  | $65.9 \%$ |
| State of Wisconsin | 66,875 | 4,981 | 60,979 | $91.2 \%$ |  | $92.4 \%$ |

## Student performance

The most helpful and obvious approach to gauging student performance has been to analyze scores from state tests administered to $3^{\text {rd }}, 4^{\text {th }}, 8^{\text {th }}$, and $10^{\text {th }}$ graders. The Wisconsin Reading and Comprehension Test (WRCT) is administered to $3^{\text {rd }}$ graders only and seeks to measure reading skills. The Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) is administered to $4^{\text {th }}$, $8^{\text {th }}$, and $10^{\text {th }}$ graders and is a much broader and more comprehensive examination of overall skills. Due to recent changes in WKCE testing procedures, the WRCT test for 3rd graders is the more consistent indicator of performance.

At RUSD, $80 \%$ of its 3rd graders scored at or above proficient in reading, ranking the district 9th among the 10 peers. Half of peer districts scored above the state average of $87 \%$ for at or above proficient. At the state level, proficiency has risen $17 \%$ since 1998-99.. RUSD has seen its percentage of 3rd graders at or above proficient rise from $61 \%$ in 1998-99 to $80 \%$ this year. Since the last academic year, RUSD jumped from $76 \%$ to $80 \%$, but remained 9 th among peer districts.

Table 21: 3rd grade WRCT scores among peer districts, 2004-05

| Performance | 3rd Grade Test |  |  |  | At/above <br> proficient | Rank |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not tested | Minimal | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | $82 \%$ | 7 |
| Madison | $8 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $81 \%$ | 8 |
| Kenosha | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $80 \%$ | 9 |
| Racine | $7 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $78 \%$ | 10 |
| Green Bay | $13 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $88 \%$ | 4 |
| Appleton | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $88 \%$ | 5 |
| Waukesha | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| Eau Claire | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $49 \%$ | 9 |  |
| Janesville | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $91 \%$ | 2 |
| Oshkosh | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $89 \%$ | 3 |
| Sheboygan | $10 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $84 \%$ | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | $8 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $71 \%$ |  |
| State of Wisconsin | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $87 \%$ |  |

Figure 13: 3rd grade WRCT score trends, percent at or above proficient, 1998 to 2005


Neither RUSD's WKCE 4th grade test scores nor the district's ranking improved over last year. RUSD fell $4 \%$ in 4th graders at or above proficient in reading and $1 \%$ in math scores compared to last year. RUSD ranked last among peer districts in both reading and math scores for 4th graders.

Half of the districts, including RUSD, ranked below the state average for reading, and one third of the districts, again including RUSD, ranked below the state average for math.

Table 22: 4th grade WKCE reading and math scores among peer districts, 2004-05

| Performance | 4th grade test scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading |  |  |  |  | Math |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Min. | \% Basic | \% Proficient | \% Advanced | Rank | \% Min. | \% Basic | \% Profic | nt\% Advanced | Rank |
| Madison | 4.4\% | 10.5\% | 32.6\% | 47.1\% | 7 | 14.5\% | 8.7\% | 32.7\% | 39.1\% | 5 |
| Kenosha | 5.7\% | 14.0\% | 40.6\% | 38.2\% | 8 | 20.0\% | 11.2\% | 39.5\% | 27.7\% | 9 |
| Racine | 6.3\% | 16.4\% | 43.0\% | 30.0\% | 10 | 24.8\% | 13.1\% | 36.7\% | 21.3\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 4.5\% | 12.3\% | 39.1\% | 37.6\% | 9 | 13.7\% | 9.1\% | 37.7\% | 33.6\% | 7 |
| Appleton | 2.9\% | 9.3\% | 38.4\% | 46.4\% | 3 | 12.2\% | 8.0\% | 39.7\% | 37.3\% | 2 |
| Eau Claire | 3.7\% | 10.7\% | 34.4\% | 49.0\% | 5 | 14.7\% | 10.7\% | 46.1\% | 26.4\% | 4 |
| Waukesha | 1.4\% | 7.5\% | 39.4\% | 47.6\% | 1 | 13.8\% | 11.1\% | 43.9\% | 27.7\% | 6 |
| Janesville | 3.4\% | 8.2\% | 43.4\% | 41.7\% | 2 | 17.0\% | 9.1\% | 43.9\% | 27.0\% | 8 |
| Oshkosh | 2.7\% | 10.2\% | 42.1\% | 42.0\% | 4 | 11.3\% | 7.6\% | 42.4\% | 35.9\% | 1 |
| Sheboygan | 3.3\% | 12.8\% | 42.0\% | 38.1\% | 6 | 10.9\% | 8.3\% | 37.5\% | 35.3\% | 3 |
| Milwaukee | 11\% | 24\% | 42\% | 19\% |  | 35\% | 16\% | 34\% | 11\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 4\% | 12\% | 39\% | 42\% |  | 16\% | 10\% | 40\% | 31\% |  |

Figure 14: 4th grade reading score trends, percent proficient or advanced, 2003-2005


Figure 15: 4th grade math score trends, percent proficient or advanced, 2003-2005


For the last three years, RUSD has ranked last among peer districts on 8th grade WKCE tests in reading and math. RUSD's percentage at or above proficient is $15 \%$ less than the state average for reading and $18 \%$ less for math. In reading scores, RUSD saw its percentage of students scoring advanced rise from $20 \%$ last year to $23 \%$ this year. The state average for reading jumped from $79 \%$ to $84 \%$ and from $65 \%$ to $72 \%$ for math scores. In terms of RUSD 8th grade reading and math scores did not show an increase relative to statewide increases.

Table 23: 8th grade WKCE reading and math scores among peer districts, 2004-05


Figure 16: 8th grade reading score trends, percent proficient or advanced, 2003-2005


Figure 17: 8th grade math score trends, percent proficient or advanced, 2003-2005


RUSD remained last in reading and math proficiency on 10th grade WKCE tests among its peer districts. Although RUSD saw an increase of $4 \%$ in the number of $10^{\text {th }}$ graders scoring advanced in reading, it remained last among peer districts. Statewide, the number of students scoring advanced in reading increased 5\% over last year's figure of 50\%. The statewide average for at or above proficiency in reading was 16 percentage points higher than RUSD's.

Based on 10th grade math scores, the percentage of advanced students in math was substantially lower in RUSD than other peer districts. RUSD had $13 \%$ of its 10th graders at the advanced math level, while the next closest district, Janesville, was seven percentage points higher. Additionally, RUSD had the highest percentage of 10th graders at the minimal performance level, with 27\%; the next closest district, Kenosha, had $10 \%$ fewer students in the minimal performance category.

Table 24: 10th grade WKCE reading and math scores among peer districts, 2004-05

| Performance | 10th grade test scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading <br> \% Min. \% Basic \% Proficient \% Advanced Rank |  |  |  |  | Math <br> \% Min. \% Basic \% Proficient \% Advanced Rank |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Madison | 10.6\% | 12.8\% | 13.4\% | 56.8\% | 9 | 16.3\% | 9.7\% | 32.7\% | 34.2\% | 8 |
| Kenosha | 10.8\% | 15.4\% | 19.7\% | 51.3\% | 7 | 17.4\% | 16.5\% | 44.4\% | 20.2\% | 9 |
| Racine | 18.3\% | 18.7\% | 18.1\% | 39.4\% | 10 | 27.3\% | 16.1\% | 37.8\% | 13.1\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 9.1\% | 16.0\% | 18.8\% | 51.9\% | 8 | 14.0\% | 14.0\% | 43.0\% | 24.6\% | 7 |
| Appleton | 8.3\% | 15.3\% | 19.0\% | 55.0\% | 6 | 10.5\% | 11.6\% | 44.6\% | 30.9\% | 2 |
| Eau Claire | 7.1\% | 13.0\% | 18.8\% | 58.6\% | 2 | 12.2\% | 11.2\% | 45.7\% | 28.6\% | 4 |
| Waukesha | 5.8\% | 11.1\% | 18.7\% | 62.2\% | 1 | 9.8\% | 10.1\% | 51.3\% | 26.5\% | 1 |
| Janesville | 7.0\% | 15.2\% | 19.5\% | 55.5\% | 4 | 13.9\% | 14.0\% | 49.3\% | 20.1\% | 6 |
| Oshkosh | 8.8\% | 14.0\% | 21.9\% | 52.8\% | 5 | 13.9\% | 12.9\% | 47.8\% | 23.0\% | 5 |
| Sheboygan | 7.8\% | 13.3\% | 17.7\% | 57.4\% | 3 | 10.9\% | 11.3\% | 42.7\% | 31.6\% | 3 |
| Milwaukee | 25\% | 25\% | 19\% | 24\% |  | 42\% | 21\% | 25\% | 4\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 9\% | 14\% | 19\% | 55\% |  | 14\% | 12\% | 46\% | 25\% |  |

Figure 18: 10th grade reading score trends, percent proficient or advanced, 2003-2005


Figure 19: 10th grade math score trends, percent proficient or advanced, 2003-2005


Proficiency data for the 4th, 8th, and 10th grades in 2002-03 and subsequent years are NOT comparable to proficiency data for 2001-02 and earlier years. These exams are scored on a scale that includes advanced, proficient, basic, and minimal performance. The cut-scores (minimum score needed to reach next threshold of scores) are not devised using a mathematical formula but rather represent what an expert panel of 240 individuals believe students need to know to be proficient in each testing area. Because of these changes it is very difficult to accurately compare this year's scores with past years. First, the tests are different; second, the cut scores have changed.

With the changes made in cut-scores, a comparison of RUSD with its peer districts over time is problematic. What is presented in figures 20 through 34 is a comparison of RUSD 4th, 8th, and 10th grade proficiency scores for each subject with the state. The figures depict the total percentage proficient and advanced in RUSD as a percent of total advanced and proficient for the state. In this way it is possible to track RUSD's performance over time.

## RUSD's WKCE scores as a percent of state scores, by grade and subject, 1997-98 to 2004-05

## Figure 20: 4th grade reading



Figure 21: 4th grade language


Figure 22: 4th grade math


## Figure 23: 4th grade science



Figure 24: 4th grade social studies


Figure 25: 8th grade reading


Figure 26: 8th grade language


## Figure 27: 8th grade math



Figure 28: 8th grade science


Figure 29: 8th grade social studies


Figure 30: 10th grade reading


## Figure 31: 10th grade language



Figure 32: 10th grade math


Figure 33: 10th grade science


Figure 34: 10th grade social studies


Tables 25 and 26 analyze the WKCE test scores by race and economic status. The gap between the races was measured by the differential in percentage of whites and minorities scoring at or above proficient. Interestingly, the gap between races widens as the students get older. The differential in 4th grade scores between whites and African-Americans in RUSD was 29\% and $39 \%$, respectively, for 10th graders. For Hispanics in RUSD, the differential with whites was $22 \%$ in 4th grade and $30 \%$ in 10th grade. This same trend was seen statewide and among RUSD's peer districts as well.

In the differential between economically disadvantaged and not economically disadvantaged students, RUSD was similar to the statewide average. Except for 4th grade in which there was a gap between disadvantaged and not disadvantaged of $27 \%$ compared to the state's $22 \%$, RUSD's gaps were within one or two percentage points of the statewide average. In 2004-05, the gap between economically disadvantaged and not disadvantaged students did not grow as dramatically from grade to grade as it did for racial classifications.

Table 25: WKCE percent proficient and advanced by race and ethnicity, 2004-05

|  | African-American |  |  |  |  | Hispanic |  |  |  |  | White |  |  |  |  | Differential |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Performance } \\ \text { 4th, 8th, and 10th } \\ \text { grade scores } \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 了 } \\ & \stackrel{2}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3} \\ & \stackrel{3}{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{7} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $$ |  |
| Madison-4th | 59\% | 55\% | 42\% | 49\% | 76\% 56\% | 59\% | 52\% | 54\% | 44\% | 69\% 57\% | 90\% | 90\% | 84\% | 89\% | 95\% 90\% | 34\% | 1 | 33\% 1 |
| Racine | 60\% | 55\% | 36\% | 39\% | 70\% 52\% | 61\% | 58\% | 47\% | 50\% | 80\% 59\% | 82\% | 80\% | 72\% | 78\% | 92\% 81\% | 29\% | 2 | 22\% 3 |
| Kenosha | 66\% | 64\% | 47\% | 43\% | 81\% 60\% | 62\% | 55\% | 49\% | 43\% | 75\% 57\% | 85\% | 84\% | 76\% | 81\% | 93\% 84\% | 24\% | , | 27\% 2 |
| Milwaukee | 57\% | 53\% | 38\% | 38\% | 71\% 51\% | 59\% | 58\% | 46\% | 48\% | 78\% 58\% | 78\% | 77\% | 65\% | 74\% | 90\% 77\% | 26\% |  | 19\% |
| State of Wisconsin | 59\% | 55\% | 40\% | 41\% | 73\% 54\% | 61\% | 60\% | 50\% | 51\% | 77\% 60\% | 86\% | 84\% | 78\% | 86\% | 95\% 86\% | 32\% |  | 26\% |
| Madison-8th | 68\% | 34\% | 39\% | 39\% | 56\% 47\% | 59\% | 38\% | 50\% | 40\% | 58\% 49\% | 94\% | 81\% | 88\% | 87\% | 92\% 88\% | 41\% | 1 | 39\% 1 |
| Racine | 51\% | \% 23\% | 27\% | 25\% | 44\% 34\% | 55\% | 30\% | 43\% | 35\% | 53\% 43\% | 80\% | 58\% | 67\% | 68\% | 78\% 70\% | 36\% | 3 | 27\% 2 |
| Kenosha | 54\% | 31\% | 39\% | 33\% | 49\% 41\% | 64\% | 36\% | 51\% | 45\% | 58\% 51\% | 87\% | 66\% | 79\% | 74\% | 83\% 78\% | 37\% |  | 27\% 2 |
| Milwaukee | 51\% | 28\% | 25\% | 22\% | 41\% 33\% | 59\% | 35\% | 39\% | 32\% | 52\% 43\% | 82\% | 64\% | 66\% | 65\% | 78\% 71\% | 38\% |  | 28\% |
| State of Wisconsin | 55\% | 31\% | 31\% | 29\% | 48\% 39\% | 63\% | 38\% | 49\% | 44\% | 61\% 51\% | 89\% | 71\% | 81\% | 82\% | 89\% 82\% | 43\% |  | 31\% |
| Madison-10th | 42\% | \% 38\% | 30\% | 32\% | 41\% 37\% | 35\% | 30\% | 26\% | 30\% | 36\% 31\% | 83\% | 81\% | 83\% | 83\% | 85\% 83\% | 46\% | 1 | 52\% 1 |
| Racine | 33\% | 32\% | 20\% | 25\% | 30\% 28\% | 41\% | 37\% | 29\% | 37\% | 41\% 37\% | 70\% | 66\% | 66\% | 66\% | 69\% 67\% | 39\% | 2 | 30\% 2 |
| Kenosha | 48\% | 42\% | 37\% | 36\% | 50\% 43\% | 51\% | 47\% | 44\% | 40\% | 52\% 47\% | 76\% | 72\% | 71\% | 71\% | 73\% 73\% | 30\% | 3 | 26\% 3 |
| Milwaukee | 37\% | 34\% | 20\% | 19\% | 28\% 28\% | 41\% | 36\% | 29\% | 29\% | 36\% 34\% | 66\% | 63\% | 58\% | 55\% | 61\% 61\% | 33\% |  | 27\% |
| State of Wisconsin | 41\% | 37\% | 26\% | 26\% | 36\% 33 | 49\% | 43\% | 40\% | 40\% | 47\% 44 | 80\% | 76\% | 78\% | 78\% | 80\% 78 | 45 |  | 34\% |

Table 26: WKCE percent proficient and advanced by economic status,2004-05

|  | Economically disadvantaged |  |  |  |  |  | Not economically disadvantaged |  |  |  |  |  | Differential |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance 4th, 8th, and 10th grade scores | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्0 } \\ & \text { 20 } \\ & \text { قً } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 区 } \\ & \frac{2}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \Omega \\ & \stackrel{n}{\Phi} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Do } \\ & \text { NTㅈㅈ } \end{aligned}$ |
| Madison-4th | 58\% | 56\% | 47\% | 49\% | 73\% | 57\% | 90\% | 88\% | 83\% | 86\% | 95\% | 88\% | 31\% | 1 |
| Racine | 60\% | 56\% | 40\% | 44\% | 75\% | 55\% | 84\% | 82\% | 74\% | 80\% | 91\% | 82\% | 27\% | 2 |
| Kenosha | 63\% | 62\% | 50\% | 48\% | 79\% | 60\% | 88\% | 86\% | 78\% | 83\% | 95\% | 86\% | 26\% | 3 |
| Milwaukee | 58\% | 56\% | 42\% | 42\% | 73\% | 54\% | 80\% | 78\% | 64\% | 74\% | 89\% | 77\% | 23\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 66\% | 64\% | 53\% | 58\% | 82\% | 65\% | 87\% | 86\% | 80\% | 87\% | 95\% | 87\% | 22\% |  |
| Madison-8th | 64\% | 36\% | 47\% | 39\% | 57\% | 49\% | 93\% | 79\% | 86\% | 84\% | 91\% | 87\% | 38\% | 1 |
| Racine | 53\% | 23\% | 34\% | 29\% | 45\% | 37\% | 78\% | 57\% | 64\% | 65\% | 77\% | 68\% | 31\% | 2 |
| Kenosha | 63\% | 36\% | 50\% | 43\% | 58\% | 50\% | 88\% | 69\% | 81\% | 76\% | 84\% | 80\% | 30\% | 3 |
| Milwaukee | 54\% | 31\% | 30\% | 26\% | 45\% | 37\% | 78\% | 60\% | 60\% | 58\% | 72\% | 66\% | 29\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 66\% | 41\% | 49\% | 48\% | 64\% | 54\% | 91\% | 74\% | 82\% | 83\% | 90\% | 84\% | 30\% |  |
| Madison-10th | 40\% | 34\% | 32\% | 31\% | 38\% | 35\% | 79\% | 76\% | 77\% | 78\% | 81\% | 78\% | 43\% | 1 |
| Racine | 36\% | 29\% | 24\% | 27\% | 35\% | 30\% | 61\% | 58\% | 56\% | 58\% | 61\% | 59\% | 29\% | 2 |
| Kenosha | 48\% | 47\% | 44\% | 43\% | 48\% | 46\% | 79\% | 73\% | 72\% | 72\% | 76\% | 74\% | 28\% | 3 |
| Milwaukee | 38\% | 35\% | 23\% | 23\% | 30\% | 30\% | 57\% | 54\% | 45\% | 42\% | 51\% | 50\% | 20\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 53\% | 46\% | 44\% | 45\% | 50\% | 48\% | 80\% | 77\% | 78\% | 78\% | 81\% | 79\% | 31\% |  |

In 2003-04, RUSD had a second straight year of rising ACT scores, but the percentage of students tested declined. RUSD still remained ranked 9th among its peer districts for ACT scores. In Madison and Kenosha, $62.4 \%$ and $64.5 \%$ of the students, respectively, took the ACT compared to RUSD's 47.9\%. In 2000-01, RUSD's percentage was $60 \%$.

RUSD showed improvement from 2003 to 2004 in Advanced Placement (AP) tests. In 2004, $53 \%$ of the exams taken were passed, compared to

Figure 35: Trend in ACT composite scores, 1996-2004


Table 27: ACT scores among peer districts, 2003-04

| Performance | ACT test scores <br> Percent <br> tested | English | Math | Reading | Science | Composite | Rank |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madison | $62.4 \%$ | 23.5 | 24.6 | 24.3 | 23.7 | 24.2 | 1 |
| Kenosha | $64.5 \%$ | 20.6 | 20.6 | 21.6 | 21.4 | 21.2 | 10 |
| Racine | $\mathbf{4 7 . 9 \%}$ | 20.5 | 21.6 | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| Green Bay | $52.7 \%$ | 21.4 | 23.2 | 22.3 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 4 |
| Appleton | $57.7 \%$ | 22.2 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23 | 23 | 2 |
| Waukesha | $58.4 \%$ | 21.4 | 22.2 | 22.5 | 22.3 | 22.2 | 6 |
| Eau Claire | $55.5 \%$ | 21.9 | 22.7 | 23.1 | 22.5 | 22.7 | 3 |
| Janesville | $44.8 \%$ | 21.2 | 21.5 | 22.4 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 8 |
| Oshkosh | $60.3 \%$ | 21.4 | 22.8 | 23.1 | 22.2 | 22.5 | 4 |
| Sheboygan | $61.2 \%$ | 20.9 | 22.7 | 22.1 | 22.5 | 22.2 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | $40.1 \%$ | 17.2 | 17.6 | 18.4 | 18.7 | 18.1 |  |
| State of Wisconsin | $56.7 \%$ | 21.4 | 22.2 | 22.4 | 22.2 | 22.2 |  |

$48 \%$ in 2003. As a percent of total enrollment, only $3 \%$ of the AP tests taken were passed, ranking RUSD last among its peers.

In 2004, $82 \%$ of the International Baccalaureate (IB) tests were passed, compared to 88\% in 2003 and 90\% in 2002. When IB test results are combined with AP tests, RUSD still ranks last in exams passed as a percent of enrollment in 2004.

Table 28: AP and IB tests among peer districts, 2004

| Performance | AP and IB test scores |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exams <br> taken | \% exams <br> passed | Passed as a <br> enrollment |  |
| Radison | 1,817 | $85.5 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ | 2 |
| Kenosha | 586 | $54.6 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | 9 |
| Racine AP | 344 | $52.6 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |  |
| Racine IB | 95 | $82.1 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |  |
| Racine total AP \& IB | 439 | $59.0 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Green Bay | 648 | $77.3 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | 6 |
| Appleton | 1,050 | $74.0 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | 3 |
| Waukesha | 693 | $75.5 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | 4 |
| Eau Claire | 1,146 | $76.4 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | 1 |
| Janesville | 437 | $70.0 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | 5 |
| Oshkosh | 322 | $77.0 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | 7 |
| Sheboygan | 330 | $68.2 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | 1,353 | $33.9 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 34,824 | $69.9 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |  |

Table 29: 8th grade algebra, 2004-05

| Performance | 8th Grade Students in Algebra |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enrolled | Passed | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { passed } \end{gathered}$ | Passed as $\%$ of 8th graders | Enrolled as \% of total 8th graders |
| Madison | 529 | 521 | 98.5\% | 28.5\% | 28.9\% |
| Kenosha | 371 | 367 | 98.9\% | 22.1\% | 22.4\% |
| Racine | 226 | 224 | 99.1\% | 13.6\% | 13.8\% |
| Green Bay | 245 | 243 | 99.2\% | 15.1\% | 15.3\% |
| Appleton* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waukesha | 197 | 189 | 95.9\% | 20.3\% | 21.2\% |
| Eau Claire | 181 | 181 | 100.0\% | 22.3\% | 22.3\% |
| Janesville | 212 | 208 | 98.1\% | 25.2\% | 25.7\% |
| Oshkosh* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sheboygan* |  |  |  |  |  |

* Did not provide complete information.

RUSD had 226 students enrolled in 8th grade algebra in 2004-05, with $99 \%$ of those students passing. (Two of the 226 enrolled did not pass.) Only Green Bay and Eau Claire had a higher percentage of students passing 8th grade algebra. Among all 8th graders, RUSD had the lowest percentage of students passing, $13.6 \%$. Madison had $28.5 \%$ of all 8th graders passing algebra, the highest among peers. Three districts, Appleton, Oshkosh, and Sheboygan did not report.

RUSD had two high school students that qualified as semifinalists or finalists in the National Merit Scholarship competition for 2004-05. Madison produced the most scholars with 69, while Sheboygan only had one.

The two National Merit Scholars at RUSD represented $0.1 \%$ of all seniors in 2004-05, ranking the district last among its peers. Madison had $3.4 \%$ of seniors qualify as National Merit Scholars, ranking it 1st among peers. Kenosha’s four scholars represented $0.3 \%$ of its seniors, placing it 7th.

Table 30: National Merit Scholars, 2004-05

| Performance | National Merit Scholars <br> Semifinalists and Finalists |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of Seniors | Rank |
| Madison | 69 | $3.4 \%$ | 1 |
| Kenosha | 4 | $0.3 \%$ | 7 |
| Racine | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Green Bay | 16 | $0.9 \%$ | 2 |
| Appleton | 9 | $0.7 \%$ | 5 |
| Waukesha | 4 | $0.3 \%$ | 6 |
| Eau Claire | 7 | $0.7 \%$ | 4 |
| Janesville | 3 | $0.3 \%$ | 8 |
| Osheosh | 6 | $0.8 \%$ | 3 |
| Sheboygan | 1 | $0.1 \%$ | 9 |

## 8th Annual Comparative Analysis of the Racine Unified School District

## Summary of rankings

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Summary of } \\ & \text { rankings } \end{aligned}$ | Engagement |  |  |  |  |  | Discipline |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Attendance |  | Truancy |  | Dropouts |  | Suspension |  | Expulsion |  | Retention |  |
|  | 1997 | 2004 | 1997 | 2004 | 1997 | 2004 | 1997 | 2004 | 1997 | 2004 | 1997 | 2004 |
| Madison | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 |
| Kenosha | 9 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Racine | 10 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| Green Bay | 7 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 1 |
| Appleton | 4 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 6 |
| Waukesha | 1 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 10 |
| Eau Claire | 3 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 |
| Janesville | 8 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Oshkosh | 5 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 7 |
| Sheboygan | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 5 |


| Summary of rankings | Test scor | ores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1997 | 2004 | 1997 | 2004 | 1997 | 2004 | 1997 | 2004 | 1997 | 2004 | 1997 | 2004 |
| Madison | 8 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Kenosha | 6 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| Racine | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Green Bay | 9 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| Appleton | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Waukesha | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| Eau Claire | 5 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Janesville | 7 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 5 |
| Oshkosh | 1 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| Sheboygan | 2 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Summary of } \\ & \text { rankings } \end{aligned}$ | Demographics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent minority |  | Free lunch |  | Property wealth |  |
|  | 1998 | 2005 | 1998 | 2005 | 1997 | 2004 |
| Madison | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Kenosha | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 7 |
| Racine | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 8 |
| Green Bay | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 6 |
| Appleton | 6 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 4 |
| Waukesha | 7 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 2 |
| Eau Claire | 8 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 3 |
| Janesville | 10 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
| Oshkosh | 9 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| Sheboygan | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 10 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Summary of } \\ & \text { rankings } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Finances |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Property tax rate |  | Property tax levy |  | State aids |  | Federal revenue |  |
|  | 1998 | 2005 | 1998 | 2005 | 1998 | 2005 | 1998 | 2005 |
| Madison | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 4 |
| Kenosha | 7 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Racine | 8 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Green Bay | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Appleton | 10 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 7 |
| Waukesha | 5 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Eau Claire | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 5 |
| Janesville | 6 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 8 |
| Oshkosh | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 10 |
| Sheboygan | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |

## Appendix A-- Definitions of terms

This report is based on information supplied periodically by school districts to the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction (DPI). Enrollment and financial data, and $3^{\text {rd }}, 4^{\text {th }}, 8^{\text {th }}$, and $10^{\text {th }}$ grade test scores, are current as of the 2004-2005 school year. Other information on performance, attendance, and discipline refers to the 2003-2004 school year.

For the 1998-99 school year, the DPI made some important changes in the definitions of several of its outcome measurements to make them more meaningful. Although these refinements enhance the ability to interpret outcome data, they prevent meaningful comparisons to previous years. In any case, data must be interpreted with caution. Measures of performance, attendance, and behavior are not necessarily a statement about the quality of the district, its staff, or priorities. Many other factors also come into play, including differing methods and accuracy of measurement, the priorities of students and their parents, the validity of the measurements themselves, and other considerations. Each measurement must be interpreted in light of its limitations.

## Measurement definitions:

ACT scores: ACT data are reported for the class of 2004. Most students take the test to fulfill admissions requirements for colleges and universities. If a student has taken the test more than once (in either his/her junior or senior year), the most recent score was reported. The maximum possible score on any individual section is 36 . The four sections of the test are English, math, reading, and science reasoning. The composite score is the weighted average of the subject area scores. The percentage of students tested is the number of students tested divided by the "third Friday enrollment" of the 12th grade.

Advanced placement tests: If a high school student receives a score of 3,4 , or 5 on an AP exam, he/she is considered to have passed the test and can receive college credit. Students can take 29 exams in 16 fields. Schools may or may not offer formal courses in preparation for these exams. "Third Friday enrollment" data are used to calculate the percentage of students taking the tests.

Attendance: Based upon the state-required 180 school days, and with attendance taken twice daily, the attendance rate (expressed as a percentage) is calculated by dividing the aggregate number of days students are in school by the aggregate number of possible student days in the school year. An attendance rate of $95 \%$ means that 5 out of every 100 students enrolled were not in school on a typical day.

Dropouts: According to the DPI, the definition of a dropout is a student who was enrolled in school at some time during the reported school year, was not enrolled at the beginning of the following school year, has not graduated from high school or completed a state or districtapproved educational program, and does not meet any of the following exclusionary conditions: transfer to another public school district, private school, or state of district-approved educational program; temporary absence due to expulsion, suspension, or school-approved illness; or death. Starting with 2003-04, the dropout rate is the number of students who dropped out during the school term divided by the number of students who were expected to complete the school term in that school or district. The latter number may be more or less than the enrollment due to student transfers in and out after the fall enrollment count date. "Total number of students expected to complete the school term" is the denominator used to calculate all dropout rates and is the sum of students who actually completed the school term plus dropouts.

Enrollment: Two types of enrollment data are important: (1) the enrollment as of the "third Friday in September," literally a head count of how many children are enrolled in school on a specific day, and (2) the full-time equivalent enrollment, which accounts for pre-school and kindergarten children in school for only a portion of the day to calculate state aid and other financial data. In this report, head count enrollments are reported in the tables, but full-time equivalents are the basis for calculation of spending and revenue per pupil.

Extra/co-curricular activities: School-sanctioned activities intended to broaden, develop, and enhance a student's school experience in the areas of academics, athletics, and music. Participation is not required and the group or event is not offered for credit or grade. In general, the Wisconsin Uniform Financial Accounting Requirements (WUFAR) describes co-curricular activities as "instructional activities under the guidance and supervision of school staff designed to provide students such experiences as motivation, enjoyment, and improvement of skills. (They) supplement regular instructional activities and include... band, chorus, speech and debate, (and) athletics." Data are collected for 6th through 12th grades.

Extra/co-curricular participation rate: The participation rate for each activity category is the number of participants divided by the 6th through 12th grade enrollment, counted on the "third Friday in September."

Expulsions: Expulsion is the removal of a student from school permanently. Expulsions are recorded in terms of students expelled and days lost due to expulsion. The expulsion rates were calculated by dividing the number of expelled students by the pre-kindergarten through 12th grade enrollment of the school district.

4th, 8th, and 10th grade knowledge and concepts tests: These tests measure student knowledge in the areas of reading, language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies. Prior to 2002-2003, the scores were the national percentiles, which corresponded to the raw scores. In 2002-2003 and 2003-2004, the tests were no longer normalized to a national standard. For these years, the Public Policy Forum reports the district's scores as a percent of the statewide average score.

Free lunch eligibility: This is the only available measure of the income level of pupils. It is the percentage of pupils who qualify under federal guidelines for free or reduced-price lunch, and, therefore, roughly measures the percentage of low-income children in a school.

Graduation rate: Starting with the 2003-04 school year and beyond, graduation rates are defined as the number of graduates divided by an estimate of the total cohort group measured from the beginning of high school expressed as a percentage. This cohort group includes graduates, other high school completers, and other students who reached the age 21 in the school year. The cohort group also includes cohort dropouts over four years. Prior to 2003-04 it was calculated by taking the number of graduates divided by the number of graduates plus dropouts over four years, expressed as a percentage.

Habitual truancy: According to DPI, the definition of a habitual truant is a student who is absent from school without an acceptable excuse for part or all of five or more days on which school is held during a semester. The habitual truancy rate (expressed as a percentage) is the number of habitual truants, divided by kindergarten through 12th grade enrollment, counted on the "third Friday in September."

Income per pupil: Based on state tax returns, this is a calculation of aggregate earned income among residents of each school district divided by the district FTE enrollment. The result is an indicator of community wealth that takes into account both the relative number of children in the community and the proportion of the district's children who attend public schools.

Income per return: This is the aggregate income that was reported to the Wisconsin Department of Revenue divided by the number of returns filed.

Property taxes: This is an equalized school tax rate, which makes it possible to compare the school tax effort from one community to another. The equalized rate is the amount of money property taxpayers were charged in December 2004 (for the 2004-05 school year) for each $\$ 1,000$ of property value at full market value.

Property value per pupil: Another measure of community wealth, this relates directly to Wisconsin's formula for calculating state aid to school districts. The numbers represent the tax base of the school district as measured by equalized taxable property values as of 2004-05. It is a reliable measurement for purposes of comparing the property wealth of school districts.

Retention rates: Retentions are students who, by local district policy, must either repeat a grade or need additional time to complete the prescribed program. The number of retentions is reported for all grades except pre-kindergarten. The retention rate is the number of retentions divided by the kindergarten through 12th grade enrollment.

Revenue per pupil: Each autumn, school districts report on budgeted revenue and spending. Data were taken from those reports filed in fall 2004. The two principal sources of revenue for schools - property taxes and state aid - are reported on a per-pupil basis (using full-time equivalent enrollments). Also reported are the per-pupil revenues from federal sources.

Spending per pupil: Operations spending per pupil refers to the cost of running the system on a daily basis. It is more useful to look at operations spending for comparative purposes because capital spending and debt service can vary dramatically from year to year (depending on whether a district is building new schools). Operations spending is divided into six categories for the purposes of this report:

- Instruction - Direct spending on educational programs that generally take place in the classroom.
- Pupil services - A wide variety of services outside the classroom, such as guidance counseling, social work, curriculum development, libraries, vocational services, and extracurricular activities.
- Instructional staff services - Includes spending on improvement to instructional staff, library media, and supervision and coordination of staff.
- General administration - Central office expenses related to district administration, such as the superintendent's office and the school board.
- Building administration - Expenses related to the administration of each school building, primarily the principal's office.
- Transportation.
- Other - all expenses not included in the above categories, including community recreation programs, staff services, maintenance, utilities, and other overhead functions.

Suspensions: Suspension is an administrative action that temporarily excludes a student from school. Suspensions are recorded three ways: (1) the number of individual students suspended at least once during the school year, (2) the number of suspensions (a larger number because some students are suspended more than once), and (3) the number of days lost because of suspension.

The suspension rates in this book were calculated by dividing the number of students suspended by the pre-kindergarten through 12th grade enrollment of the school district. The number is expressed as a percentage. Although this differs from the DPI calculation of suspension rates (the aggregate number of days that students were out of school divided by the number of student days in a year), the number allows for more meaningful comparisons.

Wisconsin Reading and Comprehension Tests: This test is administered in 3rd grade and measures students' ability in reading comprehension. Scores fall into the following categories: minimal (limited in the content area), basic (somewhat competent), proficient (competent), and advanced (distinguished). The data presented here show students who scored proficient and advanced on the test. Results below the proficiency level are not reported here.

| Principal: $\quad$ Tom Sager |
| :--- |
| Total Enrollment by Year |


| $2004-2005:$ | 2,053 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2003-2004:$ | 1,954 |
| $2002-2003:$ | 1,942 |
| $2001-2002:$ | 1,904 |
| $2000-2001:$ | 1,838 |
| $1999-2000:$ | 1,760 |
| $1998-1999:$ | 1,874 |
| $1997-1998:$ | 1,993 |

## Enrollment Demographics

Asian: $\quad 34$

| African American: | 513 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Hispanic: | 250 |
| Indian: | 10 |

Indian: 10
White: $\quad 1,246$
\% African American: 25.0\%
\% Minority: $\quad 39.3 \%$
Free Lunch Eligible: $\quad 29.3 \%$

| Attendance |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Attendance Rate: | $\mathbf{9 3 . 4 \%}$ |
| Pupils Expelled: | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |
| Habitual Truants: | $\mathbf{5 2 8}$ |
| Truancy Rate: | $\mathbf{2 7 . 0 \%}$ |
| Pupils Suspended: | $\mathbf{2 3 4}$ |
| Suspension Rate: | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0 \%}$ |
| Dropouts: | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| Dropout Rate: | $\mathbf{0 . 6 \%}$ |
| Retentions: | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| Retention Rate: | $\mathbf{0 . 4 \%}$ |
| Graduation Rate: | $\mathbf{8 5 . 0 \%}$ |

## Standardized Tests

3rd
Percent Not Tested:
Minimal:
Basic:
Proficient:
Advanced:

4th
Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:


Note: Blank cells are not applicable.


Note: Blank cells are not applicable.


Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

Park
High
Principal: John Scott

## Total Enrollment by Year

| 2004-2005: | $\mathbf{2 , 2 8 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2003-2004: | $\mathbf{2 , 2 2 4}$ |
| 2002-2003: | $\mathbf{2 , 2 5 2}$ |
| 2001-2002: | $\mathbf{2 , 2 1 0}$ |
| 2000-2001: | $\mathbf{2 , 1 4 6}$ |
| 1999-2000: | $\mathbf{2 , 1 8 1}$ |
| 1998-1999: | $\mathbf{2 , 2 3 7}$ |
| 1997-1998: | $\mathbf{2 , 1 9 0}$ |

## Enrollment Demographics

Asian: 23

| African American: | 562 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hispanic: | 311 |

Indian: 5

White: $\quad \mathbf{1 , 3 8 6}$
\% African American: 24.6\%
\% Minority: 39.4\%
Free Lunch Eligible: $\quad \mathbf{2 6 . 3} \%$

| Attendance |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Attendance Rate: | $\mathbf{9 4 . 6 \%}$ |
| Pupils Expelled: | $\mathbf{1 8}$ |
| Habitual Truants: | $\mathbf{7 1 0}$ |
| Truancy Rate: | $\mathbf{3 1 . 9 \%}$ |
| Pupils Suspended: | $\mathbf{2 3 4}$ |
| Suspension Rate: | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5 \%}$ |
| Dropouts: | $\mathbf{1 8}$ |
| Dropout Rate: | $\mathbf{0 . 8 \%}$ |
| Retentions: | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Retention Rate: | $\mathbf{0 . 3 \%}$ |
| Graduation Rate: | $\mathbf{8 3 . 8 \%}$ |

## Standardized Tests

3rd
Percent Not Tested:
Minimal:
Basic:
Proficient:
Advanced:
4th
Reading: At/Above Proficient
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

| 8th | Reading: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Language: |  |
|  | Math: |
|  | Science: |
|  | Social Studies: |


|  | At/Above Proficient |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reading: | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ |
| Language: | $\mathbf{5 6 \%}$ |
| Math: | $\mathbf{5 4 \%}$ |
| Science: | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ |
| Social Studies: | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ |

ACT Percent Tested: 51.2\%
English: 20.2
Math: 21.7
Reading: 21.9
Science: 21.7
Composite: 21.5

AP
Exams Taken: 131
Exams Passed: 65
Passed as \% of Enroll: 2.9\%

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.


Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

## The REAL School

## Principal: Robert Holzem

## Total Enrollment by Year

| 2004-2005: | 195 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2003-2004: | 200 |
| 2002-2003: | 208 |
| 2001-2002: | 126 |
| 2000-2001: |  |
| 1999-2000: | 28 |
| 1998-1999: |  |
| $1997-1998:$ |  |

## Enrollment Demographics

| Asian: | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| African American: | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |
| Hispanic: | $\mathbf{2 9}$ |
| Indian: | 0 |
| White: | $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ |
| \% African American: | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8 \%}$ |
| \% Minority: | $\mathbf{2 8 . 2 \%}$ |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3 \%}$ |

## Attendance

Attendance Rate: $96.4 \%$
Pupils Expelled: 1
Habitual Truants: 1
Truancy Rate: $0.5 \%$
Pupils Suspended: 4
Suspension Rate: 2.0\%
Dropouts:
Dropout Rate:
Retentions:
1
Retention Rate: 0.5\%
Graduation Rate:

Middle \& High
Racine School Report
May 2006

## Standardized Tests

3rd
Percent Not Tested:
Minimal:
Basic:
Proficient:
Advanced:
4th
Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

| 8th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Reading: | $96 \%$ |  |
|  | Language: | $77 \%$ |
|  | Math: | $79 \%$ |
|  | Science: | $79 \%$ |
|  | Social Studies: | $96 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| 1Oth |  |  |
|  | Reading: | At/Above Proficient |
|  | Language: | $68 \%$ |
|  | Math: | $63 \%$ |
|  | Science: | $58 \%$ |
|  | Social Studies: | $74 \%$ |
|  |  | $84 \%$ |
| ACT | Percent Tested: | $39.3 \%$ |
| English: | 22.8 |  |
|  | Math: | 20.9 |
|  | Reading: | 22.7 |
|  | Science: | 22.1 |
| Composite: | 22.4 |  |

AP
Exams Taken:
Exams Passed:
Passed as \% of Enroll:

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

## Gilmore

Middle
Racine School Report
Principal: $\quad$ Dan Thielen $\quad$ Middle 2006

## Total Enrollment by Year

| 2004-2005: | $\mathbf{8 2 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2003-2004: | 919 |
| 2002-2003: | 979 |
| 2001-2002: | 972 |
| 2000-2001: | 962 |
| 1999-2000: | 913 |
| 1998-1999: | 914 |
| $1997-1998:$ | 956 |

## Enrollment Demographics

| Asian: | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| African American: | 245 |
| Hispanic: | $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ |
| Indian: | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| White: | $\mathbf{4 0 5}$ |
| \% African American: | $\mathbf{2 9 . 7 \%}$ |
| \% Minority: | $\mathbf{5 0 . 8 \%}$ |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | $\mathbf{5 7 . 6 \%}$ |

## Attendance

Attendance Rate: $\quad 93.6 \%$
Pupils Expelled: 4
Habitual Truants: 119
Truancy Rate: $12.9 \%$
Pupils Suspended: 170
Suspension Rate: 18.5\%
Dropouts:
Dropout Rate:
Retentions:
Retention Rate: $\quad \mathbf{0 . 1 \%}$
Graduation Rate:

## Standardized Tests

3rd
Percent Not Tested:
Minimal:
Basic:
Proficient:
Advanced:
4th
Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 8th | At/Above Proficient |
| Reading: | $55 \%$ |
| Language: | $28 \%$ |
| Math: | $46 \%$ |
| Science: | $43 \%$ |
|  | Social Studies: |

Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

ACT Percent Tested:
English:
Math:
Reading:
Science:
Composite:
AP
Exams Taken:
Exams Passed:
Passed as \% of Enroll:

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

Jerstad-Agerholm

## Principal: Synthia Taylor <br> Total Enrollment by Year

| 2004-2005: | $\mathbf{8 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2003-2004: | $\mathbf{8 2 0}$ |
| 2002-2003: | $\mathbf{7 6 0}$ |
| 2001-2002: | $\mathbf{7 6 1}$ |
| $2000-2001:$ | $\mathbf{4 3 4}$ |
| 1999-2000: | $\mathbf{4 3 6}$ |
| 1998-1999: | 484 |
| $1997-1998:$ | $\mathbf{4 7 7}$ |

## Enrollment Demographics

Asian: 8
African American: 164
Hispanic: $\mathbf{8 0}$
Indian: 0

White: 558
\% African American: $\quad \mathbf{2 0 . 2 \%}$
\% Minority: 31.1\%
Free Lunch Eligible: $\quad 39.9 \%$

## Attendance

Attendance Rate: $\quad 93.5 \%$
Pupils Expelled: 9
Habitual Truants: 142
Truancy Rate: $17.3 \%$
Pupils Suspended: 211
Suspension Rate: $\mathbf{2 5 . 7 \%}$
Dropouts:
Dropout Rate:
Retentions:
Retention Rate: $\quad \mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$
Graduation Rate:

## Standardized Tests

3rd
Percent Not Tested:
Minimal:
Basic:
Proficient:
Advanced:
4th Reading: At/Above Proficient
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

| 8th | At/Above Proficient |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Reading: | $76 \%$ |
| Language: | $53 \%$ |  |
|  | Math: | $66 \%$ |
|  | Science: | $61 \%$ |
|  | Social Studies: | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ |

Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

ACT Percent Tested:
English:
Math:
Reading:
Science:
Composite:

AP
Exams Taken:
Exams Passed:
Passed as \% of Enroll:

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.


[^1]

[^2]
## Starbuck

## Total Enrollment by Year

| $2004-2005:$ | 839 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2003-2004:$ | 830 |
| $2002-2003:$ | 864 |
| $2001-2002:$ | 828 |
| $2000-2001:$ | 837 |
| $1999-2000:$ | 822 |
| $1998-1999:$ | 886 |
| $1997-1998:$ | 964 |

## Enrollment Demographics

| Asian: | 14 |
| :--- | ---: |
| African American: | 332 |
| Hispanic: | 108 |
| Indian: | 6 |
| White: | $\mathbf{3 7 9}$ |
| \% African American: | $\mathbf{3 9 . 6 \%}$ |
| \% Minority: | $\mathbf{5 4 . 8 \%}$ |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | $\mathbf{5 0 . 6 \%}$ |

## Attendance

Attendance Rate: 93.9\%
Pupils Expelled: 6
Habitual Truants: 140
Truancy Rate: $16.9 \%$
Pupils Suspended: 224
Suspension Rate: 27.0\%
Dropouts:
Dropout Rate:
Retentions:
Retention Rate: $\quad \mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$
Graduation Rate:

## Standardized Tests

Percent Not Tested:
Minimal:
Basic:
Proficient:
Advanced:

4th
Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

| 8th | At/Above Proficient |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reading: | $73 \%$ |
| Language: | $41 \%$ |
| Math: | $43 \%$ |
| Science: | $45 \%$ |
| Social Studies: | $\mathbf{6 6 \%}$ |

Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

ACT Percent Tested:
English:
Math:
Reading:
Science:
Composite:

AP
Exams Taken:
Exams Passed:
Passed as \% of Enroll:

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.


[^3]
## Fine Arts

## Grade

Racine School Report
Principal: Doug Clum

## Total Enrollment by Year

| 2004-2005: | 329 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2003-2004: | 325 |
| 2002-2003: | 306 |
| 2001-2002: | 309 |
| 2000-2001: | 325 |
| 1999-2000: | 349 |
| 1998-1999: | 343 |
| $1997-1998:$ | 346 |

## Enrollment Demographics

| Asian: | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| African American: | $\mathbf{5 8}$ |
| Hispanic: | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |
| Indian: | 2 |
| White: | $\mathbf{2 2 2}$ |
| \% African American: | $\mathbf{1 7 . 6 \%}$ |
| \% Minority: | $\mathbf{3 2 . 5 \%}$ |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | $\mathbf{2 0 . 7 \%}$ |

## Attendance

Attendance Rate: 96.1\%
Pupils Expelled: 0
Habitual Truants: 1
Truancy Rate: 0.3\%
Pupils Suspended: 3
Suspension Rate: 0.9\%
Dropouts:
Dropout Rate:
Retentions:
1
Retention Rate: $0.3 \%$
Graduation Rate:

## Standardized Tests

| Percent Not Tested: | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Minimal: | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Basic: | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Proficient: | $\mathbf{3 7 . 5 \%}$ |
| Advanced: | $\mathbf{6 2 . 5 \%}$ |

4th

|  | At/Above Proficient |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reading: | $\mathbf{9 3 \%}$ |
| Language: | $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ |
| Math: | $\mathbf{8 3 \%}$ |
| Science: | $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ |
| Social Studies: | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ |

Atabove Proficien
Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:
10th
Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

ACT Percent Tested:
English:
Math:
Reading:
Science:
Composite:

AP
Exams Taken:
Exams Passed:
Passed as \% of Enroll:

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.


[^4]

[^5]

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

Goodland

| Principal: $\quad$ Billie Marie Novick |
| :--- |
| Total Enrollment by Year |

2004-2005: 341

2003-2004: 352
2002-2003: 376
2001-2002: 385
2000-2001: 410
1999-2000: 430
1998-1999: 383
1997-1998: 401

## Enrollment Demographics

| Asian: | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| African American: | 163 |
| Hispanic: | 52 |
| Indian: | 0 |
| White: | 119 |
| \% African American: | $\mathbf{4 7 . 8 \%}$ |
| \% Minority: | $\mathbf{6 5 . 1 \%}$ |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | $\mathbf{5 7 . 3 \%}$ |

## Attendance

Attendance Rate: $\quad 95.0 \%$
Pupils Expelled: 0
Habitual Truants: 56
Truancy Rate: $\quad \mathbf{1 7 . 2 \%}$
Pupils Suspended: 0
Suspension Rate: 0.0\%
Dropouts:
Dropout Rate:
Retentions:
7
Retention Rate: $\quad \mathbf{2 . 2 \%}$
Graduation Rate:

## Standardized Tests

## 3rd

| Percent Not Tested: | $11.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Minimal: | $5.7 \%$ |
| Basic: | $18.9 \%$ |
| Proficient: | $\mathbf{4 7 . 2 \%}$ |
| Advanced: | $17.0 \%$ |

4th

|  | At/Above Proficient |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reading: | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ |
| Language: | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ |
| Math: | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ |
| Science: | $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ |
| Social Studies: | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ |

8th AtAbove Proficien
Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:
10th
Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

ACT Percent Tested:
English:
Math:
Reading:
Science:
Composite:
AP
Exams Taken:
Exams Passed:
Passed as \% of Enroll:

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

| Principal: Deborah Coca |  | Racine School Rep |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Grade |  |  |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  | Standardized Tests |  |  |
| 2004-2005: | 394 | 3rd | Percent Not Tested: | d: $\begin{array}{r}15.4 \% \\ \\ 1.5 \%\end{array}$ |
| 2003-2004: | 384 |  | Minimal: |  |
| 2002-2003: | 397 |  | Basic: | 24.6\% |
| 2001-2002: | 392 |  | Proficient: | 49.2\% |
| 2000-2001: | 396 |  | Advanced: | 9.2\% |
| 1999-2000: | 406 | 4th |  | At/Above Proficien |
| 1998-1999: | 410 |  |  |  |
| 1997-1998: | 415 |  | Reading: Language: | $68 \%$ $74 \%$ |
|  |  |  | Math: | 68\% |
| Enrollment |  |  | Science: | 49\% |
| Demographics |  |  | Social Studies: | 91\% |
| Asian: | 1 | 8th |  | At/Above Proficien |
| African American: | 96 |  |  | Reading: |
| Hispanic: | 227 |  | Language: |  |
| Indian: | 0 |  | Math: |  |
| White: | 70 |  | Science: |  |
| \% African American: | 24.4\% |  | Social Studies: |  |
| \% Minority: | 82.2\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | 90.3\% | 10th | Reading: |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attendance |  |  | Language: |  |
| Attendance Rate: | 95.6\% |  | Science: |  |
| Pupils Expelled: | 0 |  | Social Studies: |  |
| Habitual Truants: | 86 |  |  |  |
| Truancy Rate: | 22.4\% | ACT | Percent Tested: |  |
| Pupils Suspended: | 20 |  | English: |  |
| Suspension Rate: | 5.2\% |  | Math: |  |
| Dropouts: |  |  | Reading: |  |
| Dropout Rate: |  |  | Science: |  |
| Retentions: | 7 |  | Composite: |  |
| Retention Rate: | 1.8\% |  |  |  |
| Graduation Rate: |  | AP | Exams Taken: |  |
|  |  |  | Exams Passed: |  |
|  |  |  | Passed as \% of Enrot |  |

[^6]Jefferson Lighthouse
Principal: Gary Goelz

Grade
Racine School Report
May 2006

Total Enrollment by Year

| $2004-2005:$ | 542 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2003-2004:$ | 550 |
| $2002-2003:$ | 564 |
| $2001-2002:$ | 562 |
| $2000-2001:$ | 552 |
| $1999-2000:$ | 581 |
| $1998-1999:$ | 585 |
| $1997-1998:$ | 577 |

## Enrollment <br> Demographics

| Asian: | 8 |
| :--- | ---: |
| African American: | 52 |
| Hispanic: | 53 |
| Indian: | 0 |
| White: | $\mathbf{4 2 9}$ |
| \% African American: | $\mathbf{9 . 6 \%}$ |
| \% Minority: | $\mathbf{2 0 . 8 \%}$ |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2 \%}$ |

## Attendance

Attendance Rate:
Pupils Expelled:
Habitual Truants: 0
Truancy Rate: $\quad \mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$
Pupils Suspended: 0
Suspension Rate: $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$
Dropouts:
Dropout Rate:
Retentions:
Retention Rate: $\quad \mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$
Graduation Rate:

52
53
0
429
9.6\%
\% Minority. 20.8\%
Free Lunch Eligible: 14.2\%

| Attendance |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Attendance Rate: | $\mathbf{9 6 . 3 \%}$ |
| Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| Habitual Truants: | 0 |
| Truancy Rate: | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Pupils Suspended: | 0 |
| Suspension Rate: | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Dropouts: |  |
| Dropout Rate: |  |
| Retentions: | 0 |
| Retention Rate: | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Standardized Tests

3rd

| Percent Not Tested: | $0.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Minimal: | $0.0 \%$ |
| Basic: | $1.0 \%$ |
| Proficient: | $31.3 \%$ |
| Advanced: | $67.7 \%$ |

4th

|  | At/Above Proficient |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reading: | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ |
| Language: | $\mathbf{9 7 \%}$ |
| Math: | $93 \%$ |
| Science: | $\mathbf{9 9 \%}$ |
| Social Studies: | $\mathbf{9 9 \%}$ |

8th Athabove Proficien
Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

ACT Percent Tested:
English:
Math:
Reading:
Science:
Composite:
AP
Exams Taken:
Exams Passed:
Passed as \% of Enroll:

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

Jerstad-Agerholm Grade

Racine School Report
May 2006
Principal: Cecilia Holley-Young

## Total Enrollment by Year

| 2004-2005: | 334 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2003-2004: | 361 |
| 2002-2003: | 404 |
| $2001-2002:$ | 435 |
| 2000-2001: | $\mathbf{7 7 6}$ |
| 1999-2000: | $\mathbf{8 1 2}$ |
| 1998-1999: | $\mathbf{8 5 3}$ |
| $1997-1998:$ | $\mathbf{8 4 7}$ |

## Enrollment Demographics

| Asian: | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| African American: | $\mathbf{9 8}$ |
| Hispanic: | $\mathbf{5 6}$ |
| Indian: | 1 |
| White: | $\mathbf{1 7 1}$ |
| \% African American: | $\mathbf{2 9 . 3} \%$ |
| \% Minority: | $\mathbf{4 8 . 8 \%}$ |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | $\mathbf{5 1 . 8 \%}$ |

## Attendance

Attendance Rate: 93.8\%
Pupils Expelled:
0
61
Truancy Rate: $16.9 \%$
Pupils Suspended:
43
Suspension Rate: 11.9\%
Dropouts:
Dropout Rate:
Retentions:
Retention Rate:
Graduation Rate:

## Standardized Tests

| Percent Not Tested: | $10.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Minimal: | $5.5 \%$ |
| Basic: | $12.7 \%$ |
| Proficient: | $49.1 \%$ |
| Advanced: | $21.8 \%$ |

4th

|  | At/Above Proficient |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reading: | $\mathbf{7 7 \%}$ |
| Language: | $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ |
| Math: | $\mathbf{5 8 \%}$ |
| Science: | $\mathbf{6 3 \%}$ |
| Social Studies: | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ |

8th
Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

ACT Percent Tested:
English:
Math:
Reading:
Science:
Composite:

AP
Exams Taken:
Exams Passed:
Passed as \% of Enroll:

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.


[^7]Jones
Grade
Racine School Report
Principal: Sharon Campbell

Total Enrollment by Year

| $2004-2005:$ | 465 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2003-2004:$ | 461 |
| $2002-2003:$ | 458 |
| $2001-2002:$ | 456 |
| $2000-2001:$ | 454 |
| $1999-2000:$ | 460 |
| $1998-1999:$ | 443 |
| $1997-1998:$ | 448 |

## Enrollment Demographics

| Asian: | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| African American: | $\mathbf{7 4}$ |
| Hispanic: | $\mathbf{1 4 8}$ |
| Indian: | 0 |
| White: | 237 |
| \% African American: | $\mathbf{1 5 . 9 \%}$ |
| \% Minority: | $49.0 \%$ |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | $34.7 \%$ |

## Attendance

Attendance Rate: $\quad 95.9 \%$
Pupils Expelled: 1
Habitual Truants: 20
Truancy Rate: 4.5\%
Pupils Suspended: 18
Suspension Rate: 3.9\%
Dropouts:
Dropout Rate:
Retentions:
Retention Rate: $\quad \mathbf{0 . 2 \%}$
Graduation Rate:

## Standardized Tests

| Percent Not Tested: | $\mathbf{9 . 0 \%}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Minimal: | $\mathbf{1 . 3 \%}$ |
| Basic: | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ |
| Proficient: | $\mathbf{5 1 . 3 \%}$ |
| Advanced: | $\mathbf{3 0 . 8 \%}$ |

4th

|  | At/Above Proficient |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reading: | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ |
| Language: | $\mathbf{6 9 \%}$ |
| Math: | $\mathbf{6 1 \%}$ |
| Science: | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ |
| Social Studies: | $\mathbf{8 4 \%}$ |

8th
Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

ACT Percent Tested:
English:
Math:
Reading:
Science:
Composite:
AP
Exams Taken:
Exams Passed:
Passed as \% of Enroll:

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

| Julian Thomas |  | Racine School Repo |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: Angel | ss Apmann | Grade |  |  |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Standardized Tests |  |
| 2004-2005: | 357 | 3rd | Percent Not Tested: Minimal: | : $7.8 \%$ |
| 2003-2004: | 374 |  |  | 2.0\% |
| 2002-2003: |  |  | Basic: | 11.8\% |
| 2001-2002: |  |  | Proficient: | 62.7\% |
| 2000-2001: |  |  | Advanced: | 15.7\% |
| 1999-2000: |  | 4th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| 1998-1999: |  |  | Reading: | At/Above Proficie |
| 1997-1998: |  |  | Language: | 32\% |
|  |  |  | Math: | 21\% |
| Enrollment |  |  | Science: | 14\% |
|  |  |  | Social Studies: | 50\% |
| Asian: | 0 | 8th | Reading: | At/Above Proficien |
| Asian: | 232 |  |  |  |
| Hispanic: | 73 |  | Language: |  |
| Indian: | 0 |  | Math: |  |
| White: | 52 |  | Science: |  |
| \% African American: | 65.0\% |  | Social Studies: |  |
| \% Minority: | 85.4\% | 10th | At/Above Proficien |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | 83.6\% |  |  |  |
| Attendance |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Language: <br> Math: |  |
| Attendance Rate: | 93.1\% |  | Science: |  |
| Pupils Expelled: | 5 |  | Social Studies: |  |
| Habitual Truants: | 87 |  |  |  |
| Truancy Rate: Pupils Suspended: | 26.2\% | ACT | Percent Tested: |  |
|  | 83 |  | English: |  |
| Suspension Rate: | 22.2\% |  | Math: |  |
| Dropouts: |  |  | Reading: |  |
| Dropout Rate: |  |  | Science: |  |
| Retentions: | 4 |  | Composite: |  |
| Retention Rate: | 1.2\% |  |  |  |
| Graduation Rate: |  | AP | Exams Taken: <br> Exams Passed: |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Passed as \% of Enrot |  |

[^8]

[^9]

[^10]

[^11]
## O Brown

Grade
Racine School Report
Principal: Kathleen Jackson

## Total Enrollment by Year

| $2004-2005:$ | 443 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2003-2004:$ | 466 |
| $2002-2003:$ | 562 |
| $2001-2002:$ | 553 |
| $2000-2001:$ | 588 |
| $1999-2000:$ | 566 |
| $1998-1999:$ | 543 |
| $1997-1998:$ | 543 |

## Enrollment Demographics

Asian: 14
African American: 87
Hispanic: 50
Indian: 1
White: 291
\% African American: 19.6\%
\% Minority: 34.3\%
Free Lunch Eligible: $\quad \mathbf{3 6 . 2 \%}$

## Attendance

Attendance Rate: $\quad 94.2 \%$
Pupils Expelled: 0
Habitual Truants: 50
Truancy Rate: $\quad 12.6 \%$
Pupils Suspended: 41
Suspension Rate: 8.8\%
Dropouts:
Dropout Rate:
Retentions:
Retention Rate:
5
1.3\%

Graduation Rate:

## Standardized Tests

| Percent Not Tested: | $\mathbf{3 . 4 \%}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Minimal: | $1.7 \%$ |
| Basic: | $15.5 \%$ |
| Proficient: | $39.7 \%$ |
| Advanced: | $39.7 \%$ |

4th

|  | At/Above Proficient |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reading: | $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ |
| Language: | $\mathbf{6 9 \%}$ |
| Math: | $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ |
| Science: | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ |
| Social Studies: | $\mathbf{8 8 \%}$ |


| 8th | Reading: Above Proficient |
| :--- | :--- |
| Language: |  |
|  | Math: |
|  | Science: |
|  | Social Studies: |

At/Above Proficient
Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

ACT Percent Tested:
English:
Math:
Reading:
Science:
Composite:
AP
Exams Taken:
Exams Passed:
Passed as \% of Enroll:

## Total Enrollment by Year

| $2004-2005:$ | 45 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $2003-2004:$ | 116 |
| $2002-2003:$ | 51 |
| $2001-2002:$ | 62 |
| $2000-2001:$ | 160 |
| $1999-2000:$ | 166 |
| $1998-1999:$ | 139 |
| $1997-1998:$ | 98 |

## Enrollment Demographics

| Asian: | 2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| African American: | 4 |
| Hispanic: | 13 |
| Indian: | 0 |
| White: | 26 |
| \% African American: | $\mathbf{8 . 9 \%}$ |
| \% Minority: | $\mathbf{4 2 . 2 \%}$ |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | $\mathbf{3 4 . 3 \%}$ |

## Attendance

Attendance Rate: 100.0\%
Pupils Expelled: 0
Habitual Truants: 0
Truancy Rate: 0.0\%
Pupils Suspended: 0
Suspension Rate: 0.0\%
Dropouts:
Dropout Rate:
Retentions:
Retention Rate: $\quad \mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$
Graduation Rate:

## Standardized Tests

Percent Not Tested:
Minimal:
Basic:
Proficient:
Advanced:

4th
Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:
Oth At/Above Proficient
Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

ACT Percent Tested:
English:
Math:
Reading:
Science:
Composite:
AP
Exams Taken:
Exams Passed:
Passed as \% of Enroll:

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.


[^12]

[^13]

[^14]

[^15]

[^16]
## Wind Point

Principal: Irene Nahabedian

## Total Enrollment by Year

| 2004-2005: | 236 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2003-2004: | 286 |
| 2002-2003: | 295 |
| 2001-2002: | 290 |
| 2000-2001: | 280 |
| 1999-2000: | 326 |
| 1998-1999: | 318 |
| 1997-1998: | 313 |

## Enrollment Demographics

Asian: $\quad 7$

African American: 64
Hispanic: 8
Indian: 1
White: 156
\% African American: $\quad \mathbf{2 7 . 1} \%$
\% Minority: 33.9\%
Free Lunch Eligible: $\quad \mathbf{3 6 . 6 \%}$

## Attendance

Attendance Rate: $\quad 95.0 \%$
Pupils Expelled: 0
Habitual Truants: 11
Truancy Rate: $\quad \mathbf{3 . 8} \%$
Pupils Suspended: 0
Suspension Rate: 0.0\%
Dropouts:
Dropout Rate:
Retentions:
Retention Rate: $\quad 0.0 \%$
Graduation Rate:

## Standardized Tests

| Percent Not Tested: | $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Minimal: | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Basic: | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 \%}$ |
| Proficient: | $\mathbf{3 5 . 0 \%}$ |
| Advanced: | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0 \%}$ |

4th

|  | At/Above Proficient |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reading: | $\mathbf{8 3 \%}$ |
| Language: | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ |
| Math: | $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ |
| Science: | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ |
| Social Studies: | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ |

8th
Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

Reading:
Language:
Math:
Science:
Social Studies:

ACT Percent Tested:
English:
Math:
Reading:
Science:
Composite:

AP
Exams Taken:
Exams Passed:
Passed as \% of Enroll:

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.


[^17]
[^0]:    * Used the 2002-03 definition for dropout rate to allow for comparisons to previous years.

[^1]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^2]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^3]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^4]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^5]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^6]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^7]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^8]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^9]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^10]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^11]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^12]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^13]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^14]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^15]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^16]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^17]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

