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## 11th annual comparative analysis of the Racine Unified School District

## Summary

This is the 11th annual report on conditions affecting the Racine Unified School District (RUSD). This year, our analysis again focuses on the long-term historical trends in RUSD. The analysis compares RUSD to nine peer school districts and the State of Wisconsin. RUSD peer districts are Wisconsin’s largest (Milwaukee Public Schools are not included) and their enrollments are similar to the enrollment in Racine. We have grouped the findings in the report based on RUSD quality objective measures: student achievement, student engagement, and operational efficiency. Student achievement includes test scores and graduation rates; student engagement includes attendance and behavior; and operational efficiency includes finance data.

## Major Findings

Enrollment: RUSD enrollment for 2007-08 decreased 0.7\% to 21,552. This mirrors a statewide decrease of $1.2 \%$. RUSD remains the fourth largest district in the state-behind districts serving Milwaukee, Madison, and Kenosha.

Student diversity: Despite overall enrollment decline, minority enrollment at RUSD increased $1.6 \%$ to 10,614 students in 2007-08. The driver of RUSD's minority growth was its growing Hispanic demographic, which increased $5.3 \%$ to 4,480 students in 2007-08. Overall, $49.2 \%$ of the RUSD student population was minority in 2007-08. The percentage of RUSD students eligible for free or reduced lunch increased six percentage points to $49 \%$ in 2007-08-a ten-year high.

Operational efficiency (revenue): Unlike last year, when its operations revenue was on par with the state as a whole, RUSD per-pupil revenue is now $\$ 10,629$, or $8.6 \%$ below the state perpupil average of $\$ 11,634$. RUSD property tax revenue growth in the last 10 years has been below the state average, while RUSD state aid and federal aid have both exceeded the state average.

Operational efficiency (expenditures): Since 1997-98, RUSD operations spending has increased $38.5 \%$, well in excess of the $22.5 \%$ statewide increase since 1997-98. The largest component of operations spending is "instruction expenditures," which increased $44.4 \%$ from 199798 to 2007-08 at RUSD, ranking it fifth among peer districts. RUSD recorded the highest spending growth among peer districts in both "staff services" and "general administration" categories.

Student engagement: For the sixth consecutive year, RUSD improved its truancy rate. The 2006-07 rate was $8.5 \%$, below the statewide rate of $9.3 \%$. The attendance rate of $93.6 \%$ was the same as last year. While still below its ten-year peak in 1999-00, this year's $5.3 \%$ dropout rate for RUSD was up from 3.8\% last year. The suspension rate decreased slightly in 2006-07, while the expulsion rate increased from 2005-06 to 2006-07.

Student achievement: Student performance measurements at RUSD yielded mixed findings. Students in the 10th grade scored higher in both reading and math than last year's 10th graders. Reading scores in the 3rd grade decreased while reading scores in the 4th and 8th grades both increased over last year. Math performance was down in both the 4th and 8th grades. ACT scores and participation rose in tandem for the first time since tracking those measures.

## Enrollment Characteristics

Figure 1 shows that after the first enrollment increase in three years in 2006-2007, the RUSD enrollment remained steady, with a decrease of $0.7 \%$, or 144 students, in 2007-2008. Despite this slight decrease, RUSD remains the fourth largest district in the state behind Milwaukee, Madison and Kenosha. Seven of the 10 peer districts had a decrease in total enrollment from 2006-07 and 2007-08, while the state had a decrease of $1.2 \%$.
Figure 1: Ten-year public school enrollment trend, 1998 to 2008


As Figure 2 shows, RUSD has enrolled the highest percentage of minority students among peer districts for 10 straight years. In 2007-08, 49.2\% of the enrollment at RUSD was minorityslightly higher than Madison's percentage of $47.8 \%$. Statewide, $23.2 \%$ of students were minority in 2007-08. The driver of RUSD's minority growth was its growing Hispanic demographic, which increased from 4,254 in 2006-07 to 4,480 in 2007-08-a $5.3 \%$ growth rate. This was just under the $5.6 \%$ growth rate for Hispanic student enrollment statewide. In 2007-08, 50.8\% of RUSD students were white, 26.6\% were African American, and 20.8\% were Hispanic.

Figure 2: Ten-year minority enrollment trend, 1998 to 2008


Historically, RUSD has always seen a decrease in enrollment of a cohort group of students as they move through the high school grades. For the 2003-04 RUSD class, there were $26 \%$ fewer seniors than there were freshman four years prior. When viewed by ethnicity as in Figure 3, we can clearly see declines in each racial category. Declines were highest among African American and Hispanic students, with $41 \%$ and $32 \%$ declines respectively. Among whites, there were $18 \%$ fewer seniors that year than there were freshman four years prior.
Figure 3: RUSD class of 2003-04 cohort analysis, high school enrollment by ethnicity


As can be seen in Figure 4, the picture for the RUSD class of 2007-08 is decidedly different. This was the second year in a row where there was a sharp increase in enrollment between the 11th and 12th grades. The question is whether the increase is "real" or if it is merely attributable to changes in the way data are collected and reported at RUSD. Plausible explanations for the increase include counting 12th graders held over from the previous year ("5th year seniors") or adding special education students over the age of 18 into the 12th grade enrollment. While the main cause of the increase is not clear, what is clear is that this data issue has disrupted our ability to interpret and analyze recent cohort trends for RUSD.

Figure 4: RUSD class of 2007-08 cohort analysis, high school enrollment by ethnicity


Figure 5 shows private school enrollment stabilizing after registering declining enrollment for each of the past five years. In the city of Racine, 4,159 students attended private schools in 2007-08, or $19.3 \%$ of public school enrollment. This put RUSD fourth among peer districts. Appleton had the highest percentage of students attending private schools in 2007-08 at 20.7\%.

Figure 5: Five-year private school enrollment trend, 2003-04 to 2007-08


Figure 6 shows charter schools in Racine registering a 4\% enrollment increase from 2006-07 to 2007-08. Despite this increase, Racine's charter school enrollment is still down $12 \%$ over the last five years. Over that same five-year time period, Appleton and Kenosha school districts have seen charter school enrollments increase $71 \%$ and $139 \%$, respectively. Today, 1,051 students in Racine attend charter schools, or $4.9 \%$ of public school enrollment. This puts RUSD fifth among peer districts but does not include the 474 students that attend the 21st Century Charter School in Racine. Oshkosh had the highest percentage of students attending charter schools in 2007-08, 14.7\%.

Figure 6: Five-year charter school enrollment trend, 2003-04 to 2007-08


RUSD ranked second among peer districts in the percentage of students who are homeschooled. In 2007-08, 480 students were home-schooled, or $2.2 \%$ of Racine's total public enrollment. This is down from 2006-07 when 520 students were home schooled in Racine.

Table 1 shows that from 2006-07 to 2007-08, average incomes per tax return and the average incomes per pupil in RUSD both increased. As in the previous year, despite district gains in affluence, the number of students eligible for free or reduced price lunches also grew. Figure 7 shows that $49 \%$ of RUSD students were eligible for free or reduced price lunches, up from $43 \%$ a year earlier. This was the largest one-year increase in this measure for RUSD in the last ten years and was the largest one-year increase among peer districts. This measure has now increased at RUSD for seven straight years and is at a ten-year high. Racine has surpassed Green Bay as having the highest percentage of students eligible for free and reduced lunch. Statewide, $32 \%$ of students are eligible for free or reduced price lunch - one percentage point higher than in 2006-07.

Figure 7: Ten-year trend in RUSD free or reduced-price lunch eligibility, 1998-99 to 2007-08


Table 1: Community demographics among peer districts, 2007-08

| Community <br> Demographics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Free or reduced lunch eligible | Rank | Income per return | Rank | Income per pupil | Rank | Property value per pupil | Rank |
| Madison | 40.9\% | 3 | \$50,879 | 3 | \$244,725 | 2 | \$875,015 | 1 |
| Kenosha | 40.9\% | 4 | \$46,351 | 7 | \$112,507 | 10 | \$424,096 | 8 |
| Racine | 49.2\% | 1 | \$47,763 | 6 | \$141,397 | 8 | \$436,127 | 6 |
| Green Bay | 49.0\% | 2 | \$45,469 | 8 | \$158,734 | 5 | \$429,836 | 7 |
| Appleton | 31.7\% | 9 | \$50,673 | 4 | \$165,139 | 4 | \$459,981 | 4 |
| Waukesha | 23.2\% | 10 | \$65,800 | 1 | \$253,865 | 1 | \$744,716 | 2 |
| Eau Claire | 31.8\% | 8 | \$64,553 | 2 | \$220,329 | 3 | \$507,908 | 3 |
| Janesville | 34.0\% | 6 | \$47,768 | 5 | \$149,178 | 7 | \$384,651 | 9 |
| Oshkosh | 32.4\% | 7 | \$44,113 | 9 | \$149,374 | 6 | \$458,976 | 5 |
| Sheboygan | 35.3\% | 5 | \$42,323 | 10 | \$123,426 | 9 | \$352,308 | 10 |
| Milwaukee | 77.2\% |  | \$35,170 |  | \$99,258 |  | \$345,965 |  |
| Wisconsin | 32.1\% |  | \$48,526 |  | \$144,248 |  | \$559,014 |  |

## Operational Efficiency

## Finances

RUSD was seventh in per-pupil operations revenue among peer districts in 2007-08. As recently as 2004-05, RUSD was third among its peers in total operations revenue. Unlike last year, when its per-pupil operations revenue was on par with the state as a whole, RUSD revenue is now $\$ 1,005$, or $8.6 \%$ below the state per-pupil average.

Figure 8 shows that RUSD per-pupil operations revenue has been slowly increasing for the past five years but not at the pace of peer districts. Since 2003-04, its per-pupil operations revenue has increased $9.3 \%$. RUSD operations revenue is now roughly $\$ 500$ per-pupil below Green Bay and is less than Kenosha for the first time since the 1998-99 school year.

Table 2 shows that over the past decade, RUSD state and federal aid growth has been among the lowest among peer districts, ranking eighth and sixth respectively. Its property tax revenue has grown $22.9 \%$ since 1997-98, fifth among peer districts. RUSD property tax revenue growth in the last 10 years has been below the state average, while RUSD state aid and federal aid have both exceeded the state average.
Figure 8: Five-year trends in per-pupil operations revenue, 2002-03 to 2007-08


Table 2: Change in aggregate revenue among peer districts, 1997-98 to 2007-08

| Finances | Property Tax |  | State Aid |  | Federal Aid |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% change | Rank | \% change | Rank | \% change | Rank |
| Madison | 26.2\% | 3 | 43.6\% | 7 | 221.4\% | 3 |
| Kenosha | 53.4\% | 1 | 77.6\% | 1 | 181.5\% | 5 |
| Racine | 22.9\% | 5 | 43.1\% | 8 | 165.3\% | 6 |
| Green Bay | -1.6\% | 9 | 68.1\% | 2 | 161.3\% | 8 |
| Appleton | 19.5\% | 6 | 64.9\% | 4 | 423.2\% | 1 |
| Waukesha | 34.2\% | 2 | 32.9\% | 9 | 184.2\% | 4 |
| Eau Claire | 26.1\% | 4 | 30.0\% | 10 | 59.6\% | 10 |
| Janesville | -5.9\% | 10 | 59.1\% | 5 | 165.2\% | 7 |
| Oshkosh | 17.2\% | 7 | 54.9\% | 6 | 148.0\% | 9 |
| Sheboygan | 3.2\% | 8 | 66.7\% | 3 | 233.9\% | 2 |
| Milwaukee | 50.5\% |  | 24.4\% |  | 135.1\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 31.8\% |  | 39.5\% |  | 141.1\% |  |

RUSD ranked ninth in operations spending among peer districts in 2007-08, spending $\$ 10,419$ per pupil. Its lowest rank was ninth in per-pupil instructional spending and its highest rank was second in transportation spending. Instruction spending accounts for 64\% of RUSD total operations spending. Interestingly, from 2006-07 to 2007-08, instructional spending recorded a rare one-year decrease of $2.2 \%$ for RUSD.

Over the past 5 years, RUSD per-pupil operations spending increased $8.7 \%$, with slower growth as compared to peer districts during this period (Figure 9). However, spending did increase $2.5 \%$ over last year's level, which is a higher annual growth rate than has been seen previously.

Over the past decade, RUSD total operations spending has increased 38.5\%, placing it fourth among peer districts, behind Appleton, Kenosha and Sheboygan (Table 3). Total operations spending statewide increased $22.5 \%$ since 1997-98, well below the RUSD rate of increase. The largest component of "operations spending" is instruction expenditures, which increased 44.4\% from 1997-98 to 2007-08 at RUSD, ranking it fifth among peer districts. RUSD recorded the highest spending growth among peers in both "staff services" and "general administration" categories.
Figure 9: Five-year trends in per-pupil operations spending, 2002-03 to 2007-08


Table 3: Change in aggregate spending among peer districts, 1997-98 to 2007-08

| Finances | Operations change Rank |  | Instruction |  | Pupil Services |  | Staff Services |  | General Admin |  | Building Admin |  | Transportation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Madison | 29.5\% | 5 | 36.4\% | 9 | 88.8\% | 5 | 12.7\% | 9 | 23.7\% | 5 | 51.5\% | 8 | 60.1\% | 4 |
| Kenosha | 47.0\% | 2 | 95.7\% | 1 | 96.6\% | 2 | 44.2\% | 5 | 12.0\% | 9 | 75.4\% | 2 | 82.7\% | 1 |
| Racine | 38.5\% | 4 | 44.4\% | 5 | 84.5\% | 7 | 114.9\% | 1 | 52.2\% | 1 | 44.4\% | 9 | 34.7\% | 7 |
| Green Bay | 25.5\% | 8 | 54.5\% | 4 | 95.8\% | 3 | 114.1\% | 2 | 46.9\% | 3 | 116.7\% | 1 | 75.4\% | 3 |
| Appleton | 55.7\% | 1 | 65.2\% | 3 | 62.4\% | 8 | 41.9\% | 6 | 42.2\% | 4 | 58.5\% | 4 | 80.7\% | 2 |
| Waukesha | 22.0\% | 9 | 42.0\% | 7 | 34.4\% | 10 | 31.3\% | 8 | 14.5\% | 7 | 57.3\% | 5 | 58.9\% | 5 |
| Eau Claire | 27.7\% | 7 | 29.3\% | 10 | 50.3\% | 9 | -6.4\% | 10 | -4.8\% | 10 | 41.0\% | 10 | 39.7\% | 6 |
| Janesville | 8.2\% | 10 | 43.0\% | 6 | 117.8\% | 1 | 36.1\% | 7 | 16.6\% | 6 | 57.0\% | 6 | 14.7\% | 9 |
| Oshkosh | 29.1\% | 6 | 41.5\% | 8 | 85.9\% | 6 | 53.5\% | 3 | 13.4\% | 8 | 59.2\% | 3 | 12.8\% | 10 |
| Sheboygan | 39.1\% | 3 | 71.6\% | 2 | 94.5\% | 4 | 44.5\% | 4 | 47.6\% | 2 | 55.3\% | 7 | 28.5\% | 8 |
| Milwaukee | 28.3\% |  | 25.4\% |  | 108.5\% |  | 92.6\% |  | 75.1\% |  | 20.0\% |  | 8.6\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 22.5\% |  | 42.0\% |  | 71.7\% |  | 50.5\% |  | 38.5\% |  | 45.7\% |  | 39.8\% |  |

## Student Engagement

Figure 10 shows that RUSD posted the same attendance rate as last year (93.6\%). This dropped Racine from eighth to ninth in the attendance rankings among peer districts in 2006-07. Only Kenosha registered a lower rate of attendance at $92.3 \%$. Over the past ten years the RUSD attendance rate has increased 1.9 percentage points.
Figure 10: Ten-year trends in attendance rates, 1997-98 to 2006-07


The RUSD dropout rate is at its highest level since the 1999-00 school year (Figure 11). This year's 5.3\% dropout rate for RUSD was second highest among peer districts. RUSD's 5.3\% dropout rate represents a 1.5 percentage point jump from the year prior and was mirrored by similar year-over-year increases in Madison and Kenosha. The statewide dropout rate is $1.6 \%$.

Figure 11: Ten-year trends in dropout rates, 1997-98 to 2006-07


Figure 12 shows the recent drop in habitual truancy at RUSD leveling off in 2006-07. Matching last year's ranking, RUSD placed fifth among peer districts. Janesville and Kenosha had the highest truancy rates among peer districts with $19.8 \%$ and $19.0 \%$ respectively. For the first time in the last ten years, RUSD now matches the truancy rate of Madison - 8.5\%. The statewide habitual truancy rate declined from 9.7\% in 2005-06 to 9.3 percent in 2006-07.
Figure 12: Ten-year trends in habitual truancy, 1997-98 to 2006-07


The percentage of students suspended in RUSD decreased from 13.9\% in 2005-06 to $12.2 \%$ in 2006-07. Despite this recent decline, RUSD continued to have the highest suspension rate among peers in 2006-07. Figure 13 shows that the suspension rate at RUSD has remained relatively flat for the past five years.

RUSD had the highest expulsion rate among peer districts in 2006-07 with 138 expulsions. This is an increase from 120 students expelled in the 2005-06 school year. As shown in Figure 13, expulsion rates for RUSD remain at elevated levels as compared to the earlier part of this decade. It should be noted that major changes in Wisconsin's discipline data collection systems were implemented in 2006-07, so suspension and expulsion figures should be interpreted with caution.
Figure 13: Ten-year trends in out-of-school suspension and expulsion rates in RUSD, 1997-98 to 2006-07


## Student Achievement

The Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE) is now administered annually to all 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th and 10th grade students. The 2005-06 school year was the first year that the WKCE was administered to students in the 3rd, 5th, 6th and 7th grades. In these grades, students are only tested in reading and math; in the 4th, 8th, and 10th grades, students are tested in reading, math, language arts, science and social studies. The 3rd grade WKCE replaced the Wisconsin Reading and Concepts Test (WRCT), which is no longer administered. Historical comparison can only be made on the 4th, 8th and 10th grade tests due to this change in exams and can only be made back to the 2002-03 school year because of changes in the exam format.

In 2007-08, $65.6 \%$ of 3rd graders at RUSD were at or above proficient reading on the WKCE, ranking the district last among peers. Figure 14 shows that the 2007-08 score for RUSD is 4.2 percentage points lower than in 2006-07. Throughout the state, $78.4 \%$ of 3rd graders scored at or above proficient in 2007-08. Eau Claire had the highest percentage of 3rd graders reading at or above proficient levels among peer districts, $83 \%$ in 2007-08.

Sixty-nine percent of 4th graders were at or above proficient in reading at RUSD in 2007-08, making it last among peers. This compares to $80 \%$ of 4 th graders in the state that were at or above proficient in the WKCE. Appleton had the highest percentage of 4th graders at or above proficient, $85 \%$, among peers in 2006-07.

Figure 15 shows that over the past five years, RUSD has generally posted a declining percentage of 4th graders reading at or above proficient. However, 2007-08 was the first year in the past five in which the percentage of RUSD 4th graders reading at or above proficient increased, with a growth of 0.7 percentage points. In comparison, Kenosha has posted increases in three out of the last five years.

Figure 14: 3rd grade reading score trends, percent proficient or advanced


Figure 15: 4rd grade reading score five-year trends, percent proficient or advanced


Figure 16: 4rd grade math score five-year trends, percent proficient or advanced


In 2007-08, $58.3 \%$ of RUSD 4th graders were at or above proficient in math, last among peer districts. Next to last among peer districts were Green Bay 4th graders with $71.5 \%$, about 13 percentage points higher than RUSD. Oshkosh had the highest percentage of 4th graders at or above proficient in math, $82 \%$, in 2007-08. About 76\% of 4th graders in the state were at or above proficient in math.

In the last year, the percentage of RUSD 4th graders at or above proficient in math declined three percentage points (Figure 16). This decline moves RUSD 4th graders back to the 58\% proficiency level recorded three years prior in 2004-05. During this same time period, 2004-05 to 2007-08, Kenosha 4th graders at or above proficient increased from $68 \%$ to $76 \%$. Statewide, the percentage of 4th graders at or above proficient increased from $71 \%$ to $76 \%$.

Figure 17 indicates that 71\% of RUSD 8th graders were at or above proficient in reading in 2007-08, last among peer districts and about five percentage points lower than Green Bay, the next lowest. In the state, $84 \%$ of 8th graders were at or above proficient in reading in 2007-08. The percentage of RUSD 8th graders reading at or above proficient has increased from $66 \%$ in 2003-04 to 71\% in 2007-08. RUSD's gain in this measure mirrors the five percentage point gain made by 8th grade students statewide during this time period.

In 2007-08, $54 \%$ of RUSD 8th graders were at or above proficient in math, last among peers and nearly 17 percentage points below the next lowest district, Madison. Statewide, 74\% of 8th graders were at or above proficient in 2007-08. Figure 18 shows that RUSD has seen a three point improvement in the percentage of proficient or advance 8th graders in math since 200304 -compared to a statewide increase of nine percentage points during this same period.

Figure 17: 8rd grade reading score five-year trends, percent proficient or advanced


Figure 18: 8th grade math score five-year trends, percent proficient or advanced


Figure 19 shows that the percentage of 10th graders at or above proficient in reading has remained relatively flat from 2003-04 to 2007-08. In 2007-08, 53.7\% of RUSD 10th graders were at or above proficient, last among peers and more than 14 percentage points lower than Green Bay, which ranked ninth. The percentage of 10th graders performing at or above proficient in reading in RUSD and Kenosha both increased from last year, bucking the trend statewide that registered a two percentage point decrease.

Figure 20 shows that RUSD experienced a slight increase in the percentage of 10th graders at or above proficient in math from the previous year. In 2007-08, $48.5 \%$ of sophomores were at or above proficient, last among peers and nearly 16 percentage points lower than Kenosha, which ranked ninth. Statewide, $69 \%$ of 10th graders were at or above proficient in math in 2007-08, which is unchanged from 2003-04. In 2003-04, $50 \%$ of RUSD 10th graders were at that level in math.

Figure 19: 10th grade reading score five-year trends, percent proficient or advanced


Figure 20: 10th grade math score five-year trends, percent proficient or advanced


Table 4: 4th-7th grade cohort reading analysis, 2004-05 to 2007-08

| Performance | Cohort Reading Scores |  |  |  | 4th to 5th 5th to 6th 6th to 7th |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4th Grade } \\ \text { 2004-05 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 5th Grade } \\ 2005-06 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6th Grade } \\ & \text { 2006-07 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7th Grade } \\ \text { 2007-08 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Madison | 79.7\% | 79.8\% | 82.0\% | 80.4\% | 0.1 | 2.2 | -1.6 |
| Kenosha | 78.8\% | 79.6\% | 82.7\% | 81.3\% | 0.8 | 3.2 | -1.4 |
| Racine | 73.0\% | 70.2\% | 72.3\% | 71.8\% | -2.8 | 2.1 | -0.5 |
| Green Bay | 76.7\% | 75.2\% | 76.1\% | 76.7\% | -1.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Appleton | 84.8\% | 85.4\% | 87.9\% | 87.7\% | 0.6 | 2.5 | -0.2 |
| Waukesha | 87.0\% | 86.2\% | 90.6\% | 89.1\% | -0.8 | 4.4 | -1.5 |
| Eau Claire | 83.4\% | 86.9\% | 88.2\% | 88.1\% | 3.4 | 1.3 | -0.1 |
| Janesville | 85.2\% | 84.9\% | 84.7\% | 84.4\% | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.3 |
| Oshkosh | 84.1\% | 86.0\% | 87.4\% | 86.9\% | 1.8 | 1.5 | -0.5 |
| Sheboygan | 80.1\% | 77.9\% | 79.9\% | 81.8\% | -2.2 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| Milwaukee | 60.9\% | 60.7\% | 61.8\% | 59.7\% | -0.2 | 1.1 | -2.1 |
| State of Wisconsin | 81.0\% | 81.6\% | 85.1\% | 85\%* | 0.6 | 3.4 | -0.1 |

Table 4 (see previous page) shows the proficient or advanced reading scores for the 2004-05 4th grade cohort. From 4th to 7th grade, RUSD was one of only two peer districts to decrease in the percentage of students at or above proficient on the WKCE reading exam. The 7th grade percentage in 2007-08 was 1.2 percentage points less than the percentage in the 4th grade in 2004-05. Only one other peer district, Janesville, had a decrease in the percentage at or above proficient between 4th and 7th grade.

Figure 21 shows that in 2007-08, the percentage of RUSD students taking the ACT increased, with 39\% of students taking the exam. One year earlier, 36\% of RUSD students took the exam. This was the first increase in the percentage of RUSD students taking the ACT since the increase between the 1999-00 and 2000-01 school years. In 2007-08, the average RUSD composite score was 21.3 points (out of 36 ), or 0.2 percentage points higher than the composite score in 2006-07. RUSD ranked 10th among peers in composite ACT scores.

Figure 21: Ten-year trend in ACT composite scores, 1998-99 through 2007-08, RUSD


Advanced Placement (AP) and International Baccalaureate (IB) classes give students the opportunity to earn college level credit in high school. In 2007, 3.7\% of RUSD high school students passed either an AP or IB exam, ranking the district 10th among peers. The prior year, $3.2 \%$ of students passed a college-level exam. The number of exams administered to RUSD students doubled from 220 in 2006 to 440 in 2007. Eighty-two percent of the IB tests taken by RUSD students were passed in 2006-2007, while only $50 \%$ of the AP tests taken were passed - the lowest percentage among peers.

The RUSD high school completion rate increased almost four percentage points, from 71.3\% in 2005-06 to $75.1 \%$ in 2006-07. This improvement was the highest percentage point increase in the high school completion rate among peer districts. RUSD was one of only four districts that posted an increase in its high school completion rate between 2005-06 and 2006-07. Despite this improvement, the district continued to rank last among peers in this category. This follows a year in which RUSD posted the largest one-year drop in high school completion rates among peers.

## 11th annual comparative analysis of the Racine Unified School District

## Appendix A-- Definitions of terms

This report is based on information supplied periodically by school districts to the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction (DPI). Enrollment and financial data, and 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, and 10th grade test scores, are current as of 2007-08. Other information on performance, attendance, and discipline is from 2006-07.

## Here are the definitions of the included measurements:

ACT scores: ACT data are reported for the class of 2008. Most students take the test to fulfill admissions requirements for colleges and universities. If a student has taken the test more than once (in either his or her junior or senior year), the most recent score was reported. The maximum possible score on any individual section is 36 . The four sections of the test are English, math, reading, and science reasoning. The composite score is the weighted average of the subject area scores, out of a possible 36. The percentage of students tested is the number of students tested divided by the 12th grade enrollment.

Advanced placement tests: If a high school student receives a score of three, four, or five on an AP exam, he or she passed the test and may receive college credit. Students can take 29 exams in 16 fields. Schools may or may not offer formal courses in preparation for these exams. Enrollment data are used to calculate the percentage of students taking the tests.

Attendance: Based upon the state-required 180 school days, and with attendance taken twice daily, the attendance rate (expressed as a percentage) is computed by dividing the aggregate number of days students are in school by the aggregate number of possible student days in the school year. An attendance rate of $95 \%$ means that five out of every 100 students enrolled were not in school on a typical day.

Dropouts: According to the DPI, the definition of a dropout is a student who was enrolled in school at some time during the reported school year, was not enrolled at the beginning of the following school year, has not graduated from high school or completed a state or districtapproved educational program, and does not meet any of the following exclusionary conditions: transfer to another public school district, private school, or state of district-approved educational program; temporary absence due to expulsion, suspension, or school-approved illness; or death. Starting with 2003-04, the dropout rate is the number of students who dropped out during the school term divided by the total number of students who were expected to complete the school term in that school or district. The latter number may be more or less than the enrollment due to student transfers in and out after the fall enrollment count date. "Total number of students expected to complete the school term" is the denominator used to calculate all dropout rates and is the sum of students who actually completed the school term plus dropouts.

Enrollment: Two types of enrollment data are important: 1) the enrollment as of the third Friday in September, a head count of how many children are enrolled in school on a specific day, and 2) the full-time equivalent enrollment, which accounts for pre-school and kindergarten children in school for only a portion of the day to calculate state aid and other financial data. In this report, head count enrollments are reported in the tables, but full-time equivalents are the basis for calculation of spending and revenue per pupil.

Expulsions: Expulsion is the removal of a student from school permanently. Expulsions are recorded in terms of students expelled as well as days lost due to expulsion. The expulsion rates were calculated by dividing the number of expelled students by the pre-kindergarten through 12th grade enrollment of the school district.

4th, 8th, and 10th grade knowledge and concepts tests: These tests measure student knowledge in the areas of reading, language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies. Prior to 2002-2003, the scores are the national percentiles, which correspond to the raw scores. Since 2002-2003, the tests are no longer normalized to a national standard.

3rd, 5th, 6th and 7th grade knowledge and concepts tests: These tests measure student knowledge in the areas of reading and mathematics. The 2005-06 school year was the first year in which knowledge and concepts examinations were administered to students in the 3rd, 5th, 6th, and 7th grades. As a result, historical comparisons are not available for these grades.

Free lunch eligibility: The only available measure of the income level of pupils. It is the percentage of pupils who qualify under federal guidelines for free or reduced-price lunch, and, therefore, roughly measures the percentage of low-income children in a school.

Habitual truancy: According to DPI, the definition of a habitual truant is a student who is absent from school without an acceptable excuse for part or all of five or more days on which school is held during a semester. The habitual truancy rate (expressed as a percentage) is the number of habitual truants divided by kindergarten through 12th grade enrollment counted on the third Friday in September.

High school completion rate: Starting with 2003-04, high school completion rates are defined as the number of graduates divided by an estimate of the total cohort group measured from the beginning of high school, expressed as a percentage. This cohort group includes graduates, other high school graduates, and other students who reached the age 21 in the school year. The cohort group also includes cohort dropouts over four years. Prior to 2003-04, it was calculated by taking the number of graduates divided by the number of graduates plus dropouts over four years, expressed as a percentage.

Income per pupil: Based on state tax returns, this is a calculation of aggregate earned income among residents of each school district divided by the district full-time enrollment (FTE). The result is an indicator of community wealth that takes into account both the relative number of children in the community and the proportion of the district's children who attend public schools.

Income per return: The aggregate income that was reported to the Wisconsin Department of Revenue divided by the number of returns filed.

Property taxes: An equalized school tax rate, which makes it possible to compare the school tax effort from one community to another. The equalized rate is the amount of money property taxpayers were charged in December 2007 (for the 2006-07 school year) for each $\$ 1,000$ of property value at full market value.

Property value per pupil: Another measure of community wealth, this relates directly to Wisconsin's formula for calculating state aid to school districts. The numbers represent the tax base of the school district as measured by equalized taxable property values as of 2007-08. It is a reliable measurement for purposes of comparing the property wealth of school districts.

Retention rates: Retentions are students who, by local district policy, must either repeat a grade or need additional time to complete the prescribed program. The number of retentions is reported for all grades except pre-kindergarten. The retention rate is the number of retentions divided by the kindergarten through 12th grade enrollment.

Revenue per pupil: Each autumn, school districts file reports on budgeted revenue and spending. Data in this report were taken from those reports filed in fall 2007. The two principal sources of revenue for schools - property taxes and state aid - are reported on a per-pupil basis (using full-time equivalent enrollments). Also reported are the per-pupil revenues from federal sources.

Spending per pupil: Operations spending per pupil refers to the cost of running the system on a daily basis. It is more useful to look at operations spending for comparative purposes because capital spending and debt service can vary dramatically from year to year (depending on whether a district is building new schools). Operations spending is divided into six categories for the purposes of this report:

- Instruction - Direct spending on educational programs that generally take place in the classroom.
- Pupil services - A wide variety of services outside the classroom, such as guidance counseling, social work, curriculum development, libraries, vocational services, and extracurricular activities.
- Instructional staff services - Includes spending on improvement to instructional staff, library media, and supervision and coordination of staff.
- General administration - Central office expenses related to district administration, such as the superintendent's office and the school board.
- Building administration - Expenses related to the administration of each school building, primarily the principal's office.
- Transportation.
- Other - all expenses not included in the above categories, including community recreation programs, staff services, maintenance, utilities, and other overhead functions.
Suspensions: Suspension is an administrative action that temporarily excludes a student from school. Suspensions are recorded three ways: 1) the number of individual students suspended at least once during the school year, 2) the number of suspensions (a larger number because some students are suspended more than once), and 3) the number of days lost because of suspension. This report measures suspensions as the number of days lost because of suspension. The measurement is reported as a percentage of total possible school days lost to suspension.


## 11th annual comparative analysis of the Racine Unified School District

## Appendix B-Peer district ranking tables

Table 5: Enrollment by race/ethnicity, 2007-08

| Enrollment | Overall |  | Racial composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007-08 | 1-year change | White | African American | Asian | Hispanic | Indian | \% African American | Rank | \% minority | Rank |
| Madison | 24,670 | -0.3\% | 12,871 | 5,674 | 2,569 | 3,383 | 173 | 23.0\% | 2 | 47.8\% | 2 |
| Kenosha | 22,669 | 0.8\% | 14,166 | 3,684 | 432 | 4,292 | 95 | 16.3\% | 3 | 37.5\% | 3 |
| Racine | 21,552 | -0.7\% | 10,938 | 5,743 | 317 | 4,480 | 74 | 26.6\% | 1 | 49.2\% | 1 |
| Green Bay | 19,534 | -2.7\% | 12,450 | 1,304 | 1,514 | 3,317 | 949 | 6.7\% | 4 | 36.3\% | 4 |
| Appleton | 15,233 | -0.1\% | 11,898 | 569 | 1,690 | 941 | 135 | 3.7\% | 7 | 21.9\% | 6 |
| Waukesha | 13,503 | -0.5\% | 10,592 | 517 | 462 | 1,853 | 79 | 3.8\% | 6 | 21.6\% | 7 |
| Eau Claire | 10,793 | -0.6\% | 9,177 | 275 | 1,041 | 189 | 111 | 2.5\% | 10 | 15.0\% | 9 |
| Janesville | 10,379 | -1.7\% | 8,693 | 624 | 236 | 780 | 46 | 6.0\% | 5 | 16.2\% | 8 |
| Oshkosh | 10,330 | 0.5\% | 8,909 | 370 | 699 | 296 | 56 | 3.6\% | 9 | 13.8\% | 10 |
| Sheboygan | 10,445 | 2.0\% | 6,749 | 378 | 1,825 | 1,411 | 82 | 3.6\% | 8 | 35.4\% | 5 |
| Milwaukee | 86,819 | -3.4\% | 13,512 | 49,730 | 3,865 | 18,985 | 727 | 57.3\% |  | 84.4\% |  |
| Wisconsin | 874,633 | -0.2\% | 672,138 | 91,467 | 31,631 | 66,642 | 12,755 | 10.5\% |  | 23.2\% |  |

Table 6: Enrollment by race/ethnicity and grade, 2007-08

| Racine | PK | KG | $\mathbf{0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | 14 | 20 | 24 | 33 | 20 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 26 | 21 | 18 | 22 | 28 | $\mathbf{3 1 7}$ |
| Afr. Amer. | 312 | 412 | 410 | 433 | 395 | 366 | 409 | 408 | 385 | 440 | 453 | 414 | 404 | 502 | $\mathbf{5 , 7 4 3}$ |
| Hispanic | 259 | 438 | 408 | 416 | 355 | 355 | 342 | 281 | 275 | 282 | 287 | 271 | 249 | 262 | $\mathbf{4 , 4 8 0}$ |
| Indian | 6 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | $\mathbf{7 4}$ |
| White | 681 | 702 | 687 | 696 | 708 | 754 | 728 | 673 | 708 | 692 | 850 | 911 | 1,003 | 1,145 | $\mathbf{1 0 , 9 3 8}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 2 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 5 5 2}$ |
| Kenosha | $\mathbf{P K}$ | KG | $\mathbf{0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| Asian | 12 | 32 | 31 | 40 | 27 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 29 | 33 | 28 | 30 | 33 | 40 | $\mathbf{4 3 2}$ |
| Afr. Amer. | 206 | 241 | 277 | 305 | 262 | 282 | 296 | 261 | 294 | 287 | 331 | 243 | 260 | 139 | $\mathbf{3 , 6 8 4}$ |
| Hispanic | 240 | 368 | 401 | 364 | 379 | 325 | 286 | 302 | 281 | 302 | 361 | 253 | 273 | 157 | $\mathbf{4 , 2 9 2}$ |
| Indian | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 14 | 9 | 14 | 2 | $\mathbf{9 5}$ |
| White | 372 | 956 | 1,006 | 989 | 1,042 | 994 | 940 | 1,012 | 1,005 | 1,064 | 1,273 | 1,216 | 1,247 | 1,050 | $\mathbf{1 4 , 1 6 6}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 6 6 9}$ |
| Wisconsin | $\mathbf{P K}$ | $\mathbf{K G}$ | $\mathbf{0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| Asian | $\mathbf{1 , 2 9 8}$ | 2,219 | 2,319 | 2,267 | 2,176 | 2,097 | 2,163 | 2,242 | 2,263 | 2,261 | 2,506 | 2,390 | 2,693 | 2,701 | $\mathbf{3 1 , 5 9 5}$ |
| Afr. Amer. | 4,384 | 6,108 | 6,297 | 6,440 | 6,446 | 6,509 | 6,317 | 6,364 | 6,601 | 6,874 | 9,106 | 6,694 | 6,653 | 5,679 | $\mathbf{9 0 , 4 7 2}$ |
| Hispanic | 3,939 | 6,017 | 5,878 | 5,498 | 5,400 | 5,049 | 4,743 | 4,542 | 4,518 | 4,247 | 5,104 | 4,073 | 3,879 | 3,464 | $\mathbf{6 6 , 3 5 1}$ |
| Indian | 427 | 929 | 845 | 853 | 897 | 872 | 832 | 853 | 889 | 962 | 1,226 | 1,109 | 1,034 | 1,018 | $\mathbf{1 2 , 7 4 6}$ |
| White | 26,114 | 44,317 | 45,135 | 45,749 | 45,081 | 45,468 | 45,526 | 46,826 | 47,759 | 49,791 | 55,804 | 56,522 | 58,248 | 59,518 | $\mathbf{6 7 1 , 8 5 8}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 6 , 1 6 2}$ | 59,590 | $\mathbf{6 0 , 4 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 , 8 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 59,995 | $\mathbf{5 9 , 5 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 , 8 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 , 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 , 1 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 , 7 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 , 7 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 , 5 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 , 3 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 3 , 0 2 2}$ |

Table 7: Educational options, 2007-08

|  | Private school enrollment | \% of public enrollment | Rank | Charter school enrollment | \% of public enrollment | Rank | Home school enroliment | \% of public enrollment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madison | 4,334 | 17.6\% | 5 | 437 | 1.8\% | 9 | 433 | 1.8\% |
| Kenosha | 2,966 | 13.1\% | 10 | 836 | 3.7\% | 6 | 417 | 1.8\% |
| Racine | 4,159 | 19.3\% | 4 | 1,051 | 4.9\% | 5 | 480 | 2.2\% |
| 21st Century |  |  |  | 474 | 2.2\% |  |  |  |
| Green Bay | 3,812 | 19.5\% | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 10 | 275 | 1.4\% |
| Appleton | 3,146 | 20.7\% | 1 | 2,058 | 13.5\% | 2 | 251 | 1.6\% |
| Waukesha | 2,673 | 19.8\% | 2 | 1,076 | 8.0\% | 4 | 261 | 1.9\% |
| Eau Claire | 1,500 | 13.9\% | 7 | 285 | 2.6\% | 7 | 251 | 2.3\% |
| Janesville | 1,367 | 13.2\% | 8 | 256 | 2.5\% | 8 | 178 | 1.7\% |
| Oshkosh | 1,353 | 13.1\% | 9 | 1,518 | 14.7\% | 1 | 208 | 2.0\% |
| Sheboygan | 1,527 | 14.6\% | 6 | 995 | 9.5\% | 3 | 146 | 1.4\% |
| Milwaukee | 28,611 | 33.0\% |  | 12,422 | 14.3\% |  | 739 | 0.9\% |
| Non-MPS Charters Wisconsin | 133,606 | 15.3\% |  | 5,287 | 6.1\% |  | 19,637 | 2.2\% |

Table 8: Operations revenue per pupil, 2007-08

| Finances |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Property tax | \% of total op revenue | Rank | State aid | \% of total op revenue | Rank | Federal aid | \% of total op revenue | Rank | Operations revenue | Rank |
| Madison | \$8,054 | 63.7\% | 1 | \$3,389 | 26.8\% | 10 | \$679 | 5.4\% | 5 | \$12,649 | 1 |
| Kenosha | \$2,954 | 27.6\% | 5 | \$6,774 | 63.3\% | 5 | \$727 | 6.8\% | 3 | \$10,698 | 6 |
| Racine | \$2,678 | 25.2\% | 8 | \$6,900 | 64.9\% | 3 | \$883 | 8.3\% | 1 | \$10,629 | 7 |
| Green Bay | \$2,910 | 26.2\% | 7 | \$7,023 | 63.2\% | 6 | \$884 | 8.0\% | 2 | \$11,111 | 3 |
| Appleton | \$2,907 | 27.5\% | 6 | \$6,517 | 61.7\% | 7 | \$506 | 4.8\% | 7 | \$10,565 | 8 |
| Waukesha | \$5,425 | 49.3\% | 2 | \$4,538 | 41.2\% | 9 | \$413 | 3.8\% | 10 | \$11,005 | 4 |
| Eau Claire | \$3,653 | 34.0\% | 3 | \$6,260 | 58.2\% | 8 | \$490 | 4.6\% | 9 | \$10,759 | 5 |
| Janesville | \$2,636 | 25.0\% | 9 | \$7,042 | 66.7\% | 2 | \$519 | 4.9\% | 6 | \$10,563 | 9 |
| Oshkosh | \$2,831 | 28.1\% | 4 | \$6,433 | 63.8\% | 4 | \$465 | 4.6\% | 8 | \$10,077 | 10 |
| Sheboygan | \$2,774 | 24.1\% | 10 | \$7,674 | 66.7\% | 1 | \$641 | 5.6\% | 4 | \$11,508 | 2 |
| Milwaukee | \$2,694 | 21.3\% |  | \$7,906 | 62.6\% |  | \$1,860 | 14.7\% |  | \$12,636 |  |
| State of Wisconsin | \$3,479 | 29.9\% |  | \$6,751 | 58.0\% |  | \$1,094 | 9.4\% |  | \$11,634 |  |

Table 9: Operations expenditures per pupil, 2007-08

| Finances |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total operations spending | Instruction | Pupil services | Instructional staff services | General admin | Building admin | Transportation |
| Madison | \$12,495 | \$7,540 | \$774 | \$646 | \$96 | \$685 | \$386 |
| Kenosha | \$10,600 | \$6,987 | \$601 | \$571 | \$50 | \$543 | \$282 |
| Racine | \$10,419 | \$6,659 | \$571 | \$618 | \$84 | \$488 | \$450 |
| Green Bay | \$10,886 | \$6,939 | \$609 | \$662 | \$90 | \$745 | \$323 |
| Appleton | \$10,481 | \$6,884 | \$474 | \$482 | \$74 | \$546 | \$211 |
| Waukesha | \$10,738 | \$6,849 | \$421 | \$325 | \$85 | \$635 | \$471 |
| Eau Claire | \$10,551 | \$6,226 | \$404 | \$434 | \$84 | \$566 | \$445 |
| Janesville | \$10,458 | \$6,678 | \$688 | \$458 | \$76 | \$464 | \$171 |
| Oshkosh | \$10,030 | \$6,668 | \$484 | \$402 | \$49 | \$486 | \$238 |
| Sheboygan | \$11,471 | \$7,901 | \$646 | \$435 | \$154 | \$592 | \$245 |
| Milwaukee | \$11,855 | \$7,072 | \$692 | \$851 | \$285 | \$657 | \$651 |
| Wisconsin | \$11,256 | \$7,006 | \$625 | \$650 | \$156 | \$612 | \$448 |
| Finances |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rankings | Total operations spending | Instruction | Pupil services | Instructional staff services | General admin | Building admin | Transportation |
| Madison | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Kenosha | 5 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 6 |
| Racine | 9 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 2 |
| Green Bay | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| Appleton | 7 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 9 |
| Waukesha | 4 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Eau Claire | 6 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| Janesville | 8 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 10 |
| Oshkosh | 10 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 8 |
| Sheboygan | 2 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 7 |

Table 10: Attendance, truancy, and dropout rate, 2006-07

| Engagement | Attendance |  | Habitual Truancy |  |  | High School Dropouts |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rate | Rank | Truants | Percent | Rank | Dropouts | Percent | Rank |
|  | $96.1 \%$ | 3 | 2,077 | $8.5 \%$ | 6 | 314 | $3.77 \%$ | 3 |
| Madison | $92.3 \%$ | 10 | 4,116 | $19.0 \%$ | 2 | 217 | $3.06 \%$ | 4 |
| Kenosha | $93.6 \%$ | 9 | 1,744 | $8.5 \%$ | 5 | 377 | $5.33 \%$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Racine | $98.5 \%$ | 1 | 1,800 | $9.2 \%$ | 4 | 401 | $5.83 \%$ | 1 |
| Green Bay | $96.3 \%$ | 2 | 777 | $5.2 \%$ | 7 | 66 | $1.30 \%$ | 8 |
| Appleton | $95.0 \%$ | 5 | 119 | $0.9 \%$ | 10 | 12 | $0.25 \%$ | 10 |
| Waukesha | $94.1 \%$ | 8 | 1,104 | $10.8 \%$ | 3 | 14 | $0.38 \%$ | 9 |
| Eau Claire | $94.2 \%$ | 7 | 2,052 | $19.8 \%$ | 1 | 114 | $3.05 \%$ | 5 |
| Janesville | $95.2 \%$ | 4 | 270 | $2.7 \%$ | 9 | 87 | $2.50 \%$ | 6 |
| Oshkosh | $94.2 \%$ | 6 | 453 | $4.7 \%$ | 8 | 74 | $2.22 \%$ | 7 |
| Sheboygan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $88.6 \%$ |  | 38,277 | $46.3 \%$ |  | 2,068 | $7.8 \%$ |  |
| Milwaukee | 78,123 | $9.3 \%$ |  | 6,725 | $1.6 \%$ |  |  |  |
| State of Wisconsin | $94.6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 11: Suspensions and expulsions, 2006-07

| Behavior | Suspensions |  |  |  | Expulsions |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students | Percent | Rank | Students | Percent | Rank |
| Madison | 2,004 | $8.1 \%$ | 3 | 31 | $0.13 \%$ | 5 |
| Kenosha | 2,640 | $11.7 \%$ | 2 | 82 | $0.36 \%$ | 3 |
| Racine | $\mathbf{2 , 6 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Green Bay | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 10 | 16 | $0.08 \%$ | 8 |
| Appleton | 388 | $2.5 \%$ | 7 | 13 | $0.09 \%$ | 7 |
| Waukesha | 340 | $2.5 \%$ | 8 | 30 | $0.22 \%$ | 4 |
| Eau Claire | 367 | $3.4 \%$ | 6 | 8 | $0.07 \%$ | 9 |
| Janesville | 500 | $4.7 \%$ | 4 | 50 | $0.47 \%$ | 2 |
| Oshkosh | 436 | $4.2 \%$ | 5 | 12 | $0.12 \%$ | 6 |
| Sheboygan | 198 | $1.9 \%$ | 9 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | 24,923 | $27.7 \%$ |  | 343 | $0.38 \%$ |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 56,398 | $6.4 \%$ |  | 1,487 | $0.17 \%$ |  |

Table 12: 3rd grade WKCE reading and math scores, 2007-08

| Performance | 3rd grade scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading <br> Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank | Math | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank |
| Madison | 9.4\% | 16.1\% | 30.1\% | 42.5\% | 8 | 18.5\% | 9.5\% | 32.1\% | 38.4\% | 8 |
| Kenosha | 6.0\% | 17.9\% | 38.1\% | 37.2\% | 7 | 20.1\% | 12.3\% | 43.8\% | 23.2\% | 9 |
| Racine | 7.4\% | 23.1\% | 36.4\% | 29.1\% | 10 | 32.8\% | 13.5\% | 37.5\% | 14.1\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 5.4\% | 17.4\% | 32.4\% | 35.3\% | 9 | 17.0\% | 8.4\% | 42.8\% | 28.5\% | 7 |
| Appleton | 4.0\% | 13.0\% | 32.9\% | 48.2\% | 2 | 14.4\% | 10.3\% | 42.4\% | 30.9\% | 5 |
| Waukesha | 3.7\% | 11.0\% | 32.8\% | 47.1\% | 3 | 15.0\% | 7.8\% | 41.4\% | 34.1\% | 4 |
| Eau Claire | 5.0\% | 11.3\% | 29.6\% | 53.4\% | 1 | 11.5\% | 6.1\% | 37.5\% | 44.3\% | 1 |
| Janesville | 4.9\% | 15.4\% | 39.9\% | 37.6\% | 5 | 14.1\% | 11.5\% | 46.6\% | 26.2\% | 6 |
| Oshkosh | 2.3\% | 15.0\% | 36.8\% | 42.1\% | 4 | 9.2\% | 7.5\% | 43.1\% | 36.1\% | 2 |
| Sheboygan | 2.5\% | 17.8\% | 37.1\% | 39.3\% | 6 | 10.2\% | 9.3\% | 47.4\% | 30.9\% | 3 |
| Milwaukee | 10.6\% | 25.8\% | 39.5\% | 18.8\% |  | 35.6\% | 13.8\% | 34.7\% | 13.2\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 4.8\% | 14.4\% | 34.8\% | 43.6\% |  | 15.8\% | 9.7\% | 41.6\% | 31.4\% |  |

Table 13: 4th grade WKCE reading and math scores, 2007-08

| Performance | 4th grade scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading |  |  |  |  | Math |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank | Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank |
| Madison | 9.3\% | 14.8\% | 34.1\% | 39.6\% | 8 | 16.9\% | 9.5\% | 33.6\% | 38.3\% | 8 |
| Kenosha | 3.9\% | 13.9\% | 42.8\% | 38.5\% | 5 | 12.8\% | 10.1\% | 43.5\% | 32.5\% | 5 |
| Racine | 6.7\% | 19.9\% | 42.2\% | 27.2\% | 10 | 23.1\% | 15.8\% | 44.9\% | 13.5\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 4.9\% | 16.5\% | 40.6\% | 31.5\% | 9 | 14.6\% | 11.4\% | 42.6\% | 29.0\% | 9 |
| Appleton | 3.9\% | 9.9\% | 37.4\% | 47.3\% | 1 | 10.6\% | 9.3\% | 42.8\% | 35.7\% | 3 |
| Waukesha | 1.9\% | 11.6\% | 35.7\% | 46.8\% | 4 | 12.9\% | 10.0\% | 46.7\% | 28.9\% | 6 |
| Eau Claire | 2.8\% | 12.3\% | 38.3\% | 45.2\% | 2 | 14.4\% | 7.6\% | 43.7\% | 33.0\% | 4 |
| Janesville | 3.8\% | 13.1\% | 37.8\% | 41.0\% | 6 | 13.2\% | 8.3\% | 44.2\% | 31.3\% | 7 |
| Oshkosh | 3.0\% | 11.3\% | 42.2\% | 40.5\% | 3 | 7.3\% | 8.2\% | 42.4\% | 39.6\% | 1 |
| Sheboygan | 2.1\% | 14.1\% | 43.5\% | 35.1\% | 7 | 9.0\% | 8.1\% | 40.3\% | 38.6\% | 2 |
| Milwaukee | 11.3\% | 25.7\% | 42.5\% | 16.1\% |  | 33.2\% | 14.1\% | 34.7\% | 15.0\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 4.4\% | 13.0\% | 39.3\% | 41.1\% |  | 13.2\% | 9.5\% | 41.6\% | 34.0\% |  |

Table 14: 5th grade WKCE reading and math scores, 2007-08

| Performance | 5th grade scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank | Math Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank |
| Madison | 7.2\% | 13.3\% | 32.1\% | 46.3\% | 8 | 18.0\% | 8.6\% | 28.7\% | 43.5\% | 8 |
| Kenosha | 4.3\% | 11.8\% | 43.3\% | 39.4\% | 6 | 12.1\% | 8.8\% | 36.6\% | 41.4\% | 6 |
| Racine | 6.3\% | 19.6\% | 46.2\% | 25.6\% | 10 | 26.1\% | 15.3\% | 33.5\% | 23.2\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 3.4\% | 15.1\% | 44.5\% | 30.8\% | 9 | 15.6\% | 9.6\% | 31.8\% | 39.5\% | 9 |
| Appleton | 3.2\% | 9.5\% | 39.2\% | 46.3\% | 5 | 10.4\% | 9.4\% | 36.5\% | 42.1\% | 5 |
| Waukesha | 2.3\% | 7.6\% | 35.9\% | 51.4\% | 3 | 12.9\% | 10.4\% | 37.8\% | 37.8\% | 7 |
| Eau Claire | 3.1\% | 8.3\% | 38.1\% | 49.6\% | 1 | 8.9\% | 10.7\% | 37.8\% | 41.7\% | 4 |
| Janesville | 1.8\% | 8.6\% | 45.4\% | 41.2\% | 4 | 8.3\% | 7.4\% | 35.2\% | 46.8\% | 1 |
| Oshkosh | 1.3\% | 8.9\% | 45.1\% | 42.4\% | 2 | 9.4\% | 8.3\% | 32.7\% | 48.0\% | 3 |
| Sheboygan | 4.0\% | 12.7\% | 43.9\% | 36.5\% | 7 | 8.1\% | 8.9\% | 27.1\% | 54.0\% | 2 |
| Milwaukee | 10.0\% | 23.2\% | 47.0\% | 15.9\% |  | 35.3\% | 14.2\% | 32.0\% | 15.4\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 3.6\% | 11.0\% | 42.1\% | 41.3\% |  | 13.8\% | 9.4\% | 34.4\% | 40.7\% |  |

Table 15: 6th grade WKCE reading and math scores, 2007-08

| Performance | 6th grade scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank | Math Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank |
| Madison | 7.2\% | 11.1\% | 34.7\% | 45.3\% | 7 | 14.4\% | 11.3\% | 30.0\% | 42.6\% | 8 |
| Kenosha | 4.8\% | 10.7\% | 42.4\% | 40.8\% | 5 | 11.3\% | 11.8\% | 40.6\% | 34.8\% | 6 |
| Racine | 7.3\% | 15.0\% | 46.4\% | 28.5\% | 10 | 21.6\% | 18.2\% | 38.1\% | 20.3\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 7.6\% | 12.3\% | 38.6\% | 37.1\% | 9 | 14.1\% | 9.1\% | 32.1\% | 42.6\% | 7 |
| Appleton | 2.9\% | 7.7\% | 37.9\% | 50.1\% | 2 | 8.6\% | 10.7\% | 39.9\% | 39.9\% | 4 |
| Waukesha | 2.0\% | 6.6\% | 37.5\% | 49.5\% | 3 | 11.2\% | 9.0\% | 41.7\% | 35.9\% | 5 |
| Eau Claire | 3.6\% | 7.3\% | 35.3\% | 53.5\% | 1 | 10.6\% | 8.4\% | 37.5\% | 43.2\% | 2 |
| Janesville | 5.3\% | 11.7\% | 44.6\% | 35.5\% | 6 | 11.7\% | 15.4\% | 42.7\% | 28.0\% | 9 |
| Oshkosh | 4.5\% | 7.3\% | 45.0\% | 40.5\% | 4 | 7.9\% | 7.0\% | 37.2\% | 45.1\% | 1 |
| Sheboygan | 4.5\% | 14.0\% | 41.4\% | 37.3\% | 8 | 8.1\% | 8.9\% | 38.8\% | 41.7\% | 3 |
| Milwaukee | 13.2\% | 22.7\% | 45.5\% | 14.5\% |  | 36.5\% | 18.3\% | 32.5\% | 9.2\% |  |
| Wisconsin | 4.4\% | 9.4\% | 40.8\% | 43.3\% |  | 12.5\% | 10.9\% | 39.6\% | 35.3\% |  |

Table 16: 7th grade WKCE reading and math scores, 2007-08

| Performance | 7th grade scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank | Math <br> Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank |
| Madison | 8.6\% | 9.2\% | 31.1\% | 49.3\% | 8 | 13.6\% | 10.9\% | 36.4\% | 37.9\% | 9 |
| Kenosha | 5.8\% | 11.3\% | 42.0\% | 39.3\% | 7 | 10.1\% | 12.3\% | 45.4\% | 30.6\% | 6 |
| Racine | 9.1\% | 16.5\% | 43.9\% | 27.9\% | 10 | 19.5\% | 19.1\% | 44.8\% | 14.7\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 6.7\% | 12.7\% | 38.2\% | 38.5\% | 9 | 10.4\% | 12.4\% | 38.0\% | 36.9\% | 8 |
| Appleton | 3.3\% | 7.3\% | 35.2\% | 52.5\% | 3 | 8.1\% | 10.2\% | 41.5\% | 38.5\% | 3 |
| Waukesha | 2.2\% | 6.1\% | 37.9\% | 51.3\% | 1 | 8.2\% | 12.4\% | 48.8\% | 29.8\% | 4 |
| Eau Claire | 3.7\% | 5.1\% | 34.4\% | 53.7\% | 2 | 9.0\% | 11.5\% | 46.7\% | 29.7\% | 5 |
| Janesville | 3.9\% | 9.8\% | 42.6\% | 41.8\% | 5 | 8.2\% | 14.1\% | 45.8\% | 29.9\% | 7 |
| Oshkosh | 3.5\% | 7.6\% | 41.0\% | 45.9\% | 4 | 4.7\% | 9.2\% | 44.5\% | 39.6\% | 1 |
| Sheboygan | 5.6\% | 10.1\% | 41.1\% | 40.8\% | 6 | 4.5\% | 13.0\% | 44.0\% | 36.2\% | 2 |
| Milwaukee | 16.2\% | 20.0\% | 44.4\% | 15.4\% |  | 33.5\% | 23.4\% | 33.8\% | 5.5\% |  |
| Wisconsin | 5.0\% | 8.9\% | 39.0\% | 45.0\% |  | 10.3\% | 12.1\% | 44.9\% | 31.0\% |  |

Table 17: 8th grade WKCE reading and math scores, 2007-08

| Performance | 8th grade scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank | Math Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank |
| Madison | 8.0\% | 9.8\% | 35.3\% | 45.4\% | 7 | 13.7\% | 13.6\% | 40.6\% | 30.4\% | 9 |
| Kenosha | 6.8\% | 10.6\% | 42.8\% | 37.9\% | 8 | 9.9\% | 13.3\% | 52.2\% | 22.8\% | 6 |
| Racine | 9.9\% | 14.9\% | 42.6\% | 28.4\% | 10 | 22.6\% | 20.2\% | 40.2\% | 14.0\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 9.8\% | 11.1\% | 41.3\% | 34.6\% | 9 | 12.2\% | 12.2\% | 46.3\% | 28.0\% | 7 |
| Appleton | 4.4\% | 6.0\% | 36.7\% | 51.4\% | 2 | 8.1\% | 9.4\% | 45.7\% | 35.4\% | 2 |
| Waukesha | 4.9\% | 6.8\% | 38.5\% | 47.4\% | 5 | 8.0\% | 13.2\% | 52.9\% | 24.4\% | 4 |
| Eau Claire | 2.5\% | 4.2\% | 39.7\% | 51.9\% | 1 | 6.9\% | 12.6\% | 49.0\% | 29.6\% | 3 |
| Janesville | 4.7\% | 6.8\% | 44.4\% | 42.5\% | 3 | 9.1\% | 15.3\% | 50.6\% | 23.2\% | 8 |
| Oshkosh | 3.4\% | 8.7\% | 39.5\% | 46.5\% | 4 | 5.4\% | 9.6\% | 52.8\% | 30.3\% | 1 |
| Sheboygan | 4.3\% | 10.8\% | 44.4\% | 36.6\% | 6 | 6.8\% | 11.9\% | 52.9\% | 23.7\% | 5 |
| Milwaukee | 16.9\% | 19.6\% | 43.0\% | 15.4\% |  | 34.4\% | 22.6\% | 32.2\% | 5.7\% |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 5.6\% | 8.7\% | 40.0\% | 43.5\% |  | 10.8\% | 12.8\% | 48.5\% | 25.9\% |  |

Table 18: 10th grade WKCE reading and math scores, 2007-08

| Performance | 10th grade scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank | Math Minimum | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Rank |
| Madison | 13.9\% | 15.2\% | 24.5\% | 43.4\% | 8 | 21.2\% | 9.6\% | 32.2\% | 33.2\% | 7 |
| Kenosha | 9.2\% | 16.5\% | 32.3\% | 40.1\% | 5 | 16.6\% | 17.3\% | 44.6\% | 19.4\% | 9 |
| Racine | 17.1\% | 25.1\% | 31.3\% | 22.4\% | 10 | 29.5\% | 18.3\% | 37.6\% | 10.9\% | 10 |
| Green Bay | 9.8\% | 18.7\% | 31.3\% | 36.5\% | 9 | 17.7\% | 14.9\% | 42.7\% | 22.2\% | 8 |
| Appleton | 6.2\% | 12.8\% | 36.1\% | 42.5\% | 2 | 10.0\% | 11.8\% | 45.2\% | 30.7\% | 1 |
| Waukesha | 5.4\% | 11.7\% | 32.4\% | 48.6\% | 1 | 13.2\% | 12.3\% | 50.2\% | 22.5\% | 3 |
| Eau Claire | 7.5\% | 13.6\% | 32.5\% | 45.3\% | 3 | 12.5\% | 11.8\% | 45.7\% | 28.7\% | 2 |
| Janesville | 7.1\% | 15.0\% | 35.3\% | 39.7\% | 4 | 14.7\% | 14.3\% | 52.5\% | 15.7\% | 6 |
| Oshkosh | 8.1\% | 17.0\% | 33.1\% | 39.1\% | 6 | 11.5\% | 15.1\% | 49.6\% | 20.7\% | 4 |
| Sheboygan | 7.8\% | 17.6\% | 34.2\% | 35.8\% | 7 | 11.8\% | 15.2\% | 43.1\% | 26.2\% | 5 |
| Milwaukee | 26.5\% | 27.0\% | 25.9\% | 11.8\% |  | 45.4\% | 19.5\% | 24.3\% | 3.0\% |  |
| Wisconsin | 8.4\% | 14.8\% | 33.0\% | 41.0\% |  | 15.4\% | 13.2\% | 45.2\% | 23.5\% |  |

Table 19: High school completion, 2006-07

| Graduation | Total expected to <br> complete high school | Regular <br> diplomas | \% regular <br> diplomas | Rank |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madison | 2,069 | 1,693 | $81.8 \%$ | 8 |
| Kenosha | 1,617 | 1,423 | $88.0 \%$ | 6 |
| Racine | 1,642 | 1,233 | $75.1 \%$ | 10 |
| Green Bay | 1,781 | 1,340 | $75.2 \%$ | 9 |
| Appleton | 1,223 | 1,163 | $95.1 \%$ | 2 |
| Waukesha | 1,112 | 1,050 | $94.4 \%$ | 3 |
| Eau Claire | 910 | 869 | $95.5 \%$ | 1 |
| Janesville | 898 | 778 | $86.6 \%$ | 7 |
| Oshkosh | 838 | 740 | $88.3 \%$ | 5 |
| Sheboygan | 794 | 731 | $92.1 \%$ | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee | 6,453 | 4,424 | $68.6 \%$ |  |
| State of Wisconsin | 71,384 | 63,960 | $89.60 \%$ |  |

Table 20: Advanced placement (AP) exams, 2006-07

| Performance |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exams passed | \% exams passed | Passed as a \% of enroll- | ment |$\quad$ Rank

Table 21: ACT scores, 2007-08

| Performance | ACT test scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent tested | Reading | English | Math | Science | Composite | Rank |
| Madison | 57.2\% | 24.6 | 23.9 | 24.9 | 23.8 | 24.4 | 1 |
| Kenosha | 65.3\% | 21.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 9 |
| Racine | 38.9\% | 21.6 | 20.5 | 21.3 | 21.5 | 21.3 | 10 |
| Green Bay | 47.6\% | 22.3 | 21.3 | 23.3 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 5 |
| Appleton | 57.2\% | 23.8 | 22.5 | 23.1 | 23.1 | 23.3 | 2 |
| Waukesha | 55.4\% | 23.2 | 22.0 | 22.3 | 22.6 | 22.7 | 4 |
| Eau Claire | 51.4\% | 23.0 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.7 | 22.8 | 3 |
| Janesville | 54.2\% | 22.4 | 21.5 | 21.6 | 22.1 | 22.0 | 7 |
| Oshkosh | 56.6\% | 22.7 | 21.1 | 22.7 | 22.5 | 22.4 | 6 |
| Sheboygan | 47.9\% | 21.4 | 20.4 | 22.3 | 21.8 | 21.6 | 8 |
| Milwaukee | 43.1\% | 17.9 | 16.6 | 17.2 | 17.9 | 17.5 |  |
| Wisconsin | 55.7\% | 22.5 | 21.6 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 22.3 |  |



[^1]

[^2]

[^3]| Walden III |  | Racine School Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: Ro | Robert Holzem | High |  | October 2008 |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  | Attendance |  |  |
| 2007-2008: | 290 |  | Attendance Rate: | 93.4\% |
| 2006-2007: | 287 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 2 |
| 2005-2006: | 284 |  | Habitual Truants: | 2 |
| 2004-2005: | 281 |  | Truancy Rate: | 0.7\% |
| 2003-2004: | 269 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 18 |
| 2002-2003: | 226 |  | Suspension Rate: | 6.3\% |
| 2001-2002: | 206 |  | Dropouts: | 0 |
| 2000-2001: | 263 |  | Dropout Rate: | 0.0\% |
| 1999-2000: | 301 |  | Graduation Rate: | 98.2\% |
| 1998-1999: | 296 |  |  |  |
| 1997-1998: | 298 |  | Standardized | Tests |
| Enrollment |  | 10th |  | At/Above Proficient |
|  |  | Reading: | 94.4\% |
| Demographics |  |  |  | Language: | 88.7\% |
|  |  |  | Math: | 90.1\% |
| Asian: | 2 |  | Science: | 95.8\% |
| African American: | : 35 |  | Social Studies: | 94.4\% |
| Hispanic: | 43 | ACT |  |  |
| Indian: | 0 |  | Percent Tested: | 72.4\% |
| White: | 210 |  | English: | 23.1 |
| \% African American: | an: 12.1\% |  | Math: | 23.3 |
| \% Minority: | 27.6\% |  | Reading: | 25.0 |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | le: $\quad 21.4 \%$ |  | Science: | 23.4 |
|  |  |  | Composite: | 23.9 |

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.


Note: Blank cells are not applicable.


Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

Jerstad-Agerholm
Principal: Cheri Kulland

Total Enrollment by Year
2007-2008: 761
2006-2007: 772

2005-2006: 785
2004-2005: 810
2003-2004: 820
2002-2003: 760
2001-2002: 761
2000-2001: 434
1999-2000: 436
1998-1999: 484
1997-1998: 477

Enrollment
Demographics

| Asian: | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| African American: | $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ |
| Hispanic: | $\mathbf{9 0}$ |
| Indian: | 1 |
| White: | $\mathbf{4 7 5}$ |
| \% African American: | $\mathbf{2 3 . 8 \%}$ |
| \% Minority: | $\mathbf{3 7 . 6 \%}$ |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | $\mathbf{4 4 . 3 \%}$ |

Attendance

| Attendance Rate: | $\mathbf{9 3 . 6} \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Pupils Expelled: | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| Habitual Truants: | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |
| Truancy Rate: | $\mathbf{5 . 8 \%}$ |
| Pupils Suspended: | $\mathbf{1 7 6}$ |
| Suspension Rate: | $\mathbf{2 2 . 8} \%$ |
| Dropouts: | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Dropout Rate: | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Standardized Tests

6th

7th

8th

|  | At/Above Proficient |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reading: | $77.9 \%$ |
| Math: | $\mathbf{6 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Reading: $\quad \frac{\text { At/Above Profic }}{77.9 \%}$

## At/Above Proficient

77.9\% 60.0\%

At/Above Proficient

Math: 69.0\%

At/Above Proficient

| Reading: | $\mathbf{7 8 . 0 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Language: | $50.3 \%$ |
| Math: | $63.4 \%$ |
| Science: | $\mathbf{6 4 . 4 \%}$ |
| Social Studies: | $\mathbf{7 6 . 4 \%}$ |


| McKinley |  | Middle | Racine School Report |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: L | Lori Sue Pelk |  |  | October 2008 |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2007-2008: | 809 |  | Attendance Rate: | 92.6\% |
| 2006-2007: | 791 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 10 |
| 2005-2006: | 824 |  | Habitual Truants: | 38 |
| 2004-2005: | 911 |  | Truancy Rate: | 4.8\% |
| 2003-2004: | 988 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 226 |
| 2002-2003: | 1,022 |  | Suspension Rate: | 28.6\% |
| 2001-2002: | 1,020 |  | Dropouts: | 0 |
| 2000-2001: | 998 |  | Dropout Rate: | 0.0\% |
| 1999-2000: | 998 |  |  |  |
| 1998-1999: | 1,009 |  | Standardized Tests |  |
| 1997-1998: | 1,006 | 6th |  | At/Above Proficient |
|  |  |  | Reading: | 72.7\% |
| Enrollment |  |  | Math: | 63.8\% |
| Demographics |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| Asian: | 9 |  | Reading: | 77.9\% |
| African American: | 222 |  | Math: | 70.7\% |
| Hispanic: | 138 |  |  |  |
| Indian: | 2 | 8th | Reading: | 81.7\% |
| White: | 438 |  | Language: | 46.6\% |
| \% African American | n: 27.4\% |  | Math: | 64.8\% |
| \% Minority: | 45.9\% |  | Science: | 64.4\% |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | e: 47.2\% |  | Social Studies: | 79.9\% |

[^4]| Mitchell <br> Principal: | Robert Wilhelmi | Middle | Racine S | chool Report <br> October 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2007-2008: | 746 |  | Attendance Rate: | 93.0\% |
| 2006-2007: | 916 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 30 |
| 2005-2006: | 891 |  | Habitual Truants: | 57 |
| 2004-2005: | 935 |  | Truancy Rate: | 6.2\% |
| 2003-2004: | 981 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 188 |
| 2002-2003: | 1,017 |  | Suspension Rate: | 20.5\% |
| 2001-2002: | 915 |  | Dropouts: | 0 |
| 2000-2001: | 913 |  | Dropout Rate: | 0.0\% |
| 1999-2000: | 955 |  |  |  |
| 1998-1999: | 907 |  | Standardized | Tests |
| 1997-1998: | 890 |  |  | At/Above Proficient |
|  |  | , | Reading: | 72.9\% |
| Enrollment Demographics |  |  | Math: | 53.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| Asian: | 16 | $\underline{7}$ | Reading: | 73.6\% |
| African American: | n: 202 |  | Math: | 52.8\% |
| Hispanic: | 232 |  |  |  |
| Indian: | 1 | 8th | Reading: | $\frac{\text { At/Above Proficient }}{66.7 \%}$ |
| White: <br> \% African American | an. $\begin{array}{r}295 \\ 27.1 \%\end{array}$ |  | Language: | 38.0\% |
|  | can: $27.1 \%$ |  | Math: | 52.7\% |
| \% Minority: | 60.5\% |  | Science: | 51.5\% |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | : $59.2 \%$ |  | Social Studies: | 59.9\% |

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

## Starbuck

Principal: Sandy Johannsen Brand

Total Enrollment by Year
2007-2008: 799

2006-2007: 743
2005-2006: 847
2004-2005: 839
2003-2004: 830
2002-2003: 864
2001-2002: 828
2000-2001: 837
1999-2000: 822
1998-1999: 886
1997-1998: 964

Enrollment
Demographics

| Asian: | 12 |
| :--- | ---: |
| African American: | 321 |
| Hispanic: | 136 |
| Indian: | 3 |
| White: | 327 |
| \% African American: | $\mathbf{4 0 . 2 \%}$ |
| \% Minority: | $\mathbf{5 9 . 1 \%}$ |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | $\mathbf{6 1 . 5 \%}$ |

Racine School Report
October 2008

Middle
$\qquad$

| Walden III |  | Racine School Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: Ro | Robert Holzem | Middle |  | October 2008 |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2007-2008: | 224 |  | Attendance Rate: | 96.0\% |
| 2006-2007: | 224 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| 2005-2006: | 220 |  | Habitual Truants: | 0 |
| 2004-2005: | 223 |  | Truancy Rate: | 0.0\% |
| 2003-2004: | 220 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 4 |
| 2002-2003: | 214 |  | Suspension Rate: | 1.8\% |
| 2001-2002: | 209 |  | Dropouts: | 0 |
| 2000-2001: | 209 |  | Dropout Rate: | 0.0\% |
| 1999-2000: | 217 |  |  |  |
| 1998-1999: | 227 |  | Standardized Tests |  |
| 1997-1998: | 210 | 6th | At/Above Proficient |  |
|  |  | $\underline{6 t h}$ | Reading: | 97.2\% |
| Enrollment |  |  | Math: | 85.9\% |
| Demographics |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| Asian: | 8 |  | Reading: | 95.8\% |
| African American: | 19 |  | Math: | 90.1\% |
| Hispanic: | 40 |  |  |  |
| Indian: | 3 | 8th | Reading: | $\frac{\text { At/Above Proficient }}{}$ |
| White: | 154 |  | Language: | 78.1\% |
| \% African American: | an: 8.5\% |  | Math: | 90.6\% |
| \% Minority: | 31.3\% |  | Science: | 89.1\% |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | le: $23.2 \%$ |  | Social Studies: | 96.9\% |

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.


[^5]| Fratt |  | Racine School Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: Ja | James Haas | Grade |  | October 2008 |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2007-2008: | 541 |  | Attendance Rate: | 94.5\% |
| 2006-2007: | 536 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| 2005-2006: | 532 |  | Habitual Truants: | 7 |
| 2004-2005: | 533 |  | Truancy Rate: | 1.3\% |
| 2003-2004: | 543 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 52 |
| 2002-2003: | 576 |  | Suspension Rate: | 9.7\% |
| 2001-2002: | 600 |  |  |  |
| 2000-2001: | 589 |  | Standardized Tests |  |
| 1999-2000: | 563 |  |  |  |
| 1998-1999: | 549 | 3rd |  | At/Above Proficient |
| 1997-1998: | 591 |  | Reading: | 60.9\% |
|  |  |  | Math: | 43.5\% |
| Enrollment Demographics |  | 4th |  | At/Above Proficient |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian: <br> African American: | 2 |  | Math: | 60.3\% |
|  | 106 |  | Science: | 67.6\% |
| Hispanic: | 260 |  | Social Studies: | 91.2\% |
| Indian: | 3 |  |  | At/Above Proficient |
| White: | 170 | Sth | Reading: | 62.0\% |
| \% African American: | n: 19.6\% |  | Math: | 43.7\% |
| \% Minority: | 68.6\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | : 71.2\% |  |  |  |

[^6]| Giese |  | Racine School Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: An | Anne Swanson |  |  | October 2008 |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2007-2008: | 305 |  | Attendance Rate: | 93.3\% |
| 2006-2007: | 314 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| 2005-2006: | 341 |  | Habitual Truants: | 24 |
| 2004-2005: | 341 |  | Truancy Rate: | 7.6\% |
| 2003-2004: | 341 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 29 |
| 2002-2003: | 363 |  | Suspension Rate: | 9.2\% |
| 2001-2002: | 410 |  |  |  |
| 2000-2001: | 420 |  | Standardized Tests |  |
| 1999-2000: | 388 |  |  |  |
| 1998-1999: | 422 | 3rd |  | At/Above Proficient |
| 1997-1998: | $454$ |  | Reading: | 65.6\% |
|  |  |  | Math: | 37.5\% |
| Enrollment Demographics |  | 4th |  | At/Above Proficient |
|  |  |  | Reading: |  |
| Asian: <br> African American: | 2 |  | Math: | 51.9\% |
|  | : 155 |  | Science: | 59.3\% |
| Hispanic: | 48 |  | Social Studies: | 81.5\% |
| Indian: | 2 | 5th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| White: | 98 |  | Reading: | 73.0\% |
| \% African American | an: 50.8\% |  | Math: | 56.8\% |
| \% Minority: | 67.9\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | be: 75.7\% |  |  |  |

[^7]| Gifford |  | Racine School Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Grade |  | October 2008 |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2007-2008: | 925 |  | Attendance Rate: | 95.5\% |
| 2006-2007: | 858 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| 2005-2006: | 909 |  | Habitual Truants: | 6 |
| 2004-2005: | 837 |  | Truancy Rate: | 0.8\% |
| 2003-2004: | 843 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 25 |
| 2002-2003: | 832 |  | Suspension Rate: | 2.9\% |
| 2001-2002: | 788 |  |  |  |
| 2000-2001: | 792 |  | Standardized Tests |  |
| 1999-2000: | 792 |  |  |  |
| 1998-1999: | 854 | 3rd | Reading: | At/Above Proficient |
|  | 816 |  |  | 83.8\% |
|  |  |  | Math: | 77.8\% |
| Enrollment |  | 4th |  | $\frac{\text { At/Above Proficient }}{85.0 \%}$ |
| Demographics |  |  | Reading: <br> Language: |  |
|  |  |  | Math: | 80.8\% |
| Asian: | 17 |  | Science: | 84.2\% |
| African American: | 77 |  |  |  |
| Hispanic: | 81 |  | Social Studies. | 95.8\% |
| Indian: | 0 | 5th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| White: | 750 |  | Reading: | 89.8\% |
| \% African American: | n: 8.3\% |  | Math: | 76.5\% |
| \% Minority: | 18.9\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | e: $17.7 \%$ |  |  |  |

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

| Goodland |  | Racine School Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: Billie | Billie Marie Novick | Grade |  | October 2008 |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2007-2008: | 390 |  | Attendance Rate: | 93.7\% |
| 2006-2007: | 370 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| 2005-2006: | 321 |  | Habitual Truants: | 23 |
| 2004-2005: | 341 |  | Truancy Rate: | 8.0\% |
| 2003-2004: | 352 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 8 |
| 2002-2003: | 376 |  | Suspension Rate: | 2.2\% |
| 2001-2002: | 385 |  |  |  |
| 2000-2001: | 410 |  | Standardized Tests |  |
| 1999-2000: | 430 |  |  |  |
| 1998-1999: | 383 | 3rd | Reading: <br> Math: | At/Above Proficient |
| 1997-1998: | 401 |  |  | 58.1\% |
|  |  |  |  | 35.5\% |
| Enrollment Demographics |  | 4th | At/Above Proficient |  |
|  |  |  | Reading: | 58.8\% <br> 55.9\% |
| Asian: | 7 |  | Math: | 38.2\% |
| African American: | an $\quad 190$ |  | Science: | 35.3\% |
| Hispanic: | 50 |  | Social Studies: | 76.5\% |
| Indian: | 0 | 5th | At/Above Proficient |  |
| White: | 143 |  | Reading: | 45.2\% |
| \% African American | ican: 48.7\% |  | Math: | 26.2\% |
| \% Minority: | 63.3\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | ible: $60.3 \%$ |  |  |  |

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.


[^8]

Jerstad-Agerholm
Grade
Racine School Report
October 2008

Principal: Cecilia Holley-Young

| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007-2008: | 376 |  | Attendance Rate: | 93.5\% |
| 2006-2007: | 381 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| 2005-2006: | 365 |  | Habitual Truants: | 18 |
| 2004-2005: | 334 |  |  | 5.9\% |
| 2003-2004: | 361 |  | Truancy Rate: Pupils Suspended: | 32 |
| 2002-2003: 404 |  |  | Suspension Rate: | 8.4\% |
| 2001-2002: 435 | 435 |  |  |  |
| 2000-2001: 776 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-2000: 812 |  | 3rd | Standardized Tests |  |
| 1998-1999: 853 |  |  | Reading: <br> Math: | At/Above Proficient |
| 1997-1998: | 847 |  |  | 57.9\% |
|  |  |  |  | 52.6\% |
| Enrollment Demographics |  | 4th |  | At/Above Proficient |
|  |  | Reading: | 80.0\% |
|  |  | Language: | 66.7\% |
| Asian: | 5 |  |  | Math: | 56.7\% |
| African American: | 132 |  |  | Science: | 56.7\% |
| Hispanic: | 68 |  | Social Studies: | 83.3\% |
| Indian: | 0 | 5th | Reading: | At/Above Proficient |
| White: | 171 |  |  | 88.6\% |
| \% African American | 35.1\% |  | Math: | 71.4\% |
| \% Minority: | 54.5\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | 60.1\% |  |  |  |

[^9]| Johnson |  | Grade Racine School Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: | Kim DeLaO | Grade |  | October 2008 |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2007-2008: | 538 |  | Attendance Rate: | 94.1\% |
| 2006-2007: | 545 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| 2005-2006: | 575 |  | Habitual Truants: | 33 |
| 2004-2005: | 407 |  | Truancy Rate: | 6.1\% |
| 2003-2004: | 483 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 40 |
| 2002-2003: | 507 |  | Suspension Rate: | 7.3\% |
| 2001-2002: | 556 |  |  |  |
| 2000-2001: | 516 |  | Standardized Tests |  |
| 1999-2000: | 578 |  |  |  |
| 1998-1999: | 556 | 3rd | Reading: <br> Math: | At/Above Proficient |
|  | 573 | Srd |  | 54.7\% |
|  |  |  |  | 42.2\% |
| Enrollment |  | 4th |  | $\frac{\text { At/Above Proficient }}{56.6 \%}$ |
| Demographics |  |  | Reading: |  |
|  |  |  | Math: | 55.3\% |
| Asian: | 17 |  | Science: | 61.8\% |
| African American: | 231 |  |  |  |
| Hispanic: | 93 |  | Social Studies. | 84.2\% |
| Indian: | 0 | 5th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| White: | 197 |  | Reading: | 64.6\% |
| \% African American: | n: 42.9\% |  | Math: | 40.0\% |
| \% Minority: | 63.4\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | : 65.8\% |  |  |  |

[^10]| Jones |  | Grade | Racine School Report |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: Sha | Sharon Campbell |  |  | October 2008 |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  | Attendance |  |  |
| 2007-2008: | 490 |  | Attendance Rate: | 95.3\% |
| 2006-2007: | 482 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 1 |
| 2005-2006: | 461 |  | Habitual Truants: | 3 |
| 2004-2005: | 465 |  | Truancy Rate: | 0.7\% |
| 2003-2004: | 461 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 21 |
| 2002-2003: | 458 |  | Suspension Rate: | 4.4\% |
| 2001-2002: | 456 |  |  |  |
| 2000-2001: | 454 | Standardized Tests |  |  |
| 1999-2000: | 460 | 3rd | At/Above Proficient |  |
| 1998-1999:1998: | 443 |  |  |  |
|  | 448 |  | Reading:Math: | 60.0\% |
|  |  |  |  | 55.7\% |
| Enrollment |  | 4th | At/Above Proficient |  |
| Demographics |  | Language: |  | $74.2 \%$ $69.4 \%$ |
| Asian: | 7 | Math: |  | 56.5\% |
| African American: | n: 59 | Science: |  | 62.9\% |
| Hispanic: | 202 |  | Social Studies: | 82.3\% |
| Indian: | 0 | 5th | At/Above Proficient |  |
| White: | 222 |  | Reading: | 83.1\% |
| \% African American | can: 12.0\% |  | Math: | 72.3\% |
| \% Minority: | 54.7\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | ible: $49.2 \%$ |  |  |  |

[^11]

[^12]

[^13]

Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

| North Park |  | Racine School Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: M | Mark Zanin | Grade |  | October 2008 |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2007-2008: | 500 |  | Attendance Rate: | 94.8\% |
| 2006-2007: | 505 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| 2005-2006: | 421 |  | Habitual Truants: | 9 |
| 2004-2005: | 413 |  | Truancy Rate: | 1.8\% |
| 2003-2004: | 398 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 43 |
| 2002-2003: | 443 |  | Suspension Rate: | 8.5\% |
| 2001-2002: | 435 |  |  |  |
| 2000-2001: | 422 |  | Standardized Tests |  |
| 1999-2000: | 453 |  |  |  |
| 1998-1999: | 483 | 3rd |  | At/Above Proficient |
| 1997-1998: | 447 |  | Reading: Math: | 77.8\% 48.1\% |
| Enrollment |  | 4th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| Demographics |  |  | Reading: | 77.8\% |
|  |  |  | Language: | 72.2\% |
| Asian: | 2 |  | Math: | 59.3\% |
| African American: | 148 |  | Science: | 64.8\% |
| Hispanic: | 63 |  | Social Studies: | 85.2\% |
| Indian: | 0 | 5th |  | At/Above Proficient |
| White: | 287 |  | Reading: | 80.5\% |
| \% African American: | , 29.6\% |  | Math: | 75.3\% |
| \% Minority: | 42.6\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | : 57.8\% |  |  |  |

[^14]| O Brown |  | Grade | Racine School Report <br> October 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2007-2008: | 505 |  | Attendance Rate: | 93.6\% |
| 2006-2007: | 501 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| 2005-2006: | 455 |  | Habitual Truants: | 22 |
| 2004-2005: | 443 |  | Truancy Rate: | 5.8\% |
| 2003-2004: | 466 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 51 |
| 2002-2003: | 562 |  | Suspension Rate: | 10.2\% |
| 2001-2002: | 553 |  |  |  |
| 2000-2001: | 588 |  | Standardized Tests |  |
| 1999-2000: | 566 |  |  |  |
| 1998-1999: | 543 | 3rd | Reading: Math: | At/Above Proficient |
| 1997-1998: | 543 |  |  | 69.0\% |
|  |  |  |  | 66.7\% |
| Enrollment Demographics |  | 4th |  | $\frac{\text { At/Above Proficient }}{}$ |
|  |  | 4th | Reading: | 76.9\% |
|  |  |  | Language: | 67.3\% |
| Asian: | 9 |  | Math: | 69.2\% |
| African American: | an: 74 |  | Science: | 67.3\% |
| Hispanic: | 60 |  | Social Studies: | 90.4\% |
| Indian: | 3 | , |  | At/Above Proficient |
| White: | 359 |  | Reading: | 86.9\% |
| \% African American | ican: 14.7\% |  | Math: | 65.6\% |
| \% Minority: | 28.9\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | ible: 37.4\% |  |  |  |

[^15]P-COC/Red Apple
Principal:
Les Hunt
Total Enrollment by Year
2007-2008: 65
2006-2007: 73
2005-2006: 102
2004-2005: 45
2003-2004: 116
2002-2003: 51
2001-2002: 62
2000-2001: 160
1999-2000: 166
1998-1999: 139
1997-1998: 98

## Enrollment Demographics

Asian: 0
African American: 24
Hispanic: 15
Indian: 0
White: 26
\% African American: $\quad 36.9 \%$
\% Minority: 60.0\%
Free Lunch Eligible: $\quad \mathbf{2 3 . 1 \%}$

Racine School Report
Grade
October 2008


[^16]| Red Apple <br> Principal: | Les Hunt | Grade | Racine | shool Report October 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment by Year |  |  | Attendance |  |
| 2007-2008: | 430 |  | Attendance Rate: | 94.0\% |
| 2006-2007: | 492 |  | Pupils Expelled: | 0 |
| 2005-2006: | 458 |  | Habitual Truants: | 4 |
| 2004-2005: | 456 |  | Truancy Rate: | 1.3\% |
| 2003-2004: | 414 |  | Pupils Suspended: | 2 |
| 2002-2003: | 477 |  | Suspension Rate: | 0.4\% |
| 2001-2002: | 549 |  |  |  |
| 2000-2001: | 470 |  | Standardized Tests |  |
| 1999-2000: | 491 |  | At/Above Proficient |  |
| 1998-1999: | 386 | 3rd |  |  |
| 1997-1998: | 382 |  | Reading: | 76.1\% |
|  |  |  | Math: | 58.7\% |
| Enrollment Demographics |  | 4th | At/Above Proficient |  |
|  |  | 4th | Reading: | 92.7\% |
|  |  |  | Math: | 75.6\% |
| Asian: | 7 |  |  | 75.6\% |
| African American: | 106 |  | Science: | 85.4\% |
| Hispanic: | 96 |  | Social Studies: | 95.1\% |
| Indian: | 4 | 5 th | Reading: <br> Math: | At/Above Proficient |
| White: | 217 | $\underline{ }$ |  | 73.9\% |
| \% African American: | : 24.7\% |  |  | 56.5\% |
| \% Minority: | 49.5\% |  |  |  |
| Free Lunch Eligible: | 40.2\% |  |  |  |

[^17]

[^18]

[^19]

[^20]

[^21]

[^22]
[^0]:    $\qquad$

[^1]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^2]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^3]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^4]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^5]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^6]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^7]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^8]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^9]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^10]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^11]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^12]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^13]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^14]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^15]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^16]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^17]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^18]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^19]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^20]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^21]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

[^22]:    Note: Blank cells are not applicable.

