

Assessment Advisory Committee Report 2005
July 2005
FY 2005 Report To the Legislature
As required by Minn. Stat. § 120B.365

### **COMMISSIONER:**

Alice Seagren

Assessme nt Advisory Committee Report 2005

FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT: Timothy R. Vansickle, Ph.D. Minnesota Department of Education T: (651) 582-8628 E-MAIL: <u>tim.vansickle@state.mn.us</u>

July 2005

FY 2005 Report To the Legislature

As required by Minn. Stat. § 120B.365

1500 Highway 36 West Roseville, MN 55113-4266 TTY: (800) 627-3529 OR (651) 582-8201

Upon request, this report can be made available in alternative formats.

# **Estimate Cost of Preparing This Report**

This report provides information on the proceedings of the Assessment Advisory Committee, as established by Minnesota Statutes 2004, 120B.365. The cost of preparing the report does not include the costs associated with conducting the meetings.

Special funding was not provided for this committee or task.

Estimated cost for the report is \$400.00.

### Background

Minnesota Statute 120B.365 established an Assessment Advisory Committee to advise the commissioner on statewide assessment issues. The committee may consist of up to 11 members. The committee will make recommendations to the commissioner and/or the legislature about issues involving statewide assessment.

This report provides background and summary of the Assessment Advisory Committee's deliberations for the past 14 months. The committee is chaired by the Director of State Assessments and meets approximately every 3 to 4 months.

# **Current Members**

Glenn Dorfmann COO MN Association of Realtors

Rick Ellingworth Superintendent Redwood Falls Public Schools

Roger Giroux Superintendent Anoka-Hennepin School District

Jerry Hanson Principal Roosevelt Elementary School

Sandra Johnson Associate Professor of Mathematics St. Cloud State University

Yusef, Mgeni Director, Office of Educational Equity St. Paul Public Schools Dr. Valerie Pace State Manager IBM Corporate Community Relations

Virginia Richardson Director, Parent Training Pacer Center

Craig Swan V. Provost, Undergraduate Educ. University of Minnesota

Sara Van Der Werf Clara Barton Open School Minneapolis Public Schools

Neil Witikko Teacher Hermantown Sr. High School

Timothy R. Vansickle, Ph.D. Director of Assessments Minnesota Department of Education

# Proceedings

The Assessment Advisory Committee has met five times in the previous 14 months. The dates and times of the meeting are listed below.

5/4/2004 12:30-4:00 PM 8/4/2004 1:00-3:30 PM 10/13/2004 9:00-12:00 PM 1/12/2005 9:00-12:00 PM 4/13/2005 9:00-12:00 PM

The committee addressed many topics regarding assessment issues in Minnesota. The most important or significant included the purpose of the Minnesota Assessment System, assessing LEP/ELL students, use of technology in assessment, single reporting date for test scores and AYP results, the long range assessment plan through 2010, the transition to the MCA-IIs, the raising of graduation requirements, and value-added and growth models.

# Summary of Recommendations

Given the large number of topics discussed, the committee did make the following recommendations or supported the concept or design.

#### Long-range Assessment Plan

In general, the committee was enthusiastic about having a long-range assessment plan. They questioned whether schools would have the technology available to conduct high-stakes accountability testing using computer-based tests by the planned date of 2009. In general, it was thought that schools would not have enough technology infrastructure to support large-scale computer-based testing. In addition, most computer labs emphasize cooperation in the lab arrangement and will most likely be a test security issue.

# **Raising Graduation Requirements**

The committee was supportive of the idea of raising graduation standards. The committee was cognizant of the ramifications of raising the requirements and suggested a phasing in of the standards or endorsements rather than withholding a diploma.

# Value-added Models

The committee was supportive of growth models that emphasized the growth of individual students. Additionally, the committee favored aggregating these individual student measures at the school and district level, not the teacher level. A concern about special populations and growth models was raised and the need for additional focus groups prior to implementing a growth model for special populations. Lastly, that whatever growth model is adopted to demonstrate value-added by schools and districts be transparent to the various stakeholders.

# Conclusion

This report summarizes the deliberations of the Assessment Advisory Committee as required by 120B.365. The committee will continue to meet on an approximately quarterly basis.