ED 474 962   CS 511 913

AUTHOR   Hasbrook, Melissa
TITLE   Beyond an Epcot Nation: Reinventing the Multicultural for
                Transformative Pedagogy.
PUB DATE   2003-03-21
NOTE   14p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Conference
                on College Composition and Communication (54th, New York, NY,
                March 19-22, 2003).
PUB TYPE   Information Analyses (070) -- Opinion Papers (120) --
                Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE   EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS   *Cultural Context; *Cultural Differences; *Cultural
                Pluralism; Elementary Secondary Education; Higher Education;
                *Multicultural Education; *Writing Instruction
IDENTIFIERS   Rhetorical Strategies; Social Justice; *Transformative
                Education

ABSTRACT
                This paper critiques multiculturalism from a range of fronts
and asks what underlying influence ties together its widespread criticisms.
In naming this principal influence, the paper considers what new paths are
possible for reinventing the multicultural in composition studies. In
addition, and most importantly, it asks what difference could such
transformation make in students' and teachers' lives. The paper exposes a
"Nation(al) narrative" in multiculturalism with the goal of pursuing an
equitable transformative pedagogy in composition-minded classrooms. It
contends that, to rewrite this Nation(al) narrative, people can resist its
re-inscription, re-production, and consumption in classrooms, and that this
can be done by engaging in ethical-interventionist pedagogy and critical
cultural inquiry. It recommends exploring difference as competing interests,
multiple intersecting margins, and conflict negotiations. Contains 22
references. (NKA)

Beyond an Epcot Nation: Reinventing the Multicultural for Transformative Pedagogy.

by Melissa Hasbrook

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

2

SESSION OVERVIEW

- Multiculturalism is critiqued from a range of fronts, yet what underlying influence ties together its widespread criticisms?

- In naming this principal influence, what new paths are possible for reinventing the multicultural in composition studies?

- Most importantly, what difference could such transformation make in students' and our own lives?

This presentation exposes a Nation(al) narrative in multiculturalism with the goal of pursuing an equitable transformative pedagogy in composition-minded classrooms.

INTRODUCTION

Trumping identity/ Calling trump

"An Epcot Nation..." A material and discursive space that celebrates fixed national re-presentations, reducing cultural experience--and hence human beings--to consumable objects. In this small-world-after-all, we find recruited nationals who work in replicated settings, market souvenirs, and enact stereotyped performances: women weaving rugs and belly-dancing in Marakesh and vendors selling Chinese deco-art. This version of multiculturalism emerged during my research, a symbol that I found shared by Gary Olson, who critiques "an Epcot Center approach" in composition as "a process of recolonization" and as a voyeuristic practice of "cultural and intellectual tourism" (48).

In my analysis, a narrative of the Nation(al) re-inscribes this Epcot Nation, as manifest in multiculturalism's historical development and its partnership with democratic education. These findings justify sociologist Craig Calhoun's claim that "nationalism is all too often the enemy of

democracy rooted in civil society" (404). In the midst of *The War on Terrorism*--or *The War of Words* or for short *The "W" War*, US officials daily misappropriate democracy on a global stage. This construction of a nationalistic identity is based on a notion of freedom that contradicts the US's historically oppressive practices. Calhoun's nearly decade-old prediction ominously echoes: that while national identity

> is unlikely to be an identity which 'trumps' all others…, it is an open question how long this would last if the United States ever came under sever external pressure, or wars were again fought on American soil. Nationalism comes to the fore under a variety of historically specific circumstances—like war… (403)

Transforming an Epcot Nation multiculturalism requires a theoretical and pedagogical resistance to nationalistic constructs of identity. And in order to rewrite this Nation(al) narrative, we can resist its re-inscription, re-production, and consumption in our classrooms, and we can do that by engaging in ethical-interventionist pedagogy and critical cultural inquiry.

UNDERLYING INFLUENCE

A recent advertising campaign for M&Ms candies--*Vote and Be Heard!*--illustrates rainbow-colored characters clothed in signature attire, as they perform nationalized and gendered identities, beckoning to consumers, "Your vote can make a world of difference." With its promise, "The world will get a new color," the campaign makes bedfellows of sexist, racist, and nationalistic stereotypes scripted by liberal-democracy and capitalism. The M&M performance is another example of an Epcot Nation, which resembles education today according to Carlos Alberto Torres, with "the notion of citizen as consumer" (434). An unfortunate but accurate description of many classrooms, as our theory, curriculum, and practice "buy into" a Nation(al)

narrative through the consumption and re-production of liberal-democratic, multicultural tokens.

I was quite surprised to encounter this trend, due to *my* assumption of democratic education and

multiculturalism as simply reformatory projects. Yet my research confirms that such visions

intended to transform society through increasing school access, improving academic success, and

teaching tolerance continue to mask and maintain inequity.

When John Dewey launched his project of democratic education around the turn of the

20th century, the US was shifting from physical colonial expansion to developing a national

citizenry (Mitchell 3). World War I commenced amidst rising anti-immigrant sentiment (Decker;

Nowlin) that materialized as the Immigrant Act. *Democracy and Education* was first published

in this tumultuous climate, parallel to today's *W War* climate. From my analysis, Dewey

proposes education to mediate the individual and society--or Nation, in order to ensure national

progress. His vision constructs education as an escape hatch from class status, while developing

national identity through improving "group habits" (79). An important basis for relationships is

maintaining harmony for the sake of unity. Dewey advocates averting the danger of difference

(9), and hence his proposal "to secure social changes without introducing disorder" (99).

From cultural pluralism in the 1940s to the Civil Rights Movement in the 1960s and 70s,

activists and educators appropriated democratic education to address inequities based on racial

and gender discrimination, and hence its partnership with multiculturalism. Some ground has

been gained in curriculum and institutions. In part, such gains are reflected in skeptics' rejection

of multiculturalism as a dangerous and so-called destructive influence on citizens. Yet the

conciliatory outcome of securing social rather than political rights has re-inscribed a Nation(al)

narrative. Calhoun and Native American Studies scholar LeBeau suggest that cultural difference

is reduced to a matter of individual personality, producing consumable entities. And in many

cases, a Deweyian framework is employed--a liberal-democratic discounting of difference practiced in classrooms.

What's missing in current scholarship is recognition of a Nation(al) narrative *within* multicultural advocates' own work and its detriment to equity. Two extensive reviews on multiculturalism demonstrate this performance: Hoffman distinguishes "American" from "other cultural contexts" while appealing to the context of "cross-cultural" (560). Sleeter claims that issues in multiculturalism fail "to cross national borders cleanly enough" (81) for her review, while criticizing British antiracist scholars for ignoring work by US scholars of color (90). Both perform a Nation(al) narrative, as Sleeter intentionally imposes nationalistic limitations and Hoffman implicitly acts out an inscribed Nation(al) narrative.

NEW PATHS

Torres argues that *transnationalization* requires revising our notions of Nation (428), as globalization "blurs national boundaries" (434). Katharyne Mitchell's research attributes inflexibility in education to reinvent itself amidst transcultural relationships as a consequence of multiculturalism's nationalistic agenda (15). Canadian educators met with Hong-Kong immigrant parents who challenged particular practices, including hands-on activities and minimal homework. Privileging such practices as "multicultural" on the premise of respecting individuality (12), educators weren't willing and/or able to resolve the conflict by constructing a compromise. And today's *W War* calls upon this Nation(al) narrative, fostering an inability and unwillingness for dialogue and participatory decision-making. For the sake of people, such as our colleagues, students, and neighbors--relatives and friends--who are caught in this *War of Words*--Arab and Muslim Americans, persons resembling recurring stereotyped images in the

media--we must break the silence, as Dr. King did, to resist the hegemonic partnership of

militarism, poverty, and racism. We need to respond to Charles Bazerman's observation and

challenge posted recently on a professional listerv:

> I have been waiting for comment on this rhetorical list for observations on this
>
> remarkable period of public rhetoric, and every day I am surprised to see nothing. Words
>
> alone, domestic and international, have kept the terrors of war in check . . . The nation is
>
> in crisis and we need good words, spoken well, by good people.

*   *   *

The Trans

"The Trans"... a material and discursive space of possibility. A symbol and a site not

over-determined by a continually constructed and resisted Nation(al) narrative, but a space in

which exclusive margins disrupt--like race *or* class *or* gender. According to Basch *et al*, *The*

Trans plays out through "multi-stranded social relations" that "extend across international

borders" (qtd. Mitchell 16).

Homi Bhabha theorizes this site of possibility in a range of ways. He describes the *Third*

Space as "...the 'inter'--the cutting edge of translation and negotiation, the *in-between* space--that

carries the burden of the meaning of culture" (*Location* 37). This space is performed through

minority discourse: "a contentious performative space of the perplexity of the living in the midst

of the pedagogical representations of the fullness of life" (157). In the Third Space, through

minority discourse, *splitting* occurs--a conflict emerging from a "double movement" (*Location*

145), a simultaneous demand for a "narrative of traditionalism" (35) and a "negation" of the new

(37). Bhabha identifies splitting as the contradictory performance of a Nation(al) narrative (47),

as competing interests emerge *between* parties and *within* their own identities and experiences

(Olson and Wolfram 381). He refers overall to this experience or event as *hybridization*--a

negotiation that's prompted by inequity rather than merely the presence or existence of various

cultures (Olson and Wolfram 378). It's "about how you negotiate between texts or cultures or

practices in a situation of power imbalances in order to be able to see the way in which strategies

of appropriation, revision, and iteration can produce [equitable] possibilities" (390). As Bhabha

and Clifford Geertz envision, *The Trans* isn't a conflation or binary of the local and the global--or

the national and the transnational--but rather a constitution of their conflicting partiality,

performed in a situated context amidst relations *within* and *extending beyond* a local or national

site. Symbolically and situationally, *The Trans* exposes M&M's *Vote and Be Heard!* campaign

as the Nation(al) narrative's re-inscription, performed through the commodification of culture

and the production of an Epcot Nation.

Critical Cultural Inquiry

A starting point in education or the classroom, according to Green and Pearlman, to move

"away from notions of nationalism" (18) is to analyze the crisis as "not just the result of a gap in

knowledge but gaps in culture and how people learn... [,] on differences in viewpoint, how that

viewpoint is communicated and how people interact" (22). A critical cultural inquiry then

engages the significance of meaning-making (constructions), perceptions of meaning, and

revising meaning. Sonia Nieto has shaped a flexible framework to address such areas. Most

relevant to resisting a Nation(al) narrative is a revisionary multiculturalism that focuses our

attention upon epistemology, ideology, pedagogy, and discourse, as described by Nieto:

"challeng[ing] hegemonic knowledge" (206), "complicat[ing] pedagogy" (207), and

"encourag[ing] 'dangerous discourses'" (209). Identifying strategies to enact this kind of

pedagogy, Keith Gilyard calls compositionists to pursue "a more perfect democracy" (266) with students: "to interrogate and resist discourses", "to comprehend as completely as possible how discourse operates", and "to engage in discussions of culture, ideology, hegemony, and asymmetrical power relations" (266).

Any change to our classrooms means that specifics vary, depending on our students, institutions, pedagogies, and current cultural context. With this in mind, I find it relevant to disclose my specifics, which have impacted my research: I've been in graduate school since 1999 in Linguistics, English, and finally Rhetoric & Writing. The majority of my teaching experience has taken place at a land-grant university with mostly white-middle-class students. My courses have ranged from requirements with assigned curriculum and grading to autonomous electives. I also work at an urban community college with mostly nontraditional students, including working-class, older persons, immigrants, and refugees. Paulo Freire's work contributes the greatest inspiration to my pedagogy and research. While teaching in the humanities, education, and English with a comp-minded approach, most of my courses have existed within an institutional climate requiring a diversity curriculum, which lends itself potentially--although not definitely--to such inquiry. Curriculum plays an important but not almighty role, as I've found that my pedagogy limits and makes possible an inquiry that contributes to equitable aims.

Since 9.11 occurred during my studies and has been shaping my identity as a teacher, I'm challenged by a continual need to address the Nation(al) narrative in its daily recapitulation. I'm compelled to reinvent my pedagogy to examine *The W War*'s impact on employment, education, and civil rights and liberties. As I attempt to facilitate critical cultural inquiry in *Trans* sites, I examine the rhetorical and relational along the lines that Gilyard, Nieto, and Green and Pearlman describe. My courses interrogate the cultural assumptions and interactions of its participants.

Currently, my rhetorical strategy is inquiry projects--from oral history to service learning, and

my relational strategy is reciprocal collaboration--between students, community partners, and

myself. Overall, the commonalities between these course contexts have been (1) developing

reciprocal relationships through collaborative inquiry, (2) prompting and implementing feedback

from students for on-going revisions in the classroom, and (3) negotiating conflict. And in my

handout I offer descriptions of what these rhetorical and relational strategies have looked like in

various courses I've taught.

Courses:	Rhetorical: Inquiry projects	Relational: Collaboration
Humanities requirement	Oral history: Overall topic on "American identity"; self-selected interviewee & topic (i.e. women, religious persons).	Team-based inquiry projects: assignments requiring input & interaction of all group members; teacher-team meetings. Student feedback: mid & end-term evaluations, Q&A times.
Elective writing course	(Mini) Ethnography: Use of radical lenses (i.e. feminist, postcolonial) to re-view familiar spaces (i.e. home, work).	
Teacher education requirement	Phenomenology: Interviewing participants connected with K-12 youth (i.e. parents, teachers, community workers). Service Learning: Serving library staff and patrons through programs.	After Class Research Group: Volunteer students who provide critiques and suggestions about the course.

DIFFERENCE TO MAKE

As we reinvent the multicultural in our classrooms, we should be aware of its legacy. It

is a legacy in which equitable gains are based on translating "people of color" into "subnations"

for their access to "sit at our table", according to Bhabha (Olson and Wolfram 380). The legacy

exposes a Nation(al) narrative's re-inscription by adding new colors--whether in the context of

races, genders, sexualities, etc., and verifies our need to critically inquiry culture as a *Trans*-site.

I suggest transformation along two lines. One, rather than tourists and vendors of an Epcot Nation who buy and sell liberal-democratic multicultural tokens, we need to explore difference as competing interests, multiple intersecting margins, and conflict negotiations. Torres explains that resisting the historical pattern of pluralism that operates on unified notions of culture means engaging the conditions of "human existence" (435), which are our "multi-stranded social relations" (qtd. Basch *et al* in Mitchell).

Two, the popular notion of the contact zone needs a dose of ethical pedagogy, according to Gary Olson, to push compositionists beyond the unity-harmony of "liberal pluralism" (47) that avoids "issues of conflict" (48). Like Ajay Heble, Timothy Barnett, and others, we must be willing to confront discrimination as it surfaces in our classrooms. Resisting a Nation(al) narrative that focuses upon sameness out of a fear of difference or conflict, we should heed Heble's recommendation to do away with "doing diverse literature" (154), and instead envision and enact ethical-interventionist pedagogy as Olson describes--"the encounter with the Other" (46). And with *The W War* in full swing, I encounter such moments of conflict in my classroom and on both of my campuses--in the library copy center, on bathroom stall walls, and conversations with colleagues--each serving as opportunities to critically inquire culture.

Unmasking celebratory versions of multiculturalism as a celebration of the Nation(al) with a democratic guise is a necessary step for transforming intentional and blind nationalism. Rather than avoiding potential conflicts stemming from the reality of globalization and re-inscribing singular static notions of Culture and the Citizen, rhetorical and relational strategies can overcome this Nation(al) narrative. To explore difference and enact an ethical-interventionist pedagogy, we need to develop a practice that Ellen Cushman and Terese Monberg coin as *social reflexivity*--a relentless re-interpretation of one's perceptions, decision-making

9   11

process, and actions that makes possible constructing and re-constructing equitable relationships.

Jennifer Trainor's recent *Cs* paper reminds critical pedagogues to examine assumptions of

"ethical agency" (636), which often leads to dismissing students who resist our self-proclaimed

social justice visions. Scholars provide us with particular ways to practice social reflexivity:

Freire calls our attention to oppressive "false generosity" that fails to respect people's humanity,

Bhabha challenges an idealized pedagogy that fosters re-inscription of a Nation(al) narrative, and

Judith Butler challenges the assumption that resistant performance guarantees equity. As we

engage in social-reflexivity to construct equitable relationships, our pedagogy can enact what

Freire describes as "relentless, impatient, continuing, hopeful inquiry," an inquiry that can

transform nationalized and commodified multiculturalism into a critical and flexible framework

for social-justice.

REFERENCES

Barnett, Timothy. "Reading 'Whiteness' in English Studies." *College English* 63:1 (2000): 9-37.

Basch, L., N. Schiller, and C. Blanc. *Nations Unbound: Transnational Projects, Postcolonial Predicament and Deterritorialized Nation-States.* New York: Gordon and Breach, 1994.

Bazerman, Charles. Online posting regarding rhetors and The War on Terrorism. 14 Feb. 2003. H-RHETOR@H-NET.MSU.EDU.

Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture.* 1994. London: Routledge, 1998.

Butler, Judith. "Gender Is Burning: Questions of Appropriation and Subversion." *Dangerous Liasons: Gender, Nation, and Postcolonial Perspectives.* Eds. Annie McClintock, Aamir Mufti, and Ella Shohat. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press, 1997. 381-395.

Calhoun, Craig. "Nationalism and Civil Society: Democracy, Diversity, and Self-Determination." *International Sociology* 8.4 (1993): 387-411.

Cushman, Ellen and Terese Guinsatao Monberg. "Re-Centering Authority: Social Reflexivity and Re-Positioning in Composition Research." *Under Construction: Working at the Intersections of Composition Theory, Research, and Practice.* Eds. Christine Farris and Chris M. Anson. Logan, Utah: Utah State University Press, 1998. 166-180.

Dewey, John. *Democracy and Education.* 1915. New York: The Free Press, 1966.

Freire, Paulo. *Pedagogy of the Oppressed.* 1970. Trans. Myra Bergman Ramos. New York: Herder and Herder, 2000.

Geertz, Clifford. *Local Knowledge: Further Essays in Interpretive Anthropology.* USA: Basic Books, 1983.

Gilyard, Keith. "Literacy, Identity, Imagination, Flight." *CCC* 52.2 (2000): 260-272.

Green, Stanton W. and Stephen Pearlman. "Putting the Culture into Multicultural Education: Toward a Critical Model of Cultural Literacy." *Interdisciplinary Curricula, General Education, and Liberal Learning: Selected Papers from the Annual Conference of the Institute for the Study of Post-secondary Pedagogy 1993.* Ed. Richard Kelder. *ERIC.* 1994. 18-29.

Hasbrook, Melissa. "Re-Viewing Mutlicultural Sites: An Inquiry Of Transcultural Betweenness." *Just Literacy:*

Promoting Justice through Language and Learning. Ed. John Harmon. New York: New York State English Council Monograph, 2002. 58-87.

Heble, Ajay. "Re-ethicizing the Classroom: Pedagogy, the Public Sphere, and the Postcolonial Condition." *College Literature* 29.1 (2002): 143-160.

Hoffman, Diane M. "Culture and Self in Multicultural Education: Reflections on Discourse, Text, and Practice." *American Educational Research Journal* 33.3 (1996): 545-569.

Mitchell, Katharyne. "Education for Democratic Citizenship: Transnationalism, Multiculturalism, and the Limits of Liberalism." *Harvard Educational Review* 71.1 (2001).
<http://113868:harvard@www.edreview.org/harvard01/2001/p01/p01mitch.htm>.

Nieto, Sonia. "Critical Multicultural Education and Students' Perspectives." *Critical Multiculturalism: Rethinking Multicultural and Antiracist Education.* Ed. Stephen May. London: Falmer, 1999. 191-215.

Olson, Gary A. "Encountering the Other: Postcolonial Theory and Composition Scholarship." *JAC: A Journal of Composition Theory.* 18:1 (1998): 45-55.

Olson, Gary A. and Lynn Worsham. "Staging the Politics of Difference: Homi Bhabha's Critical Literacy." *JAC: A Journal of Composition Theory.* 18:3 (1998): 361-391.

Sleeter, Christine E. "An Analysis of the Critiques of Multicultural Education." *Handbook of Research on Multicultural Education.* Ed. James A. Banks. New York: Macmillan Pub., 1995. 81-94.

Torres; Carlos Alberto. "Democracy, Education, and Multiculturalism: Dilemmas of Citizenship in a Global World." *Comparative Education Review.* 42.4 (1998): 421-447.

Trainor, Jennifer. "Critical Pedagogy's 'Other': Constructions of Whiteness in Education for Social Change." *CCC.* 53.4 (2002): 631-649.

14

CS 511 913

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)
Reproduction Release (Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: *Beyond an Epcot Nation: Reinventing the Multicultural for Transformative Pedagogy*	
Author(s): *Melissa Hasbrook*	
Corporate Source: *Michigan State University (?)*	Publication Date: *3.21.03*

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed t all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY SAMPLE TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY SAMPLE TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY SAMPLE TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
[X]	[ ]	[ ]
Check here for Level 1 release. permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) *and* paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche onl

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted. but no box is checked. documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature:	Printed Name/Position/Title: *Ph.D. Candidate*
Organization/Address: *201 Morrill Hall Department of English, MSU East Lansing, MI 48824*	Telephone: *517 374 6474* Fax:
	E-mail Address: *Melissa@msu.edu* Date: *4/2/03*

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC