

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 474 042

SE 066 773

AUTHOR Peskoff, Fred
TITLE Mathematics Anxiety and the Adult Student: An Analysis of Successful Coping Strategies.
PUB DATE 2000-00-00
NOTE 6p.; In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Adults Learning Mathematics (ALM-7) (7th, July 6-8, 2000, Medford, MA). For full proceedings, see SE 066 771.
AVAILABLE FROM Peppercorn Books, P.O. Box 693, Snow Camp, NC 27349.
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143)
EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Coping; Learning Processes; Learning Strategies; *Mathematics Anxiety; Mathematics Instruction; Sex Differences; Student Attitudes; Two Year Colleges

ABSTRACT

This study evaluates the relationship between college students' level of mathematics anxiety and the strategies they employ to cope with it. It also examines both counseling and mathematics faculty's ratings of the same coping strategies that the student subjects evaluated. Community college students (n=279) enrolled in either a remedial algebra or a non-remedial precalculus course completed the Composite Math Anxiety Scale (CMAS) and were asked to rate 10 mathematics anxiety coping strategies. Results show that students with low mathematics anxiety both utilize and value a wider variety of coping strategies than their high anxiety counterparts. There were significant gender differences for three of the coping strategies. This study demonstrates that approach strategies are regarded as the most helpful group of coping behavior and that low mathematics anxiety students tend to utilize and value coping strategies more than do high mathematics anxiety students. The paper includes the coping strategies survey for students. (KHR)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

*H. Safford -
Pamphlet*

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Mathematics Anxiety and the Adult Student: An Analysis of Successful Coping Strategies

Fred Peskoff

Borough of Manhattan Community College, USA

A number of strategies designed to alleviate mathematics anxiety have been proposed (Hembree, 1990; Higbee, 1989; Robertson, 1991; Tobias, 1987). A partial list includes attending a mathematics tutoring clinic, keeping a "math autobiography," engaging in relaxation training, assertiveness training, stress inoculation, or systematic desensitization, learning to improve time management skills and study habits, and even adopting a "Math Anxiety Bill of Rights" (Davidson & Levitov, 1993; Hackworth, 1982; Tobias, 1991).

It has been suggested that just as mathematical problem solving has both a cognitive as well as an affective component (Bessant, 1995; Fennema, 1989; McLeod, 1988), so do intervention strategies designed to alleviate anxiety (Robertson, 1991; Williams, 1988). Cognitive (or math-dominated) interventions focus on the learning of mathematics content, assuming that the more mathematics students understand, the less anxious they will be, while affective interventions focus on psychological techniques such as peer support groups, counseling, and relaxation training that help students reduce their anxiety level.

The above list of coping strategies designed to help students alleviate mathematics anxiety has been presented in many formats, such as self-help manuals, diagnostic clinics, videotapes, pamphlets, handbooks, and seminars (Arem, 1993; Davidson & Levitov, 1993; Hackworth, 1982; Kogelman & Warren, 1978; Robertson, 1991; Sembrera & Hovis, 1993; Zaslavsky, 1994). Nonetheless, there is a lack of empirical research on student and faculty assessment of their comparative effectiveness. The present study examined this issue.

The primary purpose of this study was to evaluate the relationship between college students' level of mathematics anxiety and the strategies they employ to cope with it. Additionally, the study considered the effects of course enrollment, in either a remedial algebra course or a nonremedial precalculus course, and gender upon students' assessment of coping strategies. A secondary goal of the study was to examine both counseling and mathematics faculty's ratings of the same coping strategies that the student subjects evaluated. Aside from analyzing each group of faculty assessments individually, the study also compared these groups to the student ratings of the coping strategies.

Two-hundred seventy-nine community college students, [enrolled in either a remedial algebra or a nonremedial precalculus course] completed the Composite Math Anxiety Scale (Tobias, 1993). This psychometric instrument, composed of twenty Likert type items, was adapted from the Fennema-Sherman Mathematics Attitude Scales (Fennema & Sherman, 1986) in order to provide an overall mathematics anxiety score. Afterwards, the students were asked to rate ten Likert type mathematics anxiety coping strategies, designed by the investigator, with regard to frequency of use and helpfulness. This set of coping strategies is presented in the Appendix. In addition to the student subjects, a total of fifty faculty members from the Mathematics and Student Development (Counseling) Departments at the same community college rated the set of coping strategies, but only in terms of faculty perception of helpfulness to students.

A multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was performed on the student data. The three independent variables were Mathematics Anxiety level (high or low), Gender (male or female), and Course Enrollment (remedial or nonremedial). The dependent variables were the ten coping strategies, each of which was rated for frequency of use and helpfulness. In addition, the mean scores of the coping strategies (in terms of the helpfulness factor) were rank ordered and compared among the student, mathematics faculty, and counseling faculty groups.

A major finding of the study was that students with low mathematics anxiety both utilize and value a wider variety

of coping strategies than their high anxiety counterparts. In fact, seven of the strategies (RELAXATION, DISCUSS STUDENTS, ASK QUESTIONS, HOMEWORK, REMIND YOU'RE GOOD, EXTRA STUDY TIME, and INSTRUCTOR KNOW), were preferred more by students with low mathematics anxiety than by those with high mathematics anxiety. Perhaps, because anxiety itself has a disabling effect on students, a low anxiety level may place students in an enhanced coping mode, thus empowering them to participate in the majority of coping strategies considered in the study.

It is also important to consider the types of coping strategies in which low vs. high mathematics anxiety students engaged. Namely, high mathematics anxiety students used tutoring services (TUTOR) and had discussions with their counselors (DISCUSS COUNSELOR) significantly more than low anxiety students. Both of these behaviors, which were the only two engaged in more frequently by high mathematics anxiety students, were considered among the least helpful by all of the students.

There were significant gender differences for three of the coping strategies. Practicing systematic relaxation, physical activities, or exercise (RELAXATION), an "avoidance" strategy which males utilized more than females, was considered one of the least helpful coping strategies by all students as well as by both groups of faculty. Alternatively, completing homework assignments on time so that you don't fall behind (HOMEWORK) and letting your instructor know if you don't understand the course material (INSTRUCTOR KNOW), two "approach" strategies which females found more helpful than did males, were both regarded as among the very most helpful coping strategies by all students as well as by both groups of faculty. A few significant differences were also found for course enrollment. Algebra students utilized certain strategies more than did precalculus students.

The three groups of subjects that participated in the study (mathematics students, mathematics faculty, and counseling faculty) essentially agreed on the helpfulness of the coping strategies, placing HOMEWORK, EXTRA STUDY TIME, ASK QUESTIONS, and INSTRUCTOR KNOW, all of which are "approach strategies" (since the individual directly confronts the stressor), in the top half of the list. This ranking is consistent with the work of Holahan & Moos (1987) who found such strategies to generally be the most successful category of coping behaviors as compared to "avoidance strategies" where the individual temporarily leaves the stressful situation in order to reduce anxiety.

Although the three groups of subjects fundamentally concurred in their ratings, some differences are noteworthy. First of all, both the counselors and mathematics instructors rated using a tutor (TUTOR) as more helpful but rated practicing systematic relaxation, physical activities, or exercise (RELAXATION) as far less helpful than did the students. The first difference possibly may be due to the fact that both groups of faculty can only perceive the tutoring experience from an outsider's point of view. The students themselves, who actually partake in the tutoring process, may not regard it as being valuable since they are more aware of both its advantages as well as its shortcomings. One possible example, although not specifically assessed in this study, may be that some tutors use different methods to explain course material than those used in class by the mathematics instructors. This dichotomous approach can be potentially disadvantageous.

In sum, as previous research suggests (Holahan & Moos, 1987), this study has demonstrated that approach strategies are regarded as the most helpful group of coping behaviors and that low mathematics anxiety students tend to utilize and value coping strategies more than do high mathematics anxiety students. A smaller number of coping strategies yielded significant differences with respect to gender and course enrollment, with females showing a tendency to utilize more coping strategies than males and algebra students more than precalculus students. In addition, mathematics students, mathematics faculty, and counseling faculty all essentially agreed when rating the coping strategies in terms of their helpfulness. All three groups regarded approach strategies as the most helpful. In particular, asking your instructor questions in class, completing homework assignments on time so that you don't fall behind, setting aside extra study time for review before class exams, and letting your instructor know if you don't understand the course material were valued the highest.

A number of pedagogic recommendations can be made based upon these findings. These include having mathematics faculty partake in training workshops that specifically focus on approach strategies as well as having peer tutors work together with instructors in the classroom so that students will ideally regard both of them as a "coordinated team." The author has successfully implemented both of these recommendations and will report the results in future work.

References

- Arem, C. (1993). *Conquering math anxiety: A self-help workbook*. Pacific Grove: Brooks-Cole.
- Bessant, K. (1995). Factors associated with types of mathematics anxiety in college students. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 26, 327-345.
- Davidson, R., & Levitov, E. (1993). *Overcoming math anxiety*. New York: Harper Collins College Publishers.
- Fennema, E. (1989). The study of affect and mathematics: A proposed generic model for research. In D. B. McLeod & V. M. Adams (Eds.), *Affect and mathematical problem solving: A new perspective* (pp. 205-219). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Fennema, E., & Sherman, J. A. (1986). *Fennema-Sherman Mathematics Attitudes Scales: Instruments designed to measure attitudes toward the learning of mathematics by males and females*. Madison, WI: Wisconsin Center for Education Research.
- Hackworth, R. (1982). *Math anxiety reduction*. Clearwater: H & H Publishing Co., Inc.
- Hembree, R. (1990). The nature, effects, and relief of mathematics anxiety. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 21, 33-46.
- Higbee, J. L. (1989). Affective variables and success in mathematics: The counselor's role. *College Student Affairs Journal*, 9, 44-50.
- Holahan, C. J., & Moos, R. H. (1987). Personal and contextual determinants of coping strategies. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52, 946-955.
- Kogelman, S., & Warren, J. (1978). *Mind over math*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- McLeod, D. B. (1988). Affective issues in mathematical problem solving: Some theoretical considerations. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 19, 134-141.
- Robertson, D. L. (1991). A program for the math anxious at the University of Minnesota. *American Mathematical Association of Two Year Colleges*, 13, 53-60.
- Sembrera, A., & Hovis, M. (1993). *Math: A four letter word! The math anxiety handbook*. Wimberley: The Wimberley Press.
- Tobias, S. (1987). *Succeed with math: Every student's guide to conquering math anxiety*. New York: College Board.
- Tobias, S. (1991). Math mental health: Going beyond math anxiety. *College Teaching*, 39, 91-93.
- Tobias, S. (1993). *Overcoming math anxiety: Revised and expanded*. New York: W.W. Norton & Co.
- Williams, W. V. (1988). Answers to questions about math anxiety. *School Science and Mathematics*, 88, 95-104.
- Zaslavsky, C. (1994). *Fear of math*. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press.

5. Using additional textbooks or review books other than the required text.
- a. How often have you tried this? 1 2 3 4 5
- b. How helpful has it been
OR how helpful do you think
it would be if you tried it? 1 2 3 4 5
6. Asking your instructor mathematics questions in class.
- a. How often have you tried this? 1 2 3 4 5
- b. How helpful has it been
OR how helpful do you think
it would be if you tried it? 1 2 3 4 5
7. Completing homework assignments on time so that you don't fall behind.
- a. How often have you tried this? 1 2 3 4 5
- b. How helpful has it been
OR how helpful do you think
it would be if you tried it? 1 2 3 4 5
8. Reminding yourself that you are a good student if you start to feel incompetent.
- a. How often have you tried this? 1 2 3 4 5
- b. How helpful has it been
OR how helpful do you think
it would be if you tried it? 1 2 3 4 5
9. Setting aside extra study time for review before class exams.
- a. How often have you tried this? 1 2 3 4 5
- b. How helpful has it been
OR how helpful do you think
it would be if you tried it? 1 2 3 4 5
10. Letting your instructor know if you don't understand the course material.
- a. How often have you tried this? 1 2 3 4 5
- b. How helpful has it been
OR how helpful do you think
it would be if you tried it? 1 2 3 4 5

Sc006771



U.S. Department of Education
 Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
 National Library of Education (NLE)
 Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



Reproduction Release

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <u>ADULTS LEARNING MATHEMATICS: A CONVERSATION BETWEEN RESEARCHERS AND PRACTITIONERS</u>	
Author(s): <u>MARY JANE SCHMITT AND KATHERINE SAFFORD-RAMUS (EDS.)</u>	
Corporate Source: <u>NCSALL, HARVARD GRAD. SCHOOL OF ED.</u>	Publication Date: <u>2001</u>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
 If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.



I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: <i>Katherine Safford-Ramus, Ed.D.</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>KATHERINE SAFFORD-RAMUS ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>ST. PETER'S COLLEGE 2641 KENNEDY BLVD JERSEY CITY, NJ 07306</i>	Telephone: <i>201-915-4930</i>	Fax:
	E-mail Address: <i>SAFFORD-K@spc.edu</i>	Date: <i>9/17/02</i>

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being

contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
4483-A Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706
Telephone: 301-552-4200
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: <http://ericfacility.org>

EFF-088 (Rev. 2/2001)