

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 463 699

HE 034 818

AUTHOR MacFarland, Thomas W.
TITLE Graduates of Nova Southeastern University's Undergraduate Programs Tell Us What They Think about Their University Experience.
INSTITUTION Nova Southeastern Univ., Ft. Lauderdale, FL. Research and Planning.
REPORT NO RP-96-02
PUB DATE 1996-02-00
NOTE 92p.
PUB TYPE Numerical/Quantitative Data (110) -- Reports - Research (143)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC04 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Attitudes; *College Graduates; *Computer Literacy; *Educational Experience; Graduate Surveys; Higher Education; *Satisfaction; Training
IDENTIFIERS *Nova Southeastern University FL

ABSTRACT

A survey of graduates of Nova Southeastern University was conducted to find out what they thought about their university experience and what they thought about their training in and use of computers at college. To take advantage of the survey process, graduates were also asked to offer information on demographics and career patterns. The study was restricted to students graduating between July 1, 1990, and June 30, 1995. Surveys were returned by 229 of the 1,070 graduates who had the opportunity to respond to the survey, a response rate of 21.4%. This sample was deemed representative of the sample population. Student responses supported the conclusion that graduates are, overall, very pleased with the impact of the University on their career and major. The effectiveness of the University's undergraduate programs generally received high ratings, and nearly 85% of all respondents agreed that they would recommend the University to others. Statements related to basic competence in computer use received lower ratings. Graduates gave the highest ratings to word processing skills and the lowest for use of the Internet. Recommendations based on survey responses include the necessity of incorporating demographic characteristics and career outcomes into marketing and recruitment literature, attention to center-specific curricular areas, improvement in student services, and the need for the infusion of computing and technology into the curriculum. An appendix contains a memorandum describing the survey. (Contains 27 tables.) (SLD)

GRADUATES OF NOVA SOUTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY'S UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS TELL US WHAT THEY THINK ABOUT THEIR UNIVERSITY EXPERIENCE

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

T. MacFarland

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

Thomas W. MacFarland

Senior Research Associate

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

**Nova Southeastern University
Research and Planning**

February 1996

818182
3084818
ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This study was prompted by two recommendations resulting from the University's *Self-Study* process: the need for an assessment of program evaluation in the University's undergraduate programs, and an assessment of competencies in the basic use of computers by graduates of the University's undergraduate programs. To take advantage of the survey process, graduates were also asked to offer information on demographics and career patterns.

This study was restricted in focus to a survey of July 1, 1990, to June 30, 1995, graduates of the University's undergraduate programs. Surveys were distributed to 787 graduates of the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies, 260 graduates of undergraduate programs represented in the Health Professions Division, and 103 graduates of the Center for the Study of International Tourism (which has since been assimilated into the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies). The United States Postal Service returned 80 surveys as undeliverable. Surveys were returned by 229 graduates of the 1,070 graduates who had the opportunity to respond to the survey, for a return rate of 21.4 percent. There was sufficient evidence to conclude that the responding sample was representative of the sample population.

Student response provided evidence to support the conclusion that graduates are, overall, very pleased with the University's impact on their career and major. Statements related to the effectiveness of the University's undergraduate programs generally received high ratings and nearly 85 percent of all survey respondents indicated that they either agreed or strongly agreed with the statement that they would recommend the University to others. Statements related to basic competence in the use of computers, however, received lower ratings. Graduates offered the highest rating for skills in word processing and the lowest rating for use of the Internet.

Following an analysis of survey results, a series of recommendations was generated: the incorporation of accurate demographic characteristics and career outcomes into marketing and recruitment literature and future University planning endeavors; attention to center-specific curricular areas; continuous improvement in student services; and the need for attention to the level of computing and technology infusion into the curriculum.

An extensive series of figures to accompany the results section has been developed. Although these figures are not included in this report, they are available upon request.

HIGHLIGHTS

Demographics

James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies (N = 173) and the Center for the Study of International Tourism (N = 16):

- Age at time of graduation Median Age 35 Years
- Married during enrollment 55 Percent
- Gender 70 Percent Female
- Ethnicity 63 Percent White
- South Florida residence 72 Percent

Health Professions Division (N = 36):

- Age at time of graduation Median Age 28 Years
- Married during enrollment 39 Percent
- Gender 39 Percent Female
- Ethnicity 89 Percent White
- South Florida residence 56 Percent

Reasons for Selecting the University

- Location 63 Percent
- Convenience 59 Percent
- Type of Programs Available 54 Percent

Satisfaction With the University

- Statements related to satisfaction with the University's involvement in preparation for career and major received the highest overall ratings.
- Nearly 80 percent of all survey respondents indicated that their current job was either highly related or moderately related to their major at the University.

- Nearly 85 percent of all survey respondents indicated that they either agreed or strongly agreed with the statement that they would recommend the University to others.

Basic Skills in the Use of Computers

- Overall, graduates indicated that they have moderate skills in the basic use of computers.
- Graduates offered the highest rating for skills in word processing; the lowest self-rating for use of the Internet.

Career Patterns

James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies and the Center for the Study of International Tourism:

- Less than 45 percent of all survey respondents indicated that their current job is highly related to their major.

For survey respondents from the Center for the Study of International Tourism, 75 percent indicated that their current job is highly related to their major.

- Nearly 80 percent of all survey respondents indicated an annual income of \$49,999 or less.

Over 90 percent of all survey respondents from the Center for the Study of International Tourism indicated an annual income of \$49,999 or less.

Health Professions Division

- Over 86 percent of all survey respondents from the Health Professions Division students indicated that their current job is highly related to their major.
- For survey respondents from the Health Professions Division, 75 percent indicated an annual income of \$50,000 or more.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | iii |
| HIGHLIGHTS | iv |
| LIST OF TABLES | ix |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xiii |
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| Background | 1 |
| Purpose of the Study | 1 |
| Program Evaluation | 2 |
| Competencies in the Basic Use of Computers | 2 |
| METHODOLOGY | 3 |
| Survey Development | 3 |
| Topical Areas | 3 |
| Timeline for Survey Development | 3 |
| Instrumentation | 4 |
| Population | 4 |
| Invited Sample | 4 |
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | 4 |
| Health Professions Division | 5 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | 6 |
| Survey Distribution | 6 |
| Responding Sample | 6 |
| Data Entry | 11 |
| RESULTS | 12 |
| Survey Return | 12 |
| Demographics | 12 |
| Gender | 12 |
| Age at Time of Graduation | 12 |
| Ethnicity | 13 |
| Permanent Residence at Time of Graduation | 13 |
| Current Place of Permanent Residence | 13 |
| Language of Best Communication | 14 |
| Year of Graduation from the University | 14 |
| Place of Class Attendance | 14 |
| Continued Education | 14 |
| Declared Enrollment Status | 15 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Marital Status During Enrollment at the University | 15 |
| Years of Attendance at the University | 15 |
| Satisfaction With the University | 16 |
| Computing Skills | 18 |
| Career Patterns | 19 |
| Work Experience | 19 |
| Current Job | 20 |
| Salary | 20 |
| Involvement in Continued Education | 21 |
| SUMMARY | 74 |
| Recommendations | 74 |
| Demographics | 74 |
| Recruitment | 75 |
| Academic Outcomes | 75 |
| Ancillary Services | 76 |
| Computing | 76 |
| Career Patterns | 77 |
| REFERENCES | 78 |
| APPENDIX: May 18, 1995 Memorandum | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Page |
|--|------|
| 1.A Survey Response by Academic Center | 7 |
| 1.B Survey Distribution and Response by Academic Center and by Year of Graduation | 9 |
| 1.C Survey Response by Bias Estimator | 10 |
| 2 Gender of survey Respondents by Academic Center | 22 |
| 3 Age at Time of Graduation of Survey Respondents by Academic Center | 22 |
| 4 Ethnicity of survey Respondents by Academic Center | 23 |
| 5 Place of Permanent Residence at Time of Graduation of Survey Respondents by Academic Center | 25 |
| 6 Current Place of Permanent Residence of Survey Respondents by Academic Center | 26 |
| 7 Language of Best communication of Survey Respondents by Academic Center | 27 |
| 8 Year of Graduation from NSU of Survey Respondents by Academic Center | 28 |
| 9 Place of Class Attendance of Survey Respondents | 29 |
| 10 Highest Degree Now Held of Survey Respondents by Academic Center | 30 |
| 11 Primary Enrollment Status at NSU of Survey Respondents by Academic Center | 32 |
| 12 Marital Status During Any Part of Enrollment at NSU of Survey Respondents by Academic Center | 33 |

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

| Table | Page | |
|-------|---|----|
| 13 | Years of Attendance at NSU of Survey Respondents by Academic Center | 34 |
| 14 | Planning to Attend NSU in the Future of Survey Respondents by Academic Center | 36 |
| 15 | Frequency of Response to Reasons to Attend NSU of Survey Respondents by Academic Center. | 37 |
| 16 | Frequency of Response to What Survey Respondents Would Have Done If they had Not Attended NSU by Academic Center | 39 |
| 17.A | Impact of NSU on Selected Outcome Indicators: All Undergraduate Programs | 42 |
| 17.B | Impact of NSU on selected Outcome Indicators: James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | 43 |
| 17.C | Impact of NSU on Selected Outcome Indicators: Health Professions Division | 44 |
| 17.D | Impact of NSU on Selected Outcome Indicators: Center for the Study of International Tourism | 45 |
| 18.A | Level of Agreement With Selected Outcome Indicators: All Undergraduate Programs | 46 |
| 18.B | Level of Agreement With Selected Outcome Indicators: James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | 47 |
| 18.C | Level of Agreement With Selected Outcome Indicators: Health Professions Division | 48 |
| 18.D | Level of Agreement With Selected Outcome Indicators: Center for the Study of International Tourism | 49 |
| 19.A | Level of satisfaction With Selected Outcome Indicators: All Undergraduate Programs | 50 |

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

| Table | Page |
|--|------|
| 19.B Level of Satisfaction With Selected Outcome Indicators: Respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | 52 |
| 19.C Level of Satisfaction With Selected Outcome Indicators: Respondents from the Health Professions Division | 54 |
| 19.D Level of Satisfaction With Selected Outcome Indicators: Respondents from the Center for the Study of International Tourism | 56 |
| 20.A Contribution of NSU to Selected Outcome Indicators: All Respondents | 58 |
| 20.B Contribution of NSU to Selected Outcome Indicators: Respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | 59 |
| 20.C Contribution of NSU to Selected Outcome Indicators: Respondents from the Health Professions Division | 60 |
| 20.D Contribution of NSU to Selected Outcome Indicators: Respondents from the Center for the Study of International tourism | 61 |
| 21.A Competence in the Basic Use of Computer: All Respondents | 62 |
| 21.B Competence in the Basic Use of Computer: Respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | 63 |
| 21.C Competence in the Basic Use of Computer: Respondents from the Health Professions Division | 64 |
| 21.D Competence in the Basic Use of Computer: Respondents from the Center for the Study of International Tourism | 65 |
| 22 Years of Professional Work Experience Before Enrollment at NSU by Academic Center | 66 |
| 23 Relationship Between Current Job and Major at NSU by Academic Center | 67 |

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

| Table | | Page |
|--------------|--|-------------|
| 24 | Experiences at NSU to Prepare for Current Job by Academic Center | 68 |
| 25 | Salary or Annual Income of Current Job by Academic Center | 70 |
| 26 | Application to a Graduate or Professional Program Since Graduation for NSU by Academic Center | 72 |
| 27 | Enrollment in a Graduate or Professional Program Since Graduation from NSU by Academic Center | 73 |

INTRODUCTION

Background

Nova Southeastern University was created in January 1994 by the merger of Nova University and Southeastern University of the Health Sciences. Nova University was chartered in 1964 and enrolled 17 graduate students in the 1967 charter class. Southeastern University of the Health Sciences admitted its charter class in 1981.

A review of the *Nova Southeastern University Fact Book* (1996) as well as other sources of information about the University's formative years provides evidence that instruction was first offered to graduate students, not undergraduate students. Undergraduate instruction was not offered at the University until the mid 1970s, when Nova College was formally established. State support for an undergraduate liberal studies program was offered in 1988 by the State of Florida. Soon after, Nova College was renamed the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies in 1989 and the Center held its first convocation in 1990.

Undergraduate programs at the University have experienced sustained enrollment growth since they were first offered. The University reported a Fall Term enrollment of 192 undergraduate students in 1975. By 1976, Fall Term enrollment increased to 1,264 undergraduate students. Fall Term enrollment in 1987 surpassed 2,000 students, and Fall Term enrollment in 1989 surpassed 3,000 students. By 1994, Fall Term enrollment exceeded 4,100 undergraduate students.

Purpose of the Study

This study was conducted to compile background information on graduates of the University's undergraduate programs, to assess satisfaction with outcomes associated with the University's undergraduate programs, to determine computing skills of graduates, and to identify employment and career patterns. The motivation for this study was the need to comply with recommendations from the University's *Self-Study* on two key areas associated with the undergraduate programs:

- Program Evaluation

Undergraduate programs should evaluate the effectiveness of instructional programs by systematically assessing the performance of graduates of their programs in advanced programs or employment, and by sampling former students regarding the effectiveness of the instructional programs (*Institutional Self-Study*, 1996). (p. 113)

- Competencies in the Basic Use of Computers

In the Health Professions Division, and in the Liberal Studies Program of the Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies, additional efforts need to be made to demonstrate that graduates are competent in the basic use of computers (*Institutional Self-Study*, 1996). (p. 113)

METHODOLOGY

Survey Development

Topical Areas

In response to two *Self-Study* recommendations, in May 1995 Research and Planning was charged with the responsibility of conducting an assessment of graduates of the University's undergraduate programs. To follow along with recommendations and to also take advantage of the opportunity of enhancing the University's knowledge of these graduates, Research and Planning developed a survey to address four key areas:

1. Demographic information
2. Satisfaction with the University
3. Competence in the basic use of computers
4. Employment and career patterns

Timeline for Survey Development

To meet deadlines associated with the University's *Self-Study* and the anticipated arrival of the full Visiting Team in October 1996, Research and Planning immediately contacted, through a May 18, 1995, memorandum (Appendix), the three academic center directors charged with responsibility for undergraduate programs: the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies, the Health Professions Division, and the Center for the Study of International Tourism. Center directors were advised of the proposed invited sample and the desire to use sampling procedures established in the October 1994 series of *Self-Study* surveys. Center directors were also given copies of American College Testing (ACT) Program surveys, with these surveys viewed as a model for instrumentation. After telephone and written reactions, Research and Planning distributed a June 12, 1995, outline for the assessment. Modifications to survey statements were offered throughout June and mid-July 1995 by center personnel and University administrators.

Instrumentation

Based on reactions to sample survey statements by the three center directors as well reactions by *Self-Study* members, Research and Planning drafted a sample survey instrument in June 1995. Wording of the final survey instrument was approved in late July 1995. Funds were allocated to allow the production of a highly-attractive six-sided tri-fold survey instrument, including color photographs of the University and graduates of the University's undergraduate programs.

Population

The population for this study consisted of all July 1, 1990, to June 30, 1995, graduates of the University's undergraduate programs. These dates were selected, in part, to remain in parity with the methodology of the October 1994 *Self-Study* surveys administered by Research and Planning (*Management of Self-Study Surveys Administered by Research and Planning*, 1995).

Invited Sample

Because of the large number of graduates from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies who graduated between July 1, 1990, to June 30, 1995 (N = 4,181 with a useable mailing label), it was decided that it would be impractical to query all graduates of this center. Instead, a sampling process was used. However, the number of graduates from undergraduate programs in the Health Professions Division (N = 260 with a useable mailing label) and graduates from the Center for the Study of International Tourism (N = 103 with a useable mailing label) was manageable and it was decided to include all appropriate graduates from these two centers in the invited sample.

James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies

The general plan for sampling was to select, through a mechanical selection process based on the alphabetical order of last name, from all graduates with a useable mailing label:

- 10 percent of all July 1, 1990, to June 30, 1993, graduates plus a 5 percent replacement pool

- 1990/91 N = 797
- 1991/92 N = 835
- 1992/93 N = 965

- 30 percent all July 1, 1993, to June 30, 1995, graduates plus a 5 percent replacement pool
 - 1993/94 N = 858
 - 1994/95 N = 727

The generation of mailing labels for graduates of the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies follows:

| Year | Graduates | Graduates with a Perm. Address | Multiplier | # Labels Expected | # Labels Generated |
|-------|-----------|--------------------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 90/91 | 809 | 797 | 10% + 5% | 120 | 120 |
| 91/92 | 847 | 835 | 10% + 5% | 125 | 125 |
| 92/93 | 989 | 965 | 10% + 5% | 145 | 145 |
| 93/94 | 875 | 858 | 30% + 5% | 300 | 290 |
| 94/95 | 737 | 726 | 30% + 5% | 254 | 221 |

When viewing these numbers, it should be mentioned that labels were later pulled and not used for graduates with a mailing label that indicated an international address.

Health Professions Division

The invited sample for graduates from undergraduate programs represented in the Health Professions Division (N = 260) consisted of all students earning a B.S. from this academic center between July 1, 1990, and June 30, 1995, for whom a U.S. mailing label was available. Mailing labels were provided either by the appropriate program office or by the Registrar's Office.

An exception to the general declaration of viewing all July 1, 1990, to June 30, 1995, graduates as members of the invited sample was that the College of Pharmacy had recently conducted a mailing to all B.S. Pharmacy graduates. Approximately 13 percent of all mailings were returned by the United States Postal Service to the College of Pharmacy as undeliverable due to either a changed or incorrect address. These graduates were not included in the invited sample since it was known that Research and Planning would not be able to use current mailing labels to contact these graduates. Additionally, surveys were not mailed to any graduates with a mailing label indicating an international mailing address.

Center for the Study of
International Tourism

All July 1, 1990, to June 30, 1995, graduates from the Center for the Study of International Tourism for whom a U.S. mailing label was available (N = 103) were included in the invited sample. Graduates with a mailing label indicating an international mailing address were excluded from the invited sample.

Survey Distribution

The University's mail service was notified in late September 1995 to prepare for a mass mailing of surveys in large envelopes. The initial distribution of surveys (N = 1,044) was effected on October 3, 1995. This initial mailing included all subjects in the invited sample, with the exception of B.S. Vision Science graduates (N = 54). Surveys for B.S. Vision Science graduates were not mailed until October 12, 1995, due to a delay in Research and Planning's acquisition of mailing labels for these graduates.

The second distribution of surveys, drawing on graduates from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies in the five percent replacement pool, continued throughout October 1995 and the first week of November 1995. As surveys were returned by the United States Postal Service as undeliverable, records were maintained so that replacement surveys were immediately mailed to graduates from the appropriate graduation year replacement pool, until all appropriate replacement surveys were either expunged or replacement was unnecessary.

Responding Sample

The responding sample consisted of all survey respondents who returned a useable survey during the data collection period, October 3, 1995, to November 15, 1995. Detailed statistics on survey distribution and return are offered in Table 1.A and Table 1.B. Throughout the survey distribution period, 1,150 surveys were mailed to the invited sample and 80 surveys were returned by the United States Postal Service as "undeliverable." From this potential responding sample of 1,070 subjects, surveys were returned by 229 subjects during the declared sampling period. The final response rate was 21.4 percent. For reference, the response rate of graduates of undergraduate programs for the October 1994 *Self-Study* survey was 26.8 percent (*Management of Self-Study Surveys Administered by Research and Planning*, 1995).

Table 1.A
Survey Response by Academic Center and Major

| Academic Center and Major | N | % |
|---|----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| B.A. Liberal Arts | 3 | 1.3 |
| B.S. Accounting | 9 | 3.9 |
| B.S. Administrative Studies | 12 | 5.2 |
| B.S. Applied Professional Studies | 3 | 1.3 |
| B.S. Business Administration | 31 | 13.5 |
| B.S. Computer Information Systems | 0 | 0.0 |
| B.S. Computer Science | 1 | 0.4 |
| B.S. Computer Systems | 0 | 0.0 |
| B.S. Elementary Education | 24 | 10.5 |
| B.S. Exceptional Education | 9 | 3.9 |
| B.S. General Studies | 0 | 0.0 |
| B.S. Legal Assistant Studies | 0 | 0.0 |
| B.S. Legal Studies (Prelaw) | 6 | 2.6 |
| B.S. Life Sciences (Premedical) | 2 | 0.9 |
| B.S. Ocean Studies | 0 | 0.0 |
| B.S. Professional Management | 53 | 23.1 |
| B.S. Psychology | 17 | 7.4 |
| B.S. Secondary Education | 3 | 1.3 |

Table 1.A (Continued)

| Academic Center and Major | N | % |
|--|----|------|
| Health Professions Division | 36 | 15.7 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | 16 | 7.0 |
| Unidentified | 4 | 1.7 |

Note. In July 1995 the Center for the Study of International tourism was assimilated into the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies. All Hospitality Management majors invited to participate in this study graduated when the Center for the Study of International Tourism was a separate academic center.

Bias estimators and comparisons of survey respondents who indicated that they graduated from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies to actual graduates are identified in Table 1.C. Bias estimators are only offered for survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies because:

- Baseline demographic data were not available for graduates from the Health Professions Division.
- The number of respondents from the Center for the Study of International Tourism (N = 16) was too low to allow meaningful estimates of bias in survey response.

Table 1.B

Survey Distribution and Response by Academic Center
and by Year of Graduation

| Academic Center and Year | SURVEY DISTRIBUTION | | | | RETURNED SURVEYS | | |
|---|---------------------|---------|-------|-------------|------------------|----------|--|
| | 1st Try | 2nd Try | Total | Post Office | Graduates | % Return | |
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | | | | | | |
| 7/1/90 to 6/30/91 | 80 | 15 | 95 | 16 | 5 | 6.3 | |
| 7/1/91 to 6/30/92 | 83 | 1 | 84 | 1 | 14 | 16.9 | |
| 7/1/92 to 6/30/93 | 97 | 17 | 114 | 18 | 14 | 14.6 | |
| 7/1/93 to 6/30/94 | 257 | 16 | 273 | 18 | 63 | 24.7 | |
| 7/1/94 to 6/30/95 | 218 | 3 | 221 | 9 | 71 | 33.5 | |
| Health Professions Division | | | | | | | |
| 7/1/90 to 6/30/95 | 260 | -- | 260 | 8 | 36 | 14.3 | |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | | | | | | |
| 7/1/90 to 6/30/95 | 103 | -- | 103 | 10 | 16 | 17.2 | |
| Unidentified | | | | | | | |
| | 10 | -- | | | | | |

Note. As of the cutoff date in November 1995 for survey return, 229 surveys were returned by 229 subjects, for a final survey return rate of 21.4 percent.



Table 1.C
Survey Response by Bias Estimator

James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies

| Bias Estimator | Survey Respondents | All Graduates |
|---|--------------------|---------------|
| Age at Time of Graduation (93/94) | | |
| Median | 35 | 32 |
| Mode | 22 | 23 |
| Mean | 33 | 35 |
| Gender (93/94) | | |
| Female | 70% | 71% |
| Male | 30% | 29% |
| Ethnicity (90/94) | | |
| African-American | 13% | 16% |
| Asian | 1% | 2% |
| Hispanic | 13% | 18% |
| White | 70% | 63% |
| Other | 2% | 1% |
| Permanent Residence at Time of Graduation (1994) | | |
| South Florida | 72% | 72% |
| Other Florida | 25% | 23% |
| Non-Florida | 1% | 5% |

Data Entry

Data entry was conducted by Research and Planning clerical staff. Data were entered in electronic format using WordPerfect 5.1 against prepared templates. This process was used for data entry of the October 1994 *Self-Study* surveys administered by Research and Planning (*Management of Self-Study Surveys Administered by Research and Planning*, 1995) and resulted in highly acceptable rates of reliability and simplicity.

Comments were provided by 63 survey respondents, or 27.5 percent of all returned surveys. However, comments were only transcribed for survey respondents who also signed their name, thus providing a high degree of accountability for this section of the survey. A complete and unedited transcription of narrative comments that were signed was provided to center directors, for their use in the quality improvement process. Figures related to analysis of survey results are available upon request.

RESULTS

Survey Return

Breakouts in Table 1.B demonstrate that survey return ranged from 33.5 percent for 1994-1995 graduates of the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies to a return rate of 6.3 percent for 1990-1991 graduates. Survey return rates were 14.3 percent for graduates from the Health Professions Division and 17.2 percent for all graduates of the Center for the Study of International Tourism.

Based on statistics presented in Table 1.C, the responding sample is representative of the overall population of July 1, 1990, to June 30, 1995, graduates of the University's undergraduate programs. As presented in Table 1.A, the highest return rate was from B.S. Professional Management graduates, who represented 23.1 percent of all survey respondents. Response rates of 10 percent or greater were also found from B.S. Business Administration graduates (13.5 percent of all survey respondents), B.S. Pharmacy graduates (12.7 percent of all survey respondents), and B.S. Elementary Education graduates (10.5 percent of all survey respondents).

Demographics

Gender

The gender of survey respondents is identified in Table 2. Overall, approximately 63 percent of all survey respondents were female and approximately 37 percent of all survey respondents were male. Females represented approximately 70 percent of all respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies. In contrast, females represented 39 percent of all respondents from the Health Professions Division.

Age at Time of Graduation

The median age at time of graduation for survey respondents is identified in Table 3. The median age for all survey respondents was 33 years at time of graduation. The median age for survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies was 35 years at time of graduation, 28 years for survey respondents from the Health Professions Division, and 29 years for graduates from the Center for the Study of International Tourism.

Ethnicity

The ethnicity of survey respondents is identified in Table 4. Overall, nearly 73 percent of all survey respondents were White, 10 percent African-American, and 12 percent Hispanic. There were differences, however, by academic center regarding the ethnicity of survey respondents. Although Whites represented approximately 70 percent of all survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies, Whites represented nearly 89 percent of all survey respondents from the Health Professions Division and 75 percent of all survey respondents from the Center for the Study of International Tourism.

Permanent Residence at Time of Graduation

The place of permanent residence at time of graduation is detailed in Table 5. Overall, approximately 72 percent of all survey respondents declared that they were permanent resident of either Broward, Dade, Monroe, or Palm Beach County at time of graduation. Approximately 25 percent of all survey respondents identified that they had a permanent residence in another Florida county, and approximately 3 percent of all graduates identified that they were permanent residents of another state at time of graduation.

However, there were noticeable differences, by academic center, regarding permanent residence at time of graduation. Nearly 72 percent of all survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies declared a South Florida permanent residence at time of graduation. In contrast, less than 56 percent of all survey respondents from the Health Professions Division declared that they were permanent residents of Broward, Dade, Monroe, or Palm Beach County at time of graduation. All survey respondents from the Center for the Study of International Tourism indicated that they were permanent residents of South Florida at time of graduation.

Current Place of Permanent Residence

Reflecting the mobility of graduates after graduation, the current place of permanent residence is identified in Table 6. Based on the statement that the responding sample is representative of the overall population, this table offers evidence that approximately 64 percent of all graduates of the University's undergraduate programs are still permanent residents of South Florida: Broward, Dade, Monroe, or Palm Beach County. Further breakouts on place of current permanent residence are identified throughout Table 6.

Language of Best Communication

As presented in Table 7, the language of best communication for graduates of the University's undergraduate programs is unequivocally English. Although the University is located in a multiculturally diverse community, almost 95 percent of all survey respondents declared that English is their best language of communication.

Year of Graduation from the University

The year of graduation from the University by survey respondents is detailed in Table 8. Survey return was highest from the most recent graduates, with 37.6 percent of all survey respondents declaring graduation sometime between July 1, 1994, to June 30, 1995.

Place of Class Attendance

Place of class attendance was addressed in Table 9. In this table it is shown that approximately 42 percent of all graduates attended class either on the Davie Campus or on the East Campus, and 17 percent attended class at the North Miami Beach Campus. Approximately 20 percent of all students attended class in a cluster location somewhere in Broward, Dade, Monroe, or Palm Beach County, and approximately another 20 percent attended class in a cluster location in another Florida County.

Continued Education

Regarding further academic work of graduates of the University's undergraduate programs, the highest degree now held by survey respondents is presented in Table 10. Approximately 30 percent of all survey respondents have gone on for continued education in some form: 15.7 percent of all survey respondents have earned 15 or more graduate credits beyond the Bachelor's degree, 11.4 percent of all survey respondents have gone on for a Master's degree, and 1.7 percent of all survey respondents have continued on to earn a professional degree.

There are differences, however, by academic center in regard to activity in further educational programs. Approximately 29 percent of all survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies have gone on beyond the Bachelor's degree and 25 percent of all survey respondents from the Center for the Study of International Tourism indicated that they had gone beyond the Bachelor's degree. In contrast, over 35 percent of all survey respondents from the undergraduate programs represented at the Health Professions Division have gone on beyond the Bachelor's degree. (It should be mentioned, however, that the Bachelor's degree is not a terminal degree in some Health Professions

Division programs, but is instead awarded during fulfillment of a full professional degree program.)

Declared Enrollment Status

The primary enrollment status of survey respondents is identified in Table 11. Overall, slightly more than 83 percent of all survey respondents declared that they were a full-time student during their undergraduate studies. Of course, response to this statement is a self-declaration and responses may not reflect the official definition of 12 credits per term for full-time status as an undergraduate student.

Differences in response to full-time and part-time status were evident by academic program. Approximately 80 percent of all survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies and the Center for the Study of International Tourism declared that they were full-time students. However, there was a 100 percent declaration of full-time status by survey respondents from the Health Professions Division.

Marital Status During Enrollment at the University

The marital status of graduates, during any part of enrollment at the University, is the focus of Table 12. This statistic is viewed, along with age at time of graduation, as another indicator of the adult status and maturity of undergraduate students at the University. Approximately 53 percent of all survey respondents declared that they were married during part of their enrollment at the University. However, only 39 percent of all Health Professions Division survey respondents marked that they were married during any part of their enrollment at the University.

In contrast, nearly 55 percent of all survey respondents in the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies and 56 percent of all survey respondents from the Center for the Study of International Tourism declared that they were married during part of their enrollment at the University.

Years of Attendance at the University

Years of attendance at the University is detailed in Table 13. This table offers evidence that approximately 51 percent of all survey respondents attended the University for only two years and approximately 32 percent attended the University for three years. In contrast to a vision of enrollment in undergraduate programs that is rapidly becoming replaced by new realities, less than 11 percent of all survey respondents indicated that they attended the

University for four years. Table 13 also details differences by academic center in regard to years of attendance at the University.

Satisfaction With the University

Survey respondents were also asked to respond to a series of statements which focused on satisfaction with the University. In Table 14, survey respondents offered a sense of their desire to attend the University in the future. Only 24 percent of all graduates declared that they would not be willing to attend the University in the future. Over one-third of all survey respondents declared that they are planning to attend the University in the future and approximately 42 percent of all graduates were undecided on this issue. Survey respondents from the Health Professions Division provided the lowest response to this statement, with only 16.7 percent of all Health Professions Division survey respondents definitely indicating that they plan to attend the University in the future, which is in contrast to response rates of approximately 37 percent by survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies and the Center for the Study of International Tourism.

Survey respondents identified reasons for attending the University in Table 15. Although there is a degree of variance by academic center, the overall reasons for graduates attending the University were:

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Location | 63.3 Percent |
| Convenience | 58.5 Percent |
| Type of Programs Available | 53.7 Percent |

Survey respondents were also asked, in Table 16, to react to the statement **What would you have done if you had not attended NSU?** Approximately 25 percent of all survey respondents indicated that they would either not attend college or they did not provide a discernable answer to this statement. Approximately 55 percent of all survey respondents indicated that they would have attended college in South Florida: 22.7 percent would have stayed in South Florida and attended a private college or university, and 32.3 percent would have stayed in South Florida and attended a state college or university. Less than 25 percent of all survey respondents indicated that they would have attended another college or university outside of South Florida: 7.9 percent would attend another private college or university outside of South Florida, and 13.4 percent would attend another state college or university outside of South Florida.

Again, there are differences by academic center. Survey respondents from the Health Professions Division indicated the greatest willingness to leave South Florida, if necessary, to pursue their educational aims. Survey respondents from the Center for the Study of

International Tourism were the least willing to leave South Florida to pursue their educational aims.

Responses to selected statements relating to satisfaction with the University are included in Table 17.A to Table 20.D. Although differences are evident by academic center, there was sufficient evidence (Tables 17.A to 17.D) to report that survey respondents were satisfied with how the University offered preparation for career and competence in major. Overall, respondents were very favorable to statements on how the University helped them in **Acquiring knowledge and skills needed for a career** (Mean = 4.4) and **Becoming competent in my major** (Mean = 4.4).

Other statements with high overall ratings included: **Improving my writing skills** (Mean = 4.2), **Learning to think and reason** (Mean = 4.1), **Developing problem-solving skills** (Mean = 4.1), and **Learning to formulate and reshape my lifetime goals** (Mean = 4.0). Statements that received mean ratings of less than 4.0 tended to follow along the following patterns:

- Survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies reported concern about job-seeking skills and advancement in mathematics and science.
- Survey respondents from the Health Professions Division expressed concern about preparation for job-seeking skills, advancement in reading, writing, mathematics and science, and thinking and reasoning skills.
- Survey respondents from the Center for the Study of International Tourism expressed concern about preparation for job-seeking skills and advancement in mathematics, reading, and science.

Table 18.A serves as evidence that survey respondents are also generally pleased with the University on other indicators of satisfaction. The statements **NSU has helped me meet my educational goals** (Mean = 4.4) and **I would recommend NSU to others** (Mean = 4.3) received the highest ratings from survey respondents representing the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies and the Center for the Study of International Tourism. As presented in Table 18.C, survey respondents from the Health Professions Division were very pleased with how the University has helped them meet their educational goals. However, for all other statements in this series of statements, survey respondents from the Health Professions Division offered mean ratings of less than 4.0.

Survey respondents were also given a long list of statements to rate regarding other indicators of satisfaction with the University (Tables 19.A to 19.D). When reviewing overall responses (Table 19.A), it is interesting to note that two of the three highest rated statements included the word quality in the statement: **Quality of instruction** (Mean = 4.3), and **Quality of my program of study** (Mean = 4.3).

Other indicators of survey respondent satisfaction with the University that received an overall rating of 4.0 or greater included: **Transfer of course credits from other colleges to NSU** (Mean = 4.3), **Availability of faculty for office appointments** (Mean = 4.1), **NSU's response to non-traditional students** (Mean = 4.1), **Variety of courses offered** (Mean = 4.1), **Faculty respect for students** (Mean = 4.1), and **Flexible degree requirements** (Mean = 4.1).

The most notable difference between response to selected statements, as presented in Tables 19.A to 19.D, are found in Table 19.C and specific statements receiving a mean rating of 3.0 or less from survey respondents representing the Health Professions Division, including: **Career planning services** (Mean = 3.0), **Flexible degree requirements** (Mean = 3.0), **Student access to computer facilities and services** (Mean = 3.0), **Writing labs, math labs, and other developmental remedial and services** (Mean = 2.9) and **Job placement services** (Mean = 2.8).

As presented in Table 19.B and Table 19.D, response to the statement about **Job placement services** (Mean = 3.0) by survey respondents from James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies was the only other statement, by academic program, to receive a mean rating of 3.0 or less. All other statements in this series received ratings of 3.0 or greater.

In another series of statements relating to satisfaction with the University (Tables 20.A to 20.D), survey respondents were asked to react to broad statements about the University. Overall, survey respondents offered the highest rating (Table 20.A) to the University's contribution to **Preparation for further study** (Mean = 4.0). Noticeable differences for these statements, however, were found when comparing statements from the Health Professions Division and survey respondents representing the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies and the Center for the Study of International Tourism.

- Survey respondents from the Health Professions Division offered a higher rating for the statement **Preparation for a career** (Mean = 4.4), than the statement **Preparation for further study** (Mean = 3.6).
- In contrast, survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies offered the lowest rating in this series of statements to the statement on **Preparation for a career** (Mean = 3.7).

Computing Skills

Survey respondents were also asked to respond to a series of self-ratings on the basic use of computers. When reviewing Tables 21.A to 21.D, it is evident that survey respondents do not have high levels of skill in the basic use of computers. Overall, all statements relating to

the use of computers received a mean rating of less than 4.0 with the exception of one statement on **Ability to use word processing software** (Mean = 4.0). The statement with the lowest overall rating (Table 21.A) was **Ability to use the Internet** (Mean = 2.6).

Although overall mean ratings on the basic use of computers were low, survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies and the Center for the Study of International Tourism indicated greater levels of skill in the use of computing equipment than survey respondents from the Health Professions Division:

- With the exception of the statement relating to **Ability to use the Internet** by survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies, all statements on basic skills with computers by survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies and the Center for the Study of International Tourism received a mean rating 3.0 or greater.
- In contrast, only two of 12 statements relating to basic skills in the use of computers by survey respondents from the Health Professions Division received a mean rating of 3.0 or greater. Survey respondents from the Health Professions Division offered self-ratings of less than 3.0 to the other ten statements related to computing.

Career Patterns

Work Experience

The adult, and particularly the practitioner status, of survey respondents is identified in Table 22. Nearly 58 percent indicated that they had 6 or more years of professional work experience before enrollment at the University.

There was marked contrast, however, between academic centers in regard to prior professional work experience. Approximately 60 percent of all survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies and the Center for the Study of International Tourism indicated 6 or more years of professional work experience prior to enrollment at the University. Among survey respondents from the Health Professions Division, reaction to this statement was quite different, with 30.6 percent of all survey respondents from the Health Professions Division indicating 6 or more years of professional experience. The majority (58.3 percent) of all survey respondents from the Health Professions Division indicated either zero years or one year of professional work experience prior to enrollment at the University.

Current Job

Nearly 80 percent of all survey respondents indicated that their current job is either **Highly Related** (59.1 percent) or **Moderately Related** (19.7 percent) to their major at the University (Table 23). Differences were obvious, however, by academic program:

- Over 86 percent of all survey respondents from the Health Professions Division students indicated that their current job is **Highly Related** to their major.
- For survey respondents from the Center for the Study of International Tourism, 75 percent indicated that their current job is **Highly Related** to their major.
- In contrast, less than 45 percent of all survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies indicated that their current job is **Highly Related** to their major.

Another finding in Table 23 is that less than ten percent of all survey respondents marked **Not At All Related** when asked to indicate the relationship between their current job and their major at the University. Equally important, less than five percent of all survey respondents indicated that they are currently unemployed.

In response to the question **How well did your experiences at NSU prepare you for your current job?**, nearly 50 percent of all respondents offered a very favorable response (Table 24):

- **Exceptionally Well** 18.8 percent
- **More than Adequately** 28.4 percent

Survey respondents from the Health Professions Division offered the highest rating for this statement, with 30.6 percent of all survey respondents from the Health Professions Division stating **Exceptionally Well** and 30.6 percent stating **More than Adequately**. Survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies and the Center for the Study of International Tourism tended to respond favorably to this statement, but not at the high level of satisfaction found among survey respondents from the Health Professions Division.

Salary

Survey respondents were also asked to identify the salary or annual income from their current job (Table 25). Overall, the most frequent salary range for survey respondents was



\$20,000 to \$29,999 per year. However, there was extreme variance, by academic center, in response to this section of the survey:

- Nearly 80 percent of all survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for the Undergraduate Studies indicated an annual income of \$49,999 or less.
- Over 90 percent of all survey respondents from the Center for the Study of International Tourism indicated an annual income of \$49,999 or less.
- In marked contrast, 75 percent of all survey respondents from the Health Professions Division indicated an annual income of \$50,000 or more.

Involvement in Continued Education

Survey respondents were also asked to identify their involvement in further educational endeavors, in either a graduate program or a professional program. Overall, approximately 40 percent of all survey respondents indicated that they have applied to either a graduate or professional program since graduation from the University (Table 26). This enrollment rate was highest for graduates from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies (42.4 percent), and lowest for graduates from the Health Professions Division (22.7 percent).

Survey respondents were also asked if they had actually yet enrolled in either a graduate or professional program since graduation from the University (Table 27). Slightly more than one-third of all survey respondents indicated that they had enrolled in graduate or professional studies since graduation from the University. This rate of enrollment was highest for survey respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies and lowest for graduates from the Center for the Study of International Tourism.

Tables 2 to 27, which appear on pages 22 to 73, provide summary data of findings from responses to the survey. Figures are also available, upon request.

Table 2
Gender of Survey Respondents by Academic Center

| Academic Center and Gender | N | % |
|---|-----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| Female | 120 | 69.4 |
| Male | 52 | 30.1 |
| Unidentified | 1 | 0.6 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| Female | 14 | 38.9 |
| Male | 22 | 61.1 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| Female | 7 | 43.8 |
| Male | 9 | 56.3 |
| TOTAL | | |
| Female | 144 | 62.9 |
| Male | 84 | 36.7 |
| Unidentified | 1 | 0.4 |

Table 3
Age at Time of Graduation of Survey Respondents by Academic Center

| Academic Center | Mode | Median | Mean | SD | N |
|--|------|--------|------|------|-----|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | 22 | 35 | 35.2 | 10.3 | 154 |
| Health Professions Division | 23 | 28 | 29.0 | 5.8 | 36 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | 29 | 29 | 30.6 | 5.8 | 15 |
| TOTAL | 23 | 33 | 33.8 | 9.7 | 208 |

Table 4

Ethnicity of Survey Respondents by Academic Center

| Academic Center and Ethnicity | N | % |
|---|-----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| African-American | 22 | 12.7 |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 1 | 0.6 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 1 | 0.6 |
| Hispanic | 23 | 13.3 |
| White | 121 | 69.9 |
| Other or Unknown | 4 | 2.3 |
| Unidentified | 1 | 0.6 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| African-American | 0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0 | 0.0 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hispanic | 3 | 8.3 |
| White | 32 | 88.9 |
| Other or Unknown | 0 | 0.0 |
| Unidentified | 1 | 2.8 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| African-American | 1 | 6.3 |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0 | 0.0 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hispanic | 1 | 6.3 |
| White | 12 | 75.0 |
| Other or Unknown | 2 | 12.5 |

Table 4 (Continued)

| Academic Center and Ethnicity | N | % |
|-----------------------------------|-----|------|
| TOTAL | | |
| African-American | 23 | 10.0 |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 1 | 0.4 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 1 | 0.4 |
| Hispanic | 28 | 12.2 |
| White | 167 | 72.9 |
| Other or Unknown | 7 | 3.1 |
| Unidentified | 2 | 0.9 |

Table 5

Place of Permanent Residence at Time of Graduation
of Survey Respondents by Academic Center

| Academic Center and Residence | N | % |
|---|-----|-------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| Broward, Dade, Monroe, or Palm Beach County | 125 | 72.3 |
| Another Florida County | 46 | 26.6 |
| Another State | 2 | 1.2 |
| Another Country | 0 | 0.0 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| Broward, Dade, Monroe, or Palm Beach County | 20 | 55.6 |
| Another Florida County | 12 | 33.3 |
| Another State | 4 | 11.1 |
| Another Country | 0 | 0.0 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| Broward, Dade, Monroe, or Palm Beach County | 16 | 100.0 |
| Another Florida County | 0 | 0.0 |
| Another State | 0 | 0.0 |
| Another Country | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | | |
| Broward, Dade, Monroe, or Palm Beach County | 165 | 72.1 |
| Another Florida County | 58 | 25.3 |
| Another State | 6 | 2.6 |
| Another Country | 0 | 0.0 |

Table 6
Current Place of Permanent Residence of Survey
Respondents by Academic Center

| Academic Center and Residence | N | % |
|---|-----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| Broward, Dade, Monroe, or Palm Beach County | 110 | 63.6 |
| Another Florida County | 48 | 27.7 |
| Another State | 12 | 6.9 |
| Another Country | 1 | 0.6 |
| Unidentified | 2 | 1.2 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| Broward, Dade, Monroe, or Palm Beach County | 20 | 55.6 |
| Another Florida County | 10 | 27.8 |
| Another State | 6 | 16.7 |
| Another Country | 0 | 0.0 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| Broward, Dade, Monroe, or Palm Beach County | 13 | 81.3 |
| Another Florida County | 0 | 0.0 |
| Another State | 2 | 12.5 |
| Another Country | 1 | 6.3 |
| TOTAL | | |
| Broward, Dade, Monroe, or Palm Beach County | 146 | 63.8 |
| Another Florida County | 59 | 25.8 |
| Another State | 20 | 8.7 |
| Another Country | 2 | 0.9 |
| Unidentified | 2 | 0.9 |

Table 7
Language of Best Communication of Survey Respondents
by Academic Center

| Academic Center and Language | N | % |
|---|-----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| English | 164 | 94.8 |
| Spanish | 1 | 0.6 |
| French | 0 | 0.0 |
| An Asian Language | 1 | 0.6 |
| Other | 2 | 1.2 |
| Unidentified | 5 | 2.9 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| English | 35 | 97.2 |
| Spanish | 0 | 0.0 |
| French | 0 | 0.0 |
| An Asian Language | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 1 | 2.8 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| English | 14 | 87.5 |
| Spanish | 1 | 6.3 |
| French | 0 | 0.0 |
| An Asian Language | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 1 | 6.3 |
| TOTAL | | |
| English | 217 | 94.8 |
| Spanish | 2 | 0.9 |
| French | 0 | 0.0 |
| An Asian Language | 1 | 0.4 |
| Other | 4 | 1.7 |
| Unidentified | 5 | 2.2 |

Table 8
Year of Graduation from NSU of Survey Respondents
by Academic Center

| Academic Center and Year of Graduation | N | % |
|---|----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| July 1, 1990, to June 30, 1991 | 5 | 2.9 |
| July 1, 1991, to June 30, 1992 | 14 | 8.1 |
| July 1, 1992, to June 30, 1993 | 14 | 8.1 |
| July 1, 1993, to June 30, 1994 | 63 | 36.4 |
| July 1, 1994, to June 30, 1995 | 71 | 41.0 |
| Unidentified | 6 | 3.5 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| July 1, 1990, to June 30, 1991 | 4 | 11.1 |
| July 1, 1991, to June 30, 1992 | 6 | 16.7 |
| July 1, 1992, to June 30, 1993 | 9 | 25.0 |
| July 1, 1993, to June 30, 1994 | 6 | 16.7 |
| July 1, 1994, to June 30, 1995 | 10 | 27.8 |
| Unidentified | 1 | 2.8 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| July 1, 1990, to June 30, 1991 | 2 | 12.5 |
| July 1, 1991, to June 30, 1992 | 2 | 12.5 |
| July 1, 1992, to June 30, 1993 | 5 | 31.3 |
| July 1, 1993, to June 30, 1994 | 3 | 18.8 |
| July 1, 1994, to June 30, 1995 | 4 | 25.0 |
| TOTAL | | |
| July 1, 1990, to June 30, 1991 | 12 | 5.2 |
| July 1, 1991, to June 30, 1992 | 23 | 10.0 |
| July 1, 1992, to June 30, 1993 | 29 | 12.7 |
| July 1, 1993, to June 30, 1994 | 72 | 31.4 |
| July 1, 1994, to June 30, 1995 | 86 | 37.6 |
| Unidentified | 7 | 3.1 |

Table 9

Place of Class Attendance of Survey Respondents

| Place of Class Attendance | N | % |
|--|----|------|
| Davie Campus or East Campus | 96 | 41.9 |
| North Miami Beach Campus | 39 | 17.0 |
| Cluster Location in Broward, Dade, Monroe, or Palm Beach County | 46 | 20.1 |
| Cluster Location in Another Florida County | 47 | 20.5 |
| Cluster Location in Another State | 0 | 0.0 |
| Cluster Location in Another Country | 0 | 0.0 |

Table 10
Highest Degree Now Held of Survey Respondents
by Academic Center

| Academic Center and Highest Degree | N | % |
|---|-----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| Bachelor's | 124 | 71.7 |
| Bachelor's plus 15 or more Graduate Credits | 25 | 14.5 |
| Master's | 23 | 13.3 |
| Specialist | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional Degree | 0 | 0.0 |
| Doctoral | 0 | 0.0 |
| Unidentified | 1 | 0.6 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| Bachelor's | 23 | 63.9 |
| Bachelor's plus 15 or more Graduate Credits | 6 | 16.7 |
| Master's | 1 | 2.8 |
| Specialist | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional Degree | 4 | 11.1 |
| Doctoral | 0 | 0.0 |
| Unidentified | 2 | 5.6 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| Bachelor's | 12 | 75.0 |
| Bachelor's plus 15 or more Graduate Credits | 3 | 18.8 |
| Master's | 1 | 6.3 |
| Specialist | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional Degree | 0 | 0.0 |
| Doctoral | 0 | 0.0 |

Table 10 (Continued)

| Academic Center and Highest Degree | N | % |
|--|-----|------|
| TOTAL | | |
| Bachelor's | 159 | 69.4 |
| Bachelor's plus 15 or more Graduate Credits | 36 | 15.7 |
| Master's | 26 | 11.4 |
| Specialist | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional Degree | 4 | 1.7 |
| Doctoral | 0 | 0.0 |
| Unidentified | 4 | 1.7 |

Table 11

**Primary Enrollment Status at NSU of Survey Respondents
by Academic Center**

| Academic Center and Enrollment Status | N | % |
|---|----------|----------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| Full-Time Student | 138 | 79.8 |
| Part-Time Student | 32 | 18.5 |
| Unidentified | 3 | 1.7 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| Full-Time Student | 36 | 100.0 |
| Part-Time Student | 0 | 0.0 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| Full-Time Student | 13 | 81.3 |
| Part-Time Student | 3 | 18.8 |
| TOTAL | | |
| Full-Time Student | 191 | 83.4 |
| Part-Time Student | 35 | 15.3 |
| Unidentified | 3 | 1.3 |

Table 12

**Marital Status During Any Part of Enrollment at NSU
of Survey Respondents by Academic Center**

| Academic Center and Marital Status | N | % |
|---|----------|----------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| Married during part of enrollment | 95 | 54.9 |
| Not married during part of enrollment | 78 | 45.1 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| Married during part of enrollment | 14 | 38.9 |
| Not married during part of enrollment | 22 | 61.1 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| Married during part of enrollment | 9 | 56.3 |
| Not married during part of enrollment | 7 | 43.8 |
| TOTAL | | |
| Married during part of enrollment | 121 | 52.8 |
| Not married during part of enrollment | 108 | 47.2 |

Table 13
Years of Attendance at NSU of Survey Respondents
by Academic Center

| Academic Center and Years of Attendance | N | % |
|---|-----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| 1 Year | 7 | 4.0 |
| 2 Years | 102 | 59.0 |
| 3 Years | 37 | 21.4 |
| 4 Years | 21 | 12.1 |
| 5 Years | 2 | 1.2 |
| 6 or more Years | 2 | 1.2 |
| Unidentified | 2 | 1.2 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| 1 Year | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2 Years | 5 | 13.9 |
| 3 Years | 29 | 80.6 |
| 4 Years | 2 | 5.6 |
| 5 Years | 0 | 0.0 |
| 6 or more Years | 0 | 0.0 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| 1 Year | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2 Years | 9 | 56.3 |
| 3 Years | 5 | 31.3 |
| 4 Years | 1 | 6.3 |
| 5 Years | 1 | 6.3 |
| 6 or more Years | 0 | 0.0 |

Table 13 (Continued)

| Academic Center and Years of Attendance | N | % |
|--|----------|----------|
| TOTAL | | |
| 1 Year | 7 | 3.1 |
| 2 Years | 117 | 51.1 |
| 3 Years | 73 | 31.9 |
| 4 Years | 24 | 10.5 |
| 5 Years | 4 | 1.7 |
| 6 or more Years | 2 | 0.9 |
| Unidentified | 2 | 0.9 |

Table 14

Planning to Attend NSU in the Future

| Academic Center and Years of Attendance | N | % |
|---|----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| Yes | 64 | 37.0 |
| Undecided | 71 | 41.0 |
| No | 38 | 22.0 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| Yes | 6 | 16.7 |
| Undecided | 17 | 47.2 |
| No | 13 | 36.1 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| Yes | 6 | 37.5 |
| Undecided | 7 | 43.8 |
| No | 3 | 18.8 |
| TOTAL | | |
| Yes | 78 | 34.1 |
| Undecided | 96 | 41.9 |
| No | 55 | 24.0 |

Table 15

Frequency of Response to Reasons to Attend NSU

| Academic Center and Response | N | % |
|---|-----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| Academic Reputation | 42 | 24.3 |
| Admissions Standards | 18 | 10.4 |
| Advice of High School Counselors and Teachers | 4 | 2.3 |
| Advice of Parents or Relatives | 15 | 8.7 |
| Availability of Scholarships or Financial Aid | 25 | 14.5 |
| Convenience | 107 | 61.8 |
| Cost | 13 | 7.5 |
| Location | 108 | 62.4 |
| Small Class Size | 72 | 41.6 |
| Social Atmosphere | 11 | 6.4 |
| Type of Programs Available | 86 | 49.7 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| Academic Reputation | 5 | 13.9 |
| Admissions Standards | 10 | 27.8 |
| Advice of High School Counselors and Teachers | 4 | 11.1 |
| Advice of Parents or Relatives | 10 | 27.8 |
| Availability of Scholarships or Financial Aid | 5 | 13.9 |
| Convenience | 16 | 44.4 |
| Cost | 2 | 5.6 |
| Location | 28 | 77.8 |
| Small Class Size | 6 | 16.7 |
| Social Atmosphere | 2 | 5.6 |
| Type of Programs Available | 22 | 61.1 |

Table 15 (Continued)

| Academic Center and Response | N | % |
|--|-----|------|
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| Academic Reputation | 7 | 43.8 |
| Admissions Standards | 5 | 31.3 |
| Advice of High School Counselors and Teachers | 3 | 18.8 |
| Advice of Parents or Relatives | 2 | 12.5 |
| Availability of Scholarships or Financial Aid | 4 | 25.0 |
| Convenience | 9 | 56.3 |
| Cost | 3 | 18.8 |
| Location | 6 | 37.5 |
| Small Class Size | 7 | 43.8 |
| Social Atmosphere | 3 | 18.8 |
| Type of Programs Available | 12 | 75.0 |
| TOTAL | | |
| Academic Reputation | 57 | 24.9 |
| Admissions Standards | 36 | 15.7 |
| Advice of High School Counselors and Teachers | 12 | 5.2 |
| Advice of Parents or Relatives | 28 | 12.2 |
| Availability of Scholarships or Financial Aid | 35 | 15.3 |
| Convenience | 134 | 58.5 |
| Cost | 19 | 8.3 |
| Location | 145 | 63.3 |
| Small Class Size | 86 | 37.6 |
| Social Atmosphere | 17 | 7.4 |
| Type of Programs Available | 123 | 53.7 |

Note. Survey respondents were asked to rank the three most important selections in response to the statement "Why did you decide to attend NSU?" However, most respondents did not respond by ranking three selections but instead checked an array of selections.

Table 16

**Frequency of Response to What Survey Respondents Would Have Done
If they had Not Attended NSU by Academic Center**

| Academic Center and Response | N | % |
|---|----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| Attend another private college or university in South Florida | 44 | 25.4 |
| Attend another private college or university, but not in South Florida | 14 | 8.1 |
| Attend a state college or university in South Florida | 66 | 38.2 |
| Attend a state college or university in Florida, but not in South Florida | 17 | 9.8 |
| Not attended college | 20 | 11.6 |
| Other | 8 | 4.6 |
| Unidentified | 4 | 2.3 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| Attend another private college or university in South Florida | 1 | 2.8 |
| Attend another private college or university, but not in South Florida | 4 | 11.1 |
| Attend a state college or university in South Florida | 2 | 5.6 |
| Attend a state college or university in Florida, but not in South Florida | 16 | 44.4 |
| Not attended college | 2 | 5.6 |

Table 16 (Continued)

| Academic Center and Response | N | % |
|---|----|------|
| Other | 10 | 27.8 |
| Unidentified | 1 | 2.8 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| Attend another private college or university in South Florida | 5 | 31.3 |
| Attend another private college or university, but not in South Florida | 0 | 0.0 |
| Attend a state college or university in South Florida | 5 | 31.3 |
| Attend a state college or university in Florida, but not in South Florida | 0 | 0.0 |
| Not attended college | 1 | 6.3 |
| Other | 5 | 31.3 |
| TOTAL | | |
| Attend another private college or university in South Florida | 52 | 22.7 |
| Attend another private college or university, but not in South Florida | 18 | 7.9 |
| Attend a state college or university in South Florida | 74 | 32.3 |
| Attend a state college or university in Florida, but not in South Florida | 33 | 14.4 |

Table 16 (Continued)

| Academic Center and Response | N | % |
|------------------------------|----|------|
| Not attended college | 23 | 10.0 |
| Other | 24 | 10.5 |
| Unidentified | 5 | 2.2 |

Table 17.A

**Impact of NSU on Selected Outcome Indicators:
All Respondents**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|-----|------|-----|
| Acquiring knowledge and skills needed for a career | 228 | 4.4 | 0.7 |
| Applying scientific knowledge and skills. | 207 | 4.1 | 0.8 |
| Becoming competent in my major. | 228 | 4.4 | 0.7 |
| Developing effective job-seeking skills, such as interviewing and resume construction. | 204 | 3.5 | 0.9 |
| Developing problem-solving skills | 220 | 4.1 | 0.7 |
| Improving my math skills. | 206 | 3.7 | 0.8 |
| Improving my reading skills | 208 | 3.9 | 0.8 |
| Improving my writing skills | 214 | 4.2 | 0.8 |
| Learning about the role of science and technology in society | 189 | 3.8 | 0.8 |
| Learning to formulate and re-shape my lifetime goals | 214 | 4.0 | 0.9 |
| Learning to think and reason | 225 | 4.1 | 0.8 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- 1 Very Negative Impact
- 2 Moderately Negative Impact
- 3 No Impact
- 4 Moderately Positive Impact
- 5 Very Positive Impact
- NA Not Applicable
- U Unknown or Unable to Answer

Table 17.B

**Impact of NSU on Selected Outcome Indicators: Respondents
from the James M. Farquhar Center for
Undergraduate Studies**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|-----|------|-----|
| Acquiring knowledge and skills needed for a career | 172 | 4.4 | 0.7 |
| Applying scientific knowledge and skills | 154 | 4.0 | 0.8 |
| Becoming competent in my major | 172 | 4.4 | 0.7 |
| Developing effective job-seeking skills, such as interviewing and resume construction | 151 | 3.5 | 0.9 |
| Developing problem-solving skills | 167 | 4.1 | 0.8 |
| Improving my math skills | 157 | 3.8 | 0.8 |
| Improving my reading skills | 159 | 4.0 | 0.8 |
| Improving my writing skills | 163 | 4.3 | 0.8 |
| Learning about the role of science and technology in society | 142 | 3.7 | 0.8 |
| Learning to formulate and re-shape my lifetime goals | 164 | 4.0 | 0.9 |
| Learning to think and reason | 172 | 4.2 | 0.8 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- | | |
|----|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Very Negative Impact |
| 2 | Moderately Negative Impact |
| 3 | No Impact |
| 4 | Moderately Positive Impact |
| 5 | Very Positive Impact |
| NA | Not Applicable |
| U | Unknown or Unable to Answer |

Table 17.C

**Impact of NSU on Selected Outcome Indicators: Respondents
from the Health Professions Division**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|-----|------|-----|
| Acquiring knowledge and skills needed for a career | 36 | 4.7 | 0.5 |
| Applying scientific knowledge and skills | 36 | 4.5 | 0.6 |
| Becoming competent in my major | 36 | 4.6 | 0.6 |
| Developing effective job-seeking skills, such as interviewing and resume construction | 34 | 3.4 | 0.9 |
| Developing problem-solving skills | 34 | 4.1 | 0.6 |
| Improving my math skills | 3.8 | 1.0 | |
| Improving my reading skills | 31 | 3.5 | 0.9 |
| Improving my writing skills | 33 | 3.3 | 0.8 |
| Learning about the role of science and technology in society | 33 | 4.0 | 0.9 |
| Learning to formulate and re-shape my lifetime goals | 31 | 3.7 | 0.8 |
| Learning to think and reason | 34 | 3.9 | 0.9 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- 1 Very Negative Impact
- 2 Moderately Negative Impact
- 3 No Impact
- 4 Moderately Positive Impact
- 5 Very Positive Impact
- NA Not Applicable
- U Unknown or Unable to Answer

Table 17.D

**Impact of NSU on Selected Outcome Indicators: Respondents
from the Center for the Study of International Tourism**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|----|------|-----|
| Acquiring knowledge and skills needed for a career | 16 | 4.4 | 0.8 |
| Applying scientific knowledge and skills | 13 | 3.8 | 0.8 |
| Becoming competent in my major | 16 | 4.6 | 0.6 |
| Developing effective job-seeking skills, such as interviewing and resume construction. | 16 | 3.3 | 1.0 |
| Developing problem-solving skills | 15 | 4.3 | 0.6 |
| Improving my math skills | 13 | 3.7 | 0.9 |
| Improving my reading skills | 14 | 3.8 | 0.7 |
| Improving my writing skills | 14 | 4.3 | 0.7 |
| Learning about the role of science and technology in society | 11 | 3.6 | 0.8 |
| Learning to formulate and re-shape my lifetime goals | 16 | 4.2 | 0.7 |
| Learning to think and reason | 16 | 4.3 | 0.7 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- 1 Very Negative Impact
- 2 Moderately Negative Impact
- 3 No Impact
- 4 Moderately Positive Impact
- 5 Very Positive Impact
- NA Not Applicable
- U Unknown or Unable to Answer

Table 18.A

**Level of Agreement With Selected Outcome Indicators:
All Respondents**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|-----|------|-----|
| NSU has helped me meet my educational goals | 229 | 4.4 | 0.8 |
| If choosing a college again, I would choose NSU | 216 | 3.9 | 1.2 |
| My experiences at NSU have equipped me to deal with possible career changes | 214 | 3.9 | 1.0 |
| I would recommend NSU to others | 228 | 4.3 | 1.0 |
| My experiences at NSU have helped motivate me to make something of my life | 221 | 4.0 | 1.1 |
| NSU welcomes and uses feedback from students to improve the University | 188 | 3.6 | 1.3 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- | | |
|----|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree |
| 2 | Disagree |
| 3 | Neutral, Neither Agree nor Disagree |
| 4 | Agree |
| 5 | Strongly Agree |
| NA | Not Applicable |
| U | Unknown or Unable to Answer |

Table 18.B

**Level of Agreement With Selected Outcome Indicators:
 Respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center
 for Undergraduate Studies**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|-----|------|-----|
| NSU has helped me meet my educational goals | 173 | 4.4 | 0.9 |
| If choosing a college again, I would choose NSU | 165 | 4.0 | 1.1 |
| My experiences at NSU have equipped me to deal with possible career changes | 164 | 3.9 | 1.0 |
| I would recommend NSU to others | 172 | 4.3 | 1.0 |
| My experiences at NSU have helped motivate me to make something of my life | 167 | 4.0 | 1.1 |
| NSU welcomes and uses feedback from students to improve the University | 137 | 3.7 | 1.2 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- | | |
|----|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree |
| 2 | Disagree |
| 3 | Neutral, Neither Agree nor Disagree |
| 4 | Agree |
| 5 | Strongly Agree |
| NA | Not Applicable |
| U | Unknown or Unable to Answer |

Table 18.C

**Level of Agreement With Selected Outcome Indicators:
Respondents from the Health Professions Division**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|----|------|-----|
| NSU has helped me meet my educational goals | 36 | 4.6 | 0.6 |
| If choosing a college again, I would choose NSU | 33 | 3.3 | 1.0 |
| My experiences at NSU have equipped me to deal with possible career changes | 30 | 3.5 | 1.0 |
| I would recommend NSU to others | 36 | 3.9 | 1.0 |
| My experiences at NSU have helped motivate me to make something of my life | 35 | 3.9 | 1.1 |
| NSU welcomes and uses feedback from students to improve the University | 33 | 3.0 | 1.3 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- 1 Strongly Disagree
- 2 Disagree
- 3 Neutral, Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 4 Agree
- 5 Strongly Agree
- NA Not Applicable
- U Unknown or Unable to Answer

Table 18.D

**Level of Agreement With Selected Outcome Indicators:
Respondents from the Center for the Study of
International Tourism**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|----|------|-----|
| NSU has helped me meet my educational goals | 16 | 4.4 | 0.8 |
| If choosing a college again, I would choose NSU | 14 | 4.0 | 1.2 |
| My experiences at NSU have equipped me to deal with possible career changes | 16 | 4.2 | 0.9 |
| I would recommend NSU to others | 16 | 4.6 | 0.9 |
| My experiences at NSU have helped motivate me to make something of my life | 16 | 4.3 | 0.7 |
| NSU welcomes and uses feedback from students to improve the University | 15 | 4.5 | 0.5 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- 1 Strongly Disagree
- 2 Disagree
- 3 Neutral, Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 4 Agree
- 5 Strongly Agree
- NA Not Applicable
- U Unknown or Unable to Answer

Table 19.A

**Level of Satisfaction With Selected Outcome Indicators:
All Respondents**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|---|-----|------|-----|
| Availability of faculty for office appointments | 200 | 4.1 | 0.9 |
| Career planning services | 166 | 3.4 | 1.0 |
| Faculty respect for students | 221 | 4.1 | 1.0 |
| Financial aid services | 172 | 3.6 | 1.3 |
| Flexible degree requirements | 212 | 4.1 | 1.0 |
| Job placement services | 126 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| Library and/or learning resources center services | 185 | 3.6 | 1.1 |
| NSU's response to nontraditional students | 169 | 4.1 | 0.9 |
| Opportunities for involvement in campus activities | 141 | 3.6 | 1.0 |
| Personal counseling services | 130 | 3.5 | 1.1 |
| Personal security and safety | 164 | 3.8 | 1.0 |
| Practical work experiences offered in areas related to my major | 171 | 3.8 | 1.1 |
| Quality of academic advising | 201 | 3.7 | 1.1 |
| Quality of instruction | 225 | 4.3 | 0.8 |
| Quality of my program of study | 225 | 4.3 | 0.8 |
| Residence hall services and programs | 74 | 3.3 | 0.9 |

Table 19.A (Continued)

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|-----|------|-----|
| Student health/wellness services | 83 | 3.3 | 0.9 |
| Student access to computer facilities and services | 168 | 3.8 | 1.2 |
| Transfer of course credits from other colleges to NSU | 205 | 4.3 | 0.9 |
| Variety of courses offered | 210 | 4.1 | 0.9 |
| Writing labs, math labs, and other developmental, remedial, and services | 124 | 3.8 | 1.0 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1 | Very Dissatisfied |
| 2 | Dissatisfied |
| 3 | Neutral, Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied |
| 4 | Satisfied |
| 5 | Very Satisfied |
| NA | Not Applicable |
| U | Unknown or Unable to Answer |

Table 19.B

**Level of Satisfaction With Selected Outcome Indicators:
Respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center
for Undergraduate Studies**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|---|-----|------|-----|
| Availability of faculty for office appointments | 146 | 4.1 | 1.0 |
| Career planning services | 116 | 3.5 | 1.1 |
| Faculty respect for students | 166 | 4.2 | 0.9 |
| Financial aid services | 126 | 3.6 | 1.3 |
| Flexible degree requirements | 163 | 4.3 | 0.8 |
| Job placement services | 84 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| Library and/or learning resources center services | 133 | 3.7 | 1.1 |
| NSU's response to nontraditional students | 127 | 4.2 | 0.9 |
| Opportunities for involvement in campus activities | 94 | 3.5 | 1.0 |
| Personal counseling services | 90 | 3.5 | 1.0 |
| Personal security and safety | 118 | 3.8 | 1.0 |
| Practical work experiences offered in areas related to my major | 118 | 3.7 | 1.1 |
| Quality of academic advising | 152 | 3.7 | 1.2 |
| Quality of instruction | 171 | 4.3 | 0.8 |
| Quality of my program of study | 170 | 4.4 | 0.8 |
| Residence hall services and programs | 50 | 3.3 | 0.9 |

Table 19.B (Continued)

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|-----|------|-----|
| Student health/wellness services | 44 | 3.3 | 0.9 |
| Student access to computer facilities and services | 123 | 3.9 | 1.2 |
| Transfer of course credits from other colleges to NSU | 158 | 4.3 | 0.9 |
| Variety of courses offered | 164 | 4.2 | 0.9 |
| Writing labs, math labs, and other developmental, remedial, and services | 96 | 3.9 | 0.9 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1 | Very Dissatisfied |
| 2 | Dissatisfied |
| 3 | Neutral, Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied |
| 4 | Satisfied |
| 5 | Very Satisfied |
| NA | Not Applicable |
| U | Unknown or Unable to Answer |

Table 19.C

**Level of Satisfaction With Selected Outcome Indicators:
Respondents from the Health Professions Division**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|---|----|------|-----|
| Availability of faculty for office appointments | 35 | 4.3 | 0.7 |
| Career planning services | 31 | 3.0 | 0.9 |
| Faculty respect for students | 35 | 3.6 | 1.0 |
| Financial aid services | 31 | 3.5 | 1.3 |
| Flexible degree requirements | 29 | 3.0 | 1.1 |
| Job placement services | 30 | 2.8 | 0.9 |
| Library and/or learning resources center services | 34 | 3.4 | 1.2 |
| NSU's response to nontraditional students | 27 | 3.7 | 1.0 |
| Opportunities for involvement in campus activities | 35 | 3.8 | 0.9 |
| Personal counseling services | 27 | 3.3 | 1.1 |
| Personal security and safety | 32 | 3.3 | 1.0 |
| Practical work experiences offered in areas related to my major | 34 | 4.0 | 1.0 |
| Quality of academic advising | 31 | 3.4 | 1.0 |
| Quality of instruction | 34 | 4.1 | 0.9 |
| Quality of my program of study | 35 | 4.2 | 0.7 |
| Residence hall services and programs | 17 | 3.2 | 0.7 |

Table 19.C (Continued)

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|----|------|-----|
| Student health/wellness services | 32 | 3.5 | 1.0 |
| Student access to computer facilities and services | 29 | 3.0 | 1.1 |
| Transfer of course credits from other colleges to NSU | 29 | 4.1 | 1.0 |
| Variety of courses offered | 27 | 3.2 | 0.9 |
| Writing labs, math labs, and other developmental, remedial, and services | 17 | 2.9 | 0.9 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1 | Very Dissatisfied |
| 2 | Dissatisfied |
| 3 | Neutral, Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied |
| 4 | Satisfied |
| 5 | Very Satisfied |
| NA | Not Applicable |
| U | Unknown or Unable to Answer |

Table 19.D

**Level of Satisfaction With Selected Outcome Indicators:
Respondents from the Center for the Study of
International Tourism**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|---|----|------|-----|
| Availability of faculty for office appointments | 15 | 4.7 | 0.6 |
| Career planning services | 16 | 4.3 | 0.7 |
| Faculty respect for students | 16 | 4.4 | 0.8 |
| Financial aid services | 12 | 3.8 | 1.3 |
| Flexible degree requirements | 16 | 4.3 | 0.9 |
| Job placement services | 10 | 3.1 | 1.1 |
| Library and/or learning resources center services | 14 | 3.7 | 1.0 |
| NSU's response to nontraditional students | 12 | 4.4 | 0.8 |
| Opportunities for involvement in campus activities | 9 | 3.6 | 0.7 |
| Personal counseling services | 11 | 3.7 | 0.8 |
| Personal security and safety | 12 | 4.2 | 0.7 |
| Practical work experiences offered in areas related to my major | 16 | 4.1 | 1.0 |
| Quality of academic advising | 15 | 4.1 | 1.0 |
| Quality of instruction | 16 | 4.5 | 0.6 |
| Quality of my program of study | 16 | 4.4 | 0.9 |
| Residence hall services and programs | 6 | 3.5 | 0.8 |

Table 19.D (Continued)

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|----|------|-----|
| Student health/wellness services | 5 | 3.6 | 0.9 |
| Student access to computer facilities and services | 13 | 4.4 | 0.8 |
| Transfer of course credits from other colleges to NSU | 16 | 4.5 | 0.6 |
| Variety of courses offered | 16 | 4.5 | 0.5 |
| Writing labs, math labs, and other developmental, remedial, and services | 10 | 4.0 | 0.9 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1 | Very Dissatisfied |
| 2 | Dissatisfied |
| 3 | Neutral, Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied |
| 4 | Satisfied |
| 5 | Very Satisfied |
| NA | Not Applicable |
| U | Unknown or Unable to Answer |

Table 20.A

**Contribution of NSU to Selected Outcome Indicators:
All Respondents**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|-----|------|-----|
| Intellectual growth (acquiring knowledge skills, ideas, concepts, analytical thinking) | 223 | 3.9 | 0.9 |
| Personal growth (developing self-understanding, self-discipline, and mature attitudes, values, and goals) | 218 | 3.8 | 1.1 |
| Preparation for a career | 204 | 3.9 | 1.1 |
| Preparation for further study | 205 | 4.0 | 1.0 |
| Social growth and contribution (understanding others and their views, adapting successfully to a variety of social situations) | 212 | 3.8 | 1.1 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- | | |
|----|-----------------------------|
| 1 | No Contribution |
| 2 | Little Contribution |
| 3 | Moderate Contribution |
| 4 | Great Contribution |
| 5 | Very Great Contribution |
| NA | Not Applicable |
| U | Unknown or Unable to Answer |

Table 20.B

**Contribution of NSU to Selected Outcome Indicators:
 Respondents from the James M. Farquhar Center
 for Undergraduate Studies**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|-----|------|-----|
| Intellectual growth (acquiring knowledge skills, ideas, concepts, analytical thinking) | 168 | 3.9 | 0.9 |
| Personal growth (developing self-understanding, self-discipline, and mature attitudes, values, and goals) | 163 | 3.8 | 1.1 |
| Preparation for a career | 149 | 3.7 | 1.2 |
| Preparation for further study | 154 | 4.1 | 1.0 |
| Social growth and contribution (understanding others and their views, adapting successfully to a variety of social situations) | 157 | 3.8 | 1.1 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- | | |
|----|-----------------------------|
| 1 | No Contribution |
| 2 | Little Contribution |
| 3 | Moderate Contribution |
| 4 | Great Contribution |
| 5 | Very Great Contribution |
| NA | Not Applicable |
| U | Unknown or Unable to Answer |

Table 20.C

**Contribution of NSU to Selected Outcome Indicators:
Respondents from the Health Professions Division**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|----|------|-----|
| Intellectual growth (acquiring knowledge skills, ideas, concepts, analytical thinking) | 35 | 3.8 | 1.0 |
| Personal growth (developing self-understanding, self-discipline, and mature attitudes, values, and goals) | 35 | 3.3 | 1.1 |
| Preparation for a career | 35 | 4.4 | 0.7 |
| Preparation for further study | 32 | 3.6 | 1.1 |
| Social growth and contribution (understanding others and their views, adapting successfully to a variety of social situations) | 35 | 3.4 | 1.2 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- | | |
|----|-----------------------------|
| 1 | No Contribution |
| 2 | Little Contribution |
| 3 | Moderate Contribution |
| 4 | Great Contribution |
| 5 | Very Great Contribution |
| NA | Not Applicable |
| U | Unknown or Unable to Answer |

Table 20.D

**Contribution of NSU to Selected Outcome Indicators:
 Respondents from the Center for the Study of
 International Tourism**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|----|------|-----|
| Intellectual growth (acquiring knowledge skills, ideas, concepts, analytical thinking) | 16 | 4.1 | 0.8 |
| Personal growth (developing self-understanding, self-discipline, and mature attitudes, values, and goals) | 16 | 4.3 | 0.8 |
| Preparation for a career | 16 | 4.2 | 0.7 |
| Preparation for further study | 15 | 4.2 | 0.8 |
| Social growth and contribution (understanding others and their views, adapting successfully to a variety of social situations) | 16 | 4.1 | 0.7 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- | | |
|----|-----------------------------|
| 1 | No Contribution |
| 2 | Little Contribution |
| 3 | Moderate Contribution |
| 4 | Great Contribution |
| 5 | Very Great Contribution |
| NA | Not Applicable |
| U | Unknown or Unable to Answer |

Table 21.A
Competence in the Basic Use of Computers:
All Respondents

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|-----|------|-----|
| Ability to use an operating system | 202 | 3.5 | 1.0 |
| Ability to use computing equipment for problem solving | 201 | 3.4 | 1.1 |
| Ability to use database software | 202 | 3.3 | 1.2 |
| Ability to use electronic mail | 196 | 3.4 | 1.4 |
| Ability to use graphics software | 192 | 2.9 | 1.3 |
| Ability to use hardware | 200 | 3.3 | 1.2 |
| Ability to use multimedia hardware and software | 193 | 2.9 | 1.3 |
| Ability to use spreadsheet software | 203 | 3.2 | 1.3 |
| Ability to use the Internet | 167 | 2.6 | 1.4 |
| Ability to use word processing software | 201 | 4.0 | 1.1 |
| Awareness of legal and ethical use of computing equipment | 190 | 3.3 | 1.4 |
| Knowledge of computing technology in an information society | 197 | 3.3 | 1.2 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- | | |
|----|-----------------------------|
| 1 | No Skills |
| 2 | Few Skills |
| 3 | Moderate Skills |
| 4 | Very Skilled |
| 5 | Exceptionally Skilled |
| NA | Not Applicable |
| U | Unknown or Unable to Answer |

Table 21.B

**Competence in the Basic Use of Computers: Respondents
from the James M. Farquhar Center
for Undergraduate Studies**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|-----|------|-----|
| Ability to use an operating system | 154 | 3.6 | 1.0 |
| Ability to use computing equipment for problem solving | 152 | 3.4 | 1.1 |
| Ability to use database software | 153 | 3.4 | 1.2 |
| Ability to use electronic mail | 149 | 3.5 | 1.4 |
| Ability to use graphics software | 146 | 3.0 | 1.3 |
| Ability to use hardware | 153 | 3.4 | 1.2 |
| Ability to use multimedia hardware and software | 147 | 3.0 | 1.2 |
| Ability to use spreadsheet software | 156 | 3.3 | 1.2 |
| Ability to use the Internet | 124 | 2.7 | 1.4 |
| Ability to use word processing software | 152 | 4.1 | 1.1 |
| Awareness of legal and ethical use of computing equipment | 145 | 3.4 | 1.4 |
| Knowledge of computing technology in an information society | 148 | 3.4 | 1.2 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- | | |
|----|-----------------------------|
| 1 | No Skills |
| 2 | Few Skills |
| 3 | Moderate Skills |
| 4 | Very Skilled |
| 5 | Exceptionally Skilled |
| NA | Not Applicable |
| U | Unknown or Unable to Answer |

Table 21.C

**Competence in the Basic Use of Computers: Respondents
from the Health Professions Division**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|----|------|-----|
| Ability to use an operating system | 31 | 3.0 | 0.9 |
| Ability to use computing equipment for problem solving | 31 | 2.9 | 1.1 |
| Ability to use database software | 31 | 2.9 | 1.1 |
| Ability to use electronic mail | 29 | 2.7 | 1.3 |
| Ability to use graphics software | 29 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| Ability to use hardware | 29 | 2.7 | 1.3 |
| Ability to use multimedia hardware and software | 29 | 2.2 | 1.0 |
| Ability to use spreadsheet software | 29 | 2.3 | 1.1 |
| Ability to use the Internet | 27 | 2.0 | 1.2 |
| Ability to use word processing software | 31 | 3.2 | 1.1 |
| Awareness of legal and ethical use of computing equipment | 29 | 2.4 | 1.4 |
| Knowledge of computing technology in an information society | 31 | 2.6 | 1.2 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- | | |
|----|-----------------------------|
| 1 | No Skills |
| 2 | Few Skills |
| 3 | Moderate Skills |
| 4 | Very Skilled |
| 5 | Exceptionally Skilled |
| NA | Not Applicable |
| U | Unknown or Unable to Answer |

Table 21.D

**Competence in the Basic Use of Computers: Respondents
from the Center for the Study of
International Tourism**

| Statement | N | Mean | SD |
|--|----|------|-----|
| Ability to use an operating system | 14 | 3.9 | 0.8 |
| Ability to use computing equipment for problem solving | 15 | 3.7 | 1.0 |
| Ability to use database software | 15 | 3.6 | 1.0 |
| Ability to use electronic mail | 15 | 3.7 | 1.1 |
| Ability to use graphics software | 14 | 3.4 | 0.9 |
| Ability to use hardware | 15 | 3.8 | 0.8 |
| Ability to use multimedia hardware and software | 14 | 3.4 | 1.2 |
| Ability to use spreadsheet software | 15 | 3.7 | 0.8 |
| Ability to use the Internet | 12 | 3.5 | 1.1 |
| Ability to use word processing software | 14 | 4.4 | 0.7 |
| Awareness of legal and ethical use of computing equipment | 13 | 3.6 | 1.0 |
| Knowledge of computing technology in an information society | 15 | 3.8 | 0.9 |

Note. Survey respondents used the following rating scale when responding to these statements:

- | | |
|----|-----------------------------|
| 1 | No Skills |
| 2 | Few Skills |
| 3 | Moderate Skills |
| 4 | Very Skilled |
| 5 | Exceptionally Skilled |
| NA | Not Applicable |
| U | Unknown or Unable to Answer |

Table 22

Years of Professional Work Experience Before Enrollment
at NSU by Academic Center

| Academic Center and Years | N | % |
|---|-----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| 0 Years | 16 | 9.2 |
| 1 Year | 23 | 13.3 |
| 2 Years | 6 | 3.5 |
| 3 Years | 7 | 4.0 |
| 4 Years | 5 | 2.9 |
| 5 Years | 7 | 4.0 |
| 6 or more Years | 109 | 63.0 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| 0 Years | 5 | 13.9 |
| 1 Year | 16 | 44.4 |
| 2 Years | 1 | 2.8 |
| 3 Years | 1 | 2.8 |
| 4 Years | 0 | 0.0 |
| 5 Years | 2 | 5.6 |
| 6 or more Years | 11 | 30.6 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| 0 Years | 0 | 0.0 |
| 1 Year | 1 | 6.3 |
| 2 Years | 2 | 12.5 |
| 3 Years | 1 | 6.3 |
| 4 Years | 1 | 6.3 |
| 5 Years | 2 | 12.5 |
| 6 or more Years | 9 | 56.3 |
| TOTAL | | |
| 0 Years | 21 | 9.2 |
| 1 Year | 40 | 17.5 |
| 2 Years | 9 | 3.9 |
| 3 Years | 10 | 4.4 |
| 4 Years | 6 | 2.6 |
| 5 Years | 11 | 4.8 |
| 6 or more Years | 132 | 57.6 |

Table 23

Relationship Between Current Job and Major at NSU
by Academic Center

| Academic Center and Relationship | N | % |
|---|-----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| Highly Related | 73 | 42.2 |
| Moderately Related | 42 | 24.3 |
| Slightly Related | 29 | 16.8 |
| Not At All Related | 18 | 10.4 |
| I am Currently Unemployed | 5 | 2.9 |
| Unknown or Unable to Answer | 2 | 1.2 |
| Unidentified | 4 | 2.3 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| Highly Related | 31 | 86.1 |
| Moderately Related | 0 | 0.0 |
| Slightly Related | 0 | 0.0 |
| Not At All Related | 0 | 0.0 |
| I am Currently Unemployed | 4 | 11.1 |
| Unknown or Unable to Answer | 1 | 2.8 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| Highly Related | 12 | 75.0 |
| Moderately Related | 2 | 12.5 |
| Slightly Related | 0 | 0.0 |
| Not At All Related | 2 | 12.5 |
| I am Currently Unemployed | 0 | 0.0 |
| Unknown or Unable to Answer | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | | |
| Highly Related | 117 | 59.1 |
| Moderately Related | 45 | 19.7 |
| Slightly Related | 30 | 13.1 |
| Not At All Related | 20 | 8.7 |
| I am Currently Unemployed | 9 | 3.9 |
| Unknown or Unable to Answer | 3 | 1.3 |
| Unidentified | 5 | 2.2 |

Table 24

Experiences at NSU to Prepare for Current Job
by Academic Center

| Academic Center and Experiences | N | % |
|---|----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| Exceptionally Well | 30 | 17.3 |
| More than Adequately | 46 | 26.6 |
| Adequately | 52 | 30.1 |
| Less Than Adequately | 6 | 3.5 |
| Very Poorly | 1 | 0.6 |
| Not At All | 18 | 10.4 |
| I am Currently Unemployed | 7 | 4.0 |
| Unknown or Unable to Answer | 12 | 6.9 |
| Unidentified | 1 | 0.6 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| Exceptionally Well | 11 | 30.6 |
| More than Adequately | 11 | 30.6 |
| Adequately | 9 | 25.0 |
| Less Than Adequately | 0 | 0.0 |
| Very Poorly | 0 | 0.0 |
| Not At All | 1 | 2.8 |
| I am Currently Unemployed | 4 | 11.1 |
| Unknown or Unable to Answer | 0 | 0.0 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| Exceptionally Well | 1 | 6.3 |
| More than Adequately | 7 | 43.8 |
| Adequately | 7 | 43.8 |
| Less Than Adequately | 0 | 0.0 |
| Very Poorly | 0 | 0.0 |
| Not At All | 0 | 0.0 |
| I am Currently Unemployed | 0 | 0.0 |
| Unknown or Unable to Answer | 1 | 6.3 |

Table 24 (Continued)

| Academic Center and Experiences | N | % |
|---------------------------------------|----|------|
| TOTAL | | |
| Exceptionally Well | 43 | 18.8 |
| More than Adequately | 65 | 28.4 |
| Adequately | 68 | 29.7 |
| Less Than Adequately | 7 | 3.1 |
| Very Poorly | 1 | 0.4 |
| Not At All | 19 | 8.3 |
| I am Currently Unemployed | 11 | 4.8 |
| Unknown or Unable to Answer | 14 | 6.1 |
| Unidentified | 1 | 0.4 |

Table 25

Salary or Annual Income of Current Job
by Academic Center

| Academic Center and Salary | N | % |
|---|----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| \$19,999 or less | 16 | 9.2 |
| \$20,000 to \$29,999 | 47 | 27.2 |
| \$30,000 to \$39,999 | 39 | 22.5 |
| \$40,000 to \$49,999 | 18 | 10.4 |
| \$50,000 to \$59,999 | 23 | 13.3 |
| \$60,000 to \$69,999 | 6 | 3.5 |
| \$70,000 or more | 10 | 5.8 |
| Unemployed, looking for work | 6 | 3.5 |
| Unemployed, student | 2 | 1.2 |
| Unemployed, other | 2 | 1.2 |
| Unidentified | 4 | 2.3 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| \$19,999 or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| \$20,000 to \$29,999 | 0 | 0.0 |
| \$30,000 to \$39,999 | 0 | 0.0 |
| \$40,000 to \$49,999 | 2 | 5.6 |
| \$50,000 to \$59,999 | 7 | 19.4 |
| \$60,000 to \$69,999 | 14 | 38.9 |
| \$70,000 or more | 6 | 16.7 |
| Unemployed, looking for work | 2 | 5.6 |
| Unemployed, student | 5 | 13.9 |
| Unemployed, other | 0 | 0.0 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| \$19,999 or less | 2 | 12.5 |
| \$20,000 to \$29,999 | 5 | 31.3 |
| \$30,000 to \$39,999 | 6 | 37.5 |
| \$40,000 to \$49,999 | 2 | 12.5 |
| \$50,000 to \$59,999 | 1 | 6.3 |

Table 25 (Continued)

| Academic Center and Salary | N | % |
|--|----|------|
| \$60,000 to \$69,999 | 0 | 0.0 |
| \$70,000 or more | 0 | 0.0 |
| Unemployed, looking for work | 0 | 0.0 |
| Unemployed, student | 0 | 0.0 |
| Unemployed, other | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | | |
| \$19,999 or less | 19 | 8.3 |
| \$20,000 to \$29,999 | 52 | 22.7 |
| \$30,000 to \$39,999 | 45 | 19.7 |
| \$40,000 to \$49,999 | 22 | 9.6 |
| \$50,000 to \$59,999 | 33 | 14.4 |
| \$60,000 to \$69,999 | 21 | 9.2 |
| \$70,000 or more | 16 | 7.0 |
| Unemployed, looking for work | 8 | 3.5 |
| Unemployed, student | 7 | 3.1 |
| Unemployed, other | 2 | 0.9 |
| Unidentified | 4 | 1.7 |

Table 26

Application to a Graduate or Professional Program Since
Graduation from NSU by Academic Center

| Academic Center and Application Status | N | % |
|---|-----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| Yes, applied to a graduate or professional program | 73 | 42.2 |
| No, has not applied to a graduate or professional program | 100 | 57.8 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| Yes, applied to a graduate or professional program | 8 | 22.2 |
| No, has not applied to a graduate or professional program | 28 | 77.8 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| Yes, applied to a graduate or professional program | 6 | 37.5 |
| No, has not applied to a graduate or professional program | 10 | 62.5 |
| TOTAL | | |
| Yes, applied to a graduate or professional program | 90 | 39.3 |
| No, has not applied to a graduate or professional program | 139 | 60.7 |

Table 27

Enrollment in a Graduate or Professional Program Since
Graduation from NSU by Academic Center

| Academic Center and Enrollment Status | N | % |
|--|-----|------|
| James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies | | |
| Yes, enrolled in a graduate or professional program | 69 | 39.9 |
| No, has not enrolled in a graduate or professional program | 101 | 58.4 |
| Unidentified | 3 | 1.7 |
| Health Professions Division | | |
| Yes, enrolled in a graduate or professional program | 8 | 22.2 |
| No, has not enrolled in a graduate or professional program | 28 | 77.8 |
| Center for the Study of International Tourism | | |
| Yes, enrolled in a graduate or professional program | 3 | 18.8 |
| No, has not enrolled in a graduate or professional program | 13 | 81.3 |
| TOTAL | | |
| Yes, enrolled in a graduate or professional program | 83 | 36.2 |
| No, has not enrolled in a graduate or professional program | 143 | 62.4 |
| Unidentified | 3 | 1.3 |

SUMMARY

From modest beginnings, undergraduate education at the University has experienced extraordinary growth in enrollment. Based on cumulative yearly credits, the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies was the University's largest academic center in Calendar Year 1994, with enrollment in this center resulting in 88,694 cumulative yearly credits. This statistic represented 31 percent of all credits awarded at the University during Calendar Year 1994 (*Enrollment Trends and Characteristics of Nova Southeastern University's Students: Calendar Years 1990 to 1994, 1995*). To place this enrollment statistic in context, the Abraham S. Fischler Center for the Advancement of Education generated 80,435 cumulative yearly credits (28 percent of total) in Calendar Year 1994.

Graduates tended to be very pleased with their University experiences. As an example, over one-third of all survey respondents indicated that they planned to attend the University sometime in the future. Although there are many reasons why students select a university, respondents offered high ratings for location, convenience, and type of programs available. Graduates also indicated a high degree of satisfaction with the University in regard to competence in career and major.

Recommendations

This study was initiated to satisfy two recommendations in the University's *Institutional Self-Study* (1996). For that purpose, this report should be immediately reviewed by *Self-Study* members so that results can be incorporated into the *Self-Study* Addendum. This study, however, was also designed to gain a sense of graduates on a variety of issues. Based on analysis of the many outcomes associated with this study, as well as a review of narrative statements presented to center directors, the following recommendations seem warranted.

Demographics

Based on our knowledge of the University's unique demographic composition, imagery used to portray University students in undergraduate programs should be based on actual demographic characteristics of students in regard to age, gender, and ethnicity. Stock photographs should be selected carefully so that there is an accurate balance in how the undergraduate student body is portrayed.

Recruitment

This study confirmed that the University's undergraduate students are largely permanent residents of South Florida. Marketing personnel in the undergraduate programs may wish to examine their recruitment efforts, to determine if expenditures for out of area recruitment are cost effective in terms of yield and contribution to total enrollment.

This study also offered evidence that over 50 percent of all graduates attended the University for only two years. An analysis of prior enrollment activities of undergraduate students is needed, to offer focus to recruitment efforts. Florida has a large community college system. Is the University drawing students from this system, or are students transferring from other colleges and universities? A better understanding of this activity would help determine the yield and cost effectiveness of current recruitment efforts.

This study also offered evidence that over one-third of all respondents plan to attend the University in the future. To offer focus to University-wide marketing and recruitment efforts, an analysis of enrollment in graduate and professional programs by graduates of the University's undergraduate programs is needed.

Academic Outcomes

Respondents offered exceptionally high ratings to survey statements relating to preparation for career and major. To put this statement into context, it must be recalled that respondents are adults with considerable professional experience before enrollment at the University.

However, there were a few areas on the survey that center directors and faculty may wish to examine in more detail:

- In the Health Professions Division, it would be helpful to examine the means by which mathematics, reading, writing, goal development, and thinking and reasoning skills are presented throughout the curriculum.
- Although the Center for the Study of International Tourism is now placed within the James M. Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies, there is sufficient evidence to warrant an examination of how Hospitality Management majors are exposed to broad issues related to science, mathematics, and reading.

Ancillary Services

Respondents offered positive ratings on their level of satisfaction with services offered by the University. However, in an attempt to strive for continuous improvement in services,

administrators may want to examine the level of satisfaction with specific service areas that received overall mean ratings of 4.0 or less, including the following:

- Academic advising
- Campus activities
- Career planning, the development of job-seeking skills, and job placement services
- Computing facilities and services
- Financial aid
- Health services
- Integration of practical experience into individual majors
- Library services
- Personal counseling
- Residential life
- Support labs

Further, differences between academic centers in ratings to service-related areas may be of interest to center directors and faculty. There were a number of areas where differences between academic centers were quite evident.

Computing

Although the University has developed an extensive computing infrastructure, respondents indicated that they do not have high levels of skills in the basic use of computers. Respondents instead offered generally moderate to low ratings regarding their skills in the use of computing machinery, with graduates representing the Health Professions Division offering the lowest ratings in the basic use of computers.

Since technology will become an ever increasing tool for all professionals, more complete infusion of technology into the curriculum is a rather obvious need and has been addressed with some intensity, including large expenditures of funds over the past five years. Moreover, this issue was a major component of the recently completed *Master Plan* (1995).

Career Patterns

This study offered evidence that respondents are very successful in career advancement. These success indicators should be reviewed by administrators and marketing personnel in order to evaluate their potential utility in marketing efforts.

REFERENCES

Enrollment Trends and Characteristics of Nova Southeastern University's Students: Calendar Years 1990 to 1994. (1995). Fort Lauderdale, Florida: Nova Southeastern University. Research and Planning Report 95-12.

Institutional Self-Study. (1996). Fort Lauderdale, Florida: Nova Southeastern University.

Management of Self-Study Surveys Administered by Research and Planning. (1995). Fort Lauderdale, Florida: Nova Southeastern University. Research and Planning Report 95-04.

Master Plan. (1995). Fort Lauderdale, Florida: Nova Southeastern University, Master Planning Council.

Nova Southeastern University Fact Book. (1996). Fort Lauderdale, Florida: Nova Southeastern University. Research and Planning Report 95-01.

Memorandum

To: D. Dermody, S. Horn, and A. Melnick
From: T. MacFarland
Cc: J. Losak, D. Moorhouse, and N. Schutte
Date: May 18, 1995
Subject: Survey of Graduates of Undergraduate Programs

The purpose of this memorandum is to respond to two tentative recommendations from the *Self-Study's* Subcommittee III:

The Committee recommends that the undergraduate programs evaluate the effectiveness of instructional programs by systematically assessing the performance of graduates of their programs in advanced programs or employment, and sampling the opinion of former students regarding the effectiveness of the instructional programs. Some subdivisions of programs do conduct such assessment, and these efforts might provide a foundation for more systematic assessment.

The Committee recommends that in the Health Professions Division and the Liberal and Professional Studies Programs of the Farquhar Center, additional efforts be made to demonstrate that students graduate with computer skills.

In reaction to these recommendations, in September 1995 Research and Planning will conduct an evaluation of recent graduates of undergraduate programs. I need your help in two key areas:

1. I plan to mail the survey to all 7/1/93 to 6/30/94 graduates of undergraduate programs ($N \approx 950$ students) and a 20 percent mechanical selection sample of all 7/1/90 to 6/30/93 graduates of undergraduate programs, largely to parallel the

selection dates of the 10/94 *Self-Study* survey. Do you see any reason to modify this scheme?

2. Attached are copies of ACT surveys which will serve as a basis for final survey instrumentation. Do you see any statements that would be problematic for graduates of your undergraduate programs?

We plan to prepare the survey as a colored tri-fold or quad-fold. I need to have the survey in final form by July 1995 to meet deadlines with publications. Could you please review the attached surveys and give me your response by June 1, 1995? If you think we need to have a meeting on this survey, please let me know and we can get together within the next two weeks. Thank you for your help on this survey process.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

| | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Title: <u>Graduates of Nova Southeastern University's Undergraduate Programs Tell us what they think About their University Experience</u> | |
| Author(s): <u>Thomas MacFarland</u> | |
| Corporate Source: | Publication Date: <u>Feb. 1996</u> |

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education (RIE)*, are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 1

Level 2A

Level 2B

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (i.e., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, → please

| | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| Signature: <u>Thomas W. MacFarland</u> | Printed Name or Position Title: <u>Thomas MacFarland</u> | |
| Organization/Address: <u>Nova Southeastern University</u> | Telephone: <u>561 262 5390</u> | Fax: <u>561 262 3970</u> |
| | E-Mail Address: <u>tommac@nova.edu</u> | Date: <u>5/30/02</u> |

(Over)