

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 460 695

JC 980 444

AUTHOR Parsons, Michael H.
TITLE Instructional Reorganization: The Process.
PUB DATE 1998-11-00.
NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Annual "Celebrating Learning: A Community College Showcase" conference (5th, Atlantic City, NJ, November 5-6, 1998).
PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Change Strategies; *Community Colleges; *Educational Change; Educational Planning; *Futures (of Society); *Information Networks; Institutional Evaluation; Institutional Mission; Instructional Development; *Organizational Change; Two Year Colleges
IDENTIFIERS Hagerstown Community College MD

ABSTRACT

This paper proposes a process for community colleges to engage and direct discontinuous change in the face of the coming millennium. Described are several characteristics of change envisioned in the near future, including a complete break with the past, major reconstruction of nearly every element of the organization, and modification of the mental models used by organizational personnel to construct reality. Defined in the paper are the following steps in realignment for optimal productivity and performance in the organizational culture: (1) conduct a change-readiness audit--examine the college as an organic system whose interdependent parts must realign cooperatively; (2) implement transformational change--assist personnel in rethinking their frame of reference regarding the internal and external environments of the college; and (3) apply transactional change, addressing what modifications to the college's mission, delivery system, and internal structure are needed to engage the new challenges. Hagerstown Community College (Maryland) is proposed as a useful example of organizational transformation. Included are steps in the change management design and strategic implementations. (12 references) (AS)

ED 460 695

INSTRUCTIONAL REORGANIZATION: THE PROCESS

Fifth Annual
Celebrating Learning:
A Community College Showcase

November 5, 1998

Atlantic City, New Jersey

Michael H. Parsons, Ed.D.
Dean of Instruction
Hagerstown Community College
Hagerstown, Maryland

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

M. Parsons

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

JC 980 444
ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

Introduction: A Vision–Redefined

The new century is nearly upon us! There is consensus that its primary characteristic will be change. The type of change will be different. Its characteristics include: a complete break with the past; major reconstructions of nearly every element of the organization; and modification of the mental models used by organizational personnel to construct reality. Nadler, Shaw, and Walton of the Delta Consulting Group, New York, characterize the new change as discontinuous and suggest that it has the potential to be ". . . traumatic, painful, and demanding."¹ Is there a process that can be used to engage and direct discontinuous change?

In early 1998, a group of international educators met in Glion, Switzerland, to describe the role of higher education in meeting the challenges of the millennium. Their "Glion Declaration" suggests that the new millennium will present a "bewildering mixture of promise and threat." What is clear is that the essential key to engaging the demands of a "daunting new world" is knowledge. The nature of the knowledge required is tripartite. It must use technology humanely, apply resources judiciously, and involve people benevolently.² How will the process be implemented?

Bryant reminds us that in times of comprehensive, profound change we need to prepare for a parallax. This science concept is "an obvious directional shift of an object [or organization], which is the result of a new point of observation, causing a new line of sight."³ Community colleges have been at the cutting edge of societal change for, at least, the past half century. Discontinuous change is visible on our campuses. We are heading in new directions. A new parallax is almost inevitable. What is required if community colleges are to maintain their niche as agents of societal development?

Contemporary organizational development is filled with designs for engaging the millennium. Trahan, Burke, and Koonce provide a comprehensive synthesis. Organizations must develop the capacity for "continuous change and renewal in an age of globalization, accelerating development and deployment of new technologies, and the rapid . . . emergence of new competitors, products, and [clients].⁴ Their design is particularly well suited to community colleges.

The vision held by our colleges must be refocused. Our organizational culture needs to realign itself for optimal productivity and performance. The steps in the process are clear:

- Conduct a change-readiness audit—examine the college as an organic system whose interdependent parts must realign cooperatively.
- Implement transformational change—assist personnel in rethinking their frame of reference regarding the internal and external environments of the college.
- Apply transactional change—what modifications to the college's mission, delivery system, and internal structure are needed to engage the new challenges.⁵

Clearly, community colleges must implement comprehensive reorganization if the institutions are to remain viable. Two colleges, one small and suburban, the other large and urban, have undergone and continue to refine comprehensive redesign. The steps in the process will be detailed below.

The Transformation Process

The Hagerstown Community College context is a useful example of organizational transformation. In 1997, staff conducted an institutionwide self-assessment using a model normed nationally. The resulting data indicated that the college's operating structure was inconsistent with changing internal and external environments.

Operational processes had been in place for over a quarter of a century with little modification. The college's president was concerned that emerging external competition and increasing internal frustration threatened institutional viability.

A strategy for re-examining all aspects of the college required the involvement of stakeholders from all levels. Trahan, et. al., suggest that enlisting people's passion and energy is essential to the process of transformation.⁶ The president established a sixteen member organizational structure committee. The members functioned as a self-directed work team. They examined every aspect of the college. Further, they researched models in operation at comparable institutions. Also, they conducted five heterogeneous focus groups to determine employee satisfaction and commitment to the college's mission. Finally, they developed a staff survey that was sent to every college employee. The survey sought to elicit feedback on the effectiveness of existing policies and procedures as well as the design of work processes. After six months of work, the committee produced a reorganization plan containing a series of recommendations for restructuring the college.

The president accepted the report. The acceptance did not signify an unqualified commitment to complete implementation. Rather, the president presented a transactional design focused on reshaping the college's internal structure. The design called for refocusing the college's mission, engaging the challenges presented by new competitors, modifying those structures which were clearly obsolete, and implementing the change process in an evolutionary fashion that is consistent with financial resources

and an organizational culture that is over half a century old. The steps in the design are revealing:

- Use the college's planning council to fully explore and interpret changing internal and external scenarios.
- Modify the college's planning and decision making processes to emphasize strategic thinking and strategic operation.
- Evolve a framework involving all college stakeholders that will assess the progress of the fundamental change efforts envisioned in the organizational structure committee report.
- Implement a series of communication and data gathering efforts that will disseminate the outcomes of change and their relationship to the college's culture.

The change management design is consistent with national trends. Roueche and Roueche report the result of a national study in which colleges that used a similar design:

- reflect returns and achievements exceeding original expectations;
- earned recognition from external agents for excellence in instruction, service, and products;
- implemented new degrees and training programs aligned with client needs; and
- achieved currency in the application of new technology.⁷

What is the status of implementation at Hagerstown Community College?

Strategic Implementations

The first step in the change management design was the statement of a series of core values. These were developed by the president and refined by a cross functional team within the college. All employees will have the opportunity to provide input to them through a focus group design. Kline and Saunders suggest that using such a model

reinforces the importance of demonstrating ". . . respect [for] all people, promoting unity, trust, pride, and dedication to . . . mission."⁸

The second step is the establishment of a collegewide newsletter delivered in both electronic and print format. The purpose of the newsletter is to promote communication among all employees through creating an open environment in which all are encouraged to share ideas without fear of reprisal.

Communication will be reinforced through a regularly scheduled colloquium process. The president will moderate a forum that will be both topical and free form. All employees are invited to attend and participate. Trahan, et. al., describe the process as the "creation of a climate of alignment" through which employees come to understand the important link between their daily effort and the organization's success.⁹

The third step is the implementation of a "learning community design." The process will permeate the college strategic planning, budget development, instructional redesign, student services reform, and the streamlined delivery of service to clients, credit and noncredit. The basis of the design is tripartite.

- Learning comes through the work of the college—learning is not separate from doing; it is a seamless activity—learning/doing/learning.
- Learning is developmental—all employees are committed to a process of continuous improvement that is linked to the college's core values.
- Learning means discovery—all employees are encouraged to try new methods, correct errors, and develop improved methods of performance.

The design increases ownership of the college's mission among all employees. Kline and Saunders describe the approach as "generative learning." It promotes positive, owned change.¹⁰

The last step is the implementation of a team approach to accountability. The college's planning council will use the 26 benchmarks established by the Maryland Higher Education Commission to assess the impact of the change management design. These indicators reflect the degree of satisfaction reported by various clients. The results will be used to modify or redirect both strategic planning and management at the college. Further, the changes made in the college's structure as a result of the reorganization plan will be measured against these indicators. The process of continuous improvement is a journey, not a destination. What has the change process taught the participants thus far?

Conclusion: What Have We Learned

The process of continuous improvement as a strategy for engaging discontinuous change is complex, painful, and sometimes confusing. Kline and Saunders provide the most insightful synthesis. "Since change will occur in the organization, individuals must change also. And if the change is to be effective for anyone, everyone must change."¹¹ The implementation of a change management design at HCC has been in operation for just over a year. An informal review of the process provides a formative assessment of progress. The following insights have emerged:

- ◆ Don't change anything until all stakeholders are made aware of the purposes of change and the projected outcomes. The college didn't provide the information in all cases; the result was frustration and mistrust.
- ◆ Use a broad, participative process in identifying and implementing targets of change. In general the college did so and ownership/understanding is high.
- ◆ Provide the change management group with the information and technical support needed to function efficiently. The college has done so and progress is occurring.

- ◆ Respect the concerns of those personnel/areas undergoing change. The college didn't provide a structured communication process to elicit concerns. The result has been some anger and a significant investment of time to "rework" the procedure.
- ◆ Communicate, communicate, communicate throughout the college community. The change management design is based on broad, open communication. The results have been positive thus far, but even more communication is planned.
- ◆ Build evaluation into every step of the process. The college's planning council has monitored the process faithfully. All employees are aware of their opportunity to provide feedback. As the strategy evolves, appropriate evaluation procedures will be applied.

The institutional redesign process at HCC is well under way. Those involved appear to be guardedly optimistic about the impact of the process on the college's culture. What questions remain to be answered?

- How well is HCC doing at implementing the process in comparison with other community colleges?
- Are there other processes that we should be implementing to improve our outcomes?
- Is it possible to fundamentally redesign some of the existing processes to obtain radical improvements in outcomes?
- What changes in our organizational culture—especially mental models and ethics—will enhance employee ownership of change?

These questions cannot be answered currently. However, college personnel agree with Einstein's dictum that "no problem can be solved from the same consciousness that created it. We must learn to see the world view."¹² We are about reinventing our future.

References

1. Nadler, David A., Shaw, Robert B., Walton, A. Elise and Associates. Discontinuous Change: Leading Organizational Transformation. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers, 1995, pp. 22-23.
2. Rhodes, Frank H. T. (ed.). "The Glion Declaration: The University at the Millennium." The Presidency. Vol. 1, No. 2 (Fall 1998), p. 28.
3. Bryant, Donald W. "Understanding the Parallax of Community College Status." Community College Journal. Vol. 69, No. 1 (August/September 1998), p. 32.
4. Trahant, Bill, Burke, W. Warner, and Koonce, Richard. "12 Principles of Organizational Transformation." Management Review. Vol. 86, No. 8 (September 1997), p. 17.
5. ibid., p. 18.
6. ibid., p. 19.
7. Roueche, John E. and Roueche, Suanne D. "Dancing as Fast as They Can: Community Colleges Facing Tomorrow's Challenges Today." Community College Journal. Vol. 68, No. 5 (April/May 1998), p. 32.
8. Kline, Peter and Saunders, Bernard. Ten Steps to a Learning Organization. Arlington, VA: Great Ocean Publishers, 2nd ed., 1998, p. 87.
9. Trahant, et. al., ibid., p. 21.
10. Kline and Saunders, ibid., p. 174.
11. ibid., p. 57.
12. Quoted in Wheatley, Margaret J. Leadership and the New Science: Learning About Organization from an Orderly Universe. San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler Publishers, Inc., 1992, p. 5.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



JC980444

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: INSTRUCTIONAL REORGANIZATION: THE PROCESS	
Author(s): Michael H. Parsons	
Corporate Source: Hagerstown Community College	Publication Date: 11/5/98

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents



Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1



Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here → please

Signature: 	Printed Name/Position/Title: Dr. Michael H. Parsons Dean of Instruction	
Organization/Address: Hagerstown Community College 11400 Robinwood Drive Hagerstown, MD 21742-6590	Telephone: 301-790-2800 x231	FAX: 301-791-9165
	E-Mail Address: parsonsm@hcc.cc.md.us	Date: 11/10/98

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	Jonathan Kelly ERIC Clearinghouse for Community Colleges 3051 Moore Hall Box 951521 Los Angeles, CA 90095-1521
---	---

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Contributors
June, 1997