

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 458 493

CG 031 367

AUTHOR Kaczmarek, Peggy  
TITLE Experiential Teaching Strategies Applied to an Ethics and Professional Issues Course.  
PUB DATE 2001-08-00  
NOTE 7p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Psychological Association (109th, San Francisco, CA, August 24-28, 2001).  
PUB TYPE Opinion Papers (120) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS Counseling; \*Counselor Training; Curriculum; \*Ethics; \*Experiential Learning; Graduate Students; Higher Education; Lesson Plans; Self Evaluation (Individuals)

ABSTRACT

Effective ethics training requires more than being knowledgeable about the code of ethics. Ethical decision-making requires higher order cognitive skills and an examination of personal values. Didactic course work establishes a foundation, but it must be supplemented with experiential activities that will provide the student with first-hand experience with ethical dilemmas, and by classroom discussions that expand cognitive awareness. It is important for students to have first-hand experience in working with contemporary ethical issues before they finish their graduate training. While ethical vignettes are one way to simulate clinical contexts, real life examples that place students in situations where they will personally experience ethical issues is recommended. Nine experiential teaching strategies that are appropriate for an ethics and professional issues course are discussed. Some suggestions include students completing a family genogram; developing a professional disclosure brochure; researching ethical decision-making models; and participating in a professional growth group. (Contains 11 references.) (JDM)

ED 458 493

Running head: EXPERIENTIAL TEACHING STRATEGIES

Experiential Teaching Strategies Applied to an Ethics and Professional Issues Course

Peggy Kaczmarek, Ph.D.

New Mexico State University

Peggy Kaczmarek is an Associate Professor at New Mexico State University, Department of Counseling and Educational Psychology, MSC 3 CEP/Box 30001, Las Cruces, New Mexico 88003. Phone: (505) 646-4094 Fax: (505) 646-8035

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

P. KACZMAREK

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

CC031367  
ERIC  
Full Text Provided by ERIC

Experiential Teaching Strategies Applied to a Counseling Ethics and  
Professional Issues Course

Effective ethics training requires more than being knowledgeable about the code of ethics (Brown & Lent, 1992; Agresti, 1992; Herlihy & Golden, 1990). Ethical decision-making requires higher order cognitive skills and an examination of personal values. Didactic course work establishes a foundation, but it must be supplemented with experiential activities that will provide the student with first-hand experience with ethical dilemmas and by classroom discussions that expand cognitive awareness. Ethical responsiveness requires the integration of knowledge of the code with critical thinking and reasoning combined with the fortitude to act. Academic courses have been criticized for being too removed from the realities of clinical practice. While ethical vignettes are an excellent way to simulate clinical contexts, real life examples that place students in situations where they will personally experience ethical issues are recommended. Nine experiential teaching strategies that are appropriate for an ethics and professional issue course are discussed.

Experiential Teaching Strategies

**1. Students Complete the Ethics Position Questionnaire (EPQ) (Forsyth, 1980).**

The EPQ is a 20-item paper and pencil scale, with ten items measuring idealism and 10 items measuring relativism. Students self-score their responses and are provided with descriptions of the four ethical ideologies that can influence decision-making: situationalism, absolutism, subjectivism, and exceptionalism.

## **2. Students Complete a Family Genogram**

McGoldrick and Gerson (1985) described the genogram as a graphic presentation of family relationships over three generations. They reported that genograms help individuals learn more about themselves, their values, their worldview, and how their history has impacted identity. Goodman and Carpenter-White (1996) discussed several ethical issues that are relevant to the use of the genogram: student privacy, self-disclosure about family of origin, dual relationships, concerns about evaluation of the assignment, choice associated with the assignment, and possible referral for counseling. The class also should discuss confidentiality in reference to personal disclosures that may occur during class discussion (Pierce & Baldwin, 1990). There may also be cultural implications related to sharing information about family members.

## **3. Students Complete a Curriculum Vita.**

Zuckerman (1997) discussed ethical and legal issues related to accurate self-presentation.

## **4. Students Develop a Professional Disclosure Brochure.**

This assignment requires students to address ethical, legal, and business issues in concise and understandable language.

## **5. Students Develop a Practice Profile Summary Letter (Browning & Browning, 1993).**

Students draft a cover letter to a managed care organization in which they market themselves and request a provider application. It is important that students have exposure to managed care practices prior to graduation.

**6. Students Research Ethical Decision-Making Models.**

Carter, Bennet, Jones, and Nagy (1994) discussed the importance of having a systematic method for analyzing ethical situations and generating alternative courses of action.

**7. Students Develop a Vignette That Illustrates an Ethical Dilemma.**

Students are required to develop two vignettes, one that addresses an academic context, such as dual relationships, impaired students, supervision, publication credit, and one that addresses clinical practice. Students use their decision-making model to illustrate the course of action they will take. Students are also required to consult about the dilemma with a peer and with a professional. Students chair class discussion, which leads peers through the ethical decision-making process.

**8. Students Develop a Research Proposal On An Ethical/Professional Issue.**

Students are asked to investigate the research literature on ethical and professional issues so as to design a research study. Heppner, Kivlighan, and Wampold (1992) provided a description of topics that should be included in a research proposal. Students are required to complete the university human subjects form and to develop an informed consent statement for participants that discusses confidentiality and the use of the results. This assignment places students in the role of a researcher and highlights ethical issues associated with conducting research.

**9. Students Participate In A Professional Growth Group**

A professional growth group is a structured lab experience for master's students that is co-lead by doctoral students. The course instructor is only provided with information about student attendance and a non-faculty member provides supervision. Participation

in the group involves assigned weekly readings on professional issues such as choosing a theoretical orientation, cultural diversity, values, transference and countertransference, group process, etc. as well as skill readings related to self-expression, such as self-disclosure, feedback, and confrontation. Participation in this experience raises issues of confidentiality, dual relationships, and impaired students.

#### Summary

It is important that students have first-hand experience in working with contemporary ethical issues before they finish their graduate training. Ethical and professional issue courses provide an excellent laboratory for creating experiences that require students to experience dilemmas first-hand and to develop solutions. Experiential exercises provide an opportunity for students to debate ethical issues and to struggle with the weight of making ethical decisions within the safety of the classroom before facing them in clinical practice.

References

- Agresti, A. (1992). Counselor training and ethical issues with older clients. Counselor Education and Supervision, 32, 43-50.
- Brown, S. & Lent, R. (Eds.). Handbook of counseling psychology. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.), New York: Wiley.
- Browning, C. & Browning, B. (1994). How to partner with managed care. Los Alamitos, CA: Duncliffs International.
- Canter, M., Bennett, B., Jones, S., & Nagy, T. (1994). Ethics for psychologists. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Forsyth, D. (1980). A taxonomy of ethical ideologies. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 39, 175-184.
- Goodman, R. & Carpenter-White, A. (1996). The family autobiography assignment: Some ethical considerations. Counselor Education and Supervision, 35, 230-238.
- Heppner, P.P., Kivlighan, D.M., & Wampold, B.E. (1992). Research design in counseling. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Herlihy, B.G. & Golden, L.B. (1990). AACD ethical standards casebook (4<sup>th</sup> ed.), Alexandria, VA: American Association for Counseling and Development.
- McGoldrick, M. & Gerson, R. (1985). Genograms in family assessment. New York: W.W. Norton.
- Pierce, K. & Baldwin, C. (1990). Participation versus privacy in the training of group counselors. The Journal for Specialists in Group Work, 15, 149-158.
- Zukerman, E. (1997). The paper office. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.), New York: Guilford Press.



U.S. Department of Education  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
National Library of Education (NLE)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

## I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title:	
Author(s): <i>Peggy Kaczmarek, Ph.D.</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>New Mexico State University</i>	Publication Date:

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to each document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified documents, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

**1**

Level 1



Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

**2A**

Level 2A



Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

**2B**

Level 2B



Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.  
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate these documents as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, → please

Signature: <i>Peggy Kaczmarek, Ph.D.</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Peggy Kaczmarek Associate Prof.</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>New Mexico State University Department of Counseling and Educational Psych.</i>	Telephone: <i>(505) 646-4094</i>	FAX: <i>(505) 646-8035</i>
	E-Mail Address: <i>mkaczmar@nmsu.edu</i>	Date: <i>11/25/01</i>

MSC 3CEP  
Las Cruces, Nm 88003

APA - 01

### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of these documents from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of these documents. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: **ERIC Counseling & Student Services**  
**University of North Carolina at Greensboro**  
**201 Ferguson Building**  
**PO Box 26171**  
**Greensboro, NC 27402-6171**