

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 454 606

EA 031 104

AUTHOR McCreight, Carolyn
TITLE A Meta-Analysis of Research: Hispanic Women in Leadership.
PUB DATE 2001-04-00
NOTE 9p.
PUB TYPE Information Analyses (070)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Elementary Secondary Education; *Hispanic Americans;
*Leadership; *Meta Analysis; *Minority Group Teachers;
*Women Administrators; *Women Faculty
IDENTIFIERS Dame Schools; National Center for Educational Statistics

ABSTRACT

This report summarizes the pattern of employment in education for women and minorities from colonial times to the present. Initially, women taught basic skills to young children, and men taught in secondary schools, academies, and colleges. By the 1900s, administration was seen as separate from teaching. Women were seen as better at understanding children, and men were seen as leaders. Women currently compose nearly 42 percent of K-8 principalships, and they occupied 20 percent of superintendencies as of 1994. The minority composition of administrators has only been tracked since 1960. In 1993, 16 percent of administrators were minorities, with 4.1 percent identified as Hispanic. Research on female Hispanic administrators has been limited to California and the Northwest. One possible barrier to potential minority administrators may be the informal selecting of proteges for mentorship by practicing administrators, who statistically are more likely to be male and not members of a minority. These administrators may tend to choose a protege of the same gender or ethnicity.
(RKJ)

Running head: School Leadership

A Meta-Analysis of Research: Hispanic Women In Leadership

Carolyn McCreight

Texas A & M International University

April 2001

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

C. McCreight

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2

1

Table of Contents

I. Introduction 1

II. Women in School Leadership..... 4

III. Hispanic Women in School Leadership 5

IV. Barriers to School Leadership for Women and Minorities 7

V. Strategies for Increasing the Numbers..... 11

VI. Summary 11

VII. References..... 13

A Meta-Analysis of Research: Hispanic Women In Leadership

From the beginning of American history to the end of the eighteenth century, teaching was a man's domain. In Colonial America, men held the most important teaching positions. When women were allowed to teach, they generally taught in Dame Schools, teaching basic skills to young children. The Dame Schools were considered less important than secondary schools, academies, and colleges where male teachers taught (Campbell, 1984, Shakeshaft, 1989). In early American history, male teachers were considered permanent employees. Women were considered transients, because, they were expected to leave teaching after marriage (Ginn, 1989).

Educational institutions adopted scientific management theories in the early twentieth century. Teaching was separated from administration (Patterson, 1994). Men were considered more suited for educational administration and women were considered more suited to teaching. The belief that women had a better understanding of children and could be easily lead by men in management spurred the conversion of teaching as a male domain to a female domain (Campbell, 1984).

National statistics compiled in 1870 revealed that 30% of the teaching force was female; 70% was male. In 1900, 70% of the teaching force was female; 30% was male. In 1920, the number of women in the teaching force peaked at 86%.

During World War II, 40% of the principals in the nation were female (Campbell (1984). When American soldiers returned from war, women in principalships decreased (Campbell, 1984). In 1984-1985, approximately 25% of the 26,584

elementary principals and 8% of the 14,224 secondary principals in the Nation were women (Rodman, 1987). Presently, national trends show that white males continue to hold the majority of public school administrative positions, while females continue to hold the majority, 73%, of teaching positions (Educational Research Service, National Association of Secondary Principals, & National Association of Elementary Principals, 1998; Patterson, 1994).

Women and School Leadership

One hundred years of American history reveals that men continue to hold the majority of administration positions and women continue to teach (Feldman, Jorgensen, & Poling, 1988; Housen, 1995; Shakeshaft, 1987). In schools where the teaching staff is mainly female and the administration is mainly white males, a strong message is being sent to young people; females are teachers and males are administrators (Nieto, 1996; Sanders, 1999). There is no easy way to measure the connection between self-image and the availability or lack of availability of female role models (Lewis, 1999, 2000; Nieto, 1996).

Women in School Leadership

In the 1970's, the National Center for Educational Statistics (1997) began collecting data on gender in the principalship. The percentage of female principals rose from 24.6% to 34.5% between 1987-1988 and 1993-1994. The percent of females within new principal ranks rose from 41.2% in 1987-88 to 48.1% in 1993-1994. Women now occupy nearly 42% of K-8 school principalships (Doud & Keller, 1998).

While gains have been made in the number of women occupying principalships, little progress has been made in the number of women in the superintendency. Women superintendents represented 0.6 percent of the U. S. superintendencies in 1971 and 1.0 percent in 1980. The largest increase in numbers occurred between 1988 and 1990 when the increase jumped 2.3 percentage points (Montenegro, 1993). Today, women represent approximately 20% of the superintendencies in the Nation (Chase and Bell, 1994). Although women statistically have more education and usually more classroom time than men, women have difficulty moving out of teaching and into administration (Funk, 1986; Holloway, 1998; Lee, 1996; McGrath, 1992). In most states, males continue to dominate the superintendency (Holloway, 1998; Ortiz, 1982; Ryder, 1994; Siegel, 1999; Valverde, 1980).

Hispanic Women in School Leadership

There is little or no national data on minority administrators before 1960, women or men. Few research reports are available on Hispanic female administrators. Research studies that do exist are mainly about Hispanic female leaders in California and the Northwest. More research is needed (Mendoza-Morse, 1997).

During the 1950's and 1960's, many schools were consolidated for economic reasons or to foster desegregation. Principals of minority schools were often displaced, demoted or given positions of less importance (Rodman, 1987). Between 1987-1988 and 1993-1994, the number of minority administrators increased from 13% to 16%. The Hispanic representation was 4.1. In 1998, 4.5% of the principals in the

nation were Hispanic (Educational Research Service, National Association of Secondary Principals, & National Association of Elementary Principals, 1998).

In a study of career paths of six Hispanic female school administrators, Byrd (1999) found that the Hispanic females enter teaching most often as bilingual teachers. From bilingual teacher, career paths proceed to bilingual teacher resource positions and then to district administration, before becoming assistant principals. Hispanic females return to the district level as directors before advancing to the assistant superintendency.

Byrd (1999), Carr, (1995) and Galloway, (1986) in studies of female administrators found that Hispanic women are most often placed in elementary principalships with high concentrations of Hispanic students and are viewed as less important than other principals, as a result of the lower status conferred upon minority schools as a class. Such schools are poorly maintained, serve hard to teach students, are staffed by minority teachers, beginning teachers and other teachers who are unable to move to better schools (Gorena, 1996; Ortiz, 1982; Rodman, 1987). Very few attain Hispanic females have opportunities in secondary administration (Byrd, 1999; Carr, 1995, & Galloway, 1986).

Racial minorities increased in the superintendency from 3.1% in 1987-89 to 3.4% in 1989-1990, less than ½%. Minorities held 15% of the assistant superintendencies for the 10 years between the surveys. In 1993, racial minorities held

3.5% of the superintendencies in the Nation an increase of 2% since 1982. Statistics on female minority participation, specifically, is unavailable (Montenegro, 1993).

Socialization

The proper socialization processes for aspiring administrators begins during the period of teaching. Principals and other administrators provide the latitude for aspiring candidates to progress. The socialization process for race and ethnic minorities initially breaks down in the preparation for the principalship (Ortiz, 1982; Valverde 1980).

Barriers to School Leadership for Women and Minorities

An investigative study of Hispanic women in leadership revealed a number of barriers to advancement.

- The identification process for advancement is a barrier to advancement (Mendez-Morse, 1997). Through an informal sponsorship system, practicing administrators pick out district teachers or low-level administrators that they believe have leadership potential, establishing a type of mentor-protégé relationship with them and guide them along the path toward promotion. Sponsors tend to mentor people like themselves. Because most administrators are white males, white males are most often picked as protégés rather than women and minorities (Hardy & Waldo, 1983; Marshall, 1985; Rodman, 1987; Shakeshaft, 1987; Housen, 1995; Schneider, 1991).

Shakeshaft, C. (1987). Women in educational administration. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.

Shakeshaft, C. (1989). Women in educational administration: A descriptive analysis of dissertation research and future research. In P. A. Schmuck, W. W. Charters & R. O. Carlson (Eds.), Women in educational administration. London: Sage Publications.

Valverde, L. A. (1980). Succession socialization: Its influence on school administrative candidates and its implications to the exclusion of minorities from administration. Final report. Washington, D. C.: National Institute of Education.

Women's Bureau. (2000, April). Women of Hispanic origin in the labor force. Facts on Working Women. (Publication No. 00-04). Washington, DC: U. S. Department of Labor.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and
Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information
Center (ERIC)



Reproduction Release

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>A Meta-Analysis of Research: Hispanic Women in Leadership</i>	
Author(s): <i>Carolyn M. Creight, Ed.D.</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>Texas A & M International Univ.</i>	Publication Date: <i>Apr. 1 2001</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>.....</p> <p>.....</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Level 1</p>	<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>.....</p> <p>.....</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Level 2A</p>	<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>.....</p> <p>.....</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Level 2B</p>
<p>↑</p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>↑</p> <input type="checkbox"/>	<p>↑</p> <input type="checkbox"/>
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
<p>Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.</p> <p>If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.</p>		

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and
<http://eric.uoregon.edu/ReproductionRelease.html>

4/19/01

disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: <i>Carolyn McCreight</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>CAROLYN McCreight, Assistant Professor</i>
Organization/Address: <i>Texas A&M International Un. 5201 University Blvd. LARADO, TEXAS 78041</i>	Telephone: <i>956-326-2523</i> Fax: <i>956-326-2429</i>
	E-mail Address: <i>mccreight@tam.u.edu</i> Date: <i>April 20, 2001</i>

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

<p>Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:</p> <p>ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management 1787 Agate Street 5207 University of Oregon Eugene, OR, 97403-5207 attn: Acquisitions</p>
--

