ED 453 241   TM 032 592

AUTHOR   Shastri, Anuradhaa
TITLE   Examining the Impact of Service-Learning among Preservice
                  Teachers.
SPONS AGENCY   State Univ. of New York, Oneonta. Coll. at Oneonta.
PUB DATE   2001-04-00
NOTE   13p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting and Exhibits of
                  the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education
                  (53rd, Dallas, TX, March 2001).
PUB TYPE   Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE   MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS   Educational Psychology; Higher Education; Preservice Teacher
                  Education; *Preservice Teachers; *Service Learning; *Student
                  Attitudes; *Undergraduate Students

ABSTRACT
        The purpose of this study was to examine the impact of
service learning by integrating service learning into an undergraduate
educational psychology course with the course and instructor held constant.
The study was designed to assess academic and affective outcomes by combining
both qualitative and quantitative measures. Students in two sections of a
required course participated in this study. Both sections were taught by the
same instructors, but one section (n=27) was required to write two papers
based on recent research in the areas of learning and motivation, and the
other section (n=16) was required to do a service learning project in a local
elementary school working with the children in various capacities. Although
the results were not statistically significant, the mean course grade for the
service learning group was higher than that of the control group. When
affective outcomes were compared, a significant difference was found for
civic responsibility. Students' qualitative responses also revealed the
positive impact of the service learning activities. (Contains 21 references.)
(SLD)

Examining the Impact of Service-Learning Among Preservice Teachers

Anuradhaa Shastri, Ph.D.

State University of New York, College at Oneonta

Abstract

In the recent years there has been a dramatic increase in the popularity of service-learning programs across the country. Campus Compact, a coalition of college and university presidents, reports that there are now 575 member campuses participating in Campus Compact, with estimates that about 10,800 faculty members were involved in teaching 11,800 service-learning courses in the most recent survey of members. However, research regarding the influences of service-learning experiences on preservice and beginning teachers is in the early stages. The purpose of the present study is to examine the impact of service-learning by integrating service-learning in an undergraduate Educational Psychology course when the course and the instructor are held constant. It is designed to assess the academic and affective outcomes by combining both qualitative and quantitative measures. Findings are interpreted and implications for further research are discussed.

Introduction

Service learning is a pedagogical model that intentionally integrates academic learning and relevant community service (Howard, 1998). Connecting service directly with academic courses makes it quite different from "volunteer" work that is performed in the community. Properly designed service learning courses relate the community service experience to the course material and require that students reflect on their experiences through writings, discussions, or class presentations (Sax and Astin, 1997). A service learning program integrates service into courses in such a way that service enhances learning and learning enhances service (Furco, 1996). Advocates of service learning argue that community service experiences enhance teaching and learning in all subject areas (Battistoni, 1997; Sax and Astin, 1997). Skeptics, however, ask whether service-learning, although popular with students, has an impact on what students learn (Eyler & Giles, 1999). The purpose of the present study is to examine the impact of service-learning by integrating service-learning in an undergraduate Educational Psychology course when the course and the instructor are held constant. It is designed to assess the academic and affective outcomes by combining both qualitative and quantitative measures.

Theoretical Framework

In the recent years there has been a dramatic increase in the popularity of service-learning programs across the country. Campus Compact, a coalition of college and university presidents, reports that there are now 575 member campuses participating in Campus Compact, with estimates that about 10,800 faculty members were involved in

teaching 11,800 service-learning courses in the most recent survey of members (Campus Compact, 1998).

However, research regarding the influences of service-learning experiences on preservice and beginning teachers is in the early stages (Anderson, 1998). The few studies that have been conducted indicate that service-learning is associated with gains for preservice teachers in the development of professional attitudes and values needed for successful teaching (Root, 1997).

Sullivan (1991) found that preservice teachers who had completed community service internships had a great degree of success in their student teaching experience, noting specifically ease in planning activities, communicating with parents, and using the interpersonal skills necessary to deal effectively with adolescents. Wade (1995) noted an increase in preservice teachers' positive attitudes about community participation, and gains in self-esteem and self-efficacy. Root and Batchelder (1994) concluded that preservice teachers who completed a service-learning class made significant gains in the complexity of their thinking about a social problem of childhood. Seigel (1995) found that teacher education students who completed a community service experience as a part of a course on diversity increased their sensitivity to diversity issues and became more insightful about their own responses to diverse students. Vadeboncoeur, Rahm, Aguilera, LeCompte (1996) identified an increased commitment to social justice and a reduction in teacher biases in teacher education students who completed a service-learning experience. However, no changes were found in students' degree of social activism. Wade (1997) found that service–learning can be a means for empowering student teachers by providing them with authority and affirmation. Perhaps the most difficult

arena has been in the area of intellectual, cognitive, and academic effects (Alt, 1997;

Kraft, 1996). In fact a closer look at the research done reveals that little has been directed

at academic learning (Eyler & Giles, 1999). The few studies that have tried to measure

academic learning directly have been narrowly focussed and have used grades to assess

the impact of service learning. These studies have yielded mixed results: service-learning

did not hurt achievement, but did not always contribute to higher student grades (Miller,

1994; Shastri, 2000). The handful of studies that attempted to go beyond conventional

measures of fact acquisition have been limited to small groups or single institutions (Root

and Batchelder, 1994). In order for the emphasis on service-learning to be sustained on

college campuses, presidents, deans, and faculty need to be convinced that it is an

effective process for achieving the most valued academic goals in higher education (Eyler

and Giles, 1999). The purpose of the present study is to investigate the impact of service-

learning among preservice teachers, specifically in terms of academic and affective

outcomes.

Method

Students enrolled in two sections of an upper division required course in

"Psychological Foundations of Education" participated in this study conducted in Spring

1999 semester. Both the sections were taught by the same instructor using the traditional

expository method combined with class discussions, group activities and video

presentations. All students were required to do written assignments and to take periodic

quizzes and trimester exams. The only difference was the requirements for the written

assignments. One section was required to write two 7 - 10 page papers by reviewing

recent research in the areas of learning and motivation. The other section was required to do a service-learning project. The students were placed in a local elementary school. They were in-service for a minimum of twenty hours engaging in such activities as assisting in after-school programs, field trips, and serving as reading buddies. Additionally, sample reflection opportunities included maintaining a triple-entry reflective journal and turning-in a final reflective paper. A pre and post-test survey designed to measure general attitudes and perceptions in four domain clusters namely academic, civic responsibility, career, and empowerment was also used for both the groups.

Results

The results on the academic and affective outcomes were as follows:

Academic Outcomes : A t-test for independent samples was conducted on the total scores earned on the quizzes, exams, and written assignments: Since the variances of the two groups were the same, a pooled t-test was used. The results were not significant at the .05 probability level. However, the means of the two groups indicated that the service-learning group scored 10 points above the control group. This difference was due to the SL group doing better on the assignments. (Appendix 1)

Affective Outcomes: The pre and post survey results of the SL and the control groups were compared on all the four clusters, namely, academic, civic responsibility, career, and empowerment. A Mann-Whitney test was used to analyse the results. A significant difference was found on one cluster, namely, civic responsibility.

Free Responses: A few of these are quoted below:

"I've learned how a teacher follows guidelines. Also how some students that come from troubled homes need extra help to be able to do well in school."

"The time that I spent as a member of that class enabled me to see the future. It made me realize that I was making the correct choice and that I am going to make a great teacher. I know I still have a lot to learn about becoming a teacher but the feelings that I had as I left each day were powerful . . . I have continued to return to my class even though I have completed my requirement."

Conclusions

Guided by previous research, the present study sought to examine the impact of service-learning by integrating service-learning in an upper division Educational Psychology course when the course and the instructor are held constant. It was designed to assess the academic and affective outcomes by combining both qualitative and quantitative measures. The findings of this study hold at least two implications for structuring service learning projects in Educational Psychology. First it demonstrates that students do benefit more from direct rather than indirect projects. Students who tutor, read or work with children are more likely to learn skills that can be applied to their future teaching. The qualitative responses clearly reveal the positive impact of service-learning. Second, it is possible that the opportunity to reflect on their service activities encourages the students to examine the connections between their service activities and the concepts and theories taught in the classroom. One possible explanation for the lack of significant statistical differences could be the unit of analysis. In the present study the

unit of analysis was the class of students. It is possible that differences noticed among

individual students tend to get washed out when we look at classes as a whole.

Appendix

Two Sample t-test for Service-Learning (S-L) vs Control groups

	N	Mean	SD	SE Mean
S-L	16	237.0	17.2	4.3
Control	27	226.1	22.3	4.2

t = .10   p = 0.32

References

Anderson, J. (1998). Service-Learning and Teacher Education. ERIC Digest, 97,(1).

Alt, M. N. (1997). How effective an educational tool is student community service? NASSP Bulletin, 81, (591), 8 - 16.

Battistoni, R. M. (1997). Service Learning and Democratic Citizenship. Theory Into Practice, 36, (3), 150-156.

Bloom, B. S., Engelhart, M. D., Frost, E. J., Hill, W. H., & Krathwohl, D. R. (1956). Taxonomy of educational objectives. Handbook I: Cognitive Domain. New York: David McKay.

Brandell, M. E. & Hinck, S. (1997). Service learning: Connecting citizenship with the classroom. NASSP Bulletin, 81, (591), 49 - 56.

Campus Compact. (1998). Service Matters: Engaging Higher Education in the Renewal of America's Communities and American Democracy. Providence, R. I.: Campus Compact

Eyler, J. & Giles, D. E., Jr. (1999). Where's the Learning in Service-Learning? Jossey-Bass Publishers, San Francisco, CA.

Eyler, J. & Halteman, B. (1981). The impact of a legislative internship on students' political skill and sophistication. Teaching Political Science, 9, 27 – 34.

Diaz, D., Furco, A., & Yamada, H. (1998). Student service-learning pre and post tests. Unpublished surveys (accessible at afurco@uclink4.berkeley.edu)

Furco, A. (1996). Service-Learning: A balanced approach to experiential education. Expanding Boundaries: Serving and Learning. 1-6.

Howard, J. P. F. (1998). Academic service learning: A counternormative pedagogy. New Directions for Teaching and Learning, 73, 21 - 29.

Kraft, R. (1996). Service Learning: An Introduction to Its Theory, Practice, and Effects. Education and Urban Society, 28 (2), 131-159.

Miller, J. (1994). Linking traditional and service-learning courses: Outcome evaluation using two pedagogically distinct models. Michigan Journal of Community Service-Learning, 1, 29 – 36.

Root, S. C. & Batchelder, T. (1994). The impact of service-learning on preservice teachers' development. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans.

Siegel, S. (1995). Community service-learning as empowering pedagogy: Implications for middle school teachers. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Massachusetts at Amherst.

Shastri, A. (2000). Examining content knowledge gains in academic service-learning: A study in an Educational Psychology course. Academic Exchange Quarterly 4 (1), 47 – 53.

Shumer, R., & Belbas, B. (1996). What we know about service learning. In R. Kraft (Ed.), Education and Urban Society, 28 (2), 208-223.

Sullivan, R. (1991). The role of service-learning in restructuring teacher education. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Association of Teacher Educators, New Orleans.

Vadeboncoeur, J. A., Rahm, J., Aguilera, D. & LeCompte, M. (1996). Building democratic character through community experiences in teacher education. Education

and Urban Society, 28 (2), 189-207.

Wade, R. C. (1995). Developing active citizens: Community service-learning in social studies teacher education. Social Studies, 86 (3), 122-128.

Wade, R. C. (1997). Empowerment in student teaching through community service learning. Theory Into Practice, 36, (3), 184-191.

REPRODUCTION RELEASE
(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Examining The Impact Of Service-Learning Among Preservice Teachers

Author(s): Anuradhaa Shastri, Ph.D.

Corporate Source:	Publication Date: March 2001

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY *Sample* TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 1	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY *Sample* TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 2A	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY *Sample* TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 2B
Level 1 ↑ [✓]	Level 2A ↑ [ ]	Level 2B ↑ [ ]
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) *and* paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here,→ please

Signature: Anuradhaa Shastri, Ph.D.	Printed Name/Position/Title: Anuradhaa Shastri, Associate Prof
Organization/Address: College At Oneonta, NY 13820	Telephone: (607) 436-3727	FAX: (607)436-3799
	E-Mail Address: Shastra@oneonta.edu	Date: April 9, 2001

(over)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

University of Maryland
ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation
1129 Shriver Laboratory
College Park, MD 20742
Attn: Acquisitions

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2nd Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

EFF-088 (Rev. 9/97)