

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 452 756

HE 033 971

AUTHOR Fenzel, L. Mickey
TITLE Enhancing the Benefits of Service-Learning in Undergraduate Psychology Courses.
PUB DATE 2001-04-12
NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (Seattle, WA, April 10-14, 2001).
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Citizenship Education; *College Students; Higher Education; *Psychology; *Service Learning; *Student Participation; *Undergraduate Students

ABSTRACT

The benefits of including service-learning in courses were studied with 35 students enrolled in each of 2 classes in child psychology, 15 students in each of 2 courses on substance abuse, and 16 first-year students in 1 introductory psychology class. Service-learning placements for the psychology courses included school tutoring programs for disadvantaged children, and students in the substance abuse class taught a 6-hour unit on drugs to eighth graders. The extent to which students benefited from service-learning was assessed by evaluating reflection essays submitted by the students and evaluating student responses to an end-of-semester service-learning evaluation instrument. Students indicated that the service experiences and the learning of course materials were mutually supportive. Findings point to the value of service-learning in terms of increasing students' levels of understanding of academic course content and changing students' attitudes toward the importance of service. These findings suggest that service-learning is likely to lead individuals toward socially responsible citizenship. (SLD)

Enhancing the Benefits of Service-Learning in Undergraduate Psychology Courses

L. Mickey Fenzel, Ph.D.
Loyola College in Maryland
4501 North Charles Street
Baltimore, MD 21210
lfenzel@loyola.edu

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

L. Fenzel

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Enhancing the Benefits of Service-Learning in Undergraduate Psychology Courses

L. Mickey Fenzel, Ph.D.
Loyola College in Maryland
4501 North Charles Street
Baltimore, MD 21210
lfenzel@loyola.edu

A summary paper prepared for the Roundtable, Service-Learning and Experiential Education, at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, April 12, 2001, Seattle, WA.

Beginning in the mid-1980s, educators (e.g., Boyer, 1987; Newman, 1985) began to call for a greater commitment from colleges and universities to help students assume more civic and social responsibility through the curriculum. Higher educational institutions have responded by incorporating curricular and co-curricular programs, the majority of which have adopted as their mission to develop students' awareness of public issues and community needs, to foster the development of ethical leadership, and to encourage students to assume a lifelong commitment to social responsibility (Kendall, 1990). Service learning has become a popular curricular innovation that has responded to the challenge of such goals.

Psychology departments began to acknowledge a link between performing volunteer service in the psychology curriculum and the development of students' sense of personal and social responsibility in the 1980s (Raupp & Cohen, 1992). Still, there has been little in the literature to guide the process of incorporating service-learning into the college curriculum. A recent edited volume by Jacoby and Associates (1996) has contributed much to the understanding of how service-learning can enhance educational goals in the postsecondary curriculum. The proposed paper extends the work of Jacoby and others by presenting perspectives from the fields of education and developmental psychology that can enhance the value of incorporating service into the curriculum.

The first of these perspectives that I have incorporated into my curriculum is Bloom's (1954) Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, which distinguishes two basic levels of cognitive understanding of course material. In addition to supporting lower level of understanding, namely knowledge and comprehension, Bloom encourages the development of understanding at higher levels, that is, analysis, application, synthesis, and understanding. The second perspective that serves the goals mentioned is the ecological theory of human development (Bronfenbrenner, 1979, 1989). These two perspectives are incorporated into all phases of the service-learning process that include preparation, action, reflection, and evaluation.

Service-learning has the potential of helping to bring about higher levels of student thinking and understanding. Through the service-learning process that involves preparation, action, reflection, and evaluation, students are provided opportunities to apply principles learned in class to service work with children in diverse contexts and evaluate the validity of theories of human behavior that form the content of the course. In addition, service-learning provides

opportunities for students to engage in the higher-order thinking activities of analysis, a deductive process, and synthesis, an inductive process, by which their understanding of various theories and their service experiences can give rise to students' constructions of their own theories of human behavior and human development.

The ecological perspective (Bronfenbrenner, 1979, 1989) provides a useful mechanism for understanding various layers of influence on human behavior and is particularly useful in aiding students' understanding of the social, economic, and political influences on the lives of disenfranchised members of society who are encountered in the service experiences. Bronfenbrenner (1979, 1989) distinguishes among four levels of influence that include the microsystem, the level of one-on-one interactions between individuals and significant others in their lives, and macrosystem, the level of influence at the larger societal or cultural level. Two other levels include the mesosystem, the level at which different members of an individual's microsystem, such as a child's mother and teacher, interact with one another to better influence the child's development, and exosystem, the level at which events in one person's life, such as a child's friend who is introduced to illicit drug use, affects the child herself or himself, even though the child was not involved in the friend's initiation of drug use.

These and other perspectives and theories are introduced in the preparation phase of the service experience to provide students with some tools for structuring their understanding of the service experience and relating the experience to course work. Students are also challenged to reflect on their service experiences in light of higher order thinking principles and ecological perspectives on behavior. In this paper, the extent to which service-learning experiences benefited students in terms of their level of understanding of course material, development of higher-order thinking abilities, and increases in commitment to service were examined from student papers and course evaluations.

Method. Data for this analysis came from the papers and course evaluations of 35 students enrolled in each of two fall courses in child psychology (1997, 1998), 15 students in each of 2 spring courses in substance abuse and its effects (1999, 2000), and 16 students in a special fall freshman seminar class in introductory psychology (1999) at a religiously-affiliated liberal arts college on the east coast. All students were traditional-aged college students with mostly second-year students enrolled in child psychology, 26 fourth-year and 4 third-year students enrolled in the substance abuse course, and 16 first-year students enrolled in introductory psychology. Most students in the substance abuse and introductory psychology courses knew before the semester began that service was a required part of the course.

Placements for child psychology and introductory psychology included after school tutoring programs for at risk urban children, urban day care centers, urban elementary and middle schools--including special education schools, medical and psychiatric hospitals, and Head Start programs throughout the area. All students in the substance abuse course taught a 6-hour unit on drugs and their effects to eighth-grade students in urban Catholic schools (and another small private urban school), which lacked such a course. The number of hours that the students spent at their service placements differed somewhat for each of the three courses: 12-15 hours for the semester for students studying child psychology, 10 hours of teaching 8th-graders during the semester for the substance abuse students (who also taught in teams of three), and 20 hours

during the semester for the introductory psychology students. The students in the introductory psychology course received the most extensive preparation from the service provider and the students in the substance abuse course had the greatest amount of in-class preparation for their particular teaching project. The students in the child psychology had the least amount of preparation for their experiences both in the classroom and at the service sites.

The extent to which students benefited from service learning was assessed by evaluating reflection essays that were submitted at the end each semester and analyzing student responses on an end-of-semester service-learning evaluation instrument. This instrument, used for all service-learning classes at the college contains both forced-choice items and open-ended questions that provide students with opportunities to respond with their own words. The essays of the most recent introductory psychology and substance abuse courses were analyzed for the extent to which they demonstrated an understanding or application of the four aspects of Bronfenbrenner's (1979, 1989) ecological theory and utilized each of the four aspects of higher-order thinking identified in Bloom's (1954) taxonomy. The assignment given to the students in each of these classes was nearly identical. Students were asked to write a 4-to-5 page essay, resembling an op ed piece that could appear in a newspaper, that identified a problem observed in their service work and which was addressed in the course (in the case of the substance abuse course, the problem had to be identified with drugs) and expressed an informed opinion about how to address the problem. Students were told that they were to demonstrate an understanding of Bronfenbrenner's systems and to utilize the principles of higher-order thinking in their essays. In the present study, the extent to which students carried out the latter two directives are reported and compared. The comparison was expected to demonstrate a developmental difference in the level of higher-order thinking and cognitive complexity between introductory psychology students, all of whom were traditionally-aged first-year students, and substance abuse students, 14 of whom were fourth-year traditional students (and one a third-year student).

Results and Discussion

Course evaluations. Results of student responses on the end-of-semester evaluations showed that students in all classes rated the service experience highly and benefited by the experience. Between 89% and 100% of students in the five courses rated the service component of the courses as "very good." In addition, between 59% and 88% of students indicated that the experience increased their interest in doing community service. Also, 94% of all students indicated that it was "extremely important" that "society takes steps to address issues affecting people who are disadvantaged," a belief that became more important during the course of the semester for 77% of students. These results support the notion that students' sense of social and personal responsibility increased as a result of the service experience.

Service-learning students overwhelmingly indicated that service experiences and the learning of course material were mutually supported. On a 5-point scale (1=not at all, 3=some, 5=quite a lot), the mean student score in the child psychology courses on the extent to which the service contributed to course learning was 3.8 and for the extent to which the course material helped them make sense of their community work they were performing was 3.9. For the drug abuse courses, the means were 4.2 for both items and, for the introductory psychology course, the means were 4.0 and 4.1, respectively.

Reflection essays. Essays written by 15 members of the substance abuse class showed considerable evidence of the understanding of ecological principles related to drug use and demonstrated strong evidence of higher-order thinking. With respect to ecological principles, a strong majority of students developed themes related to microsystem (93%) and macrosystem influences (73%) on drug abuse, while fewer students addressed mesosystem (47%) and exosystem (53%) influences. These findings suggest that students have somewhat less of a tendency to consider the, perhaps, more complex and less familiar concepts of mesosystem and exosystem influences on human behavior. The essays written by the sixteen first-year students indicated similar levels of attention to microsystem influences on human behavior (100% of students) as compared to the older students, but fell short of the older students in terms of the attention they gave to macrosystem (44%), mesosystem (13%), and exosystem (19%) influences.

Essays written by most of the substance abuse students incorporated all four aspects of higher-order thinking in their essays. All 15 students demonstrated problem analysis and an evaluation of methods in use to control drug abuse. In addition, 80% of students addressed the application of theories or principles derived from their readings to the problems of urban drug abuse and 67% demonstrated the ability to synthesize ideas from different theories or research findings, along with what they learned from contact with young adolescents through their service experiences of teaching students in urban middle schools about drugs and their effects. Among introductory psychology students, 100% of students demonstrated the ability to apply course concepts to their service experiences. However, other aspects of higher-order thinking were less evident. One-half of the students incorporated analysis, one-half evaluation of theory or other course material, and 38% evidence of synthesis in their essays.

Discussion. Results point clearly to the value of service-learning in terms of increasing students' levels of understanding of academic course content and in changing students' attitudes toward the importance of service. Whether students enrolled in a course that they clearly knew would incorporate service as a part of course requirements, a majority reported that the service experience increased their interest in continuing to be involved in service activities and that their belief in the importance that society takes responsibility for meeting the needs of disadvantaged persons. These findings suggest that service-learning is likely to lead individuals toward socially responsible citizenship.

Students also reported that the service experiences increased their level of understanding of course material, certainly an important consideration for professors who are considering introducing service-learning in their classes. Caution must be taken to acknowledge that these findings come from students' self-reports and not from experimental research.

The results of the analyses of student reflection essays suggest that, with respect to Bronfenbrenner's (1979, 1989) ecological system, microsystem influences on behavior are easiest to understand followed by macrosystem influences. As could be expected, mesosystem influences and exosystem influences are, perhaps, not only more difficult to understand but, in a related notion, not as present in most students' thinking about influences on human behavior. For those instructors interested in challenging the level of the complexity of students' understanding of human behavior, these findings point out the need to spend more time with students on these

more complex and less frequently discussed influences.

With respect to aspects of higher-order thinking, results of the present study suggest that younger and older students appear to easily take the step of applying course material and theories of human behavior to setting outside of the classroom in their writing. However, findings also suggest that clear differences related to age may be evident with respect to other aspects of higher-order thinking and that younger students may require considerable coaching on developing the ability to analyze, synthesize, and evaluate.

The finding of this age (and presumably developmental) difference is consistent with research and theory (e.g., Perry, 1970) that suggests that younger undergraduates think more dualistically and less relativistic. Younger students may not be familiar with the notion of the skeptical scholar that insists on evaluating information before accepting such information as valid. Whether service-learning can serve as a vehicle for helping students develop their skills as critical and higher-order thinkers has not been tested in the present study. Learning more about the effects of service-learning on the development of these thinking skills would be an important direction for future research on service-learning to take.

References

Bloom, B. S., Editor (1954). Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals. Handbook I: Cognitive domain. New York: David McKay.

Boyer, E. (1987). College: The undergraduate experience in America. New York: Harper & Row.

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1989). Ecological systems theory. Annals of Child Development, 6, 187-249.

Jacoby, B. & Associates (1996). Service-learning in higher education: Concepts and practices. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Kendall, J. (Ed.). (1990). Combining service and learning: A resource book for community and public service (Vols., I-II). Raleigh: National Society for Internships and Experiential Education.

Newman, F. (1985). Higher education and the American resurgence. A Carnegie Foundation Special Report. The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press.

Perry, W. G., Jr. (1970). Forms of intellectual and ethical development in the college years: A scheme. Austin, TX: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.

Raupp, C. D., & Cohen, D. C. (1992). "A thousand points of light" illuminate the psychology curriculum. Teaching of Psychology, 19, 25-30.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Enhancing the Benefits of Service-Learning in Undergraduate Psychology Courses.</i>	
Author(s): <i>L. Mickeyfenzel, Ph.D</i>	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 1
↑

Level 2A
↑

Level 2B
↑

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

**Sign here, →
lease**

Signature: <i>L. Mickeyfenzel, Ph.D</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>L. MICKEY FENZEL, Ph.D, Assoc. Prof.</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>Loyola College in MD; Baltimore, MD 21240</i>	Telephone: <i>410-617-2640</i>	FAX: <i>410-617-5124</i>
	E-Mail Address: <i>lfenzel@loyola.edu</i>	Date: <i>4/12/01</i>



(over)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE ON ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND 1129 SHRIVER LAB COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742-5701 ATTN: ACQUISITIONS

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
4483-A Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706

Telephone: 301-552-4200

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-552-4700

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>