ED 441 843   TM 030 878

AUTHOR   Wang, Jianjun
TITLE   Relevance of the Hierarchical Linear Model to TIMSS Data
                  Analyses.
SPONS AGENCY   California State Univ., Bakersfield.
PUB DATE   2000-04-00
NOTE   8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American
                  Educational Research Association (81st, New Orleans, LA,
                  April 24-28, 2000).
PUB TYPE   Opinion Papers (120) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE   MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS   *Data Analysis; Elementary Secondary Education;
                  *International Studies; Mathematical Models; *Mathematics
                  Achievement; Sciences
IDENTIFIERS   *Hierarchical Linear Modeling; *Third International
                  Mathematics and Science Study

ABSTRACT
                  Multilevel international data have been released from the
Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), providing an
opportunity to apply multilevel modeling techniques in educational research.
In this paper, TIMSS factors are classified in fixed and random categories
according to the project design. Classifying fixed and random factors may
help clarify the relevance of hierarchical linear modeling for TIMSS
investigations. Relevant methods for the multilevel data analyses are
discussed in light of the fundamental relationship between traditional dummy
variable regression and hierarchical linear modeling. (SLD)

ED 441 843

Running head: Relevance of HLM to TIMSS

Relevance of the Hierarchical Linear Model to TIMSS Data Analyses

Jianjun Wang
Department of Teacher Education
School of Education
California State University
9001 Stockdale Highway
Bakersfield, CA 93311-1099

TM030878

2   BEST COPY AVAILABLE

ERIC

Relevance of the Hierarchical Linear Model to TIMSS Data Analyses

Abstract

Multilevel international data have been released from the Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), which provides an opportunity to apply multilevel modeling techniques in educational research. In this article, TIMSS factors are classified in fixed and random categories according to the project design. Relevant methods for the multilevel data analyses have been discussed in light of the fundamental relationship between the traditional dummy variable regression and the hierarchical linear modeling.

Relevance of the Hierarchical Linear Model to TIMSS Data Analyses

With more than 40 nations participating and five grade levels assessed in two subject areas, the Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) is the largest and most comprehensive project in comparative education (Martin & Kelly, 1996). Secondary analyses of the TIMSS data may enrich information on the U.S. condition of education in a cross-nation context (Peak, 1996). Because TIMSS data have a hierarchical structure with students nested in schools and schools nested in countries, some researchers attempted to adopt the hierarchical linear model (HLM) for international comparisons. Based on the eighth grade science data, researchers of the TIMSS International Center reported, "An unconditional, three level analysis of science achievement indicates that the variance can be approportioned 26% within school, 35% between schools, [and] 39% between countries" (Gregory & Shen, 1999, p. 8). Meanwhile, Gregory and Shen (1999) cautioned that the lack of randomization at the country level may not need the variance partitioning in HLM. Since the choice of research methodology inevitably affects results of TIMSS data analyses, the purpose of this study is to examine features of the TIMSS design, and specify proper fixed and random factors within the hierarchical data structure. This investigation may help clarify relevance of HLM for TIMSS investigations.

Fixed and Random Factors in Hierarchical Modeling

Multilevel data can be analyzed by several software packages, such as HLM, MlwiN, and SAS (Wang, 1997). Accordingly, the terminology varies across the different computer software applications. Bryk and Raudenbush (1992) acknowledged in their book for HLM that,

> The models discussed in this book appear in diverse literatures under a variety of titles. In sociological research, they are often referred to as multilevel linear models [cf. Goldstein, 1987; Mason et al., 1983]. In biometric applications, the terms mixed-effects models and random-effects models are common [cf. Elston & Grizzle, 1962; Laird & Ware, 1982]. (p. 3)

At any levels of the hierarchy, factors can be either <u>fixed</u> or <u>random</u>, depending on the research design. Casella and Berger (1990) defined:

> A factor is a <u>fixed factor</u> if all the values of interest are included in the experiment.
> A factor is a <u>random factor</u> if the values of interest are <u>not</u> included in the experiment and those that are can be considered to be randomly chosen from the values of interest. (p. 529)

Milliken and Johnson (1984) added that "a model is called a mixed or mixed effects model if some of the factors in the treatment structure are fixed effects and some are random effects" (p. 213).

In TIMSS, research interest is confined in around 40 participating nations. Thus, <u>country</u> can be treated as a <u>fixed</u> factor, and the research findings are not designed for generalization over all countries in the world. On the other hand, schools and students are sampled randomly within each nation. Factors at these levels can be treated as <u>random</u> factors, and the sample statistics will be used to represent the condition of education in each nation. Therefore, the hierarchical model contains both <u>fixed</u> and <u>random</u> factors. The HLM computing, as a method of <u>mixed-effects modeling</u>, should be relevant to the TIMSS data analyses.

<u>Dummy Factor Regression</u>

Before TIMSS, the <u>International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement</u> (IEA) sponsored the first and second round of international mathematics and science studies. International comparisons were made using a dummy variable approach in the regression analyses (personal communication with John Keeves, April 13, 1998). For simplicity, a two level model is illustrated in this proposal to articulate relations between the dummy variable regression and the hierarchical linear modeling. According to Bryk and Raudenbush (1992), the hierarchical model can be presented as:

Level 1 (e.g., school) $y_{ij}=\beta_{0j}+\beta_{1j}X_{ij}+r_{ij}$

Level 2 (e.g., country) $\beta_{0j}=\gamma_{00}+\gamma_{01}W_j+u_{0j}$
$\beta_{1j}=\gamma_{10}+\gamma_{11}W_j+u_{1j}$ (p. 29)

Besides a random component (r_{ij}) at the school level, the inclusion of country-level variations

(e.g., u_{0j} and u_{1j}) implied existence of randomization from the country sampling. By

substituting $\beta_{0j}=\gamma_{00}+\gamma_{01}W_j+u_{0j}$ and $\beta_{1j}=\gamma_{10}+\gamma_{11}W_j+u_{1j}$ into $y_{ij}=\beta_{0j}+\beta_{1j}X_{ij}+r_{ij}$, the hierarchical model

can be further combined in a single equation:

$$y_{ij}=\gamma_{00}+\gamma_{10}X_{ij}+\gamma_{01}W_j+\gamma_{11}X_{ij}W_j+u_{0j}+u_{1j}X_{ij}+r_{ij}   \quad (\text{p. }29)$$

Although a more complex error term ($u_{0j}+u_{1j}X_{ij}+r_{ij}$) has been introduced in the hierarchical

model, the lack of random sampling at the country level has led some researchers to question

the partitioning of variance at the country level (Gregory & Shen, 1999). Because TIMSS

researchers may not be interested in generalizing the results to non-participating nations, the

cross-nation differences can described by fixed dummy factors (e.g., W_j) with $u_{0j}=u_{1j}=0$. In this

case, the hierarchical model is equivalent to a regression equation with dummy factors:

$$y_{ij}=\gamma_{00}+\gamma_{10}X_{ij}+\gamma_{01}W_j+\gamma_{11}X_{ij}W_j+u_{0j}+u_{1j}X_{ij}+r_{ij}$$

where $r_{ij} \sim N (0, \sigma^2)$.

Bryk and Raudenbush (1992) concurred that "if u_{0j} and u_{1j} were null for every j", the

combined HLM model is "equivalent to an OLS [Ordinary Least Square] regression model" (p.

15). Therefore, if fixed factors at the country level are coded by dummy variables, the dummy

variable regression is relevant to the hierarchical data analyses in TIMSS.

The differentiation between <u>fixed</u> and <u>random</u> effects can be further delineated by format

of the null hypothesis. For instance, due to differences in educational policy and practice, each

country has a unique school system. With many schools randomly surveyed in each nation, it

would make sense to make inference about the average national performance in TIMSS. Thus,

the null hypothesis at the country level is regarding the mean score comparison:

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \ldots = \mu_n$$

for n countries participating in TIMSS.

Within each country, since a random sample of schools were selected in TIMSS to represent its condition of education, the <u>school</u> level variables can be specified as random factors affecting student learning experience. Consider a two-level specification between <u>student</u> and <u>school</u>, the HLM can be written as:

Level 1 (e.g., student) $y_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_{1j} X_{ij} + r_{ij}$
Level 2 (e.g., school) $\beta_{0j} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01} W_j + u_{0j}$
                           $\beta_{1j} = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11} W_j + u_{1j}$
Model in combined form:

$$y_{ij} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{10} X_{ij} + \gamma_{01} W_j + \gamma_{11} X_{ij} W_j + u_{0j} + u_{1j} X_{ij} + r_{ij}$$

Unlike the aforementioned levels of country-school hierarchy, u_{0j} and u_{1j} should be retained in the model to reflect the involvement of random school sampling. The corresponding null hypothesis in this case is:

$$H_0: \sigma^2_{school} = 0$$

Thus, statistical null hypotheses may differ across the levels of hierarchical structure, depending on a proper specification of the fixed and random effects. Whether random sampling has been incorporated at the national level does not affect the relevancy of HLM to the TIMSS data analyses. Instead, dummy variable regressions can be adopted along with the random coefficient modeling to specify the mixed structure of <u>fixed</u> and <u>random</u> effects in TIMSS. The clarification of research methodology may facilitate analyses of TIMSS data in a multilevel context.

References

Bryk, A. S. & Raudenbush, S. W. (1992). <u>Hierarchical linear models</u>. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Casella, G and Berger, R. L. (1990). <u>Statistical inference</u>. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole Publishing.

Gregory, K. & Shen, C. (1999, April). <u>Effective schools: An international perspective using TIMSS science achievement-Grade 8</u>. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Montreal, Canada.

Martin, M., & Kelly, D. (1996). <u>Third international mathematics and science study: Technical report</u>. Chestnut Hill, MA: Boston College.

Milliken, G. A. & Johnson, D. E. (1992). <u>Analysis of messy data, Volume 1: Designed Experiments</u>. New York, NY: Chapman and Hall.

Peak, L. (1996). <u>Pursuing excellence</u> (NCES No. 97-198). Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

Wang, J. (1997). Using SAS PROC MIXED to demystify hierarchical linear model. <u>Journal of Experimental Education, 66</u> (1), 84-93.

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

ERIC®

TM030878

REPRODUCTION RELEASE
(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title:
Relevance of the Hierarchical Linear Model to TIMSS Data Analyses

Author(s): Jianjun Wang

Corporate Source:
California State University, Bakersfield

Publication Date:
4/24/2000

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY ___Sample___ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY ___Sample___ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY ___Sample___ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1	2A	2B
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
↑ [X]	↑ [ ]	↑ [ ]
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) *and* paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here,→ please

Signature:	Printed Name/Position/Title: Jianjun Wang / Associate Professor
Organization/Address: CSUB 9001 Stockdale Hwy, Bakersfield, CA 93311	Telephone: (661) 664-3048	FAX:
	E-Mail Address:	Date: 4/24/2000

(over)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE ON ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
1129 SHRIVER LAB
COLLEGE PARK, MD 20772
ATTN: ACQUISITIONS

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
4483-A Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706

Telephone: 301-552-4200
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-552-4700
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

EFF-088 (Rev. 2/2000)