

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 440 449

EA 030 360

AUTHOR Yu, Chuen Ying Connie  
TITLE Principal Leadership in Hong Kong Christian Secondary Schools after 1997.  
PUB DATE 2000-01-00  
NOTE 33p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the International Congress for School Effectiveness and Improvement (13th, Hong Kong, January 4-8, 2000). D. Ed. Thesis Proposal, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.  
PUB TYPE Information Analyses (070) -- Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Administrator Behavior; Foreign Countries; \*Leadership Qualities; \*Parochial Schools; \*Religious Education; Secondary Education; \*Secondary Schools; \*Supervisory Methods  
IDENTIFIERS \*Hong Kong

## ABSTRACT

This thesis proposal investigates principal leadership in Hong Kong Christian secondary schools after the turnover of Hong Kong to China in July 1997. Principal and teacher perceptions will be used to describe three aspects of leadership: the leadership role of the principal; the changes, if any, to that role due to the turnover; and the degree of agreement between principals' and teachers' perceptions about principal leadership. The definition of principal leadership will be generated by 24 principals and teachers from eight Christian secondary schools. A collection of thematic statements will be derived from interviews with one principal and two teachers from each school. These commentaries on the leadership role of principals will form an aggregate description of the leadership role of the principal, if there is a match or mismatch between principals' and staff views within one school, and if there are any commonalities or differences across schools. The document includes a review of literature on transformational and transactional leadership, instructional leadership, leadership styles, Christian leadership, leadership dimensions, change process, and professional development. (Contains 59 references.) (DFR)

# Principal Leadership In Hong Kong Christian Secondary Schools After 1997

*Thesis proposal presented at the 13<sup>th</sup> International Congress for School Effectiveness and Improvement (Hong Kong ICSEI 2000)  
At the Hong Kong Institute of Education, 4-8 January, 2000 (Session 4)*

by

**Chuen Ying Connie YU**  
**D. Ed. Candidate**  
**Department of Education Policy and Management,**  
**Faculty of Education**  
**University of Melbourne**  
**Parkville, VIC 3052, Australia**

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

C.Y.C. Yu

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

**Supervisors:**  
**Professor Brian Caldwell**  
**Dr David Gurr**

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

**Tel & Fax: 0011-852-24469726**

**Mobile: 0011-852-97092848**

**Email Address:**

**cycyu@usa.net / c.yu5@pgrad.unimelb.edu.au**

# **Principal Leadership In Hong Kong Christian Secondary Schools After 1997**

## **1. PURPOSE**

The purpose of this study is to investigate the phenomenon of principal leadership in Hong Kong Christian secondary schools after the handover of Hong Kong to China on 1.7.1997. Principal and teacher perceptions will be used as the basis to describe three aspects: the leadership role of the principal, the changes if any to that role due to the handover, and the degree of agreement between principals' and teachers' perceptions about principal leadership. The study and research methodology employed is a partial replication of Gurr's (1996) study of principal and teacher perceptions of the leadership role of principals in Schools of the Future in Australia.

## **2. BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE OF THE STUDY**

### ***2.1 Background***

Hong Kong was returned to China in 1997, after 150 years of colonisation. Hong Kong became a special administrative region (SAR) with its own Basic Law for 50 years after the handover on 1<sup>st</sup> July 1997. Under the sovereignty of China, Hong Kong has 'self-government' which is styled as 'one country, two systems' (Dimmock & Walker, 1997:278; Ho, 1996). The handover signalled dramatic changes in many aspects - political, social, economic - impacting on the role of the principals.

Dimmock & Walker (1997) revealed Hong Kong principals' perceptions of changes before the handover. Since the Sino-British declaration in 1984, British

influence was declining while Chinese influence increased. In the 1970's, an international panel of experts was invited by the Hong Kong Government to review the educational policy process. Subsequently the Education Commission was established and produced six reports which brought about changes, e.g. the introduction of a target-oriented curriculum, new language policy, the School Management Initiative (SMI). In 1991, SMI was introduced and Hong Kong joined what Beare (1990) and Cheng (1994,1995) describe as the world-wide movement of changing from the external control management mode to a new school-based management mode. In fear of communism, increasing numbers of senior and experienced school administrators immigrated overseas, causing management problems (Dimmock & Walker, 1997:297). Many anticipated changes after 1997 include more teaching of Putonghua (Mandarin), emphasis on Chinese history and politics, and more students from mainland China, giving rise to problems such as language proficiency and increasing class size.

Principals were concerned that schools had been used by the communists to shape "the attitudes and aspirations of young people" (Bray & Lee, 1997:152), and those in Christian schools were in fear of intervention and of losing religious freedom (Dimmock & Walker, 1997:285) as China had oppressed religion in the past (Ho, 1996). Ho stated that 226 out of a total of 443 secondary schools in Hong Kong were Christian (Protestant or Catholic) in 1994, and many of them were well established with a long history and a unique culture, fame, and administration. He noted their great contribution and substantial influence on local schooling, which is reflected in the fact that many of their graduates hold key positions in almost all sectors of society.

## **2.2 Rationale**

Given such a turbulent environment and perceived educational changes, it is timely to undertake this study and it has international significance, as the changing role of principals is being affected by 'themes', 'world-wide trends', rapid changes and increased responsibilities world-wide (e.g., Caldwell, 1993, cited in Gurr, 1996:5-9). This study may reveal valuable information about the complexity of the leadership role of Hong Kong principals, how principals implement self-management in schools and deal with changes. Professional knowledge and practices of these principals may enlighten others and promote advancement in knowledge, bringing improvement to education world-wide.

Local significance is based on the fact that a large proportion of secondary schools are Christian and they have contributed greatly to local education, suggesting excellent principal leadership. This study attempts to link local principals' experiences with those of overseas and facilitates their learning from the academics who have studied world-wide patterns and the dynamics of change (Tan, H. K., 1997; Tan, J.:1997). This study may enable school leaders of Hong Kong to learn from overseas experiences and educators. For example, Singapore moved from British rule towards self-government and independence in the 1950s and 1960s, and its experiences of change in education may provide insights (Tan, J.:1997). As the key personnel in school reform (e.g. Kaufman, 1997:101), principals may bring improvement to education which is needed to increase the capacity of Hong Kong and maintain its prosperity and status in the world. With a long involvement in church and Christian schools, the researcher also has a concern to advance Christian education which is believed to equip the younger generation not only with knowledge and skills but also with moral values and spirituality.

What follows are theories related to principal leadership which serve as background knowledge to: facilitate the researcher's understanding of experiences revealed by the school leaders, place this study in the context of others, and enable later analysis and interpretation of the qualitative data (Rankin, 1998:38).

### **3. PRINCIPAL LEADERSHIP**

Shum & Cheng (1995) quote a series of studies to note the importance of leadership which influences school effectiveness, educational reforms, organisational performance and effectiveness. They note the recent trend to employ a multi-perspective approach to investigate and explain the complexities of leadership in education. For this study, "principal leadership" is defined as leadership exercised by the principal of a school, who is knowledgeable, skilful and influential in leading the whole school to achieve ideal goals and objectives. This review of literature is relevant to the principal role in Hong Kong, which is assumed to be greatly impacted by political, social and economical changes. The major theories reviewed as follows include transformational and transactional leadership, instructional leadership, leadership styles, Christian leadership, leadership dimensions, change process, and professional development.

#### **3.1 Transformational and Transactional Leadership**

Bass & Avolio (1993:49-76) differentiate between *transformational* and *transactional* leadership which can be directive or participative, democratic or authoritarian, depending on situational and personal considerations. Gurr (1996:81) summarises from the recent research of school leadership to state that transformational leadership is more relevant to the present education environment

than transactional leadership, and the former "appears to be preferred for self-managing schools and schools undergoing restructuring". Quoting some studies such as Caldwell and Spinks (1992, cited in Gurr, 1996:185), Gurr suggests that both types of leadership are needed in the current turbulent school environment. He summarises Leithwood's framework, in which there are four categories of characteristics in transformational leadership: purposes, people, structures, and culture. The *purposes* of transformational leadership include developing a shared vision, building consensus about goals, priorities, and holding high performance expectations. To the *people*, leaders provide individualised support, intellectual stimulation and modelled good professional practice. *Structures* involve dispersed leadership and democratic decision making. Principals use delegation extensively, trusting and giving freedom to staff to do the jobs delegated. School *culture* can be strengthened by various ways, such as "using symbols and rituals to express cultural values, direct and frequent communication about cultural norms, values and beliefs, and sharing power and responsibility with others" (Gurr, 1996:185). The complexity of the role requires the principals to employ either or both types of leadership to lead their followers, depending on specific school environment.

### **3.2 Instructional Leadership**

*Instructional leadership* is an important expertise required by principals because of the increased performance demands, such as to raise test scores and engage in improved instructional planning. It involves "bringing together the best learning theory, motivational psychology, and human growth and development principles into a work structure and culture" (English, Frase & Arthar, 1992:24-5). Effective principals identify different dimensions of instructional leadership and employ them: defining and communicating mission; managing curriculum and

instruction; supervising or reflecting on teaching; monitoring student progress; and promoting instructional climate (Krug, 1990, and Parker, 1993, cited in Parker & Victoria, 1997:85). Hallinger (1989:319-329) proposes the systematic development of an instructional leadership team to carry out the critical functions of curriculum, instructional coordination and supervision. The system consists of a rationale, a framework, a process, a method for assessment, and an approach to develop skills of team members. The principal, being the initiator and leader of the team, remains responsible for its performance. Some target career development for staff, based on frequent in-class observations and assistance for teachers (e.g. Hopkins, 1994; Parker & Victoria, 1997). According to Acheson and Gall (1992:11), supervision of teachers should move away from the role of an inspector towards the role of a friendly critic or collegial observer, and include observation, feedback, setting of teacher goals by the teacher with instructional leader. They suggest “clinical supervision” which improves instruction through systematic planning, observation, and intensive intellectual analysis of actual teaching performances. Instructional leadership deserves major attention by principals as most of the school hours and activities concern teaching and learning.

### **3.3 Leadership Styles**

Delaney (1997:110) states that *leadership style* of the school principal is the primary factor contributing to improvement in self-managing schools, and he/she is the “key player in the decentralization and restructuring process”. Cheng (1991a:25) investigated the relationship between leadership style and organisational process in Hong Kong secondary schools, and he found that principal leadership being strong in four areas contribute to school success: goals and production emphasis; power and decision making; organisation/co-ordination; and human relations. In his study of

organisational behaviour in Hong Kong schools, Cheng (1991b:486-500) found four contrasting styles of organisational behaviours of the principals—headless, control, commitment, and disengagement, which are similar to those identified in the Western societies. He concluded that the commitment style of school environment is the most preferable, and he strongly recommends the balanced leadership style with high initiating structure and high relationships. He points out the lack of professional training for principals being the cause of both the headless and the control style. He gives some suggestions: the selection criteria of principals should include “sound personality and professional training in school administration” (Cheng, 1991:501); teacher education programs should emphasise professionalism; and some schools should be selected for case study in order to explore the actual school process and achieve some insights for improving the organisational environment. Principals need to be aware of their leadership styles which impact on school improvement, and they are in need of adequate training in school administration.

### **3.4 Christian Leadership**

Jesus stated in the Bible that a leader is to serve. Greenleaf’s philosophy of servant-leadership coincides with His idea and emphasises service to others and sharing power in decision-making (Rammussen, 1995:282-97). Jones (1992:xv) has been a remarkable Christian leader in business and other areas, and she identifies three categories of strengths behind Jesus’ leadership techniques: self-mastery, action, and relationships. She suggests harnessing these strengths or “spiritual energy” in order to lead effectively in the next millennium. Ideally principals of Christian schools are followers of Jesus, and they exercise a unique type of leadership - *Christian leadership* - which is based on Biblical principles.

### **3.5 Leadership Dimensions**

Multiple conceptual theories or *dimensions* have been identified, some of which have ideas which are similar or partly overlapping, or built on others. Deal (1988:211) advises principals to understand the *symbols* and *culture* of a school and be aware of the administrative subculture—which has its own informal rules and procedures. Beare, Caldwell & Millikan (1989; cited in Caldwell & Spinks, 1992:69) propose a framework for analysing school culture in which “values, philosophies and ideologies” form its core and are manifested in forms such as the statements of aims and objectives, the curriculum, organisational structures, ceremonies, rules and regulations. Caldwell (1992:6-19) has identified four dimensions of principal leadership in a self-managing school—cultural, symbolic, educational and responsive leadership. Dealing with complexities in the environment, Bolman & Deal (1993,1994,1997) propose for school leaders to use ‘frames’ - human resource, political, structural, and symbolic - to define reality for themselves and for the people they work with. These frames are developed or acquired through their previous experiences in finding meaning of the world and making a proper choice of action. Each frame has “its own image of reality”, and leaders learning to apply all four will develop greater appreciation and deeper understanding of their organisations (Bolman & Deal, 1997:15). Cheng’s (1995:165-6) five dimensions model has incorporated Bolman & Deal’s ideas—structural, human, political, symbolic and educational. Cheng states that in educational leadership, principals seek to influence others “through the generation and dissemination of educational knowledge and instructional information, development of teaching programmes and supervision of teaching performance” and “encourage professional development and teaching improvement” (Cheng, 1995:166). Whilst conceptual theories or dimensions are

useful in clarifying complexities of the educational environment, Principals need to find which are relevant to their particular context which is impacted by specific political, social, economical and cultural factors.

### **3.6 Change Process**

Many principals were found to have difficulty in initiating, implementing, and institutionalising the *change process* in their schools, according to Bamburg & Andrews (1989:309), because they fail to understand that the change process itself is an innovation; to manage it successfully, they must understand the complexity of change. Fullan (1982:1) identify three dimensions of change—in materials, teaching approaches and beliefs. He advises leaders to recognise the dynamic complexity of change and teachers' need to be informed clearly about the ideas, rationale and the process of change. Change should be based on clear evidence of what is the best for the young people in that school, and it should be linked with the community in bringing realistic education outcomes for the younger generation (Hopkins, 1994). The principal is “dynamic change agent within an interactive system”, who is required to be flexible and credible, to inspire and respect others, and be able to provide useful learning opportunities for teachers as well as students (Kaufman, 1997:101). Murphy and Hallinger (1992) note the change of the role of principalship which has become more diverse and complex, under extreme political pressures in exceedingly complex environment; and they identify *six forces* propelling fundamental changes in schools—increasing importance of education, competitive forces, demands of a changing population, changing political landscape, debureaucratization of society, and decentralisation of problems. Caldwell & Spinks (1992) identify *empowerment* as a factor underpinning change in a self-managing school. It involves a cyclical process of goal-setting, need identification, priority

setting, policy making, planning, budgeting, implementing and evaluating in a manner that provides for the appropriate involvement of staff and community, including parents and students as relevant. Principals of Hong Kong are particularly concerned with dramatic changes, and their leadership is vital in implementing changes successfully.

### ***3.7 Professional Development***

Playing the key role in schools, principals should be given the best training possible. Professional development for school leaders can take on a variety of forms and focuses. For examples, they may include skilled-based training programs, institutional programs such as schools becoming learning organisations, or participation in research. The choice of training programs for school leaders depends on what skills and abilities they require to strengthen in order to play their roles successfully. Leithwood et al. (1992:8-27) see the leadership process as problem-solving, and leaders' decision-making as simple, routine problem solving, with some complex and non-routine problems. They criticise the current leadership practices being inadequate and call for the need to study the 'internal processes' of effective leaders. If what principals do is a consequence of what they think, undoubtedly they need to strengthen their thinking abilities. Mental processes revealed by effective leaders may enlighten others to improve their thinking abilities. Marsh (1988:81) suggests training to formulate priorities and develop appropriate leadership skills, because principal are often preoccupied with competing short-term problems, giving longer-term school improvement projects a lower priority. Professional development for teachers are important as well, because "there are no effective schools without effective teachers" (Hoeben, 1989:165).

Schools becoming learning organisations are advocated by Keefe & Howard (1997:36-40), where the staff increase their capacity to create desired results, develop new ways of thinking, and are continually learning how to learn together. There are five learning disciplines to be mastered separately and together in order to build the learning organisation: building shared vision; personal mastery; mental models; team learning; and systems thinking. Schools actively seek out and experiment with new programs, with long-term involvement of all staff, may improve students' education and improve the school to the furthest. For example, a program called "Success for All" has helped disadvantaged students to be highly successful in reading achievement, and it has been replicated and widely used by many schools in U.S. (Slavin et al., 1994). Learning is achieved by all when schools become learning organisations, and success of one school extends to other schools, bringing wider improvement in education.

Powerful learning for principals and teachers comes from participation in research, and research findings may pave the way to reshape the character of schools, map the process of change and improve schools (Hopkins, 1994:1-14; Grundy, 1995:5). Lewis (1985:139) and Grundy (1995:5) regard action research as a powerful research method to improve teaching. It is also a form of professional development for teachers. Its power comes from the fact that the focus of inquiry and interest in action research is the participants' own practice. Training, learning organisation and research participation entice school leaders to become learners who continually seek to increase their knowledge and understanding, improve practice and achieve organisational success.

The above contemporary theories are relevant to principal leadership in Hong Kong Christian secondary schools. They act as the background knowledge for the researcher to proceed in the inquiry processes as described in the following section.

#### **4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

This study investigates the phenomenon of principal leadership in Hong Kong Christian secondary schools after the handover on 1.7.1997, and it is a partial replication of Gurr's (1996) research design. This section details the research questions, explains how and why the *hermeneutic phenomenology* methodology is chosen, describes the procedures, benefits, problems, scope and parameters of this methodology.

##### **4.1 Research Questions**

In this study, the researcher investigates the following questions:

1. How is the leadership role of the principal in a Hong Kong Christian secondary school experienced by the principal after the handover on 1.7.1997?
2. How is the leadership role of the principal in a Hong Kong Christian secondary school viewed by teachers?
3. Are the principals' and teachers' perceptions consistent?
4. What model, or models, of leadership fit the leadership roles described?
5. What changes in the leadership role of these principals have occurred because of the change of sovereignty in Hong Kong?

##### **4.2 Hermeneutic Phenomenology**

In this section the researcher explains how and why the methodology of hermeneutic phenomenology is chosen for this study. This study is "conclusion-

oriented” rather than “decision-oriented” (Cronbach & Suppes, cited in Jackson, 1990:3), because it is based on the researcher’s commitment, rather than required by a policy-maker. The quantitative and qualitative distinction of research paradigms have been debated from conflicting positions to the present trend of compatibility and cooperation between them (Husen, 1985); although Smith & Heshusius (1986:4) stress the need to clarify further questions concerning the compatibility of the methodologies. The researcher thinks that it is inappropriate to direct and restrict the informants as suggested by the quantitative paradigm, because she has been away from Hong Kong since 1994 and may therefore not be familiar with all the issues involved. Qualitative method is chosen over quantitative because it is “more adaptable to dealing with multiple realities...more sensitive to and adaptable to the many mutually shaping influences and value patterns that may be encountered” (Lincoln & Guba, 1985:40; Guba & Lincoln, 1994).

Within the qualitative paradigm, there are numerous possible approaches. For example, case studies may involve interviewing, observation or collecting documents (Burns, 1997:365; Sturman,1994:641-4). The hermeneutic phenomenology approach is chosen for this study, because the researcher’s main interest is in the comprehension of the meaning of action or text, through the discerning of themes (phenomenology) and interpretation (hermeneutics) (Miles & Huberman, 1994:7; Gurr, 1996; Van Manen,1990; Tesch, 1990). It is a kind of naturalistic inquiry which assumes that realities are multiple, constructed and holistic; therefore subjects should speak for themselves whose subjective experiences are described and interpreted in order to enrich human understanding and illuminate practices (Gurr, 1996: 85-9).

### **4.3 Research Procedures**

Using the hermeneutic phenomenological approach, there are six research activities, all applicable in this study:

1. turning to a phenomenon which seriously interests us and commits us to the world;
2. investigating experience as we live it rather than as we conceptualise it;
3. reflecting on the essential themes which characterise the phenomenon;
4. describing the phenomenon through the art of writing and rewriting;
5. maintaining a strong and oriented pedagogical relation to the phenomenon;
6. balancing the research context by considering parts and whole (Van Manen, 1990:30-1).

Two aspects are emphasised in this approach: the description of phenomena (the phenomenological aspect) and interpretation of the description (the hermeneutic aspect) (Gurr,1996; Van Manen, 1990). The research procedures of sampling, collecting data, data analysis and interpretation are detailed below.

#### **(1) Sampling**

The researcher uses purposive sampling for this study, which is choosing the participants “based on criteria or standards necessary” (Burns, 1997:370). It increases the range of data, enables multiple realities to be uncovered, maximises the capacity to devise grounded theory, and enables the researcher to take adequate account of “local conditions, local mutual shapings, and local values” (Lincoln & Guba, 1985:40). Principal participants will be nominated by the Christian sponsoring bodies or denominations. Two teacher participants per school will be nominated by principals. As this research is concerned with teacher perceptions of the principal leadership role and not an evaluation by teachers of their principal’s leadership, it

does not matter whether the teachers are supportive of the principal or not. Principals will be asked to select teachers, preferably of different gender, who have sufficient knowledge of the principal's work to provide information. The sample of 24 participants will be each given an interview (Cantonese or/and English) that lasts about one hour. Though the sample is smaller than Gurr's (1996:107), it is considered sufficient for three reasons: similarity of role between principals, school sites being compact, and manageability, of the thesis—especially as two languages will be used in the transcription of the interviews.

Participants to be nominated will have had long service in their schools and thus maximise the information to be revealed, with principals of at least five years experience before 1997, and two years experience for the two teachers. Each subject may withdraw from the research, and they will be informed before interviewing that tape recording, transcription and excerpts will be made use of. Transcripts will be read and corrected of errors by the participants, who will remain anonymous as well as their schools.

## **(2) Collecting Data**

In focusing on the phenomenon of principal leadership, the researcher could investigate the lived experiences of the school leaders by collecting the data with various techniques—personal experience; protocol writing; interviewing; observing; use of literature, biography, diaries, journals, logs, phenomenological literature or art (Gurr, 1996: 86). However, to avoid generating too much data, the researcher will interview the research participants to gather their perceptions of principal leadership as experienced in their school, and collect relevant documents which concern the change of educational policy and school programs due to the handover. Though there are multiple perspectives of principal leadership, no predetermined theoretical

framework is used to lead participants to express their thoughts. The researcher seeks to minimise her influence on the participants to allow them to reveal their own views on principal leadership and convey their own understandings, experiences and expertise. Yet the researcher's influence still exists because of the investigation being based on her own interests, understanding, research design and the leadership literature studied (Gurr, 1996).

In-depth interviewing is the major tool used by the researcher, and techniques are drawn from Burns (1997:331-5), Gurr (1996:87-9), Seidman (1991:31-71), and Minichiello et al. (1990, 1995). The researcher asks the principal to reflect on his/her experience of being a principal subjectively, and the staff teachers to reflect on their understanding of the principal's leadership role at their school. The interviewer, being an experienced teacher and a deaconess in church, presents the opening question, using "an active listening technique (emphatic responding) to maintain the conversation until the conversation between the interviewer and interviewee reaches a natural conclusion" (Gurr, 1996:87-88). The researcher aims to elicit data and maintain the conversation in exploring principal and teacher perceptions of principal leadership. Questions are broad and non-prescriptive, setting the boundaries without constraint or direction for the discussion. Minimal questions are used to enable the informants to express fully their views. Their perspectives are well respected as there is little interference from the researcher. No standard list of questions are to be used and the conversation is supposed to be free flowing, and it relies heavily "on the quality of the social interaction between the investigator and informant, that can be subtly redirected by the interviewer if it should stray too far off the track of the research study" (Burns, 1997:331). The interviewer has in mind a number of check

questions to be asked directly or indirectly, so as to keep focus and ensure that the phenomenon is explored in depth in each interview (Gurr, 1996: 88).

The researcher listens to the interviewee with empathy, i.e. “projecting oneself into a situation as the other person is experiencing it and so fully comprehending how it seems to the other person” (Howe, 1982:27, cited in Gurr, 1996:88). Empathic responding encourages the interviewee to continue the conversation as they feel that they are being listened to. To maintain focus (principal leadership) in an interview, direct questioning is used to bring back generalised discussion to the level of concrete experience. Concrete examples which are common for a particular school will be used, e.g. a recent curriculum reform may be used to explore the principal’s leadership role (Gurr. 1996:87-9; Van Manen, 1990:67-68).

Being aware that the sample is culturally different from Gurr’s (1996:88), the researcher is equipped with a large number of check questions to be asked directly or indirectly to ensure that the conversation is maintained in each interview and the phenomenon is explored in depth. In case the conversation stalls, direct questions may be used to illicit appropriate responses. Examples of check questions are listed below:

1. In discussing the leadership role were concrete examples used? (e.g. policies/ programs/ curriculum changes/ ...)
2. How is the school run? How is it different from a secular school? What is the mission/ organisational structure/ daily routine/ culture/ ...in the school?
3. What is the principal’s role after the handover on 1.7.1997? (i.e. What policies/ programs/ curriculum changes/ ... have been implemented because of the handover?) How is the role different from a secular school? How does the principal regard this role before and after the handover?

4. What changes have been made to the principal's role because of the handover?  
Are there any special changes because this is a Christian school? How does the principal regard these changes?
5. How are major decisions made and what is the role of the principal in a Christian secondary school in Hong Kong? What religious principles are applied?
6. What changes have occurred in principal leadership because of the handover on 1.7.1997? What documents provide evidence to these changes? How does the principal regard these changes?

### **(3) Data Analysis and Interpretation**

The narrative data generated will be largely defined by the participants whose perceptions may be difficult to confirm with respect to accuracy. However, accuracy is enhanced by using the perceptions of not only the principals' but also their teachers'. The transcribed narrative data will be shown to the participants whose confirmation may enhance the accuracy of the representation of their views. This accuracy is further enhanced by comparing the transcript with the collected documents concerning the change of educational policy and school programs due to the handover.

The techniques used to organise and analyse the narrative data and documents for meaning, are derived from phenomenology, hermeneutics and case study (Gurr, 1996; Miles & Huberman, 1994; Tesch, 1990:92-4). The data (text or action) will be understood, looked for themes, and interpreted by making comments on the themes, based on the researcher's understanding which has been enriched by the contemporary theories and research findings on leadership (Gurr, 1996). The researcher reflects on the essential themes from transcripts and describes the phenomenon through the art of writing (transcription) and rewriting (themes) which

is “the application of *logos* (language and thoughtfulness) to a phenomenon (an aspect of lived experience)” (Van Manen, 1990:34).

The researcher engages in logical analysis in all aspect of the research activity similar to scientific research (Pedhazur & Pedhazur, 1991:59), and she checks and analyses qualitative data through considering “parts and whole” constantly to “measure the overall design of the study/text against the significance that the parts must play in the total textual structure” (Van Manen, 1990:33-34). Data analysis processes are well documented to help the researcher learn, reflect, understand better, and refine the methods during the research process. NUD•IST (Non-numerical unstructured data indexing, searching, and theory-building) may be a useful computer package for coding and analysing data (Weitzman & Miles, 1995:256).

The best way of writing up depends on the themes that emerge from the data collected. Van Manen (1990:163) states that the researcher “needs to be creative in finding approaches and procedures uniquely suited to this particular project”. The result may be written “thematically, analytically, exemplificatively, exegetically, existentially” or with an invented approach to address the fundamental question appropriately. An interpretational analysis system similar to Gurr’s (1996:90-97) and Tesch’s (1990:93) will be developed, and it involves processes such as reading and rereading transcripts, delineating ‘meaning units’, condensing statements to derive themes, and then categorising and interpreting themes to address the research questions.

#### **4.4 Benefits and problems associated with qualitative research.**

The benefits and problems associated with hermeneutic phenomenology methodology are similar to those found in the qualitative paradigm (Gurr, 1996:97-110; Yin, 1994). This research provides rich descriptions and interpretations of the phenomenon of principal leadership in Hong Kong. It can lead to findings which are new and unexpected by the researcher, because no pre-set conceptual frameworks are used. The findings may be better understood by many readers because they are presented in words rather than in numbers. However, problems come from several factors. Much time is needed in data collection, interpretation and analysis. Subjectivity in qualitative research raises the issues of *researcher bias, trustworthiness, reliability, validity, credibility, transferability* or *generalisability*.

*Researcher Bias* may come from subjectivity in selecting evidence or in explaining the evidence found (Burns, 1997:379-80), and it can be minimised by using a systematic technique to extract the themes, providing full transcripts to show how the meaning units are extracted and the themes generated, and allowing the validity of the interpretations to be checked by readers (Gurr, 1996).

*Trustworthiness* in this study is enhanced through the multiple perspectives of principals and teachers across eight schools, the provision of details concerning the analysis processes, interview transcripts, thematic analysis, and the researcher's prior understandings in contemporary theories (Gurr, 1996). The researcher keeps a reflective journal to record: the daily schedule and logistics of the study; a personal diary for reflection and insight recording; and a methodological log for decision and rationale recording (Lincoln & Guba, 1985:252-80). This journal is not used as data, but it may help the researcher to be consistent and maintain focus in the research processes. Documenting procedures and decisions made helps in the establishment of

trustworthiness. Further, trustworthiness is enhanced as the researcher attempts to understand the phenomenon through interviewing and reading the transcripts of interviews from both principals and teachers, whose transcripts have been checked by the participants for confirmation of their accuracy (e.g. through Burns, 1997:293, 381; Gurr, 1996; Sturman, 1994:644; Pedhazur & Pedhazur, 1991).

*Internal validity, external validity or construct validity* are prerequisites to trustworthiness, reliability or credibility. Internal validity is achieved through triangulation and the rechecking with participants of the transcripts (e.g. Burns, 1997; Gurr, 1996). It enhances external validity and both of them contribute to generalisability of findings. Construct validity can be improved by “multiple sources of evidence to demonstrate convergence of data from all sources” and “chain of evidence that links parts together” (Burns, 1997:382). All these concepts are closely related to what Evers & Lakomski (1991), and Lakomski (1992:201) stress—the researcher needs to present arguments with consistency, coherence and be able to present the best explanation. Further, validation of the theory comes from justification of new theory, correspondence with established theories, and continued usefulness of the theory in practice (Kaplan, 1994:5049).

*Transferability or generalisability* means the conclusions of an inquiry being “applicable to more than the particular context of the inquiry” (Kaplan, 1994:5056). It is not to be judged by the researcher, but by those who use the findings from this study. The responsibility of the researcher is to ensure that there is sufficient data available for others to make this judgment. Various records of transcripts, meaning units, analysis processes, summaries of interviews are used, and they are made to be available for examination, thus establishing the dependability and confirmability of the study (Lincoln & Guba, 1985:327). That is what disciplined inquiry should be:

“data, arguments, and reasonings be capable of withstanding careful scrutiny by another member of the scientific community” (Shulman, 1988:5). The researcher’s action is trying “to facilitate the reader’s own analysis more than deliver statements of generalisation” (Burns, 1997:381).

## **5. SCOPE AND PARAMETERS OF THE STUDY**

The definition of principal leadership is self-generated by the responses of the principals and teachers—a total of 24 participants from 8 Christian secondary schools of Hong Kong. A collection of thematic statements are derived from interviews conducted with one principal and two teachers from each school. At the end of the interview process, 24 commentaries on the leadership role of principals are used to form an aggregate description of the leadership role of the principal. The description is analysed and interpreted by the researcher who finds out what the principal leadership is for the 24 participants. Though the sample size is smaller than Gurr’s (1996), the study will still be able to provide useful information on the perceptions of principal leadership in Christian secondary schools of Hong Kong. Similarity in the leadership role between these principals, and compact school sites (in Section 4.3,1) may allow for the use of a smaller number of participants, as data will soon become redundant. From this data base and the literature, the researcher analyses, interprets and determines the principal leadership style viewed from the principals’ and teachers’ perspectives; if there is a match or mismatch between principal and staff views (within one school); and if there are any commonalities or differences across schools. Through analysis of the relevant documents collected, the researcher determines the changes in the leadership role of the principals because of the handover of Hong Kong to China on 1.7.1997.

## 6. TRIAL TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Outline of Thesis Chapters:

Synopsis

### Chapter One: Introduction

Purpose - This will be a brief description of what is trying to be discovered by conducting the research.

Background - political and educational context in Hong Kong before and after 1.7.1997.

The research questions will be detailed in this chapter.

Summary of chapters.

### Chapter Two: Literature Review.

A review of literature related to principal leadership. Transformational and transactional leadership, instructional leadership, leadership styles, Christian leadership, leadership dimensions, change process, and professional development.

### Chapter Three: Methodology.

A description of *hermeneutic phenomenology* and the research procedure - sampling, data collection, analysis and interpretation, including critical analysis of the chosen methodology.

### Chapter Four: Results.

This will include the following sections:

1. Characteristics of the schools, summary of perceptions from the principals and teachers involved.
2. Thematic descriptions of the data generated for principalship by the principal and the teachers from each school. Comparison for each

school between the thematic descriptions of the principal and of the teachers.

3. Summary of the findings relating to the self perception and staff perception of the changes in principalship after 1.7.1997.

#### **Chapter Five: Discussion.**

Similarity in principal and teacher perception will be discussed. The findings of the study will be related to the literature on principal leadership. Specifically, the data will be analysed to determine which dimensions of leadership best describe the data and to determine what differences exist between data derived from principals and data derived from teachers. There will be an emphasis on Christian leadership.

#### **Chapter Six: Conclusions and Implications**

Specific findings, general conclusions and future research directions will be given.

#### **References**

#### **Appendices:**

These will be contained in a separate volume and include:

1. Transcripts of interviews.
2. Descriptors generated from individual data.

## **CONTENTS**

List of Figures

Acknowledgments

Abstract

Synopsis

Chapter

- 1 INTRODUCTION
    - A. Purpose
    - B. Background
    - C. Research Questions
    - D. Summary of Chapters
  - 2 LITERATURE REVIEW
  - 3 METHODOLOGY
    - A. Hermeneutic Phenomenology
    - B. Research Procedures
  - 4 RESULTS
    - A. Summary of Principal and Teacher Perceptions
    - B. Principal Leadership Described By Principals and Teachers
    - C. Changes in Principals after 1.7.1997
  - 5 DISCUSSION
    - A. Similarity in Principal and Teacher Perception
    - B. Leadership Dimensions
    - C. Christian Leadership
  - 6 CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS
- REFERENCES  
LIST OF APPENDICES  
APPENDICES

## 7. REFERENCES

- Acheson, K. A. & Gall, M. D. (1992). *Techniques in the clinical supervision of teachers*. 3rd. ed. New York & London: Longman.
- Bamburg, J. D. & Andrews, R. (1989). Putting effective schools research to work: The process of change and the role of the principal. In D. Reynolds, B. Creemers, & T. Peters. (Eds.). *School effectiveness and school improvement* (pp. 309-318) Amsterdam: Swets & Zeitlinger. Academic Press.
- Bass, B. M. & Avolio, B. J. (1993). Transformational leadership: A response to critiques. In M. M. Chemers & R. Ayman (Eds.). *Leadership theory and research: Perspectives and directions*. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Beare, H. (1990). Educational administration in the 1990's. *ACEA Monograph Series, No. 6*. Melbourne: Australian Council for Education Administration.
- Bolman, G. L. & Deal, T. E. (1993). *The path to school leadership: A portable mentor*. California, Newbury Park: Corwin Press.
- Bolman, G. L. & Deal, T. E. (1994). *Becoming a teacher leader: From isolation to collaboration*. California: Corwin Press.
- Bolman, G. L. & Deal, T. E. (1997). *Reframing organizations: Artistry, choice, and leadership*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Bray, M. & Lee, W. O. (1997). (Eds). *Comparative Education*. 33 (2) 149-156.
- Burns, R. (1997). *Introduction to research methods*. 3rd ed. South Melbourne: Addison Wesley Longman.
- Caldwell, B. & Spinks, J. (1992). *Leading the self-managing school*. London: Falmer Press.
- Caldwell, B. J. (1992). The principal as leader of the self-managing school in Australia. *Journal of Educational Administration*. 30 (3) 6-19.

- Cheng, Y. C. (1991a). Leadership style of principals and organizational process in secondary schools. *Journal of Educational Administration*. 29 (2) 25-37.
- Cheng, Y. C. (1991b). Organizational environment in schools: commitment, control, disengagement, and headless. *Educational administration Quarterly*. 27 (4) 481-505.
- Cheng, Y. C. (1994). *Strategies for research on school leadership and school effectiveness*. Research Workshop. Australia: University of Melbourne, Jan.
- Cheng, Y. C. (1995). *Monitoring education quality in schools: Framework and technology*. Paper presented at the International Congress for School Effectiveness and Improvement. The Netherlands: Leeuwarden, Jan.
- Deal, T. E. (1988). The symbolism of effective schools. In A. Westoby (Ed.). *Culture and power in educational organizations*. Milton Keynes, Philadelphia: The Open University Press.
- Delaney, J. G. (1997). Principal leadership: a primary factor in school-based management and school improvement. *NASSP-Bulletin*. 81 (586) 107-111.
- Dimmock, C. & Walker, A. (1997). Hong Kong's change of sovereignty: school leader perceptions of the effects on educational policy and school administration. *Comparative Education*. 33 (2) 277-302.
- English, F., Frase, L. E. & Arthar, J.M. (1992). *Leading into the 21st century*. Newbury Park, CA : Corwin Press.
- Evers, C. W. & Lakomski, G. (1991). *Knowing educational administration*. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Fullan, M.(1982). *The meaning of educational change*. Teachers College Press.
- Grundy, S. (1995). *Action research as professional development*. Innovative Links project.

- Guba, E. G. & Lincoln, Y. S. (1994). Competing Paradigms in qualitative research. In N. K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds). *Handbook of qualitative research*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Gurr, D. (1996). *The leadership role of principals in selected schools of the future: Principal and teacher perspectives*. Doctoral Thesis, University of Melbourne.
- Hallinger, P. (1989). Developing instructional leadership teams in high schools. In D. Reynolds, B. Creemers, & T. Peters. (Eds.). *School effectiveness and school improvement*. Amsterdam: Swets & Zeitlinger.
- Ho, K. K. (1996). The past, present and future of the religious schools in Hong Kong. *Compare*, 26 (1) 51-59.
- Hoeben, W. (1989). Educational innovation or school effectiveness: A dilemma? In, D. Reynolds, B. Creemers, & T. Peters. (Eds.). *School effectiveness and school improvement* (pp.157-166). Amsterdam: Swets & Zeitlinger.
- Hopkins, D. (1994). *Towards effective school improvement*. Keynote address to the international congress for school effectiveness and school improvement. Leeuwarden Netherlands, 6 Jan., 1995.
- Husen, T. (1985). Research paradigms in education. In T. Husen & T. N. Postlethwaite (Eds). *International Encyclopedia of Education*. Oxford: Pergamon, Vol. 7, pp.4335-4338.
- Jackson, P. W.(1990). The Functions of educational research. *Educational Researcher*. October, pp.3-9.
- Jones, L. B. (1992). *Jesus, CEO: Using ancient wisdom for visionary leadership*. New York: Hyperion.

- Kaplan, A. (1994). Research methodology: scientific methods. In T. Husen & T. N. Postlethwaite (Eds.). *International Encyclopedia of Education* Oxford: Pergamon, Vol. 9.
- Kaufman, C. C. (1997). Using technology to upgrade the principal's role as instructional leader. *NASSP-Bulletin*. 81 (587).
- Keefe, J. W. & Howard, E. R. (1997). The school as a learning organization. *NASSP-Bulletin*. 81 (589).
- Lakomski, G. (1992). Unity over diversity: Coherence and realism in educational research.. *Curriculum Inquiry*. 22, pp. 191-203.
- Leithwood, K. et al.(1992). *Developing expert leadership for future schools*. London: The Falmer Press.
- Lewis, J. (1985). The theoretical underpinnings of school change strategies. In D. Reynolds. (Ed.). *Studying school effectiveness* (pp. 137-154). London: The Falmer Press.
- Lincoln, S . Y. & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Marsh, C. (1988). *Spotlight on school improvement*. Sydney: Allen & Unwin.
- Miles, M. B.& Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis*. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, London: SAGE Publications.
- Minichiello, V. et al. (1990). *In-depth interviewing: Researching people*. Melbourne: Longman Cheshire.
- Minichiello, V. et al. (1995). *In-depth interviewing: Principles, techniques, analysis*. 2nd ed. Melbourne: Longman.
- Murphy, J. & Hallinger, P. (1992). The principalship in an era of transformation. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 30 (3), 77-88.

- Parker, S. A. & Victoria, P. D. (1997). Promoting inclusion through instructional leadership: The roles of the secondary school principal. *NASSP - Bulletin*. 81 (587), 83-89
- Pedhazur, E. J. & Pedhazur S. L. (1991). *Measurement, design and analysis: An integrated approach*. Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Rankin, E. (1998, April 2). Writing the ritual. *The Australian*, p. 38.
- Rasmussen, T. (1995). Creating a culture of servant-leadership: A real life story. In L. Spears. (Ed.). *Reflections on Leadership: How Robert K. Greenleaf's theory of servant-leadership influenced today's top management thinkers*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Seidman, I. E. (1991). *Interviewing as qualitative research.: A guide for researchers in education and the social sciences*. London: Teachers College, Columbia University.
- Shulman, L. S. (1988). Disciplines of inquiry in education: an overview. In J, R. M. (Ed). *Complementary methods for research in education*. Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association.
- Shum, S. C. & Cheng, Y. C. (1995). Perceptions of women principals' leadership and teachers' work attitudes. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 35 (2) 165-184. MCB University.
- Slavin, R. E. et.al. (1994). "Whenever and wherever we choose': The replication of • access for all'. *Phi Delta Kappan*, April, 639-647.
- Smith, J. K. & Heshusius, L. (1986). Closing down the conversation: The end of the quantitative-qualitative debate among educational inquirers. *Educational Researcher*, January, pp. 4-12.

- Sturman, A. (1994). Case study methods. In T. Husen and T. N. Postlewaite, (Eds.). *International Encyclopedia of Education*. Vol. 2. Oxford: Pergamon.
- Tan, H. K. (1997). Church, state and education: Catholic education in Hon Kong during the political transition. *Comparative Education*. 33 (2) 211-232.
- Tan, J. (1997). Education and colonial transition in Singapore and Hong Kong: comparisons and contrasts. *Comparative Education*. 33 (2) 303-312.
- Tesch, R. (1990). *Qualitative research: Analysis types and software tools*. New York: The Falmer Press.
- Van Manen, M. V. (1990). *Researching lived experience: human science for an action sensitive pedagogy*. London: The State University of New York.
- Weitzman, E. A. & Miles, M. B. (1995). *Computer programs for qualitative data analysis*. 2nd.ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Yin, R. K. (1994). *Case study research: Design and methods*. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.



U.S. Department of Education  
 Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
 National Library of Education (NLE)  
 Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



**Reproduction Release**  
 (Specific Document)

**I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:**

Title: Principal Leadership In Hong Kong Christian Secondary Schools After 1997  
 Author(s): Connie Chuen Ying Yu  
 Corporate Source: University of Melbourne      Publication Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:**

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <i>SAMPLE</i> TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <i>SAMPLE</i> TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <i>SAMPLE</i> TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Level 2A <input type="checkbox"/>	Level 2B <input type="checkbox"/>
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only.	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only.
Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.		

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: Connie Yu      Printed Name/Position/Title: D.Ed. Candidate  
 Organization/Address: University of Melbourne      Telephone: 0011-52-24469726      Fax: As left  
 E-mail Address: cycyu@usa.net      Date: 27-2-2000

**III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):**

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Price: \_\_\_\_\_

**IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:**

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address: \_\_\_\_\_

**V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:**

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:  
 ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management  
 1787 Agate Street  
 5207 University of Oregon  
 Eugene, OR, 97403-5207  
 attn: Acquisitions

BEST COPY AVAILABLE