

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 433 472

CE 079 169

AUTHOR Schuyler, Gwyer  
TITLE Urban Revitalization and Entrepreneurial Strategies. Digest  
Number 97-8.  
INSTITUTION Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation, Kansas City, MO. Center  
for Entrepreneurial Leadership.  
SPONS AGENCY Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation, Kansas City, MO. Center  
for Entrepreneurial Leadership.  
PUB DATE 1997-12-05  
NOTE 4p.  
AVAILABLE FROM CELCEE, Kauffman Center for Entrepreneurial Leadership, 4801  
Rockhill Road, Kansas City, MO 64110-2046. Tel: 888-423-5233  
(Toll Free). For full text: <<http://www.celcee.edu>>.  
PUB TYPE Information Analyses (070)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS Adults; Cooperative Programs; \*Entrepreneurship; \*Financial  
Support; Hispanic Americans; Institutional Cooperation; Job  
Training; \*Minority Groups; \*Small Businesses; \*Urban  
Renewal  
IDENTIFIERS Latinos

ABSTRACT

Urban revitalization is an economic and social strategy that has been necessitated by decreased interest in both residence and commercialization within major cities. Urban business environments are confronted with many unique socioeconomic challenges, including discrimination against residents, negative stereotypes of urban areas, and inaccurate media coverage. Urban locations, however, also possess a number of advantages for businesses, such as a strategic location, proximity to concentrations of other entrepreneurial companies, large local markets, and a labor pool of loyal but modestly skilled workers. Because urban problems result from many intertwined social and economic factors, combating the problems requires a collaborative effort across the private sector, government, and community-based organizations. Through this collaboration, entrepreneurs can gain access to resources and build businesses in urban areas. New businesses need a highly attractive market, a strong management team, proven products, and a proven customer base to succeed. Entrepreneurs can find support to develop such businesses through microenterprise programs especially geared to the urban business environment. Examples of entrepreneurial success can be found by looking at individual companies as well as at more sweeping community trends. (KC)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

# Urban Revitalization and Entrepreneurial Strategies Digest Number 97-8

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS  
BEEN GRANTED BY

*G Schuyler*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

ED 433 472

## Urban Revitalization and Entrepreneurial Strategies

By Gwyer Schuyler

### Introduction

Urban revitalization is an economic and social strategy that has been necessitated by decreased interest in both residence and commercialization within major cities. Nationwide, metropolitan areas such as New York, Philadelphia, Chicago, and Los Angeles have long stood as examples of the growing disparity between wealth and poverty. For example, a homeless shelter is often neighbor to a skyscraper housing multi-million dollar companies. Researchers, government officials, and economic developers have grappled with how to address so-called urban blight, and the discussion still continues. This paper will outline real and perceived problems that businesses face in urban areas along with collaborative efforts between the public and private sectors aimed at resolving those problems. Within this context, strategies for the entrepreneur will be discussed and exemplified as integral components of urban revitalization.

### Characteristics and Perceptions of the Urban Area

Urban business environments are confronted with many unique socio-economic challenges. Porter (1997) outlines the following examples of business-related problems: "discrimination against residents who are largely African American or Latino, high taxes and utility costs, difficulty in finding affordable insurance, crime, poorly maintained logistical infrastructure, burdensome regulations and permitting requirements, environmental pollution, and a weak education and training system" (p. 18). To complicate these problems, the public's largely negative perception of urban areas aids in dissuading potential businesses from choosing to locate in large cities. For instance, media coverage that solely

spotlights crime and poverty not only can lead to misinformation but also to ignorance of the positive aspects of urban communities. Actually, urban locations boast a number of advantages for business:

- A strategic location near business centers, entertainment complexes, and transportation and communications hubs;
- A proximity to unique concentrations of competitive companies in related fields in which entrepreneurial clusters can be established;
- A large local market with substantial purchasing power and unmet commercial needs; and
- A readily-available pool of labor appropriate for businesses that rely on a loyal yet modestly skilled workforce (Porter, 1997); (Harrison & Glasmeier, 1997).

Effective urban revitalization efforts strive to address both the real and perceived problems of the urban business environment. To change public attitudes, positive publicity about the competitive advantages of urban areas is important in revitalization.

### Revitalization through Collaboration

Because urban problems result from many intertwined social and economic factors, combating the problems requires a collaborative effort across sectors. In particular, the private business sector, the government, and community-based organizations (CBOs) are three key participants. In a coordinated and cooperative manner, each of these three groups contribute to the shared goal of revitalization.

In the private sector, the involvement of lenders, investors, retailers and the real estate industry is essential in creating commerce and jobs. For-profit ven-

ture capital firms are one type of business that supports the establishment of new businesses in urban areas. For-profit firms also bring to the collaboration additional business contacts and potential participants within and outside the urban area.

The federal, state and local governments, playing a supportive role to the private sector in revitalization, can serve to broker, finance, and otherwise facilitate business development. Furthermore, by decreasing the burden of taxation and regulation, the government constitutes a crucial component in the improvement of the urban business climate. It can also play an active role in some cities through federal or state programs such as the sponsorship of Community Development Corporations (CDCs) and Enterprise Zone legislation. Leitner (1997) emphasizes the government's significant role by warning that no matter how resourceful local initiatives that involve local business and community-based organizations may be, only federal, state, and local governments have the fiscal and political capacity to fully address issues of urban revitalization.

While the government role may be paramount to revitalization, community-based organizations (CBOs) are nonetheless integral. CBOs, which are non-profit, often grass-roots agencies, are important in revitalization in that they encourage community involvement in the endeavor, focus attention on the social dimensions of revitalization, and act as mediators in public discourse. As part of revitalization, CBOs often fill the gaps in services for residents, for example, in education, job training and child care.

In general, with the participation of the private business sector, the government, and community-based organizations, multiple levels of urban problems

can be addressed in concert. This three-way relationship is a powerful one within which entrepreneurs can gain access to resources through either organized training and lending programs or through informal networking.

### Entrepreneurial Strategies in Revitalization

Bates (1997) enumerates elements that an urban venture capital company, called Mesbic Ventures, considers vital to the success of newly established businesses:

- 1 A highly attractive market;
- 2 A strong management team that knows exactly which markets to target; and
- 3 A proven customer base (p. 42).

Before Mesbic Ventures will invest in an already existing company, it uses the following list to screen potential clients:

- 1 A Proven management team;
- 2 A Proven product and/or service;
- 3 Steady annual sales, with at least 12 consecutive months of recent profitability;
- 4 Good internal accounting and financial controls;
- 5 A written business plan with three- to five-year projections;
- 6 Audited financial statements;
- 7 Established products or services not subject to obsolescence; and
- 8 Strong personal credit rating of top managers (Bates, 1997, p. 43).

The above elements, while critical to any business in any location, are even more critical to overcome the challenges of the urban area.

Entrepreneurs can find support in the development of the above business practices through microenterprise programs especially geared to the urban business climate. Such programs may not result in high economic returns for a given urban area, but they do provide an avenue for individuals to gain skills and self-sufficiency. Servon (1997) describes three microenterprise programs in U.S. inner cities: Women's Initiative for Self Employment (WISE) in San Francisco/Oakland, Working Capital in Boston; and Accion New York.

The mission of WISE is the individual economic empowerment of low-income women through entrepreneurial training and individual lending. Instead of working toward the goal of business start-up, this organization works to lay the important foundations of self-sufficiency and confidence in their clients.

The emphasis of a project called Working Capital, in Boston, is community empowerment by "building a web of small business operating throughout disenfranchised communities" (Servon, 1997, p. 174). This network of 200 businesses benefits from ongoing training and lending opportunities.

Brooklyn-based Accion New York is a subsidiary of Accion International, which is active throughout Latin America. Serving the Latino community in New York City, Accion New York provides market-rate loans and basic business training. Its emphasis on micro-lending and its business-oriented approach make it a viable alternative credit institution for low-income urban entrepreneurs.

The support of a microenterprise program is indeed helpful, but not a prerequisite to success. Entrepreneurship is catching on in urban settings all on its own through the ambitions of individuals and communities. The following examples - one of a specific company in the inner city and one of an emerging trend in Latino neighborhoods - are testimony to both the individual and the community-wide successes of urban entrepreneurship.

In the heart of downtown Los Angeles, a group of residents of Skid Row founded a toy and craft manufacturing business, called Skid Row Access Inc. The company is now six years old with annual sales of about \$100,000 and over 40 employees. The company president, Chuck McClain, is the one individual in the venture who is not a resident of Skid Row. McClain proclaimed, "I'm working with people who don't usually have access to some of the challenges and experiences of entrepreneurship. It's a cool thing" (Nelson, 1997).

At the community level, Kotkin (1997) asserts that in Latino neighborhoods in South Los Angeles and in other cities across the nation, a surge of "immigrant-led economic growth" is occurring. He reports the experiences of Danny Villanueva, a Latino venture capitalist who heads Los Angeles-based Bastion Capital, a \$125 million fund targeting Latino-owned businesses. "Our people," states Villanueva, "are still of that work-ethic viewpoint. It's like my father used to say: 'Don't give me fish -- just teach me how to fish and put me where there are some.'" The numbers further support this premise - Los Angeles County has experienced a 700 percent increase in the number of Latino-owned businesses.

### Conclusion

Urban revitalization efforts are occurring in both large and small cities throughout the nation. In spite of highly publicized challenges of the urban environment for business, attention is shifting to look at existing advantages. Capitalizing on the strengths of urban areas, model collaborative efforts among the private business sector, government, and community-based organizations have proven to be effective in alleviating urban problems. Such urban initiatives often involve entrepreneurial programs to help residents develop the necessary business savvy to succeed. Examples of entrepreneurial success can be found by looking at individual companies as well as more sweeping community trends.

### References

- Bates, T. (1997). Response: Michael Porter's conservative urban agenda will not revitalize America's inner cities: What will? *Economic Development Quarterly*, 11(1), 39-44. c971288\*
- Harrison, B. & Glasmeier, A. K. (1997). Response: Why business alone won't develop the inner city: A friendly critique of Michael Porter's approach to urban revitalization. *Economic Development Quarterly*, 11(1), 28-38. c971456
- Kotkin, J. (1996). Urban renewers. *Inc. Magazine Archives*. [WWW document]. URL <http://www.inc.com>. c970223
- Leitner, H. & Garner, M. (1993). The limits of local initiatives: A reassessment of urban entrepreneurialism for urban development. *Urban Geography*, 14(1), 57-77. c970417
- Nelson, V. J. (1997, February 3). Skid row success. *Los Angeles Business Journal*, 19. c971399
- Porter, M.S. (1997). New strategies for inner-city economic development. *Economic Development Quarterly*, 11(1), 11-27. c971287
- Servon, L. J. (1997). Microenterprise programs in U.S. inner cities: Economic development or social welfare? *Economic Development Quarterly*, 11(2), 166-180. c971289

### \*CELCEE document number

CELCEE is an Adjunct ERIC Clearinghouse funded by the Kauffman Center for Entrepreneurial Leadership. The opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the sponsoring institutions, and no



U.S. Department of Education  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
National Library of Education (NLE)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

## I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Urban Revitalization and Entrepreneurial Strategies	
Author(s): Gwyer Schuyler	
Corporate Source: Center for Entrepreneurial Leadership Clearinghouse on Entrepreneurship Education	Publication Date: December 5, 1998

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Gwyer Schuyler

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 1

Level 2A

Level 2B



Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature:	Printed Name/Position/Title: Gwyer Schuyler / Author		
Organization/Address: Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation 4801 Rockhill Road, Kansas City, MO 64110-2046	Telephone: 888-423-5233	FAX:	Date: 9-9-99
	E-Mail Address: ceicee@ucla.edu		

Sign here, →



### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:
---

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility**  
1100 West Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080  
Toll Free: 800-799-3742  
FAX: 301-953-0263  
e-mail: [ericfac@inet.ed.gov](mailto:ericfac@inet.ed.gov)  
WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>

EFF-088 (Rev. 9/97)