DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 432 172 HE 032 144

AUTHOR Walker, David A.

TITLE The Leadership Conundrum: Leadership Development

Perspectives in Higher Education.

PUB DATE 1999-00-00

NOTE 10p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS College Faculty; *College Presidents; Colleges; *Educational

Attitudes; Educational Change; *Higher Education;

Institutional Mission; *Leadership; *Leadership Qualities;

Organizational Objectives; School Role; Universities

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the views of three leaders in the field of higher education on various aspects of the role of leadership in today's colleges and universities. Dave Ambler of the University of Kansas notes that the traditional leadership style, which he refers to as the "plastic president," produces leaders who become combinations of all-knowing entities and salespersons, and who define for the institution and its personnel the objectives and priorities to be followed. Don Adams of Drake university believes that many current leaders in higher education are faced with a leadership challenge, directing institutions with old ideas, with little vision and planning beyond the next academic year, without an understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of their institutions, and without creating opportunities for institutional growth. Joan Claar of Cornell College maintains that there is a crisis in higher education leadership, and that some leaders do not possess the skills needed to cope with rising institutional debt, rising tuition, degree devaluation, and the deteriorating image of higher education. (Contains 11 references.) (MDM)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

* from the original document.



Running head: THE LEADERSHIP CONUNDRUM

The Leadership Conundrum: Leadership Development Perspectives in Higher Education

David A. Walker, Ph.D.
Iowa State University
Research Institute for Studies in Education
E005 Lagomarcino Hall
Ames, IA 50011
515-294-7009
dawalker@iastate.edu

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

CENTER (ERIC)
This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

 Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy. PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

David A. Walker

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)



Abstract

The leadership conundrum looms larger than ever as higher education enters the 21st century. There is a real challenge to create leadership skills and programming that emphasizes areas such as institutional collaboration, common values, vision building, and obtaining an institutional culture of leadership. Three prominent leaders in the field of higher education speak about the issue of leadership. Their perspectives range from the problems affiliated with a lack of leadership to the future skills and programming that will be needed to be an effective leader.



The Leadership Conundrum: Leadership Development Perspectives in Higher Education

When employing the term "leadership," some of us in higher education often use it as a panacea for our institutional woes. At times, we believe that applying this remedy to languishing institutional programs and strategies will somehow bring a prosperous tomorrow. However, doubts persist concerning this perspective of leadership. To be sure, we continually discuss the issue of leadership and its place in the academy. As we approach the 21st century, academicians and practitioners in higher education are beginning to define this ubiquitous term more from a perspective of leadership development (e.g., programming or skills or strategies that will effectively address issues that challenge higher education).

Traditional Leadership Perspectives

Ralph Waldo Emerson summed up the meaning of leadership quite succinctly when he noted that, "An institution is the lengthened shadow of one man" (Gardner, 1988, p. 9). Traditionally, the leadership direction at many higher education institutions has come from one individual who has maintained a strict control over the institution and shared few leadership responsibilities. This type of leadership is viewed as a top-down hierarchical approach that reduces leadership to formulas and prescriptions (Drucker, 1974; Urwick & Brech, 1966).

For years, institutions of higher education have embraced this Emersonian style of leadership. Because of this unilateral approach, many colleges and universities have been unprepared to develop innovative and necessary leadership programs that address salient issues, such as meeting the needs of a changing student population, which confront higher education as it enters the 21st century. As Lewis (1994) points out, "We should be placing less emphasis on the leadership of one or a few individuals and instead be thinking of how to create a culture of



leadership that will empower all members of the institution" (p. 5).

Leadership Issues

Presently, higher education is in an era of great change and restructure. For example, many institutions are required to operate with less financial resources or establish institutional accountability with various stakeholders such as students, government, alumni, and faculty. Within this context, there is a need to develop leadership programming that emphasizes the human variable over formulas, and the inclusion of many institutional personnel instead of a select few. As Owens (1995) states, "In education today, recognition is rapidly growing that leadership cannot be reduced to formulas and prescriptions, but must be attuned to human variables..." (p. 121).

Current literature in higher education indicates that leadership has a major impact on an institution's vitality or demise. There is a real challenge in higher education to create programs that accentuate operationalizing leadership concepts and models such as institutional collaboration, common values, vision building, service learning, emotional intelligence, and obtaining an institutional culture of leadership (Berquist, 1992; Goleman, 1998; Kouzes & Posner, 1995). However, higher education has been slow in changing its traditional leadership practices and models. Because of this, the leadership conundrum looms larger than ever as higher education enters the 21st century.

Leadership Development Perspectives

Annually, Iowa State University holds a Student Affairs Institute. Participants and speakers discuss various issues affecting higher education. A recent topic of discussion between academicians, administrators, practitioners, and students concerned the timeless issue of



leadership. The Institute invited three prominent leaders in the field of higher education, Dr. Dave Ambler, Dr. Don Adams, and Dr. Joan Claar, to speak about the issue of leadership. Their perspectives ranged from the problems affiliated with a lack of leadership to the future skills and programming that will be needed to be an effective leader.

A. The Plastic President

Dr. Dave Ambler of the University of Kansas noted that a traditional leadership style, which he referred to as the "plastic president," continues to be prevalent today in higher education and is detrimental to the vitality of an institution. For instance, colleges and universities that are led by plastic presidents often become institutions that are lethargic, without policy, lacking in vision, containing students who have become passive participants in the educational process, and places where educational purpose has been replaced by consumerism. This style of leadership does not allow leaders to take on challenges or aid in student success.

Furthermore, there is a prevailing notion in higher education that leadership consists of manifesting an institutional vision and aligning personnel with that vision. This concept of vision assumes that the leader of a college or university, usually the president, defines the objectives and priorities of the institution and personnel will follow. In this concept, leadership is reduced to a combination of an all-knowing entity and salesmanship. To avoid this traditional notion of vision and leadership, higher education should be striving toward shared, institutional visions. When there is effective leadership present, (i.e., one that is concerned with student success, has policy, takes on challenges, and sees education as a liberating source), there can be a shared vision between administrators, faculty, and staff (Ambler, 1997).



B. The Leadership Challenge

Dr. Don Adams of Drake University believes that many current leaders in higher education are faced with what he referred to as "the leadership challenge." As higher education enters the 21st century, there are numerous challenges that institutions are encountering such as planning, institutional accountability, a changing student population, and emerging technology. However, many leaders continue to direct an institution with old ideas, with little vision and planning beyond the next academic year, without an understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of an institution, and without creating many opportunities for institutional growth. This style of leadership is not conducive to meeting the challenges that await higher education.

Moreover, the two major challenges confronting higher education leadership are a lack of institutional collaboration and the integral role that values play in creating and maintaining effective leadership. As higher education enters the 21st century, it faces a major challenge: the lack of collaboration between faculty, staff, and administrators. This lack of institutional collaboration often causes colleges and universities to become engaged in poor planning and poor organization of personnel.

Along with the challenge of institutional collaboration, many colleges and universities are confronting the issue of misguided values. This leadership issue has caused institutions to take on self-destructive behaviors. Because of this, leadership in education needs to consistently challenge its own assumptions and values (Adams, 1997).

C. Current Crisis

Dr. Joan Claar of Cornell College noted that there is a current crisis in higher education leadership. Presently, higher education is in its most difficult period since WW II due to institutional debt, rising tuition, degree devaluation, the deteriorating image of higher education,



and budget decreases. Some leaders within higher education do not possess the skills needed to cope with these difficult issues. With this reality, higher education leadership in the 21st century will have to respond to these challenges with new skills and a renewed culture of leadership that involves more personnel in leadership development programs (Claar, 1997).

D. Future Skills and Development Strategies

The speakers noted some of the future skills and program development that will be necessary for effective higher education leadership in the 21st century:

- Taking on tough challenges such as access to higher education, program funding, and mediation issues.
- Focusing on student success in both academic and non-academic areas.
- Directing institutions based on actual policies derived from collaborative efforts between faculty, staff, and administrators.
- Developing groups that will enhance teamwork in dealing with institutional issues.
- Implementing institution-wide training and learning programs for faculty, staff, administrators, and students to raise campus consciousness about the issue of leadership.
- Training leaders to be generalists who connect with individuals and groups at various levels.
- Encouraging leaders to apply leadership development models.

Conclusion

The leadership conundrum may always be an issue of debate. However, one perspective of the leadership question is clear. There is a need for effective leadership development that assists faculty, staff, and administrators in obtaining the necessary skills and strategies to address issues that challenge higher education as it enters the 21st century. As Lewis (1994) reminds us,



"Leadership development programming can significantly strengthen the institution by fostering a team approach to solving institutional problems, by increasing the effectiveness and efficiency of its human resources, and by creating a ready pool of qualified professionals..." (p. 5).



References

Adams, D. (1997, March). The leadership challenge. Speech presented at the Iowa State University Student Affairs Institute, Ames, IA.

Ambler, D. (1997, March). The plastic president: The need for renewed leadership in higher education. Speech presented at the Iowa State University Student Affairs Institute, Ames, IA.

Berquist, W. (1992). The four cultures of the academy: Insights and strategies for improving leadership in collegiate organizations. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.

Claar, J. (1997, March). The evolution of student affairs. Speech presented at the Iowa State University Student Affairs Institute, Ames, IA.

Drucker, P. (1974). Management: Tasks, responsibilities, practices. New York: Harper & Row.

Gardner, D. (1988). On leadership. New Directions for Higher Education, 61 (1), 9-12.

Goleman, D. (1998). Working with emotional intelligence. New York: Bantam.

Kouzes, J., & Posner, B. Z. (1995). The leadership challenge: How to keep getting extraordinary things done in organizations. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.

Lewis, P. (1994). Creating a culture of leadership. New Directions for Higher Education, 87 (3), 5-9.

Owens, R. (1995). Organizational behavior in education (5th ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Urwick, L., & Brech, E. F. (1966). The making of scientific management: Volume I thirteen pioneers (6th ed.). London: The Camelot Press.





U.S. Department of Education Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)

National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



Reproduction Release

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: The Leadership Conundrum: Leadership Development Perspectives in Higher Education				
Author(s): Day	id A. Walker			
Corporate Source:	Research Institute for Studies in Education, Iowa State University	Publication Date: June 11, 1999		

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents			
affixed to all Level 1 documents		Level 2B documents			
	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND				
	DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN	**************************************			
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND	MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND			
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS	FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY,	DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN			
BEEN GRANGED BY	has been granzed by	MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY			
3		S			
C. F. BALL	, Physical Control of the Control of				
		63			
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES			
ENFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	INPORMATION CENTER (ERIC)			
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B			
1	†	1			
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.		Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only			
Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.					

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information C		
disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction persons other than ERIC employees and its system contract Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries educators in response to discrete inquiries.	on from the ERIC microfiche, of tors requires permission from t	r electronic media by the copyright holder.
Signature: O.A. Wolf	Printed Name/Position/Title: David A. Walker/Rese	earch Associate/Ph.D.
Organization/Address:	Telephone: 515-294-7009	Fax: 515-294-9284
Research Institute for Studies in Educat	ion — — —	
Iowa State University	E-mail Address:	Date:
EOO5 Lagomarcino Hall	dawalker@iastate.edu	6/11/99
Ames, IA 50011		
If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you another source, please provide the following information re announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a compare that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more through EDRS.)	garding the availability of the dependable source can be speci-	fied. Contributors should also
Publisher/Distributor:		
Address:		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Price:		
IV DEEEDDAL OF EDIC TO COPVEICHT.	/REPRODUCTION RIG	HTS HOLDER:
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT	•	•
If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by som	•	•
If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by som	•	•
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT. If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by som name and address: Name:	•	•

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility 1100 West Street, 2nd Floor Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598 Telephone: 301-497-4080 Toll Free: 800-799-3742 FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

EFF-088 (Rev. 9/97)