

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 427 387

EA 029 509

AUTHOR Schmidt, Linda J.; Kosmoski, Georgia J.; Pollack, Dennis R.
TITLE Novice Administrators: Personality and Administrative Style Changes.
PUB DATE 1998-00-00
NOTE 13p.; Some tables may not reproduce well.
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Administrator Behavior; Administrators; Assistant Principals; *Beginning Principals; Elementary Secondary Education; *Instructional Leadership; *Leadership Styles; Longitudinal Studies; *Psychological Patterns; *School Administration

ABSTRACT

Since the advent of effective-schools research findings, educational administration experts have advocated a democratic and collegial leadership style for school administrators. This paper provides the findings of a study that examined 43 beginning administrators (25 females, 32 Caucasians, 9 African-Americans, 2 Hispanics) to determine what measurable and significant personality and stylistic-preference changes occurred after a 3-year period. Sixteen of the subjects were principals, 14 were assistant principals, and 13 were based at the central office. The study employed a test-retest design, and two instruments were used to examine psychological changes. The findings show that after 3 years on the job, beginning school administrators experienced detrimental personality and leadership-style changes. They became more bureaucratic and less democratic. As a group, they experienced personality shifts and became more controlling, exacting, driven, and overwrought. Women and African-Americans exhibited the most changes. The findings were supported by the results of the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator. Neophytes demonstrated a style change from feeling- or people-oriented to more thinking- or fact-oriented. They all became more judgmental and less perceptive. Since effective-schools research has proven that administrators with a more democratic style are more effective, the shift in style was detrimental to beginning administrators. (RJM)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.



- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

1

Novice Administrators: Personality and Administrative Style Changes

Linda J. Schmidt, Georgia J. Kosmoski^S and Dennis R. Pollack

Abstract. After three years on the job, beginning school administrators experienced detrimental personality and leadership style changes. They were transformed from Jekylls to Hydes. In leadership style, they became more bureaucratic and less democratic; thereby, reducing their opportunity to succeed. As a group, they experienced personality shifts and became more controlled, exacting, driven, and overwrought. Women and African Americans were most effected. Since these traits are directly linked to stress-related illnesses, beginning school administrators, both building-based and at the central office, put themselves at physiological risk. Some suggested activities that might successfully stem this transformation included: On going programs that emphasize democratic leadership beliefs and practices, training sessions for stress reduction, proactive assistance with health, exercise, and dietary planning.

Since the advent of the effective schools research findings of the late 1970s and early 1980s, educational administration experts have advocated a democratic and collegial leadership style for school administrators. Kosmoski (1997) and other specialists pointed out that a democratic style is more effective and successful than an autocratic, bureaucratic, or directive style (Acheson & Gall, 1992; Glasser, 1969; Kaiser, 1993; Kowalski & Reitzug, 1993; Sergiovanni & Starratt, 1988). For more than fifteen years, university preparation programs for aspiring school administrators have emphasized that successful instructional leaders, school administrators, should develop and use a democratic or indirect style when working with their constituents.

Current literature in the field of educational administration suggests that many, if not most, of our working school administrators are bureaucratic and task-oriented, rather than people-oriented,

2

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

2

(Clinchy, 1995; Goodlad, 1984; Sacken, 1994). How is this possible? Are universities ineffective in their training or do aspiring school administrators change once they assume new administrative positions?

Along with this conundrum, is the fact that educational administration experts acknowledge the stressful nature of the job of school administration. They posit that today's beginning administrators are faced with constant stressful situations (Tanner & Tanner, 1987)

With these facts in mind, several questions might be raised. Do beginning school administrators change their leadership style over time? Are they affected by the position itself and experience changes in personality related to stress? Are aspiring democratic, collegial, and people centered Jekylls (beginning administrators) poisoned by assuming an administrative position and turned into Hydes?

Practical wisdom suggests that these questions should be answered affirmatively. However, knowledge based upon measurable statistical information was necessary to draw any meaningful conclusions regarding the possible changes in style and personality of novice school administrators. Therefore, a study was conducted to examine 43 beginning administrators to determine what measurable and significant personality and stylistic preference changes occurred after a three year period a new administrative position.

METHOD

Subjects. This was a three year study of 43 beginning school administrators. Beginning school administrators were defined as those individuals who were beginning a new position as a school administrator, i.e. a first year novice at a given position. Thirty beginning school administrators

were building-based and 13 central office based. Sixteen subjects assumed the position of principal, 14 became assistant principals, and 13 began jobs at the central office. No superintendent of schools participated in this study. Twenty-five subjects were female. Thirty-two subjects were Caucasian, 9 African American, and 2 Hispanic. Twenty-three participated in a year-long mentoring program (Group 1) while 20 did not participate (Group 2).

This study was conducted in a large Midwestern megalopolis. Twelve subjects assumed administrative positions in the city; 28 novices were employed in the surrounding suburbs; and 3 began work in rural districts within forty miles of the city. The districts that employed these school administrators represented all socio-economic levels with greatest representation at the middle and lower middle class level.

Procedure. This study employed a test/retest design. Two instruments were used to examine psychological changes. *The 16 Personality Factor Inventory (16 PF), Form A* was administered to all neophytes to determine personality tendencies and patterns. *The Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MB)* was administered to all subjects in order to examine changes in leadership style. The Myers-Briggs identified preferences or tendencies in leadership style. All beginning administrators completed both the 16PF and the MB within two months of commencing their new position (Time 1), and again, after three years in the position (Time 2). Pre/post responses were compared to determine if there were significant changes in personality and leadership style after three years in the new administrative position. The variables of gender, ethnicity, and type of position (building-based or central office) were examined to determine if they affected personality and leadership style.

Hypotheses. Three hypotheses were tested.

- There would be significant changes in mean scores on the individual Factors of the 16 Personality Factor Inventory.
- There would be significant changes in mean scores on the individual Profiles of the Myers-Briggs Type Indicators.
- The variables of gender, ethnicity, and type of position would affect significant changes of mean scores on the 16PF and the MB.

ANALYSES OF DATA

A test/retest experimental design was used. Data were analyzed using the statistical software package, *Statistical Package of Social Studies 6.1 for Windows* (SPSS). A variety of statistical treatments were employed. A comparison of means, single anovas, multiple analysis of variance were utilized to identify significant patterns and differences for individual beginning administrators and between groups. Experience of individuals was measured by change from pre to post-test of the various assessment tools. A 2x2 analysis of variance was employed to compare experience with gender, ethnicity, and type of position. This procedure was selected after consulting Kerlinger (1986), Smith (1985), and Vockell and Asher(1995).

RESULTS

Psychological change and the effects on personality and leadership style, as measured by the 16PF and MB, were examined. Significant 16 PF main effects were found for Factor F (sober/ serious vs. happy go lucky), Factor G (expedient vs. conscientious / moralistic), Factor L (trusting vs. suspicious / hard to fool), Factor M (practical vs. imaginative / absent minded), Factor Q3

(undisciplined / lax vs. controlled / exacting), and Factor Q4 (relaxed / tranquil vs. tense/ driven/ overwrought). After three years, beginning administrators became more serious and sober. They became more expedient and practical. All became more suspicious and hard to fool. They were more controlled and exacting. All became more tense, driven, and overwrought.

There was a main effect for ethnicity and Factor N (forthright / unpretentious / socially clumsy vs. astute / socially polished). Results were bifurcated. African Americans became significantly more forthright, unpretentious, and socially clumsy; whereas, other ethnicities became more astute and socially polished. Results demonstrated a significant main effect and a significant interaction for ethnicity and Factor Q4. All ethnicities became more tense, driven, and overwrought. African Americans were initially more tense, driven, and overwrought but showed less of a change than their counterparts with an average mean change of .96 and 1.55, respectively. Although African Americans were initially more tense, driven, and overwrought than their counterparts, after three years (Time 2), beginning administrators, regardless of ethnicity, had virtually equal mean scores. (For Time 2, African Americans' mean score was 5.73. Others' mean score was 5.86. The difference between means was .13).

A similar pattern to ethnicity and Factor Q4 was demonstrated for gender and Factor Q4. A significant main effect was demonstrated. Both men and women became more tense, driven, and overwrought. Women were initially more tense, driven, and overwrought but showed less of a change than their male counterparts with an average mean change of .96 and 1.57, respectively. Although females were initially more tense, driven, and overwrought than their counterparts, after three years (Time

2, the mean score for women was 5.73. Mens' mean score was 5.86. The difference was .13).

There were main effects for position and experience for Factors G, L, M, Q3, and Q4. Beginning school administrators, building based or working at the central office, became more expedient, suspicious, and practical. They became more controlled and exacting. All became more tense, driven, and overwrought. See Table 1 and 2.

**TABLE 1
COMPARISON OF MEANS FOR SIGNIFICANT 16PF FACTORS**

Variable	Mean 1	Mean 2
Main Effect for Factor F Group 1 Group 2	5.70 5.95	4.80 5.35
Main Effect for Factor G Group 1 Group 2	6.25 6.05	5.25 5.50
Main Effect for Factor L Group 1 Group 2	4.35 3.90	5.10 4.85
Main Effect for Factor M Group 1 Group 2	5.10 5.55	4.40 5.30
Main Effect for Factor Q3 Group 1 Group 2	5.80 5.70	6.80 5.85
Main Effect for Factor Q4 Group 1 Group 2	4.65 4.55	5.50 6.05
Factor N x Ethnicity Other African American	4.03 6.63	4.56 5.75
Factor Q4 x Ethnicity Other African American	4.29 4.77	5.86 5.73
Factor Q4 x Gender Male Female	4.29 4.77	5.86 5.73
Factor G x Position Building Based Central Office	6.19 6.07	5.46 5.21

Factor I x Position Building Based Central Office	4.19 4.00	4.85 5.21
Factor M x Position Building Based Central Office	5.23 5.50	4.77 5.00
Factor Q3 x Position Building Based Central Office	6.04 5.21	6.50 6.00
Factor Q4 x Position Building Based Central Office	4.35 5.07	5.58 6.14

TABLE 2
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR SIGNIFICANT 16PF VARIABLES

Source of Variation	SS	DF	MS	F	Sig of F
Within+Residual 16PF Factor F	31.75 11.25	39 1	.81 11.25	13.82	.001
Within+Residual 16PF Factor G	50.49 12.01	39 1	1.29 12.01	9.28	.004
Within+Residual 16PF Factor I	53.55 14.45	39 1	1.37 14.45	10.52	.002
Within+Residual 16PF Factor M	26.99 4.51	39 1	.69 4.51	6.52	.050
Within+Residual 16PF Factor Q3	30.89 6.61	39 1	.79 6.61	8.35	.006
Within+Residual 16PF Factor Q4	57.89 27.61	39 1	1.48 27.61	18.60	.000
Within+Residual PFN Ethnicity x PFN	48.42 .38 6.33	38 1 1	1.27 .38 6.33	.30 4.97	.589 .032
Within+Residual PFQ4 Ethnicity x PFQ4	41.23 44.25 16.65	38 1 1	1.09 44.25 16.65	40.78 15.35	.000 .000
Within+Residual PFQ4 Gender x PFQ4	56.20 29.19 1.69	38 1 1	1.48 29.19 1.69	19.74 1.14	.000 .291
Within+Residual PFG Position x PFG	50.41 11.47 .07	38 1 1	1.33 11.47 .07	8.65 .05	.006 .816

Within-Residual	52.12	38	1.37		
PFL	15.88	1	15.88	11.58	.002
Position x PFL	1.43	1	1.43	1.04	.314
Within-Residual	26.98	38	.71		
PFM	4.21	1	4.21	5.92	.02
Position x PFM	.01	1	.01	.01	.923
Within-Residual	30.41	38	.80		
PFQ3	7.08	1	7.08	8.84	.005
Position x PFQ3	.48	1	.48	.60	.444
Within-Residual	57.77	38	1.52		
PFQ4	24.12	1	24.12	15.86	.000
Position x PFQ4	.12	1	.12	.08	.784

An examination of the MB showed a main effect for the MB Indicator for Thinking vs. Feeling. Over the three years, the leadership style of all beginning administrators became more Thinking and less Feeling. There was a main effect for ethnicity on this same MB Indicator. African Americans became more Thinking than other ethnic group. A main effect was demonstrated for the MB Indicator for Perceiving vs. Judging. Novice administrators became more Judgmental and less Perceptive during the first three years. Refer to Tables 3 and 4.

TABLE 3
COMPARISON OF MEANS FOR SIGNIFICANT MYERS BRIGGS INDICATORS

Variable	Mean 1	Mean 2
Thinking vs. Feeling Indicator		
Group 1	5.55	5.45
Group 2	5.55	5.20
T/F Indicator x Ethnicity		
Others	5.59	5.41
African Americans	5.25	5.00
Perceiving vs. Judging Indicator		
Group 1	7.75	7.70
Group 2	8.00	8.00

TABLE 4
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR SIGNIFICANT MYERS BRIGGS VARIABLES

Source of Variation	SS	DF	MS	F	Sig of F
Within+Residual	4.00	38	.11		
MB Thinking/Feeling	.80	1	.80	7.60	.009
Group x MB T/F	.20	1	.20	1.90	.176
Within+Residual	4.19	38	.11		
MB Thinking/Feeling	.61	1	.61	5.56	.024
Ethnic. x MB T/F	.01	1	.01	.11	.738
Within + Residual	5.47	38	.14		
Group x MB P/F	1.51	1	1.51	10.50	.002

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated that beginning administrators experienced significant personality and leadership changes during the first three years in a new position. Results from the 16PF revealed that personality changes for all beginning administrators shifted from a more democratic to a more bureaucratic or directive style with experience. They became more task and less people oriented. They became more expedient, suspicious, and practical (Blumberg & Amidon, 1965; Lewin, Lippitt, & White, 1939).

These findings were also supported by the results on the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator. Neophytes demonstrated a style change from feeling or people oriented to more thinking or fact oriented. They all became more judgmental and less perceptive. The term "judgmental" is commonly used as a descriptor for individuals who use a bureaucratic style.

Since effective school research proved that administrators with a more indirect or democratic/collegial style are more effective and successful, this shift in style was detrimental to beginning administrators. Education must preserve effective democratic Jekylls, and not allow them to be transformed into ineffective bureaucratic Hydes. Revitalizing, supporting, and renewing, on-the-

job programs which emphasize the need to maintain a democratic attitude and style would benefit these novice administrators.

Other noteworthy personality changes measured on the 16 PF which occurred to all beginning school administrators (with African Americans and females most affected) included becoming more controlled, exacting, tense, driven, and overwrought. This held true for both genders, regardless of the position. These traits are directly linked by psychologists and health professionals to stress-related illnesses (Kahn, 1973; Marcson, 1970; Sheppard; 1971). They make beginning school administrators highly vulnerable to cardiac disease and perhaps premature death.

Five suggested actions which might halt or lessen the change from Jekyll to Hyde for beginning administrators are:

- Universities, state certification programs, and professional organizations should establish intensified and ongoing programs which emphasize democratic leadership beliefs and practices. These sessions should be made available to the working school administrator.
- These same groups and agencies should provide information and training sessions on stress-reduction techniques and practices for beginning school administrators.
- Educators and Health Professionals, working together, need to address the problem of increased stress and provide health, exercise, and dietary planning assistance for all novices.
- The special needs of women and African American beginning school administrators must be recognized and addressed.

- Educators, in particular staff development specialists, must recognize that central office novices have the same vulnerability and personal and professional needs as administrators located in the schools. All suggested programs listed above should be made available to this often forgotten or ignored group.

Although this study has limitations of size, location, and duration, it does suggest a number of major educational implications and does merit serious consideration. It is strongly suggested and highly recommended that this research study be repeated with a larger and more geographically diverse pool of beginning school administrators to verify or refute these findings. If, as this study indicated, our beginning administrators are transformed over time from Jekyll to Hyde; then we need to face this problem head on, implement corrective measures, and stop this transformation in its tracks.

REFERENCES

- Acheson, K. A., & Gall, M. D. (1992). *Techniques in clinical supervision of teachers* (3rd ed.). New York: Longman.
- Blumberg, A., & Amidon, E. (1965). Teacher perceptions of supervisor-teacher interaction. *Administrators Notebook*, 14, 1-8.
- Clinchy, E. (1995). Learning about the real world: Recontextualizing public schools. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 75(5), 400-404.
- Goodlad, J. I. (1984). *A place called school: Prospects for the future*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Kahn, R. (1973). Conflict, ambiguity, and overload: three elements in job stress. *Occupational Mental Health*, 3, 87-94.
- Kaiser, J. (1993). *Educational administration* (2nd ed.). Mequone, WI: Stylex Publishing Co., Inc.
- Kerlinger, F. N. (1986). *Foundations of behavioral research* (3rd ed.). New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.



U.S. Department of Education
 Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
 National Library of Education (NLE)
 Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Navice Administration: Personality & Administrative Style Change</i>	
Author(s): <i>G.J. Kosmoski, D.R. Pollack, L.J. Schmidt</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>Governors State U., Chicago State U.</i>	Publication Date: <i>1/5/99</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education (RIE)*, are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 1

↑

Level 2A

↑

Level 2B

↑

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
 If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, please →

Signature: <i>Linda J. Schmidt Ph.D.</i> Organization/Address: <i>Chicago State Univ Education # 319 95th and King Dr Chicago IL 60628</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>LINDA J. SCHMIDT, PROFESSOR CSU</i> Telephone: <i>773-995-2009</i> FAX: <i>815-672-0648</i> E-Mail Address: <i>LJS@LW@ Saint.net</i> Date: <i>1/10/99</i>
---	---

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management 1787 Agate Street 5207 University of Oregon Eugene, OR 97403-5207
--

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2nd Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>

