

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 427 011

SP 038 314

AUTHOR Hamil, Jessie Burnette Wolf
TITLE The Influences of Physical Science Problem-Solving Activities in Teacher Education Programs.
PUB DATE 1997-11-13
NOTE 9p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Mid-South Educational Research Association (Memphis, TN, November 12-14, 1997).
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS College Students; Elementary Education; Elementary School Teachers; Higher Education; Logical Thinking; *Physical Sciences; Preservice Teacher Education; *Problem Solving; Student Teacher Attitudes; Student Teachers; *Thinking Skills

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to determine the effects of problem-solving activities in physical science classes designed for elementary education majors. A post-test only control group design was used, with 50 students participating during a 5-week treatment period. The experimental group performed problem-solving activities, while the control group did not perform any problem-solving activities. Data collection occurred at the end of the treatment period. Instrumentation consisted of the Test of Integrated Process Skills II and the Problem Solving Inventory. Multiple linear regression analysis indicated that there were significant differences between the experimental group and the control group. The process skill ability mean score was significantly higher for the experimental group than for the control group. There was also a significant relationship between the independent variable of logical reasoning ability and process skill ability. The experimental group had a better perception of their ability to approach their problems and had more confidence in their problem-solving ability, however, the control group had a better perception in the area of personal control. (Contains 10 references.) (SM)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

THE INFLUENCES OF PHYSICAL SCIENCE PROBLEM-SOLVING
ACTIVITIES IN
TEACHER EDUCATION PROGRAMS

JESSIE BURNETTE WOLF HAMIL, Ph.D.
MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY

Paper presented at the Mid-South Educational
Research Association
Memphis, Tennessee
November 13, 1997

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

B. Hamil

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

SP056314

THE INFLUENCES OF PHYSICAL SCIENCE PROBLEM-SOLVING
ACTIVITIES IN
TEACHER EDUCATION PROGRAMS

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to determine the effects of problem-solving activities in physical science classes designed for elementary education majors. A post-test only control group design was used for the study in which 50 students participated during a five week treatment period.

The experimental group performed problem-solving activities while the control group did not participate in problem-solving activities. Data Collection occurred at the end of the treatment period. Instrumentation consisted of the Test of Integrated Process Skills II and The Problem Solving Inventory.

Multiple linear regression was used in the analysis of the data. Significant differences between the experimental group and the control group were found. The process skill ability mean score was significantly higher for the experimental group. Significance was also found between the independent variable of logical reasoning ability and process skill ability.

Introduction

Desire for satisfying the curiosity of "knowing" is an ageless quest. Dewey, in 1931, wrote that the future of the world's outcome would be influenced by knowledge. He believed that decision-making increased intellectual ability (Main and Rowe, 1993).

Decision-making through the use of science processes has been deemed essential to the teaching of science for centuries. The hands-on science march has continued to influence the conceptual framework of curricular design into the 1990s and has had great impact on the manner in which science is taught (Esler and Esler, 1993). This manner of teaching displays a clear distinction between teaching only concepts as compared to the process approach where students are able to understand the "why" of science (Funk, Fiel, Okey, Jaus, and Sprague, 1985).

Most listings of process skills (often called problem-solving skills) generally include activities such as observing, communicating, describing, measuring, and formulating hypotheses. Great emphasis on process skill acquisition was popularized by the beliefs of Robert Gagne' and his explanations according to the hierarchical theory of problem-solving (Collette

and Chiapetta, 1989). His approach has been regarded as appropriate for preservice teachers (Gagne', 1967).

Because the purpose of education is to foster the advancement of intellectual capabilities, preservice teachers need experiences that prepare them for understanding process skills if they are to teach in a manner that strengthens problem-solving ability (Radford, DeTure, and Doran, 1992). Real-world applications leading to increased thinking ability are necessary for proper advancement in the world today (Krulik and Rudnick, 1993). Continued success of humankind has been dependent on inquiry investigations conducted by philosophers and scientists.

Purposes of the study

The specific purposes of the study were to address the following concerns: Would student process skill ability be affected by practice in process skill activities? and (2) Would practice in the use of process skills influence student perception of their problem-solving ability?

Discussion

Because this study was designed to investigate process skill ability, activities from Introductory Science Skills (Gabel, 1993) provided the basis for the problem-solving treatment. The Test of Integrated Process Skills II (TIPS II) and the Problem Solving Inventory (PSI) were administered at the completion of the study to compare the experimental and control groups.

Using multiple linear regression for analysis of the data, statistical evidence showed that the experimental group who had participated in the treatment scored significantly higher in process skill ability ($p = .0211$). A significant relationship ($p = .0183$) between process skill ability and logical reasoning ability was also found.

Although not significant, the results of the Problem Solving Inventory showed the experimental group had a lower standard deviation on two (Approach Avoidance - 10.373 compared to 12.376 for the control, and Problem-Solving Confidence - 7.341 compared to 7.851 for the control) of the three areas addressed as well as on the Problem Solving Total (18.867 compared to 20.0414 for the control). This reflects that the

experimental group had a better perception of their ability to approach their problems, and had more confidence in their problem solving ability; however, the control group had a lower standard deviation for personal control (4.232) than did the experimental group (4.850) meaning they had a better perception in that area than did the experimental. When process skill ability was addresses with the following variables, the subsequent findings were: perception of problem-solving ability ($p = .5255$), grade point average ($p = .0569$), age ($p = .1293$), college classification ($p = .1306$), ACT score ($p = .1881$), and college status (referring to whether the student was a junior college transfer or not) ($p = .0505$).

Educational Implications

If preservice teachers are to develop the art of engaging students in process skill acquisition, then they must be given opportunities to develop the skills themselves. Providing situations where this is possible should be included in the preservice curriculum. Additionally, findings produced from this study offer the possibility that formal reasoning ability and process skill proficiency may not be distinguishable.

Reference List

- Collette, A. T. & Chiapetta, E. L. (1989). *Science instruction in the middle and secondary schools*. New York: Merrill.
- Esler, W. K. & Esler, M. K. (1993). *Teaching Elementary Science* (Th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Finley, F. (1983). Science processes. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 20, 47-54.
- Funk, J. H., Fiel, R. L., Okey, J. R., Jaus, H. H., & Sprague, C. S. (1985). *Learning Science Process Skills* (2nd ed.). Dubigue, IA: Kendall/Hunt.
- Gabel, D. (1993). *Introductory Science Skills* (2nd ed.). Prospect Heights, IL: Waveland Press.
- Gagne', R. M. (1967). Curriculum research and the promotion of learning. In R. W. Tyler, R. M. Gagne' & M. Shrivien (Eds.), *Perspectives of Curriculum Evaluation* (pp. 19-38). Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Handy, R. & Harwood, E. C. (1973). *Useful Procedures of Inquiry*. Great Barrington, MA: Behavioral Research Council.
- Krulik, S. & Rudnick, J. A. (1993). *Reasoning and problem solving: a handbook for elementary school teachers*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Main, J. D. & Rowe, M. B. (1993). The relation of locus of control orientation and task structure to problem-solving performance of sixth grade student pairs. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 30, 401-426.

Radford, D. L., DeTure, L. R., Doran, R. L. (1992, March). A preliminary assessment of science process skills achievement of preservice elementary teachers. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching, Boston, MA. (Eric Document Reproduction No. Ed 354 145)



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>The Influence of Physical Science Problem-Solving Activities in Teacher Education Programs</i>	
Author(s): <i>Dr. Burnette Hamil</i>	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date: <i>11-13-97</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p align="center"><i>Sample</i></p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p>
--

<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p align="center"><i>Sample</i></p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p>

<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p align="center"><i>Sample</i></p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p>

1

Level 1

↑

2A

Level 2A

↑

2B

Level 2B

↑

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, →
please

Signature: <i>Burnette Hamil</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Burnette Hamil, Ph.D. Assistant Professor</i>
Organization/Address: <i>Mississippi State University</i>	Telephone: <i>601-925-7109</i>
<i>Miss. State, MS 39762, POB 5325</i>	FAX: <i>601-325-7857</i>
	E-Mail Address: <i>bhamila@ra.msstate.edu</i>
	Date: <i>11-4-98</i>



III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2nd Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>