

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 419 217

CG 028 487

AUTHOR McNERNEY, Kristine A.; COLEMAN, Christy L.
 TITLE Educational and Occupational Aspirations of High School Students.
 PUB DATE 1998-04-00
 NOTE 15p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (San Diego, CA, April 13-17, 1998).
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS *Academic Aspiration; Adolescents; Age Differences; *Careers; Demography; *Employment; *High School Students; High Schools; Interests; *Occupational Aspiration; Parents; Prestige; Sex Differences
 IDENTIFIERS System of Interactive Guidance and Info Plus

ABSTRACT

Shifts in the number of women in the workplace and a greater diversity and prestige level in their work may have an impact on the educational and occupational level to which students aspire. To examine the consequences of this shift, a comparison of the educational and occupational aspirations of high school students with the educational and occupational attainments of their parents was conducted to investigate gender differences. The participants were 272 male and 313 female high school students. They completed a questionnaire titled, "High School Students Survey: Work, Values, Interests and Skills in Career Guidance." Results showed that students aspired to significantly more education than that achieved by either their father or their mother. Also, the occupational prestige aspirations for both male and female students were significantly higher than the occupational prestige attainment of either parent, and females had significantly higher prestige aspirations than males. (MKA)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

ED 419 217

Educational and Occupational Aspirations of High School Students

Kristine A. McNerney

Educational Testing Service

and

Christy L. Coleman

Marquette University

Structured Poster presented at the Annual Meeting
of the American Education Research Association,

San Diego, CA, April 1998

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

K. McNERNEY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

CC28487
ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

Abstract

The purposes of this study were to compare the educational and occupational aspirations of high school students with the educational and occupational attainments of their parents and to investigate gender differences. The participants were 272 male and 313 female high school students. Participants completed a questionnaire that is based on the self-assessment section of SIGI Plus, "High School Students Survey: Work Values, Interests, and Skills in Career Guidance." Results showed that students aspired to significantly more education than that achieved by either their father or their mother. Also, the occupational prestige aspirations for both male and female students were significantly higher than the occupational prestige attainment of either parent, and females had significantly higher prestige aspirations than males.

Educational and Occupational Aspirations of High School Students

Shifts in the number of women in the workplace and a greater diversity and prestige level in their work may have an impact on the educational and occupational level to which students aspire. Previous research has questioned students' educational and occupational aspirations, specifically whether students aspire to similar education or occupation levels of their parents and if so, which parent in particular. The majority of this research, however, has focused not on high school age students but on young children (Trice & Knapp, 1991; Trice & McClellan, 1993; Trice, Hughes, Odom, Woods, & McClellan, 1995). Therefore, this study will compare the educational and occupational aspirations of high school students with the educational and occupational attainments of their parents.

Status attainment theory focuses on educational and occupational choices, and in part, investigates the influence of parental and family background. This model supposes that the social status of one's parents affects the level of schooling achieved, which in turn affects the occupational level that one achieves (Duncan, Featherman, & Duncan, 1972). It has been a common belief that boys and girls aspire to the careers of their fathers (Holland, 1962; Werts & Watley, 1972). Not until recently however, has the mother's occupational role been considered to have an influence on occupational aspiration (DeWinne, Overton, & Schneider, 1978; Grandy & Stahmann, 1974; Grotevant, Scarr, & Weinberg, 1977; Hazanovitz-Jordan, 1982; Trice & Knapp, 1991).

Using Holland's (1985) system, Trice and Knapp (1991) coded reports of parents' occupations and children's aspirations. They found that girls were more likely to aspire to their mothers' careers; whereas, boys tended to aspire to their mothers' careers at a higher rate than their fathers' careers when the careers were equal in status or when the mothers' careers had a higher status than the fathers. Some possible reasons for this shift, offered by the authors, is that over the last few decades women's jobs have become more interesting and prestigious, and therefore more desirable. Another possibility they suggest is that children know more about their mothers' than their fathers' jobs because they are more likely to have visited their mothers' workplaces.

Marini and Greenberger (1978) found that boys' educational aspirations and expectations were higher than those of girls. They suggest that the "social pressure on males to succeed in the occupational sphere produces higher aspirations and expectations among males than females for the educational attainment necessary for occupational achievement." (p. 70). Goodale and Hall (1976) however found no significant sex differences in college plans and level of aspired vocation.

The purpose of this study was to compare the educational and occupational aspirations of high school students with the educational attainment and occupational prestige level of their parents. In view of the gender differences Trice and Knapp (1991) found, males and females will be compared to each parent separately as well as to each other.

Method

Participants

Participants were 272 male and 313 female students from a suburban high school in the northeastern United States. The majority were White (n = 416, 71.1%), followed by Black (n = 62, 10.6%), Asian/Pacific Islander (n = 49, 8.4%), Hispanic (n = 25, 4.4%), American Indian/Alaskan Native (n = 2, 0.3%), other/multicultural (n = 15, 2.6%), and missing (n=16, 2.7%). The grade distribution was as follows: 180 (31.6%) ninth grade, 165 (28.0%) tenth grade, 170 (28.9%) eleventh grade, and 68 (11.5%) twelfth grade. The mean age of students was 15.78 years (SD 1.17).

The majority of students (n = 446, 75.7%) have had a job. As for occupational plans, 164 (27.8%) know exactly the kind of occupation they want, 288 (48.9%) are trying to decide between two or three different occupations, 85 (14.4%) are considering more than three different occupations, and 49 (8.3%) do not have any specific occupations in mind at this time. Many students have participated in various career exploration activities: 53.0% said they have read about occupations, 49.6% have talked with people in the field about occupations, 40.1% said they have talked with a guidance or career counselor about further education, 40.1% have talked with a guidance or career counselor about careers, 13.8%

have attended a career planning or guidance workshop, 4.6% said they attended a job search strategies workshop, and 4.1% stated they had taken a career guidance course.

Procedure

Participants anonymously completed a questionnaire, "High School Students' Survey: Work Values, Interests, and Skills in Career Guidance" during their social studies class. The questionnaire, based on the self-assessment section of SIGI PLUS, consisted of demographics, work values, interests, and activities.

Students were asked to indicate the educational attainment and occupational category of their parents and their own educational and occupational aspirations. The items were based on the educational and occupational items in the National Educational Longitudinal Study (NELS, 1988). Educational level was coded on a six-point scale ranging from less than a high school diploma to doctorate or professional degree. Only students who indicated an educational level for both parents and an educational aspiration for themselves were used in the analyses for education. Occupational categories included the following: laborer, service worker, machine operator, farmer, sales, office worker, tradesperson, protective service, owner of a small business, technical, manager, school teacher, professional I (occupations requiring either a bachelor's or master's degree), and professional II (occupations requiring a doctorate). Representative occupations were listed as examples for each category. The 1989 General Social Survey (GSS) mean prestige score for each occupational category was used. Students who gave more than one occupational aspiration were given the mean prestige score for their choices. Only students who gave an occupational category for both parents and indicated their own aspiration were retained for analyses. The occupations for homemaker and military were not used in the analyses because they do not have an occupational prestige score.

Results

Table 1 shows the distribution of educational aspirations for the students and the achieved educational level of their parents. Educational aspirations/achievement was treated as a continuous

variable and a repeated measures design using the MANOVA approach, as described by Lewis (1993), was employed. Table 2 shows the mean ratings for students' educational aspirations and their parent's achieved educational levels. The within-subject factor of educational aspiration/achievement was significant, Pillais-trace = .49, $F(2, 482) = 235.76$, $p < .001$. Post hoc tests using Tukey HSD test revealed that students aspired to significantly more education than that achieved by either their mother or their father. Additionally, fathers had significantly more education than mothers did. The gender by educational aspiration/achievement interaction was not significant, Pillais-trace = .01, $F(2, 482) = 2.22$, $p = .110$.

Table 3 shows the occupational prestige level aspirations of the students and the occupational prestige level achievement of their parents. To compare students' occupational prestige aspirations with their fathers' and mothers' achieved occupational prestige, the GSS mean prestige scores were used and a repeated measures design using the MANOVA approach was employed. Table 4 shows the occupational prestige means and standard deviations for students' aspirations and their parents' achievements. The within-subject part of the design yielded several significant results. The gender by occupational aspirations /achievement interaction was significant, Pillais-trace = .03, $F(2, 448) = 7.19$, $p = .001$. This was followed by six t-tests, using the Bonferroni inequality ($.05/6 = .0083$) to control for Type I error. For both male and female students, occupational aspirations were significantly higher than the achieved occupational prestige of either their fathers or mothers. Additionally females had significantly higher prestige aspirations than males.

The main effect of occupational prestige aspirations/achievement was also significant, Pillais-trace = .34, $F(2, 448) = 117.61$, $p < .001$. Post hoc tests using Tukey HSD revealed that averaged over gender, students aspired to significantly higher prestige occupations than those achieved by either fathers or mothers. No significant differences were found between fathers' and mothers' achieved prestige levels.

Discussion

Results of this study showed that both male and female students had higher educational aspirations than the attained level of their parents. One explanation for these higher aspirations may be the greater emphasis placed on post-secondary education by the job market. A greater number of today's higher-earning occupations require post-secondary education, and these jobs are expected to grow faster than those requiring less education or training (Silvestri, 1997). Additionally, students now place greater emphasis on their education (Green, Dugoni, & Ingels, 1995). In 1992 for example, 78 percent of seniors reported that they would attend a post-secondary institution immediately after high school graduation, compared to 59 percent in 1971. Further research is necessary to determine if the educational aspirations reported here are realistic and longitudinal studies might conclude if students attain their desired education level.

Results also showed no gender differences in students' educational plans. This result supports Goodale and Hall's (1976) finding of no gender differences but contradicts Marini and Greenberger (1978) who found that boys' educational expectations were higher than those of girls.

This study also demonstrates that both male and female students aspire to occupations of greater prestige than their mothers' and fathers' occupational attainment. This trend may be due to the recent surge of technology and the downsizing of management. In recent years, technological advances may have introduced new jobs to students that were not available to their parents and downsizing may have eliminated those jobs their parents once held. Other research indicates that factors such as academic performance (Sewell, Haller, & Ohlendorf, 1970), personality characteristics (Jencks et al., 1972), mental ability (Sewell & Armer, 1966), parental expectations (Sewell & Shah, 1968), peer influences (Haller & Butterworth, 1960), and even family size (Rehberg & Westby, 1967) also affect occupational aspiration.

Sewell & Armer (1966) found that children of higher social class origins are more likely to aspire to higher educational and occupational goals than children of lower class origins. Since this study centers on a predominantly middle class sample, additional research that includes students from lower class

backgrounds might reveal differences in educational and occupational aspirations. Status attainment theory holds that a child's educational and occupational achievements are based on his or her parents' social status. Therefore, it is important for career counselors to stress the importance of formal education to students of lower socio-economic status. It is also important for counselors to recognize that, because of their parents' possible unfamiliarity with certain educational and occupational opportunities, students who aspire to education and occupation levels higher than their parents may need additional guidance. If counselors work to identify family background and aspiration levels of their students, they can possibly raise the educational aims of children who or may, otherwise, fall behind. This, in turn, may advance their occupational attainments.

This research shows the importance of the career counselor and his or her role in helping students set and reach their educational and occupational goals. Although NELS data show that the number of seniors who expected to pursue some further education (but not finish college) declined from 31 percent in 1972 to 25 % in 1992 (Green et al., 1995), some students are not reaching their goals. Counselors need to understand why 25 % of our students think they will not pursue further education and what can be done to help them meet their educational aspirations. Intervention at the educational level can affect occupational level achieved.

References

- DeWinne, R. F., Overton, T. D., & Schneider, L. J. (1978). Types produce types-Especially fathers. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 12, 140-144.
- Duncan, O. D., Featherman, D. L., & Duncan, B. Socioeconomic Background and Achievement. New York: Seminar Press, 1972.
- Goodale, J. G., & Hall, D. T. (1976). Inheriting a career: The influences of sex, values, and parents. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 8, 19-30.
- Grandy, T. G., & Stahmann, R. F. (1974). Family influence on college students vocational choice: Predicting Holland's personality types. Journal of College Student Personnel, 15, 404-409.
- Green, P. J., Dugoni, B. L., & Ingels, S. J. (1995). Trends among high school seniors, 1972-1992. Education longitudinal study of 1988. National Opinion Research Center, Chicago, Ill. NCES 95-380.
- Grotevant, H. D., Scarr, S., & Weinberg, R. A. (1977). Patterns of interest similarity in adoptive and biological families. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 35, 667-676.
- Haller, A. O., & Butterworth, C. E. (1960). Peer influences on levels of occupational and educational aspiration. Social Forces, 38, 289-295.
- Hazanovitz-Jordan, S. (1982). Occupational choice and early family relationships: A study of sexual symbolism. Genetic Psychology Monographs, 105, 309-362.
- Holland, J. L. (1962). Some explorations of a theory of vocational choice: I. One and two-year longitudinal studies. Psychology Monographs, 76, 545.
- Holland, J. L. (1985). Making vocational choices: A theory of personalities and work environments (2nd ed.) Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Jencks, C., M. Smith, H. Acland, M. Bare, D. Cohen, H. Gintis, B. Heyns, & S. Michelson. (1972). Inequality: A reassessment of the effects of family and schooling in America. New York: Harper & Row.

Lewis, C. (1993). Analyzing means from repeated measures data. In G. Keren & C. Lewis (Eds.), A handbook for data analysis in the behavioral sciences: Statistical issues (pp. 73-94). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Marini, M. M., & Greenberger, E. (1978). Sex differences in educational aspirations and expectations. American Educational Research Journal, *15*(1), 67-79.

Rehberg, R. A., & Westby, D. L. (1967). Parental encouragement, occupation, education and family size: Artfactual or independent determinants of adolescent educational expectations. Social Forces, *45*, 362-374.

Sewell, W. H., & Armer, M. (1966). Neighborhood context and college plans. American Sociological Review, *31*, 159-168.

Sewell, W. H., Haller, A. O., & Ohlendorf, G. W. (1970). The educational and early occupational attainment process. American Sociological Review, *35*, 1014-1027.

Sewell, W. H., & Shah, V. P. (1968). Social Class, Parental Encouragement, and Educational Aspirations. The American Journal of Sociology, *73*, 559-571.

Silvestri, G. T. (November 1997). Occupational employment projections to 2006. Monthly Labor Review, *120*(11), 58-83.

Trice, A. D. (1991). A Retrospective Study of Career Development: I. Relationship among first aspirations, parental occupations, and current occupations. Psychological Reports, *68*, 2877-290.

Trice, A. D., Hughes, M. A., Odom, C., Woods, K., & McClellan, N.C. (1995). The origins of children's career aspirations: IV. Testing Hypotheses from Four Theories. The Career Development Quarterly, *43*, 307-322.

Trice, A. D., & Knapp, L. (1991). Relationship of children's career aspirations to parent's occupations. The Journal of Genetic Psychology, 153(3), 355-357.

Trice, A. D., & McClellan, N. C. (1993). Do children's career aspirations predict adult occupations? An answer from a secondary analysis of a longitudinal study. Psychological Reports, 72, 368-370.

Werts, C. E., & Watley, D. J. (1972). Paternal influence on talent development. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 19, 367-372.

Table 1

Educational Aspirations/Achievement Levels

	Students' Aspirations	Fathers' Achievement	Mothers' Achievement
Less than high school	1.0%	4.3%	3.5%
High school diploma	1.9%	19.6%	22.1%
Less than a 4-year degree	7.8%	19.8%	28.2%
Bachelor's degree	24.1%	23.7%	23.9%
Master's degree	35.3%	20.0%	19.0%
Doctorate	29.9%	12.6%	3.3%

Table 2

Means and Standard Deviations of Students' Educational Aspirations and Parents' Achieved EducationLevels

	Students' Aspirations	Fathers' Achievement	Mothers' Achievement
Males (N = 228)	M = 4.70 (SD = 1.16)	M = 3.78 (SD = 1.47)	M = 3.43 (SD = 1.19)
Females (N = 257)	M = 4.90 (SD = .98)	M = 3.69 (SD = 1.37)	M = 3.42 (SD = 1.24)
	M = 4.80 (SD = 1.07)	M = 3.73 (SD = 1.42)	M = 3.43 (SD = 1.22)

Table 3

Students' Occupational Aspirations and Parents' Occupational Prestige Level

	1989 GSS Prestige Score	Students' Aspirations		Father's Achievement	Mother's Achievement
		Males N=205	Females N=246		
Laborer	29.44	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	0.4%
Service Worker	30.46	0.5%	4.1%	2.9%	9.9%
Machine Operator	34.10	1.0%	0.0%	4.4%	1.8%
Farmer	35.57	1.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.7%
Sales	35.77	2.0%	0.8%	5.5%	3.8%
Office Worker	38.16	2.0%	4.1%	4.2%	26.9%
Tradesperson	38.51	4.9%	0.8%	8.4%	0.9%
Protective Service	48.50	5.4%	1.2%	3.5%	0.9%
Owner of small business	50.64	4.9%	1.6%	10.2%	4.2%
Technical	51.21	14.1%	2.0%	6.4%	3.3%
Manager	53.52	3.4%	2.4%	13.2%	8.2%
School Teacher	64.38	3.4%	11.8%	1.5%	14.6%
Professional I	64.38	30.7%	35.8%	18.1%	19.2%
Professional II	64.38	19.5%	31.7%	13.2%	5.3%
More than one Career choice		7.3%	3.7%		

Table 4

Means and Standard Deviations of Students' Occupational Aspirations and Parents' AchievedOccupational Prestige

	Students' Aspirations	Fathers' Achievement	Mothers' Achievement
Males N =205	M =56.92 (SD = 9.53)	M = 50.88 (SD = 11.89)	M = 48.35(SD = 13.35)
Females N= 246	M = 60.44 (SD = 9.22)	M = 49.50 (SD = 12.70)	M = 50.90 (SD = 12.96)
	M = 58.87 (SD = 9.50)	M =50.16 (SD = 12.33)	M = 49.73 (SD =13.21)



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Educational and Occupational Aspirations of High School Students</i>	
Author(s): <i>Kristine A McNerny and Christy L. Coleman</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>AERA Presentation Educational Testing Service</i>	Publication Date: <i>April 1998</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

<p>The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p align="center"><i>Sample</i></p> <hr/> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> </div> <p align="center">1</p> <p align="center">Level 1</p> <p align="center"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p align="center"><i>Sample</i></p> <hr/> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> </div> <p align="center">2A</p> <p align="center">Level 2A</p> <p align="center"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p align="center"><i>Sample</i></p> <hr/> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> </div> <p align="center">2B</p> <p align="center">Level 2B</p> <p align="center"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
---	--	--

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, please

Signature: <i>Kristine McNerny</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Kristine McNerny Research Assoc.</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>ETS 15-18-R Rosedale Road</i>	Telephone: <i>609-724-5195</i>	FAX:
	E-Mail Address: <i>KMcNerny@ETS</i>	Date: <i>4/14/98</i>

Princeton NJ 08541

026

(over)





Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation

University of Maryland
1129 Shriver Laboratory
College Park, MD 20742-5701

Tel: (800) 464-3742
(301) 405-7449
FAX: (301) 405-8134
ericae@ericae.net
<http://ericae.net>

March 20, 1998

Dear AERA Presenter,

Congratulations on being a presenter at AERA¹. The ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation invites you to contribute to the ERIC database by providing us with a printed copy of your presentation.

Abstracts of papers accepted by ERIC appear in *Resources in Education (RIE)* and are announced to over 5,000 organizations. The inclusion of your work makes it readily available to other researchers, provides a permanent archive, and enhances the quality of *RIE*. Abstracts of your contribution will be accessible through the printed and electronic versions of *RIE*. The paper will be available through the microfiche collections that are housed at libraries around the world and through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service.

We are gathering all the papers from the AERA Conference. We will route your paper to the appropriate clearinghouse. You will be notified if your paper meets ERIC's criteria for inclusion in *RIE*: contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, effectiveness of presentation, and reproduction quality. You can track our processing of your paper at <http://ericae.net>.

Please sign the Reproduction Release Form on the back of this letter and include it with two copies of your paper. The Release Form gives ERIC permission to make and distribute copies of your paper. It does not preclude you from publishing your work. You can drop off the copies of your paper and Reproduction Release Form at the **ERIC booth (424)** or mail to our attention at the address below. Please feel free to copy the form for future or additional submissions.

Mail to: AERA 1998/ERIC Acquisitions
University of Maryland
1129 Shriver Laboratory
College Park, MD 20742

This year ERIC/AE is making a **Searchable Conference Program** available on the AERA web page (<http://aera.net>). Check it out!

Sincerely,

Lawrence M. Rudner, Ph.D.
Director, ERIC/AE

¹If you are an AERA chair or discussant, please save this form for future use.



The Catholic University of America