

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 417 674

HE 031 130

AUTHOR Kahn, Irwin  
TITLE Missed Examinations and Student Performance in an Upper-Level Course.  
PUB DATE 1997-08-00  
NOTE 9p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Psychological Association (105th, Chicago, IL, August 1997)  
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Academic Achievement; \*Attendance; \*College Juniors; \*College Seniors; College Students; Higher Education; Psychology; \*Scores; Test Norms; \*Tests  
IDENTIFIERS \*Makeup Examinations

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the performance of students who missed regularly scheduled examinations and took make-up examinations in an upper-level psychology course. Four sections of a 300-level Educational Psychology course enrolled 123 students, of whom 52 missed one or two examinations, one missed four examinations, and one missed the comprehensive final. The examination scores, quiz scores, and finals scores were compared for those students missing examinations and those who did not. Results indicated that students who missed examinations scored lower on their make-up examinations than on their regularly scheduled examinations. These students also had lower quiz and comprehensive final examination scores than students who did not miss regular examinations. Since the make-up examinations were the same as the regularly scheduled examinations, factors other than examination difficulty account for these results. Possible explanations include: students who miss examinations are less capable, have more personal problems, have more absences, are less interested in the subject matter, are more likely to procrastinate, or are less dedicated. (Contains 10 references.) (BF)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Running Head: MISSED EXAMINATIONS AND STUDENT PERFORMANCE

ED 417 674

Missed Examinations and Student Performance

in an Upper-Level Course

Irwin Kahn

Ferris State University

AE031130

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  
Irwin Kahn

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)  
 This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.  
 Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.  

---

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

2

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



## Abstract

This study investigated the performance of students who missed regularly scheduled examinations and took makeup examinations in an upper-level psychology course. It analyzed how these students performed on makeup examinations, regularly scheduled examinations, quizzes, and comprehensive final examinations. Results indicated that students who missed examinations scored lower on their makeup than their regularly scheduled examinations. These students also had lower examination, quiz, and comprehensive final examination scores than students who did not miss examinations. The inclusion of these findings in instructors' manuals that accompany psychology textbooks was discussed.

Missed Examinations and Student Performance  
in an Upper-Level Course

Students often take a makeup examination when they miss a regularly scheduled examination. Instructors' manuals that accompany psychology textbooks often give advice about makeup examinations (Bolt, 1995; Conrad & Rafter, 1991; George, 1994; Goss & Bernstein, 1991; Sandlin & Diaz-Rico, 1995), and books on college teaching (McKeachie, 1986; Prichard & Sawyer, 1994) often address issues related to testing (e. g., administering test, scoring tests, proofreading tests); however, these sources provide little or no information about how students who miss examinations perform on makeup examinations or other evaluation procedures (examinations, quizzes, comprehensive final examinations) typically used in college or university classrooms.

The purpose of this study was to investigate student performance on makeup examinations taken in an upper-level psychology course. It compared students' performance on makeup examinations with their performance on regularly scheduled examinations. In addition, examination, quiz, and comprehensive final examination scores of students who missed examinations were compared to those scores of students who did not miss examinations.

#### Method

##### Participants

Participants were 123 students enrolled in four sections of a 300-level Educational Psychology course. Of these students, 52 missed one or two examinations, one missed four examinations, and one missed the comprehensive final. All students who missed exams took makeups. The large percentage of students who took makeup examinations was the result of a liberal makeup policy described below.

### Procedure

Students could miss a regularly scheduled examination for any reason. They did not need to provide the instructor with an excuse. All makeup examinations, except for the one missed final given after finals week, were given on the last day class met before final examination week. Makeups were the same regularly scheduled examination the student missed when the examination was originally given to the entire class. For example, if a student missed the third test, their makeup was the identical third test given to the entire class.

Four 50-point multiple-choice examinations, one 55-point multiple-choice comprehensive final, and 12 5-point quizzes were given during the semester. Quizzes were typically unannounced. They took a variety of forms including actual quizzes, opinion on an issue, participation in a class demonstration, etc.

Lecture was the primary teaching method. All students had the same instructor, textbook (Woolfolk, 1995), sequence of chapters and lectures, examinations, lecture material, etc. Since live lectures were given, some variation in the presentation of lecture material occurred.

### Results

A t test for correlated observations compared the makeup examination scores with the mean of the regularly scheduled examination scores for the 52 students who missed one or two examinations. The results indicated that regularly scheduled examination scores ( $\underline{M} = 60.71\%$ ,  $\underline{SD} = 17.59$ ) were significantly higher than makeup examination scores ( $\underline{M} = 57.54\%$ ,  $\underline{SD} = 19.75$ ,  $\underline{t}(51) = 2.05$ ,  $\underline{p} < .05$ ). Students scored better on their regularly scheduled than their makeup examinations.

The examination scores, quiz scores, and comprehensive final examination scores of students who missed examinations were compared to the examination, quiz, and comprehensive final examination scores of

students who did not miss examinations using  $t$  tests for independent observations. These  $t$  tests indicated that students who did not miss examinations had higher examination scores ( $M = 135.01$ ,  $SD = 20.40$ ,  $t(121) = 2.20$ ,  $p < .05$ ), quiz scores ( $M = 49.15$ ,  $SD = 8.82$ ,  $t(121) = 3.34$ ,  $p < .05$ ), and final examination scores ( $M = 36.52$ ,  $SD = 6.71$ ,  $t(121) = 2.45$ ,  $p < .05$ ) than students who missed examinations and had to take a makeup examination ( $M = 126.94$ ,  $SD = 20.02$ ;  $M = 42.80$ ,  $SD = 12.25$ ;  $M = 33.65$ ,  $SD = 6.13$ , respectively for examination, quiz, and final examination scores). Students who did not miss examinations performed better on all forms of evaluation than students who missed examinations.

A  $t$  test comparing the number of quizzes that were handed in indicated that students who did not miss examinations handed in more quizzes than those who missed examinations ( $M_s = 10.14$  and  $8.80$ ,  $SD_s = 1.71$  and  $2.44$  respectively;  $t(121) = 3.60$ ,  $p < .05$ ). This suggests that students who did not miss examinations attended class more frequently than students who missed examinations.

#### Discussion

Students scored higher on their regularly scheduled examinations than their makeup examinations. Furthermore, students who did not miss examinations earned higher examination scores, quiz scores, final examination scores, and handed in more quizzes than students who missed examinations. The explanation for these findings is unclear. Since the makeup examinations were the same as the regularly scheduled examinations, factors other than examination difficulty accounted for these results. It may be that students who miss examinations are less capable, have more personal problems, are less interested in the subject matter, are more likely to procrastinate, are less dedicated, etc.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Students miss class for different reasons (Van Blerkom, 1992); likewise, they miss exams for different reasons.

Until recently (Kahn, 1995), little research has been conducted on the performance of students who miss exams. The findings reported here provide some information about student performance on makeup examinations, and compare the performance of students who miss examinations with those of students who do not miss examinations on a number of typical types of evaluation methods (examinations, quizzes, comprehensive finals) used in college and university classrooms. These findings support the concerns of those instructors who believe that students who miss examinations do poorly on makeup examinations and other types of evaluation methods. The results of this study should be included in instructors' manuals that accompany textbooks used in psychology courses. If this information was available in instructors' manuals, instructors might inform their students about these results to discourage them from missing examinations.

## References

Bolt, M. (1995). Instructor's resources for use with David G. Myers Psychology (4th ed.). New York: Worth.

Conrad, E., & Rafter, M. (1991). Instructor's resource kit to accompany Psychology: an introduction by Josh R. Gerow (3rd ed.). New York: HarperCollins.

George, P. G. (1994). Instructor's resource manual for Slavin Educational psychology: theory and practice (4th ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Goss, S. A., & Bernstein, D. A. (1991). Instructor's resource manual for Psychology by Bernstein, Roy, Srull and Wickens (2nd ed.). Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

Kahn, I. (1995). Comparison of scores on regularly scheduled examinations and makeup examinations. Psychological Reports, 76, 771-774.

McKeachie, W. J. (1986). Teaching tips: A guidebook for the beginning college teacher (8th. ed.). Lexington, MA: Heath.

Prichard, K. W., & Sawyer, R. M. (1994). Handbook of college teaching: Theory and applications. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press.

Sandlin, R., & Diaz-Rico, L. (1995). Instructor's resource manual for Woolfolk Educational psychology (6th ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Van Blerkom, M. L. (1992). Class attendance in undergraduate courses. The Journal of Psychology, 126, 487-494.

Woolfolk, A. E. (1995). Educational psychology (6th ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Author Note

This study was presented at the American Psychological Association's 105th Annual convention in Chicago, Il, August 1997.

Correspondence concerning this article should be sent to Irwin Kahn, Department of Social Sciences, Ferris State University, Big Rapids, MI 49307; e-mail: kahni@ferris.edu.



U.S. Department of Education  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

## I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Missed Examinations and Student Performance in an Upper-Level Course	
Author(s): Irwin Kahn	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date:

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here

### For Level 1 Release:

Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2



Check here

### For Level 2 Release:

Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here → please

Signature: 	Printed Name/Position/Title: Irwin Kahn/Professor	
Organization/Address: Ferris State University Social Sciences Department 820 Campus Drive, ASC 2108 Big Rapids, MI 49307-2225	Telephone: 616-592-2759	FAX: 616-592-2541
	E-Mail Address: kahni@ferris.edu	Date: January 12, 1998





## COUNSELING AND STUDENT SERVICES CLEARINGHOUSE

Dear 1997 APA Presenter:

The ERIC Clearinghouse on Counseling and Student Services invites you to contribute to the ERIC database by providing us with a written copy of the presentation you made at the American Psychological Association's 105th Annual Convention in Chicago August 15-19, 1997. Papers presented at professional conferences represent a significant source of educational material for the ERIC system. We don't charge a fee for adding a document to the ERIC database, and authors keep the copyrights.

As you may know, ERIC is the largest and most searched education database in the world. Documents accepted by ERIC appear in the abstract journal Resources in Education (RIE) and are announced to several thousand organizations. The inclusion of your work makes it readily available to other researchers, counselors, and educators; provides a permanent archive; and enhances the quality of RIE. Your contribution will be accessible through the printed and electronic versions of RIE, through microfiche collections that are housed at libraries around the country and the world, and through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). By contributing your document to the ERIC system, you participate in building an international resource for educational information. In addition, your paper may be listed for publication credit on your academic vita.

To submit your document to ERIC/CASS for review and possible inclusion in the ERIC database, please send the following to the address on this letterhead:

- (1) Two (2) laser print copies of the paper,
- (2) A signed reproduction release form (see back of letter), and
- (3) A 200-word abstract (optional)

Documents are reviewed for contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, effectiveness of presentation, and reproduction quality. Previously published materials in copyrighted journals or books are not usually accepted because of Copyright Law, but authors may later publish documents which have been acquired by ERIC. However, should you wish to publish your document with a scholarly journal in the future, please contact the appropriate journal editor prior to submitting your document to ERIC. It is possible that some editors will consider even a microfiche copy of your work as "published" and thus will not accept your submission. In the case of "draft" versions, or preliminary research in your area of expertise, it would be prudent to inquire as to what extent the percentage of duplication will effect future publication of your work. Finally, please feel free to copy the reproduction release for future or additional submissions.

Sincerely,

  
Jillian Barr Joncas  
Assistant Director for Acquisitions and Outreach

---

School of Education  
201 Ferguson Building P.O. Box 26171  
University of North Carolina at Greensboro  
Greensboro, NC 27402-6171

800/414.9769

910/334.4114

FAX: 910/334.4116

mail: [ericcas2@dewey.uncg.edu](mailto:ericcas2@dewey.uncg.edu)

