

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 416 679

FL 025 074

AUTHOR Kimball, Jack  
TITLE Constructing L2.  
PUB DATE 1997-00-00  
NOTE 4p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Japan Association for Language Teaching (23rd, Japan, 1997).  
PUB TYPE Information Analyses (070) -- Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Classroom Environment; Classroom Techniques; \*Constructivism (Learning); Cooperative Learning; Decision Making; Dialogs (Language); Linguistic Theory; Second Language Instruction; \*Second Language Learning; \*Second Languages; Writing Instruction  
IDENTIFIERS Content Area Teaching

ABSTRACT

Two elements of constructivist pedagogy warrant attention with respect to second language (L2) learning: collaborative dialogue and learner decision-making. These two elements in instruction bring about experiential and task oriented classrooms. The constructivist approach to the L2 classroom foregrounds conceptual development focused on meaningful content, using raw data and primary sources as well as abundant information encoded in different formats, and various materials that can be physically manipulated by students to expedite inquiry and hypothesizing. In an optimal situation, learners have abundant print and electronic texts, ample flexible opportunities to write, single-student and collaborative projects, fact-checking circles, individual review and fact summaries, oral readings of a range of text types authored by writers inside and outside the class, team debates, and peer revision of student writing. Content area material is frequently incorporated. Implementing L2 constructivist techniques creates a shift in teacher authority and conventional classroom interactions, and requires an openness to inquiry on the teacher's part. Contains 27 references. (MSE)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Constructing L2

Jack Kimball

Miyazaki Medical College, Japan

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Jack  
Kimball

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.

Minor changes have been made to  
improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this  
document do not necessarily represent  
official OERI position or policy.

Two elements of constructivist pedagogy warrant attention with respect to L2: collaborative dialogue and learner decision-making. Regarding student-to-student dialogue and other cooperative strategies, L2 theorists stress how language production results in social "construction" of conceptual development. Crandall (1993) notes how cooperative learning "encourages students to interact ... share their insights, test hypotheses, and jointly construct knowledge" (p. 117). With regard to decision-making, since constructivist theory specifies that students assume responsibility about what they are learning (Luria, 1976, 1982; Vygotsky, 1978, 1986; Wertsch, 1985; Bruner, 1990), the instructor's role is motivational, helping learners concentrate on self-directed hypothesis-building, discovering and rediscovering principles underpinning cognitive and linguistic processes (see Richard-Amato & Snow, 1992; Short, 1993, 1994). Therefore, learner decision-making, along with collaborative dialogue, brings about experiential and task-oriented classrooms in which the L2 learner "does science" (Roseberry, Warren & Conant 1992), sharpens language and academic skills relevant to humanities problem-sets (Enright & McCloskey, 1988), and manufactures as well as solves math problems (Spanos, Rhodes, Dale & Crandall, 1988).

The constructivist approach to the L2 classroom, then, foregrounds conceptual development focused on meaningful content, employing raw data and primary sources as well as abundant information encoded in different formats (texts, graphics, multimedia) and various materials that can be physically manipulated by students to expedite inquiry and hypothesizing. Kaufman and Grennon Brooks (1996) counsel L2 teachers to involve learners in hands-on

ED 416 679

FL025074

projects and cognitive tasks such as question-and-response and debate, encouraging students to come up with new information and even contradictory evidence vis a vis their original hypotheses.

### An Exemplary Case

To illustrate a case of learner decision-making and collaborative interaction within an L2 composition classroom, along with an oversupply of reading (standard and electronic texts) and ample, flexible opportunities to write, learners also enter into several singleton and collaborative ancillary ventures: fact-checking circles; group as well as individual review and summary of facts; oral readings of a range of related texts authored by writers outside and inside the class; team debates; peer revision of student texts. including pair-work-editing. These overlapping tasks all together add up to solo and group achievements pitched toward visiting and revisiting generative ideas and aggregate themes, providing learners multiple perspectives on language and information.

Learner decision-making and collaboration call for students to engage meaningful subject matter, and thus we find elementary programs that merge L2 with art, music, physical education (Met, 1991); elementary and secondary programs that feature L2 with math and science (Fatham, Quinn & Kessler, 1992); and secondary programs that mix L2 skills development with social sciences (Short, 1994). Recent constructivist tertiary-level L2 approaches include student-selected field research (Howell, 1996), language-enriched algebraic projects (Kaufman & Grennon Brooks, 1996), and clinical case studies on the Internet (Kimball, 1997).

### Practical Constructivism

Putting L2 constructivist stratagems into practice exacts a high price with respect to teachers' authority and other classroom conventions. Because learner

input is integral to the theory, constructivist syllabi remain receptive to student-generated tasks and projects developed in the spontaneous flow of learners' interactions and deepening interests. Inductive open-endedness aptly describes the temperament required of an L2 teacher who would introduce constructivist strategies. Rather than turning to a reading text, say, with the defined aim of covering particular pages followed by evaluative language exercises, an educator operating on constructivist principles might propose a portion of the text be examined. This would be an exploratory pedagogic gesture to assess how the text and other curricular elements could best be arrayed for students to probe their conceptual understanding and develop their own notions of how to proceed. Depending on learners' linguistic competencies, students guided by their instructor might choose different options: analyzing the text's main and supportive ideas, comparing those ideas with students' opinions, contrasting textual assertions with other sources. Again, depending on results of one or more of these options, the instructor and students may decide to continue reading the original text or follow other lines of activity.

Energies that foster a constructivist open-ended approach are continually dispersed among L2 learners and then reconcentrated by those learners in processes of making sense. Accordingly, language development within a constructivist regime emerges from a conceptual search for meaning. This search is occasioned within cycles of inquiry leading to student hypothesizing and further inquiry animated by a learning environment co-created by the instructor and the instructed.

## References

- Brinton, D., Snow, M. & Wesche, M. (1989). *Content-based second language instruction*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Bruner, J. (1990). *Acts of meaning*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Bruner, J. (1997). *The culture of education*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Chamont A. & O'Malley, J. (1992). The cognitive academic language learning approach: A bridge to the mainstream. In P. Richard-Amato & M. Snow (Eds.), *The multicultural classroom: Readings for content area teachers* (pp. 39-57). White Plains, NY: Longman.
- Crandall, J. (1993). Content-centered learning in the United States. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, Vol. 13, 111-126.
- Enright, D. & McCloskey, M. (1988). *Integrating English*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Fatham, A., Quinn, M. & Kessler, C. (1992). *Teaching science to English learners, grades 4-8*. Washington, DC: National Clearinghouse for Bilingual Education.
- Grennon Brooks, J. & Brooks, M. (1993). *The case for constructivist classrooms*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Gopnik, A. & Meltzoff, A. (1997). *Words, thoughts, and theories*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Howell, C. (1996). Constructivist views of language in professional education. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (New York City, April 8-12). [ED396329]
- Johnson, K. (1992). The relationship between teachers' beliefs and practices during literacy instruction for non-native speakers of English. *Journal of Reading Behavior*, 24, 83-108.
- Kaufman, D. & Grennon Brooks, J. (1996). Interdisciplinary collaboration in teacher education: A constructivist approach. *TESOL Quarterly*, 30 (2), 231-251.
- Kimball, J. (1997). Concept-acquisition: Tapping the Internet for ideas. In S. Cornwell, P. Rule & T. Sugino (Eds.), *On JALT96: Crossing borders* (pp. 128-132). Tokyo: Japan Association for Language Teaching.
- Krashen, S. (1982). *Principles and practice in second language acquisition*. Oxford: Pergamon.
- Luria, A. (1976). *Cognitive development: Its cultural and social foundations*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Luria, A. (1982). *Language and cognition*. NY: John Wiley and Sons.
- Met, M. (1991). Learning language through content; learning content through language. *Foreign Language Annals*, 24, 281-295.

Pajares, F. (1992). Teachers' beliefs and educational research: Cleaning up a messy construct. *Review of Educational Research*, 62, 307-332.

Pickering, A. (1997). Science warriors and enemies of reason. *The Times Literary Supplement*, No. 4922 (August 1), 8-9.

Richard-Amato, P. & Snow, M. (Eds.) (1992). *The multicultural classroom: Readings for content area teachers*. White Plains, NY: Longman.

Rosebery, A., Warren, B. & Conant, F. (1992). *Appropriating scientific discourse: Findings from language minority classrooms*. Santa Cruz, CA: National Center for Research on Cultural Diversity and Second Language Learning, University of California, Santa Cruz.

Short, D. (1993). Assessing integrated language and content instruction. *TESOL Quarterly*, 27, 627-656.

Short, D. (1994). Expanding middle school horizons: Integrating language culture and social sciences. *TESOL Quarterly*, 28, 581-608.

Spanos, G., Rhodes, N., Dale, T. & Crandall, J. (1988). Linguistic features of mathematical problem solving. In R. Cocking and J. Mestre (Eds.) *Linguistic and cultural influences on learning mathematics* (pp. 221-240). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Vygotsky, L. (1978). *Mind in society*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Vygotsky, L. (1986). *Thought and language*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Wertsch, J. (1985). *Vygotsky and the social foundations of mind*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

202-659-5641

CFL

571 P22

APR 22 '98 10:43



U.S. Department of Education  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
National Library of Education (NLE)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

FL025074



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

## I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Constructing L2

Author(s): Jack Kimball

JALT presentation?  yes  no If not, was this paper presented at another conference?  yes  no Specify: \_\_\_\_\_

Publication Date: N/A

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample notice shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY \_\_\_\_\_

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

The sample notice shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFORM AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY. HAS BEEN GRANTED BY \_\_\_\_\_

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

The sample notice shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFORM ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY \_\_\_\_\_

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival means (i.e., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in separate media for ERIC archival collections subscribers only.

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed in Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors require permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, please

Signature: Jack Kimball

Organization/Address: Miyazaki Medical College

Period Name/Position (if any): Jack Kimball Professor

Telephone: SAHME ->

FAX: 81-985-24-1115

ERIC Accession Number: ED 395 241-1115

Date: 4/27/98

kimball@med.ac.jp

(over)

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

4-10