

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 415 952

PS 025 496

AUTHOR Kuther, Tara L.  
TITLE The Effects of Victimization on Coping Processes in Suburban Early Adolescents.  
PUB DATE 1997-04-00  
NOTE 9p.; Paper presented at the Biennial Meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development (62nd, Washington, DC, April 3-6, 1997).  
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Coping; \*Early Adolescents; Emotional Adjustment; Intermediate Grades; Junior High School Students; Junior High Schools; Parochial Schools; \*Victims of Crime; \*Violence

ABSTRACT

This study examined developmental differences in rates of victimization by community violence and associated coping processes in 123 sixth, seventh and eighth graders from a parochial school in a small Connecticut city. Subjects completed the Youth's Exposure to Community Violence questionnaire and the Ways of Coping questionnaire. Results indicated that the majority of subjects experienced at least one incident of victimization within the past year, including hitting, chasing, mugging, or wounding. Victims reported more use of each coping process than nonvictims, reflecting emotion-based (self-control, escape avoidance) and problem-based efforts (confrontative coping, planful problem solving, positive reappraisal, accepting responsibility). Results suggest that the experience of being a victim of community violence pushes students to try new strategies to cope with the stress of the incident. (JPB)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Running Head: Coping

### The Effects of Victimization on Coping Processes in Suburban Early Adolescents

Tara L. Kuther

Fordham University

Poster presented at the biennial meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development, April, 1997. Washington, DC.

Please address correspondence to Tara L. Kuther, Department of Psychology, Fordham University, 441 E. Fordham Road, Bronx, NY 10458. Qubeck@Murray.Fordham.Edu

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL  
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Tara Kuther

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)



**Abstract**

Developmental differences in rates of victimization and associated coping processes were examined in early adolescents from a small suburban city. The majority of participants experienced at least one incident of victimization within the past year. Victimization was associated with increased use of several coping processes.

## The Effects of Victimization on Coping Processes in Suburban Early Adolescents

Although growing segments of the adolescent population are facing a crisis of violence in their communities, research on the effects of community violence has largely been limited to exposure rates and measures of clinical distress, and has not adequately addressed adaptational responses (Fitzpatrick & Boldizar, 1993; Martinez & Richters, 1993). As part of a larger study on suburban adolescents experience with and reaction to victimization (Kuther & Fisher, 1996), this poster examines the relationship of coping processes to victimization.

### Method

#### Participants

One-hundred and twenty-three 6th, 7th, and 8th graders (56% female) from a private parochial school in a small Connecticut city, approximately 50 miles from New York City, participated. Students were predominantly NonHispanic White; 8.3% described themselves as African American, 2.5% Asian American, and 2.5% Native American.

#### Measures

Youth's Exposure to Community Violence Questionnaire. As part of a larger study on community violence (Kuther & Fisher, 1996), we developed the Youth's Exposure to Community Violence Questionnaire, adapted from Richter and Saltzman (1990) to assess student experiences with victimization. This questionnaire assesses student experiences with four categories of violent events: being chased or threatened; being hit, slapped, punched or jumped; being mugged or robbed; and being seriously wounded.

Ways of Coping Questionnaire. Students also completed the Ways of Coping Questionnaire, which contains eight empirical subscales to assess coping strategies: confrontative coping, distancing, self-controlling, seeking social support, accepting responsibility, escape-avoidance, planful problem solving, and positive reappraisal (Folkman & Lazarus, 1989). Participants imagine a recent stressful situation (not necessarily associated with victimization) and indicate on a scale ranging from “not used” to “used a great deal” how often they used 66 potential solutions in dealing with the situation.

### Results

Descriptive analyses indicated that the majority of students experienced at least one incident of victimization within the past year. Across grades, the percent of students reporting an incident were: hitting (59%; range = 24 - 51%); chasing (42%; range = 54 - 65%); mugging (18%; range = 12 - 23%); and wounding (12%; range = 0 - 19%). Students did not differ in their likelihood of victimization on the basis of grade,  $X^2(2, N = 118) = 1.346$ , NS. Table 1 provides the mean frequencies for student victimization. As there were no grade differences in victimization, grade was dropped from subsequent analyses.

A 2(sex) by 2(victimization) MANOVA with coping subscales as the dependant variables revealed an overall main effect of victim status,  $F(8, 97) = 2.23$ ,  $p < .0318$ . Univariate tests indicated that victims reported more use of self control,  $F(1, 104) = 13.47$ ,  $p < .0004$ , accepting responsibility,  $F(1, 104) = 13.91$ ,  $p < .0003$ , planful problem solving,  $F(1, 104) = 7.17$ ,  $p < .0086$ , confrontative coping,  $F(1, 104) = 5.63$ ,  $p < .0195$ , escape avoidance,  $F(1, 104) = 6.76$ ,  $p < .0107$ , and positive reappraisal,  $F(1, 104) = 5.38$ ,  $p < .0223$ . Student mean coping scores by

sex and victimization are presented in Table 2.

### Discussion

Over half of our sample of suburban early adolescents reported victimization by community violence. Victimization was associated with a variety of coping responses. Victims reported more use of each coping process than nonvictims, reflecting emotion-based (self-control; escape-avoidance) as well as problem-based (confrontative coping, planful problem solving; positive reappraisal; accepting responsibility) efforts. It is suggested that the experience of victimization pushes students to try new strategies, positive and negative, in order to cope with the stress.

While the coping strategies most utilized by victims appear to contradict one another in that they include both emotion based and problem based strategies, Folkman, Lazarus, Dunkel-Schetter, DeLongis, & Gruen (1986) have argued that such seemingly contradictory coping processes can act to facilitate one another, depending upon the situation at hand. For example, while confrontative coping refers to aggressive efforts to confront, or change the situation, self control refers to efforts directed at controlling one's emotions and actions. The occurrence of the two processes together suggests that the impulse to confront may, for some, be coupled with that to control one's feelings and actions so that the situation does not get out of hand (Folkman et al., 1986).

Victim scores on the accepting responsibility subscale of the coping measure indicated that they were more likely to accept responsibility and acknowledge their role in the problem, which may be adaptive in guiding future behavior. However, it may also suggest an increased likelihood for victims to blame themselves for circumstances out of their control. Increased use of positive

reappraisal in our sample of victimized teens suggests that many approach incidents such as victimization as a learning or growth experience. This dimension of coping also taps religious faith (Folkman & Lazarus, 1988), suggesting that victims may have turned towards their religious faith in order to cope with the experience. This interpretation may be especially appropriate as our sample consisted of students from a private parochial school.

These results suggest the need to examine youth's perception of victimization in more depth, as how adolescents perceive or appraise the incident may be related to coping. Victims reported more use of escape-avoidance strategies, which are often used in situations appraised as unchangeable, or having to be accepted (Folkman et al., 1986). They also reported more use of planful problem solving, or analytic problem-focused efforts to change the situation; this strategy is most often used in situations appraised as changeable (Folkman et al., 1986). The increased use of escape avoidance and planful problem solving efforts in victimized teens suggests that they were ambiguous in their appraisals of their situations. Future research should further examine how early adolescents appraise situations and whether victimization affects their appraisals.

### References

- Erikson, E. H. (1968). Identity: Youth and crisis. New York: W. W. Norton.
- Fitzpatrick, K. M. & Boldizar, J. P. (1993). The prevalence and consequences of exposure to violence among African-American youth. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 32(2), 424-430.
- Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. (1989). Ways of coping questionnaire. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Folkman, S., Lazarus, R. S., Dunkel-Schetter, C., DeLongis, A., & Gruen, R. J. (1986). Dynamics of a stressful encounter: Cognitive appraisal, coping, and encounter outcomes. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 50(5), 992-1003.
- Kuther, T. L., & Fisher, C. B. (1996, August). A profile of victimization in adolescents from a suburban city. Poster session presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Toronto, Canada.
- Martinez, P. & Richters, J. E. (1993). The NIMH community violence project: II. Children's distress symptoms associated with violence exposure. Psychiatry, 56, 22-35.
- Richters, J. E., & Saltzman, W. (1990). Survey of Children's Exposure to Community Violence. National Institute of Mental Health.

Table 1

Percent of Students Victimized During the Past Year by Sex, Grade, and Type of Event

Event	Male (n = 51)	Female (n = 67)	6th grade (n = 33)	7th grade (n = 42)	8th grade (n = 43)	Total <sup>1</sup> (n = 118)
Chase	57%	30%	24%	51%	47%	42%
Slap	71%	51%	59%	53%	65%	59%
Mug	25%	12%	12%	16%	23%	18%
Wound	18%	7%	0%	14%	19%	12%

1. Three participants were not included in these analyses because of missing data.

Table 2

Means and Standard Deviations for Student Coping Scores by Sex and Victimization

Coping Scale	Victim						NonVictim					
	Female		Male		Total		Female		Male		Total	
	Mean	(sd)	Mean	(sd)	Mean	(sd)	Mean	(sd)	Mean	(sd)	Mean	(sd)
Confrontative	8.17	4.10	7.94	.45	8.06	4.25	5.61	3.06	4.14	5.73	6.00	5.79
Distancing	6.57	3.75	7.18	3.97	6.86	3.84	6.14	2.33	4.71	4.75	5.79	3.07
Self-Controlling	8.48	4.47	8.58	4.98	8.53	4.69	5.24	3.88	3.57	4.79	4.82	4.10
Seeking Support	7.62	3.70	7.11	4.47	7.38	4.07	6.19	3.22	4.71	5.02	5.82	3.70
Accepting Resp.	5.71	3.07	4.58	3.47	5.18	3.30	3.05	1.75	2.43	2.76	2.89	2.01
Escape-Avoidance	9.07	5.09	8.53	5.11	8.80	5.08	5.86	3.64	6.14	8.19	5.93	4.97
Plan. Prob. Solve	7.74	4.41	6.97	3.82	7.38	4.13	5.71	3.26	3.57	5.19	5.18	3.84
Pos. Reappraisal	8.79	4.83	8.32	5.10	8.56	4.93	6.62	4.08	5.00	5.29	6.21	4.37



U.S. Department of Education  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

## I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Effects of Victimization on Coping Processes in Suburban Early Adolescents</i>	
Author(s): <i>TARA KUTHER</i>	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date: <i>1997</i>

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all **Level 1** documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all **Level 2** documents



Check here  
**For Level 1 Release:**  
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Sample*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Sample*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Check here  
**For Level 2 Release:**  
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.

Level 1

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at **Level 1**.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: <i>Tara Kuther</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>TARA KUTHER</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>Dept. of Psychology Fordham University Bronx, NY 10458</i>	Telephone: <i>914 279-9636</i>	FAX:
	E-Mail Address: <i>TKuther@aol.com</i>	Date: <i>1/5/98</i>

667252  
ERIC  
Full Text Provided by ERIC

### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	<b>KAREN E. SMITH ACQUISITIONS COORDINATOR ERIC/EECE CHILDREN'S RESEARCH CENTER 51 GERTY DRIVE CHAMPAIGN, ILLINOIS 61820-7469</b>
---	---

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility**  
1100 West Street, 2d Floor  
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080  
Toll Free: 800-799-3742  
FAX: 301-953-0263  
e-mail: [ericfac@inet.ed.gov](mailto:ericfac@inet.ed.gov)  
WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>