

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 415 466

CG 028 242

AUTHOR Volkman, Beatrice  
TITLE You Can't Educate an Empty Chair: Increasing Student Attendance through Parent Involvement in Regular Classroom Lessons.  
PUB DATE 1996-00-00  
NOTE 9p.  
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Attendance; Dropout Prevention; Educational Environment; Elementary Education; \*Parent Attitudes; Parent Child Relationship; \*Parent Influence; \*Parent Participation; \*Parent School Relationship

ABSTRACT

Parent involvement in their children's education has been well established as an important component of academic success. To explore this phenomenon, parents were invited into the classroom to participate in a regular lesson so as to determine if such participation would enhance their perception of education and subsequently increase students' daily attendance. The research involved 150 students from kindergarten, first, second, and fifth grades and the students' parents. Parents were invited into the classroom to team with their child in a typical classroom lesson for one hour, one day each month. Parents sat next to their children and actively gathered information, solved problems, and made decisions. The findings suggest that the parents' attitudes toward school undergo a gradual positive change when parents become involved in classroom lessons. Between group comparisons of the attendance records of treatment and control groups indicate that there were positive effects associated with parent involvement in regular classroom lessons. Students and teachers also exhibited positive changes in attitude, and a stronger sense of community was formed. (Contains 31 references.) (RJM)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

B. Volkman

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

You Can't Educate An Empty Chair:  
Increasing Student Attendance Through Parent Involvement in  
Regular Classroom Lessons

The idea that school/family partnerships educate the modern child more completely than the individual efforts of either institution is so compelling today that it has become a national cry. The concept, however, is neither new nor startling; throughout time each institution has relied on the other for help providing children with the necessary life skills. For example, colonial parents recognized the need for all their children to read in English and banded together to take a substantial step forward in the development of primary schools (Spring, 1994). The Puritan School Law of 1647 was decreed to promise every township an appointed schoolmaster to help those children acquire the language (Benton, 1968).

As the colonists molded a new identity they knew the purpose of education expanded beyond individual literacy; it would be a vital tool for forging independence. Secular schools were established, and freedom to think became important (Johansen, Johnson, & Henniger, 1993). As the concept of universal education began to take hold, young and children of varied backgrounds were admitted into public schools, and citizenry envisioned schools as institutions for improving society (Spring, 1994). Such dreams prompted a common acceptance of school as the most able partner to prepare students for life and parents gradually began to relinquish their role as the child's first teacher to the school (Spring, 1994).

Today, with increasingly complex lifestyles and family pressures, parents target the school as the most valuable resource for the unfolding of their child (Lay-Doper, 1994). While grammar schools and institutions of higher learning are a valuable resource, the viewpoint that they are the panacea for personal and social problems is not valid. Rapid societal development has precluded the possibility that professional educators alone can prepare students for success in our increasingly sophisticated world (Johnson, et al., 1993). Undeniably, the collaborative work of university, school, and family serve society best. Current studies strongly suggest that the most accurate predictor of student success is the extent to which families become engaged in the educational process (Henderson & Berla, 1994). Although the structure of the American family has changed in the last few decades, its members still value one another, and its children still need parental support (Elkind, 1995). From family, children find the love and support necessary to sustain them through disappointments, and the encouragement they need to press forward (Hunter, 1994; White-Hood, 1994). Bronfennbrenner (1984) refers to the child and family as an ecological package. When family goals dovetail with the school agenda students are more successful (Christenson, Rounds, & Franklin, 1992; Henderson & Berla, 1994).

Over the past decade, there has been a deluge of programs and activities organized by school and community to unite parents and educators (Henderson & Berla, 1994). For the first time in history, March 31, 1994, the federal government wrote the concept into law. Goals 2000: Educate America Act directs every school to promote partnerships with parents (Department of Education, 1994). In schools across the nation there is a new excitement in creating ties that bind (Daniels, 1996). Studies suggest that parents and teachers who support one another produce students who are: 1) high achievers, 2) more likely to attend school faithfully, 3) less likely to be in special education classes, and 4) more inclined to enroll in postsecondary education (Henderson and Berla, 1994). Parents involved in

partnerships develop more confidence in their schools, their children, and themselves, and their regard for teachers increases. Schools and society also benefit; teacher morale and motivation increases, and, as a consequence, so does community spirit (Daniels, 1996).

Schools encourage family partnerships in a wide array of activity, ranging from inviting parents to be spectators, volunteers, and teachers-at-home to involving parents in decision-making (Brandt, 1996; Gough, 1995). Although the benefit of parent-teacher interaction is readily acknowledged, there is general indication that with the exceptions of reporting classroom procedures, grades, or behaviors teachers infrequently include parents in their agenda (Dauber & Epstein, 1993; Roeser, 1995). Evidence suggests they may be fearful parents will scoff at their strategies, criticize their teaching style, or challenge the curriculum they teach (Daniels, 1996; Roeser, 1995; Scherer, 1996). In spite of the truth that may accompany those fears, there is far more consensus than disagreement about the advantages of parental involvement in the educational process (Dauber, & Epstein, 1993; Green & Sancho, 1990).

### Purpose

The purpose of this study was to determine whether inviting parents into the classroom to participate in a regular lesson would enhance their perception of what actually goes on in a regular lesson, and, if their perception was enhanced, if student daily attendance would increase. Determining if changing parents' attitude toward school will increase student attendance is important. A United States Department of Education publication (1994) reports student absenteeism to be the first factor attributable to performance differences among students. Congruent research suggest that partnerships among parents, students and teachers are more important to student success than any other family statistic (Dauber & Epstein, 1993; Hanushek, 1994).

With an ageless respect for the contribution of both school and family toward the educational process, it seems to follow that educators and parents might best participate together to produce evidence that weaves accountability into school/family partnerships. The purpose of the study was to determine if parent attitude toward school would change if they became involved with their child in typical classroom lessons and if that change would affect classroom attendance. Two research questions were posed: 1.) Can parent involvement in typical classroom situations change parents' attitude toward school? and 2.) Will school attendance increase if parents become more involved in the learning process?

### Methods

The study named Parent Attitude Change Toward School (PACTS) was implemented during the 1995-96 school year as an action research project facilitated by a professor of education in conjunction with seven volunteer elementary school teachers and 42 preservice teachers. The teachers taught four different grades, kindergarten, first, second, and fifth, housed in five different schools in an urban district of thirteen neighborhood elementary schools. One-hundred fifty students comprised the treatment classrooms. Each teacher planned carefully to ensure that activities were interesting as well as challenging, were interactive, and took into account both child and content. The preservice teachers were sophomores and juniors who volunteered to participate in the research project. Although they did not receive a grade, the University credited their transcript with notice of their participation in Faculty-Student Research. Preservice teachers were included in the study to: (1) team with students who might be alone during a parent involvement lesson, (2) engage the class after the lesson in order to give the teacher and parents a few minutes to bond, and to (3) witness the effects of school-family partnerships.

The university coordinator met with the pre- and in-service teachers once each month. The informal meetings were intended to take all involved toward mutual respect and

productivity. Each teacher met privately with the preservice teacher volunteer to lend him/her a hand in planning a 10-15 minute after-the-lesson activity.

To get parents to the lessons, invitations were sent. Whether produced on computers or made by hand, they always reflected the topic of the lesson. Parents/surrogates were invited into the classroom to team with their child in a typical classroom lesson for one hour one day each month. They were not spectators, but partners with the student--gathering and processing information, solving problems, and making decisions in meaningful learning situations. At each lesson parents sat next to their children. They were urged to join right in--talking, laughing, and working are part of learning. The research team took snapshots during every parent involvement lesson and hung them on the wall. Every classroom boasted a Family Wall as a reminder that what happens in the classroom is important. The lessons in which parents participated promoted the idea that learning is interactive and cannot be duplicated with make-up assignments.

### **Data Collection**

Quantitative data included parent surveys of the treatment group and student attendance records for the treatment and control classroom students. Qualitative data were collected as teacher-researchers interacted with treatment parents and recorded comments made by parents during and after the classroom lessons. Some interviews were conducted with hand-held recorders.

### **Results**

Qualitative results suggest that parents' attitudes toward school undergo a gradual positive change when parents become involved in classroom lessons. Between group comparisons of attendance records of the treatment and control groups indicate statistically significant difference at the .05 level. In all cases there were positive effects associated with parent involvement in regular classroom lessons.

Consequently, spring semester, 1995, a university-inservice teacher-preservice teacher team initiated a research project to create a Parent Attitude Change Toward School (PACTS) by including parents in a regular classroom lesson with their child once a month. Often parents come to school to watch a program, tutor, or volunteer. They do not come into the classroom and get involved with the most important aspect of school--the child's learning. In 1995-1996 the project operates in five different schools and involves 11 inservice and 42 preservice teachers. Qualitative results demonstrate not only a parent attitude change toward school, but also a student, preservice, and inservice teacher attitude change as well (Tables 1, 2, and 3). Classroom attendance is positively affected and a stronger sense of community is built.

## REFERENCES

Anson, R. & Fox, J. (1995). Studies of Educational reform. Phi Delta Kappan, 77, 16-18.

Benton, W. (1968). On parental duty and the apprenticeship of children. In The annals of america (vol. 1, p. 170). Chicago: Encyclopedia Britannica.

Brandt, R. (Ed.). (1996). Working constructively with families [Special issue]. Educational Leadership, 53(7).

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1984). Is early intervention effective: A report on longitudinal evaluations of preschool programs, vol. 2. Washington, D.C.: Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Child Development.

Christenson, S., Rounds, Tl & Franklin, M. (1992). Home-school collaboration: Effects, issues, and opportunities. In S. Christenson & J. Conoley. (Eds.) Home-school collaboration: Enhancing children's academic and social competence. Silver Spring, MD: National Association of School Psychologists, p. 19-51.

Cook, G. (1982). Teachers as learners: Approaches to professional education. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota. (Eric Document Reproduction Service No. ED 219 801)

Daniels, H. (1996). The best practice project: Building parent partnerships in Chicago. Educational Leadership, 53, 38-43.

Dauber, S. & Epstein, J. (1993). Parent attitudes and practices of involvement in inner-city elementary and middle schools. In N. Chavkin (Ed.) Families and Schools in a Pluralistic Society. Albany: State University of New York Press.

Department of Education. (1994, September). Strong families, strong schools: Building Community Partnerships for Learning from the U.S. Department of Education. Washington, D.C.: Department of Education.

Eisner, E. (1995). Standards for american schools: Help or Hindrance? Phi Delta Kappan, 80(8), 758-764.

Elkind, D. (1995). School and family in the postmodern world. Phi Delta Kappan, 77(1), 8-14.

Glickman, C. (Ed.). (1992). Supervision in Transition. 1992 Yearbook of the association for supervision and curriculum development. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

Goodlad, J. (1990). Moral dimensions of teaching. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Gough, P. (1995). School and family in the postmodern world [Special issue]. Phi Delta Kappan, 77.

Green, J. & Sancho, A. (1990). Meeting the challenge of rural dropout students: Making a difference through parent-school collaboration. Tucson, AZ: Rural Education Symposium of the American Council on Rural Special Education and the National Rural and Small Schools Consortium. (Eric Document Reproduction Service No. ED 237 317)

Hanushek, E. (1994). Making schools work. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution.

Henderson, A. & Berla, N. (1994). A new generation of evidence: The family is critical to student achievement. Washington D.C.: National Committee for Citizens in Education. (Eric Document Reproduction Service No. ED 375 968)

Hunter, D. (1994). Parent involvement, business partnerships promote student achievement. Schools In The Middle, 33, 22-23.

Iran-Nejad, A. (1993). Toward a whole-theme approach to learning and teaching. Unpublished manuscript, University of Alabama. Behavioral Studies. College of Education, Tuscaloosa.

Johansen, J., Johnson, J., & Henniger, M. (1993). American education: An introduction to teaching. Madison, Wisconsin: Brown & Benchmark.

Karplus, R. (1979). Teaching for the development of reasoning. In A. Lawson (ed.), 1980 AETS Yearbook: The psychology of teaching for thinking and creativity. Columbus, OH: ERIC/SMEAC, 150-173.

Knowles, M. (1984). The adult learner: A neglected species. (3rd ed.). Houston: Gulf Publishing Co.

Lay-Dopyera, M. & Dopyera, J. (1993). Becoming a teacher of young children. New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc.

Roeser, R. (1995). A longitudinal study of patterns of parent involvement in school across the elementary years: Teacher and parent reports. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association. San Francisco: CA. (Eric Document Reproduction Service No. ED 385 382)

Schmoker, M. (1996). Results: The key to continuous school improvement. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development. p. 39.

Schon, D. (1987). Educating the reflective practitioner. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Sherer, M. (1996). On our changing family values. Educational Leadership, 53(7), 4-9.

Spring, J. (1994). The American School 1642-1993. New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc.

Sunal, C. & Haas, M. (1993). Social studies and the elementary/middle school student. NY: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich College Publishers.

United States Department of Education. (1994). Strong Families, Strong Schools: Building Community Partnership for Learning. Washington, D.C.

White-Hood, M. (1994). Enriching Adolescent Lives: Focus on changing family structures. Schools In The Middle, 37, 9-12.



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: You Can't Educate An Empty Chair: Increasing Student Attendance Through Parent Involvement In Regular Classroom Lessons
Author(s): Beatrice Kramer Volkman
Corporate Source: Mississippi University for Women
Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here

For Level 1 Release:

Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
Sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
Sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2



Check here

For Level 2 Release:

Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here -> please

Signature: Beatrice Volkman
Printed Name/Position/Title: Dr. Beatrice Volkman, assistant professor
Organizational Address: Columbus, MS 39701
Telephone: 327-5220
E-Mail Address: volkmanb@MUW.edu
Date: 11/13/97

### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation  
210 O'Boyle Hall  
The Catholic University of America  
Washington, DC 20064

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility**  
1100 West Street, 2d Floor  
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: [ericfac@inet.ed.gov](mailto:ericfac@inet.ed.gov)

WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>