

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 414 573

CS 216 090

AUTHOR Gong, Gwendolyn
TITLE No More Peking Ducks in Hong Kong: Provoking Critical Thinking through "Subversive Teaching."
PUB DATE 1997-03-00
NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Conference on College Composition and Communication (48th, Phoenix, AZ, March 12-15, 1997).
PUB TYPE Opinion Papers (120) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Classroom Techniques; *Critical Thinking; Elementary Secondary Education; Foreign Countries; Higher Education; Instructional Improvement; Memorization; *Student Development; *Student Needs; Teacher Role; Teaching Methods; *Thinking Skills
IDENTIFIERS *Educational Issues; *Hong Kong; Learning Environments; Problem Based Learning

ABSTRACT

Education in Hong Kong has been developed along a British model that uses examination results to determine students' academic futures: to be allowed to go on to a university to study or to go into the workplace with a tenth-grade education. The system has been described by likening students to Peking ducks who are forced to eat, fattened up for someone else's table. Similarly, students are stuffed with information from books by way of rote learning, and memorization. Students are not allowed to comment or question the information. Thus Hong Kong's tertiary students enter universities with an ability to receive information, but little or no experience in independent or critical thinking. Among the strategies that teachers can use to help their students better prepare for the future and avoid becoming Peking ducks: create and maintain student-centered learning environments; design prompts as rhetorical scenarios dealing with contemporary social, political, and economic issues; and incorporate problem-based learning pedagogy/strategies. (Contains 10 references.) (CR)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

ED 414 573

**NO MORE PEKING DUCKS IN HONG KONG:
PROVOKING CRITICAL THINKING THROUGH "SUBVERSIVE TEACHING"**

Gwendolyn Gong

The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Introduction: The Problem of the Peking Duck

Education in Hong Kong has been developed along a British model that uses examination results to determine students' academic futures: to be allowed to go on to a university to study or to go into the workplace with a tenth-grade education. Ice, one of my writing students, describes this system:

It is like we are Peking ducks who are forced to eat—fattened up for someone else's table. Similarly, we students are expected to open our mouths, and then we are stuffed and stuffed and stuffed with information from books—rote learning, memorization. We are not allowed to comment, question, or refuse the information; we have to cover everything on a standard syllabus for each course, based on the examination for each kind of test, so there is no time for us to discuss or think about ideas anyway. This kind of education is just passive learning to take tests.

CS 216 090

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

G. Gong

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

As this quotation illustrates, Hong Kong tertiary students enter universities with a great ability to "receive" information, but with little or no experience in how to think critically and independently. For this reason, educators of these students must devote much of their time trying to foster student interest and confidence in becoming creative problem solvers and active participants in—not simply consumers or (Peking ducks) of information about—issues and changes in their daily lives and the world around them.

Higher Education in Hong Kong: A Brief Overview

Hong Kong's children are today required to stay in school until the age of 15 or the end of secondary 3, whichever is earlier (10th grade). This has only been true, however, since 1978, and some 46% of the population aged 25 and above have received no secondary schooling. After secondary 3, some children currently abandon formal education while others join craft and technician courses, but about 91% choose to stay at school for another two years. They follow curricula leading to the Hong Kong Certificate of Education Examination (HKCEE). The schools in which the children study fall into three groups: government schools (8%); aided schools, largely funded by the government but managed by voluntary bodies (77%); and private schools (15%). There are three-year vocational schools and three-year teacher education courses of study as well.

Currently, according to the University Grants Committee (UGC), 38% of children stay at school after secondary 5 (HKCEE) and take two year sixth form courses leading to the Hong Kong Advanced Level Examinations (HKALE). Students with appropriate grades on HKALE may then enter three-year diploma or first-degree

courses or two-year courses for teacher education. Those gaining first degrees or the equivalent may subsequently be admitted to taught higher degrees or may undertake research for a Master's degree or doctorate.

Given this context for understanding higher education in Hong Kong, let's consider how students coming from this system do when they enter universities. In a recent study called *the Preparation of Students for Tertiary Education (POSTE)*, Professor Cheng Kai-ming, one of the researchers from the University of Hong Kong, writes:

Respondents to the study felt that the formal curriculum was overloaded with factual material and that there was insufficient development of analytical and critical skills. . . . the aims of the Curriculum Development Council for inculcating creative thinking and rational and independent decision making are unlikely to be realized.

. . . Perhaps the most important and disturbing result from the POSTE report was the authors' conclusion that teachers in secondary and in tertiary education may have very little understanding of each other's needs and contributions. Secondary teachers may not understand what attributes higher education institutions would like to find in their entrants, and tertiary teachers may have little knowledge about current activities in sixth forms. . . .

With this lack of understanding between secondary and tertiary educators, it is imperative that teachers begin to bridge this gap. Until this happens, however, students will continue to suffer. It falls on the shoulders of teachers to “catch” their students in the meantime, saving them from losing their sense of curiosity while in the educational system and from being Peking ducks.

Hong Kong’s Future

Hong Kong will be handed over to the People’s Republic of China on July 1, 1997. Given the political, economic, social, and ethnic realities that this change in sovereignty will inevitably bring, the youth in Hong Kong must stop being Peking ducks. And they can achieve this conversion in part with the help of teachers across disciplines, wherever they are learning: in Asia, North American, Europe, etc. It is increasingly important and ethical that educationists who teach Hong Kong students—albeit all students—to empower them to use their minds and raise their voices logically and effectively, rather than to pragmatically cram random material down their throats.

Ways to Address the Problem

Below is a list of strategies that teachers can use to help their students better prepare for the future and avoid becoming Peking ducks:

- Create and maintain student-centered learning environments

- Integrate technology into courses as much as possible (Daedalus, e-mail, WWW, etc.)
- Design prompts as rhetorical scenarios that deal with contemporary social, political, economic issues and contexts for daily life
- Incorporate problem-based learning pedagogy/strategies as appropriate
- Develop interactive and provocative rhetorical situations for role playing
- Provide an understanding of how our world is socially constructing realities and how truth is dynamic

Conclusion: No More Peking Ducks, Please

According to Neil Postman and Charles Weingartner in their classic text, *Teaching as a Subversive Activity* (1969),

What is the necessary business of schools? To create eager consumers? To transmit the dead ideas, values, metaphors, and information of [a century] ago? To create smoothly functioning bureaucrats? These aims are truly² subversive since they undermine our chances of surviving as a viable, democratic society. And they do their work in the name of convention and standard practice. We would like to see the schools go into the anti-entropy business. Now, that is subversive, too. But the purpose is to subvert attitudes, beliefs, and assumptions that foster chaos and uselessness. (p. 15)

No more Peking ducks, please. The order of the day is "subversive teaching" to transform our ducks as receptacles into individuals as responsible, informed, and engaged citizens who can critically think, communicate, and act.

References: For More Information

- Biggs, J. (1996). Western misperceptions of the Confucian-heritage learning culture. In *The Chinese learner: Cultural, psychological, and contextual influences* (pp. 45-67). Hong Kong and Victoria, Australia: Comparative Education Research Centre and the Australian Council for Educational Research Ltd.
- Cheng, K. M., et al. (1996). *The preparation of students for tertiary education (POSTE)*, Hong Kong: UGC.
- Gow, L., Kember, D., and McKay, J. (1996). Improving student learning through action research into teaching. In *The Chinese learner: Cultural, psychological, and contextual influences* (pp. 243-265). Hong Kong and Victoria, Australia: Comparative Education Research Centre and the Australian Council for Educational Research Ltd.
- Lee, W. O. (1996). The cultural context for Chinese learners: Conceptions of learning in the Confucian tradition. In *The Chinese learner: Cultural, psychological, and contextual influences* (pp. 25-41). Hong Kong and Victoria, Australia: Comparative Education Research Centre and the Australian Council for Educational Research Ltd.

- Marton, F., Dall'Alba, G., and Tse, L. K. (1996). Memorizing and understanding: The keys to the paradox? In *The Chinese learner: Cultural, psychological, and contextual influences* (pp. 69-83). Hong Kong and Victoria, Australia: Comparative Education Research Centre and the Australian Council for Educational Research Ltd.
- Salili, F. (1996). Accepting personal responsibility for learning. In *The Chinese learner: Cultural, psychological, and contextual influences* (pp. 85-105). Hong Kong and Victoria, Australia: Comparative Education Research Centre and the Australian Council for Educational Research Ltd.
- Tang, C. (1996). Collaborative learning: The latent dimension in Chinese students' learning. In *The Chinese learner: Cultural, psychological, and contextual influences* (pp. 183-204). Hong Kong and Victoria, Australia: Comparative Education Research Centre and the Australian Council for Educational Research Ltd.
- Watkins, D. (1996). Hong Kong secondary school learners. In *The Chinese learner: Cultural, psychological, and contextual influences* (pp. 107-119). Hong Kong and Victoria, Australia: Comparative Education Research Centre and the Australian Council for Educational Research Ltd.
- Watkins, D. A., and Biggs, J. B. (Eds.). (1996). *The Chinese learner: Cultural, psychological, and contextual influences*. Hong Kong and Victoria, Australia: Comparative Education Research Centre and the Australian Council for Educational Research Ltd.
- Winter, S. (1996). Peer tutoring and learning outcomes. In *The Chinese learner: Cultural, psychological, and contextual influences* (pp. 221-241). Hong Kong and Victoria, Australia: Comparative Education Research Centre and the Australian Council for Educational Research Ltd.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Paper presented at the 1997 4C's Convention (Phoenix) <i>No More Peking Ducks in Hong Kong: Critical Thinking through "Subversive Teaching."</i>	
Author(s): Gwendolyn GONG	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date: March 12-15, 1997

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) **and** paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ *Sample* _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ *Sample* _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2



Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but **not** in paper copy.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here → please

Signature: <i>Gwendolyn Gong</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: Gwendolyn Gong/Professor/Acting Dir.	
Organization/Address: English Language Teaching Unit The Chinese University of Hong Kong Shatin, Hong Kong	Telephone: 852-2609-7466	FAX: 852-2603-5157
	E-Mail Address: ggong@cuhk.edu.hk	Date: Jan. 2, 1998

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	<i>Acquisitions</i> ERIC/REC 2805 E. Tenth Street Smith Research Center, 150 Indiana University Bloomington, IN 47408
---	--

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

~~ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2d Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598~~

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>