DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 414 277   SP 037 681

AUTHOR   Sherry, Lorraine
TITLE   Linking Technology with Promising Practices To Improve
              Teaching and Learning.
PUB DATE   1997-10-00
NOTE   9p.
PUB TYPE   Reports - Descriptive (141)
EDRS PRICE   MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS   *Computer Uses in Education; *Educational Technology;
              Elementary School Teachers; Elementary Secondary Education;
              Higher Education; *Internet; Preservice Teacher Education;
              Secondary School Teachers; Student Projects; Teaching
              Methods
IDENTIFIERS   *Authentic Assessment

ABSTRACT
         Many of today's teachers are receiving training to perfect
their technology skills. One place to begin technology integration during
preservice education is at the instructional design level, focusing on
student performance assessments and alignment of school curricula with state
standards. The Teachers' Internet Use Guide emphasizes alignment of standards
and lessons. The Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills standards, with which
the Use Guide is aligned, focus on authentic student performance. Technology
also offers promise for student projects. Students can use technology to
complete authentic projects. However, if they use the Internet to get
information, teachers must be concerned about originality and plagiarism. An
alternative involves combining student products and performances, to be
assessed as a portfolio item. With feedback from teachers, students
progressively revise and refine their own products. This teaches them to
create and edit professional material. When they complete the product, they
can communicate their findings to others using presentation software. This
method is important because students learn with peers in a global context
rather than in isolation, producing original products and performances that
are valuable to themselves and others and that lend themselves to authentic
assessment. (SM)

Linking Technology with Promising Practices
to Improve Teaching and Learning

Lorraine Sherry
Research Associate

RMC Research Corporation
1512 Larimer Street, Suite 540
Denver CO 80202

Phone: (800) 922-3636
FAX: (303) 825-1626
sherry@rmcdenver.com
lsherry@carbon.cudenver.edu

1

Linking Technology with Promising Practices

to Improve Teaching and Learning

If you believe that the day has finally come for technology to
transform teaching and learning, it's time for the nation's teachers to
be prepared. (Arthur E. Wise, president of NCATE.)

School technology spending is on the rise. According to a national
survey (White, 1997), the nation's schools are predicted to spend an
estimated $5.2 billion on educational technology during the 1997-98 school
year, up 21 percent from 1996-97 spending. Schools have focused their
technology plans on putting the infrastructure in place for networking and
Internet access. Teachers have been honing their technology skills at
inservice training sessions (Sherry, 1997) and statewide conferences. They are
also participating in professional development workshops for state, school
district, and school personnel to provide support for programs authorized
by the IASA, such as the recent technology planning sessions conducted in
Edinburgh and San Antonio, Texas this September by our STAR Center
technology team members.

These efforts, however, represent activities that address only one piece
of the puzzle. As William Reid aptly points out,

> ...the work of curriculum and schooling is labor-intensive. Changing
> what schools do is largely a matter of changing what people do, not of

2

introducing more sophisticated equipment...the technology of curriculum is a matter of cultural tradition and personal knowledge rather than of processes that can be built into the specifications of tools or computers. (Reid, 1992, p. 145.)

Training teachers to use technology is a very different process from giving them a vision and plan for technology that reinforces new conceptual models of teaching and learning, and from identifying and making available exemplary practices of technology use in the 21st Century (NCATE, 1997).

Some colleges and universities are making thoughtful and productive use of technology in their preservice education programs. For example, at Valley City State University in Valley City, ND, teachers create electronic portfolios. At the University of Northern Iowa in Cedar Falls, teachers can view live broadcasts from a lab school on campus and converse with the teacher using push-to-talk microphones. At the University of Colorado at Denver, the Initial Teacher Education program stresses the integration of technology across the entire curriculum - math, science, language arts, foundations - not just in the technology courses. Other colleges and universities are still making the same mistake that was made at the school level, namely, they still treat technology as a special addition to the teacher education curriculum...but not a topic that needs to be incorporated across the entire teacher education program (Bradley, 1997).

3

4

Using Technology to Improve Teaching and Learning

Since there is a great emphasis on both national and statewide testing, a good place to begin the technology integration process is at the instructional design level, with a focus on student performance assessments and the alignment of school curricula with state standards. The Teachers' Internet Use Guide, located at the URL:http://www.rmcdenver.com/useguide/index.htm, was developed by the technology team at RMC Research Corporation, one of the STAR Center (Comprehensive Regional Assistance Center) partners, emphasizes the alignment of standards and lessons.

The Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) standards, with which the Use Guide is aligned, focus on authentic student performance rather than rote memorization of facts and figures. Similarly, Kansas has phased in a new set of tests with performance components that require students to write about or otherwise demonstrate their knowledge.

Setting the types of performance goals that are found in IASA may specify the end result that teachers hope their students will be able to achieve, but "it has nothing to say about what kind of curriculum making could produce such results" (Reid, 1992, p. 144). It also does not specify the instructional strategies that teachers might use to achieve such expert performance. Here is where technology does offer a promise to transform teaching and learning, and where promising practices with

4

technology ought to be identified.

Promising Practices for Student Projects

Many principals do not believe that children are all at the

same place at one time, nor should they proceed from one grade to the

next in lockstep. Many schools use multi-age classrooms where students

from higher grades work

together with younger students in small groups, moving about the room,

focusing on authentic projects. Harrison Elementary School, Cedar Rapids,

Iowa, has a "Reading Buddies" program that uses students from higher

grades to help tutor younger pupils. Nederland Elementary School

in Colorado helped 4th through 8th grade students learn about

astronomy and oceanography by conversing with astronauts and

Jason Project scientists, by doing research on the Web under the

guidance of their teacher, and by creating their own Web page with

information and guidance from the Jason Project oceanographers.

One strategy that is currently being tested, and that is becoming

more widespread in practice, is a reconceptualization of the traditional

student writing project or term paper. In the past, teachers assigned a

topic, students did their research in the library and then handed in their

work as a paper product to be graded as an essay. Plagiarism was indeed

possible, but experienced teachers were aware of the potential for student

abuse and were quick to spot the pilfered pieces.

With access to the Internet, students can do their research

5

from home, in the lab, or on the classroom's computer, copy excerpts from Web pages without evaluating the veracity of the information they contain, string the excerpts together without citing the original source, and hand in a paper as their own. Teachers are hard pressed to track down the students' information sources, especially if they are not cited properly or if the information is highly volatile. Nor is it always possible to ascertain whether a particular section of a student's essay is original work or copied verbatim from a Web site. Clearly, the tried and true practice of assigning and grading essays does not port well to technology-savvy students today.

An alternative that has met with success at both the elementary and the high school level is a combination of student products and performances, to be assessed as a portfolio item. Once the topic has been assigned, students may work collaboratively on a writing product, but they are expected to hand in drafts of their work-in-progress, with sources cited in the manner that the teacher specifies. For example, the American Psychological Association (APA) has posted their guidelines on their Web site, located at the URL: http://www.apa.org/journals/webref.html.

With feedback from the teacher, the students progressively revise and refine their own products until they are ready to hand in. Products can be prepared with a word processor, using screen captures of photographs, graphics, and quotations from Web sites that are then inserted into a written document. Thus, students not only learn to access

6

information from sources all over the globe; they also work through the process of creating and editing a polished, professional article.

Once the product is complete, the student move to the next phase of the activity communicating their findings to their teacher and fellow students. Many students use presentation software such as PowerPoint or HyperStudio to enhance their presentations, especially if they wish to use graphics or sound files. Moreover, the dialogue that takes place between student, teacher, and classmates during or after the presentation offers an excellent means for the teacher to assess the depth, breadth, and veracity of the student's work.

The infrastructure that can support such authentic writing activities can be as simple as a Macintosh with HyperStudio, a word processor, and an Internet connection. The important point is that the students do not do their work in isolation; they learn with their peers in a global context. Moreover, they produce products and performances that are original, that are of real value to themselves and their classmates, and that lend themselves to authentic assessment by their teachers.

Practices of this nature provide opportunities for all students, regardless of their learning style, to reach challenging state content and performance standards. Such strategies also help teachers to improve teaching and learning for all students by not only concentrating on basic skills such as vocabulary, grammar and punctuation, but also on improving classroom practice and student learning in a substantial and meaningful

7

way that fosters higher-level thinking, real-world skills, and lifelong

learning the overarching aim of the IASA.

References

American Psychological Association. (1997). How to Cite Information From

the World Wide Web. Retrieved September 23, 1997 from the World Wide

Web: http://www.apa.org/journals/webref.html

Bradley, A. (1997, September 17). NCATE told to emphasize technology.

Education Week, 1, 13.

National Association for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE).

(1997). Technology and the new professional teacher: Preparing for the

21st Century classroom. Available: call NCATE at (202) 466-7496 or send

e-mail to tracy@ncate.org

Reid, W.A. (1992). The pursuit of curriculum. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.

Sherry, L. (1997, September). The Boulder Valley Internet Project: Lessons

learned. Technological Horizons in Education (T.H.E.) Journal, 68-72.

White, K.A. (1997, September 3). School technology spending on the rise,

survey predicts. Education Week, 21.

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

ERIC ®

REPRODUCTION RELEASE
(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: LINKING TECHNOLOGY WITH PROMISING PRACTICES
TO IMPROVE TEACHING AND LEARNING

Author(s): LORRAINE SHERRY

Corporate Source: RMC RESEARCH CORPORATION

Publication Date: OCT. 1997

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all **Level 1** documents

☑

↑

Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) **and** paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ Sample _____

_____ Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all **Level 2** documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2

☐

↑

Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at **Level 1**.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here→ please

Signature: *Lorraine Sherry*

Organization/Address:
RMC RESEARCH CORP.
1512 LARIMER STREET
SUITE 540
DENVER CO 80202

Printed Name/Position/Title: LORRAINE SHERRY
RESEARCH ASSOCIATE

Telephone: 800-922-3636   FAX: 303-825-1626

E-Mail Address: SHERRY@RMCDENVER.   Date: 11/25/97
COM

(over)