

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 409 091

PS 025 505

AUTHOR Frymier, Jack
TITLE Alcohol, Drugs, and Sex: Are Kids as Bad as We Think They Are?
PUB DATE Mar 97
NOTE 6p.; Paper presented at the Annual Conference and Exhibit of the Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (Baltimore, MD, March 22-25, 1997).
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Drinking; *High School Students; High Schools; *Illegal Drug Use; Moral Issues; Parochial Schools; Public Opinion; Public Schools; *Secondary School Teachers; *Sexuality; Stereotypes; Student Attitudes; Teacher Attitudes

ABSTRACT

This paper discusses the results of a Phi Delta Kappa study (1996) of core values in the schools, focusing on student and teacher perceptions of alcohol and drug use and sexual behavior among high school students. The study involved 2,125 teachers and 2,429 students. About three-fourths of the students were white, Catholic, middle-class, and attended parochial schools. It found that 79 percent of teachers thought that students would answer affirmatively that they sometimes used alcohol when encouraged to do so by friends, that 51 percent of teachers thought that students would answer affirmatively regarding drug use, and that 69 percent thought that students would answer affirmatively in regard to engaging in sexual activity. Student responses indicated that 32 percent of parochial students and 22 percent of public school students reported using alcohol, 15 percent of parochial school and 18 percent of public school students reported using drugs, and that 38 percent of parochial and 59 percent of public school students reported engaging in sexual activity. The paper discusses the results in light of public, media, and teacher perceptions of alcohol and drug use and sexual behavior among teenagers, along with the morality of such behaviors. (MDM)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

Alcohol, Drugs, and Sex: Are Kids As Bad As We Think They Are?

Jack Frymier
Phi Delta Kappa
Bloomington, Indiana

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
 Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

A Sunday newspaper's magazine section headlined the story: "Why More Kids Are Into Pot." [1] The media often claim that there are serious problems among youth today, and most adults get their information about the young from the general media. But are young people as bad as we are told? Like Will Rogers used to say, "All I know is what I read in the newspapers," although we might add today, "and what I see on TV."

Some people (e.g., parents and teachers) have daily contact with youth, and theoretically, at least, have more direct experience (thus presumably better information) than those who know about young people primarily from what they read in the newspapers and see on television.

In *A Study of Core Values and the Schools*, Phi Delta Kappa reported a study of 2,125 high school teachers' estimates of how their high school students would respond to a values questionnaire. [2] The teachers came from more than 50 secondary schools in 36 communities throughout the country. They were not randomly selected, but they were typical teachers in typical schools.

There were 43 statements on the questionnaire, and teachers were asked: "How would teenagers in your school respond to the following statements about values?" At another point in the directions, teachers were asked to respond "according to how you think most teenagers in your school would respond." The teachers' responses to three of the 43 value statements will be reported here. Those three statements, which actually described behaviors, were as follows:

27. I use alcohol sometimes, when my friends encourage me to do so.
28. I use drugs sometimes, when my friends encourage me to do so.
29. I have engaged in sexual activity with friends of the opposite sex.

We began this project with an explicit assumption: If high school students drink alcohol, use drugs, or engage in sexual activity, they are engaging in immoral and illegal behavior. They are "bad" kids, in the conventional use of that term. Some might argue that drinking alcohol, using drugs, and engaging in sexual activity are not really "bad" behaviors, since other people are not generally involved (assuming mutual consent in the sexual activity), whereas lying, robbery, assault, or homicide are really "bad" because other people get hurt or are otherwise affected in negative ways.

That argument may have merit, but we held to the conventional conception of morality: young people who drink alcohol, use drugs, or engage in sexual activity are behaving in illegal, immoral ways. They are "bad" kids, as that term is usually employed. They may have a lot of

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Jack Frymier

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Russian roulette when he was high on marijuana). "I only smoked (pot) a few months," he said, "now I'm on drugs for the rest of my life." Stories such as this one convey the impression that "everybody's doing it," so teachers may make inferences which lead them to conclude that most high school students drink alcohol, most students use drugs, and most students engage in sexual activity, even though generally available evidence suggests that those statements are not true.

In *A Study of Core Values and the Schools*, we reported that we also asked high school students to respond to the same questionnaire items that we asked high school teachers to estimate how high school students would respond. These students were not from the same schools as those in which the teachers taught--they were not even in typical schools--but almost 2,500 teenagers did respond to exactly the same value statements on a questionnaire titled "What Do You Really Believe?" [3] The directions to high school students were printed on the form as follows:

Fill in the oval under "YES" or "NO" after each statement, according to whether you believe the statement to be correct or not. We want to know what you really believe, but do NOT sign your name anywhere. This is a completely anonymous questionnaire. No one will know how you respond.

Forty of the 43 statements related directly to values (e.g., "God controls everything that happens to people," and "Most students who don't cheat are at a real disadvantage in some classes"), but the statements about alcohol, drugs, and sexual activity on which this report is based described behaviors rather than values.

We said earlier that the students who completed the values questionnaire were not "typical" and that the students came from schools that were neither "typical" nor "randomly selected." In fact, about three fourths of the 2,429 students who completed the questionnaire were white, Catholic, middle-class students, and they attended parochial schools. About one fourth of the students were black, non-Catholic, lower-class students, and they attended inner city public schools. All students lived and went to school in large urban areas.

If we invoke stereotypical notions of "good" and "bad" students, we might be inclined to predict that fewer parochial school students would respond "Yes" to the statements about alcohol, drugs, and sex, and more public school students would respond "Yes" to those same three statements. "Young people who grow up in bad environments," we might say, "are more apt to engage in bad behaviors." Stereotypes are often wrong, however, and that would certainly be true in this particular case.

For example, 32% of the parochial students indicated that they drank alcohol, but only 22% of the public school students responded the same way. In all, 15% of the parochial school students responded affirmatively to the statement about using drugs, and 18% of the public school students responded the same way. Finally, 38% of the parochial school students indicated that they had engaged in sexual activity, whereas 59% of the public school students reported that they had engaged in sexual activity (finally, one group in which "most" of the students responded "Yes" to one of the values statements).

Considering the two groups of students as one sample, only 213 students (9%) responded "Yes" to all three statements, while 1,087 students (45%) responded "No" to all three statements. Of course, these young people were not students in the high schools in which the teachers who estimated how students would respond actually taught, so a direct comparison is not possible. Even so, there seems to be little evidence that "most students are bad," as the data cited earlier regarding teachers' estimates suggested.

Assuming that, if we had information from these teachers' own students, those students' responses might not differ much from what we have reported here, we would still be left with a need to explain why most teachers seem to think that most of their students behave immorally in these three value areas: alcohol, drugs, and sex. How can such negative perceptions of young people by trained professionals be explained?

Maybe students lie when they respond to a values questionnaire. Perhaps, but most researchers accept anonymous responses as valid indicators of what people think and what they do. What seems more plausible is that teachers, without a lot of first-hand evidence about students' involvement with alcohol, drugs or sex, but with an excess of second-hand information from the media, simply assume the worst. It has been said that, "if people do not know, they fear the worst." What we have here might be empirical verification of that oft-stated homily.

This is not a pretty picture. In this study, hundreds of teachers thought their students were guilty of immoral acts, and the odds are overwhelming that those thoughts were seriously in error. We could not present convincing evidence in this report that these teachers' perceptions were inaccurate (because the students who completed the values questionnaire were not from the same schools as the teachers who estimated how their students would respond), but this question could be resolved by further research. If the general pattern that emerges in future studies parallels what we have reported here, the profession has some serious thinking to do.

Youth crime is on the rise, but youth do not produce pornographic videotapes or sell them. Adults do. Youth do not bring drugs into the country and distribute them. Adults do. Youth do not make alcohol easily available. Adults do.

There are "bad" kids out there, that is certainly true, but not as many as some people (even some teachers) seem to believe. We have the highest incarceration rate in the world--more than a million persons in prison today, mostly young people--but even that large number represents less than 1% of the nation's population over 16 years of age. Most young people are not bad, regardless of how we define the term.

Maybe the factors associated with this problem are in some way comparable to what shows up every year in the Gallup Poll on the Public's Attitudes About Education: most people think that their own child's school is pretty good, but schools in general are bad. Perhaps a reversal of that phenomenon is in operation here: teachers think that other schools have pretty good (i.e., "moral") students, but the students in their own school are bad (i.e., "immoral"). This problem area warrants careful study.

References

1. Monika Guttman, "The New Pot Culture," *USA Weekend* (February 16-18, 1996), pp. 4-8. The cover page of this magazine states: "39.1 million readers every weekend."
2. Jack Frymier, et al., *A Study of Core Values and the Schools: Final Report* (Bloomington, IN: Phi Delta Kappa, 1996), Chapter 6.
3. Ibid.



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <u>ALCOHOL, DRUGS, AND SEX: ARE KIDS AS BAD AS WE THINK THEY ARE?</u>	
Author(s): <u>JAACK FARMER</u>	
Corporate Source: <u>PHI DELTA KAPPA BLOOMINGTON, IN 47402</u>	Publication Date: <u>JANUARY 1997</u>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents



Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1



Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: 	Printed Name/Position/Title: <u>JAACK FARMER SENIOR FELLOW</u>	
Organization/Address: <u>PHI DELTA KAPPA PO Box 289 BLOOMINGTON, IN 47402</u>	Telephone: <u>800-766-1156</u>	FAX: <u>812-335-0018</u>
	E-Mail Address: <u>JAACKFARMER@SPRINGER.COM</u>	Date: <u>27 MAY 97</u>

50552022

Sign here please

University of Illinois
at Urbana-Champaign



Clearinghouse on Elementary and Early Childhood Education
National Parent Information Network

Children's Research Center
51 Gerty Drive
Champaign, IL 61820-7469

217 333-1386
217 333-3767 fax

800 583-4135 toll free
ericeece@uiuc.edu e-mail

May 7, 1997

Dear Colleague:

It has come to our attention that you gave a presentation at the **Annual Conference and Exhibit of the Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development "LEADING THE VISION: CONNECTING WORLD COMMUNITIES OF LEARNERS"** held March 22-25, 1997, in Baltimore, Maryland. We would like you to consider submitting your presentation, or any other recently written education-related papers or reports, for possible inclusion in the ERIC database. As you may know, **ERIC (the Educational Resources Information Center)** is a federally-sponsored information system for the field of education. Its main product is the ERIC database, the world's largest source of education information. **The Clearinghouse on Elementary and Early Childhood Education** is one of sixteen subject-specialized clearinghouses making up the ERIC system. We collect and disseminate information relating to all aspects of children's development, care, and education.

Ideally, your paper should be at least eight pages long and not have been published elsewhere at the time of submission. **Announcement in ERIC does not prevent you from publishing your paper elsewhere** because you still retain complete copyright. Your paper will be reviewed and we will let you know within six weeks if it has been accepted.

Please complete the reproduction release on the back of this letter and return it with two copies of your presentation to **ERIC/EECE**. If you have any questions, please call me at 800/583-4135 or by e-mail at <ksmith5@uiuc.edu>.

Sincerely,

Karen E. Smith
Acquisitions Coordinator