

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 409 032

JC 970 306

TITLE Pensacola Junior College Institutional Effectiveness Progress Report, 1995. Year 3, 1994-1995 Academic Year Progress-to-Date.

INSTITUTION Pensacola Junior Coll., FL. Office of Institutional Research and Effectiveness.

REPORT NO PJC-R-95-346

PUB DATE 1 Jun 95

NOTE 26p.; Report printed on colored paper.

PUB TYPE Numerical/Quantitative Data (110) -- Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS College Curriculum; \*College Outcomes Assessment; Community Colleges; Evaluation Criteria; Instructional Effectiveness; \*Organizational Objectives; \*School Effectiveness; \*Self Evaluation (Groups); Student Personnel Services; Tables (Data); Two Year Colleges

IDENTIFIERS Pensacola Junior College FL

## ABSTRACT

In spring 1995, Florida's Pensacola Junior College (PJC) undertook the third assessment of institutional effectiveness using an assessment plan developed between 1990 and 1993. Outcomes were examined for the 1994-95 academic year with respect to the 51 goals, 147 objectives, and 253 indicators of effectiveness identified by the assessment plan in 16 functional areas. Area supervisors were requested to report on at least one objective and one indicator for each of the goals. Results of the process included the following: (1) 84 of the 253 indicators were selected to be reported for 1994-95, although with multiple reports for some indicators a total of 171 indicator reports were received; (2) 90.6% (n=155) of the indicators were shown to have been fully met in terms of designated levels of performance; and (3) indicators that were not met included achieving an annual 1% improvement in retention rates, maintaining college energy usage below state guidelines, and achieving a 70% satisfaction rate for financial aid services. As a result of the process, it was recommended that the assessment be continued in 1995-96; that the total number of indicator reports generated be increased; and that more product-oriented, as opposed to process-oriented, indicators be developed. Tables showing outcomes by indicator are included. (HAA)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*



ED 409 032

# PENSACOLA JUNIOR COLLEGE

## INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS

### PROGRESS REPORT

### 1995



**YEAR 3**



**1994-1995 Academic Year**

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

☐ This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.

☒ Minor changes have been made to  
improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this  
document do not necessarily represent  
official OERI position or policy.

**Progress - to - Date**

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

M. W. McLeod

**with**

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

**Comprehensive Goal, Objective, Indicator, and Use Statement Data;  
Analysis of Indicators, Conclusions and Recommendations.**



Pensacola Junior College  
Office of Institutional Research and Effectiveness  
R-95-346

6-1-95



JC 970 306

**Introduction:** In an on-going effort to improve college operations and to improve the documentation of institutional effectiveness, a plan of assessment was developed in 1990-1993. In the spring of 1993, an initial run of the Pensacola Junior College Institutional Effectiveness Plan was undertaken for at least a single indicator and objective for each goal in the plan. In the spring of 1994, the second institutional effectiveness assessment was made according to this plan. Following are the results of the third institutional effectiveness assessment undertaken in the spring of 1995. It must be noted that this report is not a final product, only the third step of an evolving process.

<b>Index:</b>	Executive Summary .....	page 2
	Analysis .....	page 3
	Conclusions .....	page 4
	Recommendations .....	page 4
	Comprehensive Goal, Objective, Indicator and Use Statements, TABLE I .....	page 5
	Analysis of Institutional Effectiveness Indicators, TABLE II .....	page 14
	List of Unreported Indicators Through 1994-1995, TABLE III .....	page 15

**A Word of Caution:** These indicators are intended to be data for affirmation of the mission of the college and for continued improvement of the institution. The success of the process requires a non-threatening environment in which the aggressive pursuit of evaluative data, both positive and negative, is encouraged. Consequently the achievement or non-achievement of a given indicator standard, while extremely important to the operational unit, is not as important to the purpose of institutional effectiveness assessment as is the fact that the college has examined that facet of the college's productivity, has generated concrete information concerning it, and has proceeded to act on that information for the improvement of the college.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**  
**Year 3 Institutional Effectiveness Assessment (1994 - 1995)**  
**(R-95-346, 6-1-95))**

The third institutional effectiveness assessment was undertaken by the Office of Institutional Research and Effectiveness in the spring of 1995, for the 1994-1995 academic year. This used the plan developed by the Institution Effectiveness Taskforce between 1990 and 1993, which consisted in the third year evaluation of sixteen functional areas, 51 goals, 147 objectives and 253 possible indicators. For the third run, area supervisors were requested to select one objective and one indicator for each of the goals, although some selected more and others less. Reports of performance indicators for these objectives were received through May 1, 1995. A follow-up effort was made through May 15. A second follow-up was done through June 1. The process was successfully completed this year for the third time.

**Findings:**

- (1) A total of 171 indicator reports were received for 1994-1995 (some indicator standards were used by more than one unit.)
- (2) Of these, 155 or 90.6% were fully met in terms of designated levels of performance.
- (3) Sixteen did not meet the specified standards.
- (4) Data from these assessments were used to a high degree (99.4%).

**Conclusions:**

The central mission of the college is affirmed by the results of this assessment, unit-by-unit across the college.

**Recommendations:**

- (1) The process must be continued in 1995-1996.
- (2) Continued simplification of the process is in order; especially in the reduction of the number of indicators.
- (3) Each institutional unit should strive to focus more of its institutional effectiveness efforts upon indicators that show basic college productivity respective of its mission, rather than process activity measures. Although processes of the college are important they represent means toward ends rather than true outcome productivity.

## ANALYSIS

1. It is given under the current plan that each functional area ( $n=16$ ) can have multiple goals ( $n=51$ ), which in turn may have multiple objectives ( $n=147$ ) and multiple indicators ( $n=253$ ). In addition there is the potential for multiple reports based on individual indicators. However in 1994-1995 assessment, there was to have been an indicator reported for each goal; that would have been a minimum 51 indicator responses. There were in fact 84 individual indicators actually selected to be reported. Some received multiple responses for the same objective, some were single responses for a total of 171 indicator reports in all. Thus the indicator reporting rate was 33.3% for 1994-1995 (that is the percentage of individual standards reported at least one time). A summary of these reports is presented in TABLE I.
2. TABLE II gives detailed information on these data. Of the 171 total indicator standard reports received, 155 or 90.6% of the prescribed standards were met or exceeded. Of all the reports received, the reporters stated in 170 or 99.4% of these instances that the report data (whether the standard was achieved or not) was used by the reporting unit for its improvement.
3. TABLE III displays indicators that have yet to be examined either in 1992-1993, or 1993-1994, or 1994-1995 but which must be assessed at least once during the five-year cycle of evaluation.

## CONCLUSIONS

It is important to recognize that this evaluative process is intended to assess the assessment process itself as well as to assess college performance each year.

1. Overall indicator response suggests that PJC units are meeting or exceeding prescribed performance levels for the objectives assessed; and as a result, are meeting college mission goals.
2. This third run was adequate. The level of cooperation by the participating reporters was excellent in the third run. The reporting system and paper trail worked well and continued to have a minimal impact on college resources.
3. Too many indicators were found to be process rather than product oriented. More product outcome measures are needed.
4. An overreliance on satisfaction surveys was noted. There should be a curtailment of survey-based indicators and more emphasis placed on outcome measures of a quantitative nature.
5. Subtle changes in some objectives and indicators continued to be made in the course of the process. This resulted in some indicator objectives having evolved into objectives more appropriate to unit operational plans or institution strategic plan objectives. Most of these were subjected to the formal review and approval process.
6. Little opposition to the process trial run, second run, or the third run was noted, though lack of full understanding of the process and its purpose is frequently encountered. (Some difference in opinion seems to exist between those who see the process as a mirror of SACS Criteria and those who envision it as a test of college mission compliance.) Some see the process as an added burden. Others confuse it with Strategic Planning and the SACS reaffirmation processes.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

That:

1. The process be continued, simplified and refined.
2. College leadership continue to emphasize the importance of the process.
3. In 1995-1996, the total number of indicator reports must be increased, with special emphasis on those indicators that relate to outcome productivity measures rather than measures of internal processes (see TABLE III).
4. Individual reporting units continue to utilize the results of these assessments for continuous improvement.
5. Continued efforts must be made to educate PJC personnel on the institutional effectiveness process.

**TABLE I.**  
**SUMMARY TABULATION OF PJC INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS (a)**  
**1994-1995**  
**(YEAR III.)**

Following is the enumeration and status of all institutional effectiveness indicators reported for the 1994-1995 academic year. The full report with supporting documentation for each is presented in APPENDIX A. [Column #1 displays indicator Functional Area, #2 is the cumulative indicator count for this year, #3 is the Functional Area, Goal, Objective and Indicator Criteria citations, #4 the Strategic Plan Goal (s) cited, #5 the abbreviated Indicator standard, #6 the actual data for 1994-1995, #7 the achievement stats not of the standard, #8 commentary, & #9 whether or not these data were used by the reporting unit.] PJC mission output measures (at #5) are highlighted.

TABLE I.												
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)		(5)	(6)		(7)	(8)	(9)
Functional Area:	No.	Citation:	Strategic Goal(s) Cited:	Abbreviated Indicator Standards:								
I. ADMISSIONS:	1.	I. A.1.a.	6, 7, 9	All Board of Trustees Admission policy review and up dated.								
	2.	I. A.2.b.	5, 9, 11	Develop and implement standards of progress.								
	3.	I. B.2.a.	9	Student retention rates will improve annually by 1%.								
	4.	I. B.3.a.	9	Improvement to be shown in previously dealing local high school graduate going rates.								
	5.	I. B.3.b.	9	Adult student enrollment improves.								
	6.	I. B.4.a.	9	Minority enrollment percentypes improve.								
	7.	I. C.1.a.	1, 7	90% Current new student placement.								
	8.	I. D.2.a.	1, 7	80% student utilization of completion job.								
	9.	I. E. 2.a.	1, 7	80% student utilization of completion test.								
II. COMPLETION REQUIREMENTS:	10.	II. B.2.a.	1, 2, 11	Review of remediation requirements of graduates.								
	11.	III. A.2.e.	1, 2, 11	Review of oral requirements of graduates.								
III. CURRICULUM	12.	III. B.2.c	1, 2, 11	Review of oral requirements of graduates.								
	13.	IV. A.1.a.	4	Maintain special records for AHS students under 16.								
IV. COMMUNITY PROGRAMS	14.	IV. A.1.b.	4	Keep AHS dropout data.								

TABLE I.

TABLE I.														
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)	(8)	(9)					
Functional Area:	No.	Citation:	Strategic Goal(s) Cited:	Abbreviated Indicator Standards:	Actual Data for 1994-1995:	Was Standard Achieved?	Commentary:	Was Data Used?						
	15.	IV. A.2.c.	4	80% of AHS students will pass F.H.S. computer test.	94%	Yes	Standard exceeded	Yes						
	16.	IV. A.3.a.	4	70% AHS course pass rate	83%	Yes	However 47% withdrawals	Yes						
	17.	IV. B.1.b.	4	ADE classes to be established off campus.	Done	Yes		Yes						
	18.	IV. C.1.a.	4	Correspondence courses will change at some rate as all classes.	< 34%	Yes	College rate: - 4.5%	Yes						
	19.	IV. D.1.a.	1, 3, 4	LLI will increase 5% annually	> 5%	No		Yes						
	20.	IV. E.1.a.	10	Develop workforce brochure.	Done	Yes	Ready for distribution in 1995	Yes						
	21.	IV. E.3.a.	10	Workforce training staff will meet.	Done	Yes		Yes						
	22.	V. A.2.a.	*	Maintain faculty % with advanced degrees.	N/A	Yes		Yes						
V. FACULTY. COM. PROG., W.	23.	V. A.2.b.	1, 3	All full-time faculty will be SACS qualified.	N/A	Yes	A computer program is planned	Yes						
	24.	V. A.3.a.	1, 3	All part-time faculty will be SACS qualified.	N/A	Yes	A computer program is planned	Yes						
Dev. Studies	25.	V. B.1.a.(3)	8	All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.	100%	Yes		Yes						
Lib. Arts, M.	26.	V. B.1.a.(3)	8	All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.	100%	Yes		Yes						
Nat. Res, M.	27.	V. B.1.a.(3)	8	All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.	100%	Yes		Yes						
Bus., P.	28.	V. B.1.a.(3)	8	All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.	100%	Yes		Yes						
Beh. Sci., P.	29.	V. B.1.a.(3)	8	All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.	100%	Yes		Yes						
Math., P.	30.	V. B.1.a.(3)	8	All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.	100%	Yes		Yes						
Health, Etc., P.	31.	V. B.1.a.(3)	8	All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.	100%	Yes		Yes						
Hist., Etc., P.	32.	V. B.1.a.(3)	8	All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.	100%	Yes		Yes						



TABLE I.

TABLE I.												
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)		(5)	(6)		(7)	(8)	(9)
Functional Area:	No.		Citation:		Strategic Goal(s) Cited:		Abbreviated Indicator Standard:		Actual Data for 1994-1995:	Was Standard Achieved?	Commentary:	Was Data Used?
Music & D., P.	33.		V. B. I.a.(3)		8		All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.		100%	Yes		Yes
Vis. Arts, P.	34.		V. B. I.a.(3)		8		All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.		100%	Yes		Yes
Adv. Tech., P.	35.		V. B. I.a.(3)		8		All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.		100%	Yes		Yes
Cosmo., P.	36.		V. B. I.a.(3)		8		All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.		100%	Yes		Yes
Bio. Sci., P.	37.		V. B. I.a.(3)		8		All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.		100%	Yes		Yes
Math., P.	38.		V. B. I.a.(3)		8		All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.		100%	Yes		Yes
Phy. Sci., P.	39.		V. B. I.a.(3)		8		All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.		100%	Yes		Yes
Pub. Serv., P	40.		V. B. I.a.(3)		8		All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.		100%	Yes		Yes
Com. Prog., P.	41.		V. B. I.a.(3)		8		All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.		100%	Yes		Yes
Military P., W.	42.		V. B. I.a.(3)		8		All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.		100%	Yes		Yes
App. Ith., W.	43.		V. B. I.a.(3)		8		All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.		100%	Yes		Yes
Bus. Etc., W.	44.		V. B. I.a.(3)		8		All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.		100%	Yes		Yes
Math., Etc., W.	45.		V. B. I.a.(3)		8		All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.		5 of 6	No		Yes
Lib. Arts, W.	46.		V. B. I.a.(3)		8		All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.		100%	Yes		Yes
Nursing, W.	47.		V. B. I.a.(3)		8		All faculty professional development plans are completed on time.		100%	Yes		Yes

TABLE I.

TABLE I.												
(1)	(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)	(8)	(9)		
Functional Area:	No.	Citation:	Strategic Goal(s) Cited:	Abbreviated Indicator Standard:	Actual Data for 1994-1995:	Was Standard Achieved?	Commentary:	Was Data Used?				
Comp. Sci., P.	48.	V. B.3.a.	7	All faculty evaluated annually.	100%	Yes		Yes				
Sec. Ed., P.	49.	V. B.3.a.	7	All faculty evaluated annually	100%	Yes		Yes				
Total College	50.	V. B.3.a.	7	All faculty evaluated annually.	100%	Yes		Yes				
Total College	51.	V. C.1.d.	8	Faculty attrition does not exceed 5%.	>5%	Yes		Yes				
VI. LEARNING RESOURCES: LRC, P.	52.	VI. A.1.a	9	80% of LRC equipment is functional.	95%	Yes		Yes				
LRC, M.	53.	VI. A.1.a.	9	80% of LRC equipment is functional.	<80%	Yes		Yes				
LRC, W.	54.	VI. A.1.a.	9	80% of LRC equipment is functional	80%	Yes		Yes				
LRC, P.	55.	VI. A.1.b.	9	70% satisfaction with equipment.	70-77%	Yes		Yes				
LRC, M.	56.	VI. A.1.b.	9	70% satisfaction with equipment.	70%	Yes		Yes				
LRC, W.	57.	VI. A.1.b.	9	70% satisfaction with equipment.	N/A	Yes		Yes				
LRC, P.	58.	XV. A.2.a.	9	70% satisfaction with bibl. access.	73-80%	Yes		Yes				
LRC, M.	59.	XV. A.2.a.	9	70% satisfaction with bib. access.	72%	Yes		Yes				
LRC, W.	60.	XV. A.2.a.	9	70% satisfaction with bib. access.	N/A	Yes		Yes				
LRC, P.	61.	VI. a.3.a.	9	70% satisfaction with interlibrary loans.	95.5%	Yes		Yes				
LRC, M.	62.	VI. A.3.a.	9	70% satisfaction with interlibrary loans.	97.0%	Yes		Yes				
LRC, W.	63.	VI. A.3.a.	9	70% satisfaction with interlibrary loans.	N/A	Yes		Yes				
LRC, P.	64.	VI. A.4.a.	9	National standards for books and serials.	Yes	Yes		Yes				
LRC, M.	65.	VI. A.4.a.	9	National standards for books and serials.	No	Yes		Yes				
LRC, W.	66.	VI. A.4.a.	9	National standards for books and serials.	Yes	Yes		Yes				
LRC, P.	67.	VI. A.4.b.	9	Circulation rate equals enrollment rate.	+4%	Yes	College -3.4% in enrollment	Yes				
LRC, M.	68.	VI. A.4.b.	9	Circulation rate equals enrollment rate.	+2%	No	Milton +2% enrollment	Yes				
LRC, W.	69.	VI. A.4.b.	9	Circulation rate equals enrollment rate.	-9%	No	Warrington -6%	No				
LRC, P.	70.	VI. A.4.c.	9	70% satisfaction with collection.	73-80%	Yes		Yes				
LRC, M.	71.	VI. A.4.c.	9	70% satisfaction with collection.	<70%	Yes		Yes				

TABLE I.

(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)		(5)		(6)		(7)	(8)	(9)
Functional Area:	No.		Citation:	Strategic Goal(s) Cited:		Abbreviated Indicator Standard:	Actual Data for 1994-1995:	Was Standard Achieved?	Commentary:		Was Data Used?		
LRC, W.	72.		VI. A.4.c.	9		70% satisfaction with collection.	N/A	Yes			Yes		
VII. INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT Dev. Std., P.	73.		VII. A.2.a.	1, 3		Lab use rates matched college rates.	3.8%	Yes	For Fall 1993, 1994		Yes		
Dev. Std., P.	74.		VII. A.2.a.	1, 3		Lab use rates matched college rates.	16.0%	Yes	For Spring 1995		Yes		
VIII. COMPUTER RESOURCES	75.		VIII. A.1.a	11		Compute use matches change in computers.	Graphs	Yes			Yes		
	76.		VII. B.1.a.	11		70% completion of software requests.	66.2%	No	3 new analysts to be hired		Yes		
	77.		VIII. C.1.a.	11		Meet hardware standards.	Done	Yes			Yes		
	78.		VIII. D.2.a	11		Monthly turn around on tel. request.	24 hours	Yes			Yes		
IX. ORGANIZATION & ADMINISTRATION	79.		IX. A.1.b.	5		Org. line of personnel in Catalog.	Done	Yes			Yes		
	80.		IX. A.2.a.			Job descriptions on file.	Done	Yes			Yes		
X. FINANCIAL RESOURCES:	81.		X. A.1.a.	2		Review org. chart.	Done	Yes			Yes		
	82.		X. A.2.a.	2		Verify budget process.	Done	Yes			Yes		
	83.		X. A.3.a.	2		Verify chart of accounts compliance.	Done	Yes			Yes		
	84.		X. B.1.a.	2		Investment rates not less than market.	Yes	Yes			Yes		
	85.		X. B.2.a.	2		Use accounts payable discounts.	Done	Yes			Yes		
	86.		X. B.3.a.	2		Improve purchasing procedures.	Done	Yes			Yes		
	87.		X. B.3.b.	2		Review purchasing cent. for eff.	Done	Yes			Yes		
	88.		X. B.4.a.	2		Verify automated billing.	Done	Yes			Yes		
	89.		X. B.5.a.	2		Verify supplies efficiencies.	Done	Yes			Yes		
	90.		X. B.6.a.	2		Verify enrollment reports.	Done	Yes			Yes		
	91.		X. B.6.b.	2		Verify enrollment reports.	Done	Yes			Yes		
	92.		X. B.7.a.	2		Non registration fees not less than other col.	Done	Yes			Yes		
	93.		X. B.8.a.	2		90%, 30 day collection of del. accts.	<90%	Yes			Yes		

TABLE I.

(1)	(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)		(6)		(7)	(8)	(9)
	No.	Citation:			Abbreviated Indicator Standard:	Actual Data for 1994-1995:	Was Standard Achieved?	Commentary:			
Functional Area:											Was Data Used?
XI. PHYSICAL RESOURCES:	94.	X. B.9.a.	2		Refund rate no greater than enrol rate.	Yes	Yes				Yes
	95.	XI. A.1.a.	7		90% satisfaction with construction, change etc.	90.1 - 100%	Yes				Yes
	96.	XI. A.1.a.	7		Energy use below state guidelines.	Review	No				Yes
	97.	XI. E.1.a.	7		70% awareness of campus master plans.	Pending	Pending				Yes
XII. GRANTS:	98.	XII. A.1.a.	2		70% satisfaction.	90%	Yes				Yes
	99.	VII. B.1.a.	2		Progress reports provided.	Done	Yes				Yes
	100.	XII. B.1.a.	2		Progress reports provided.	Done	Yes				Yes
	101.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7		Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes				Yes
XIII. INSTRUCTION: Dev. Std. P.	102.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7		Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes				Yes
	103.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7		Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes				Yes
	104.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7		Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes				Yes
	105.	XII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7		Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes				Yes
	106.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7		Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes				Yes
	107.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7		Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes				Yes
	108.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7		Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes				Yes
	109.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7		Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes				Yes
	110.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7		Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes				Yes
	111.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7		Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes				Yes
	112.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7		Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes				Yes
	113.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7		Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation. *	Done	Yes				Yes
	114.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7		Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes				Yes
	115.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7		Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes				Yes
	116.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7		Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes				Yes

TABLE I.

TABLE I.												
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)	(8)	(9)		
Functional Area:	No.	Citation:	Strategic Goal(s) Cited:	Abbreviated Indicator Standard:	Actual Data for 1994-1995:	Was Standard Achieved?	Commentary:	Was Data Used?				
ABE, P.	117.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Military Prog., W.	118.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
App. Ith., W.	119.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Lib. Arts., W.	120.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Math, W.	121.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Nursing, W.	122.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Bio. Sci., W.	123.	XIII. A.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Instruction as a part of faculty evaluation.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Sec. Ed., P.	124.	XIII. A.2.a.	1, 3, 8	Syllabi for all courses.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Sec. Ed., P.	125.	XII. A.2.b.	1, 3, 8	All students receive syllabi.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Comp. Sci. P.	126.	XIII. A.2.a.	1, 3, 8	Syllabi for all courses.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Comp. Prog., P.	127.	XIII. A.2.a.	1, 3, 8	Syllabi for all courses.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Comp. Sci., P.	128.	XIII. A.2.b.	1, 3, 8	All students receive syllabi.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Comp. Prog., P.	129.	XIII. A.2.b.	1, 3, 8	All students receive syllabi.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Warrington	130.	XIII. A.6.a.	1, 3, 7	Affiliation agreements reviewed.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Warrington	131.	XIII. A.7.a.	1, 3, 7	Accreditation renew.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Warrington	132.	XIII. A.7.b.	1, 3, 7	All advisory committees meet.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Warrington	133.	XIII. A.7.c.	1, 3, 7	Accreditation provided as appropriate.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Dev. Std., P.	134.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Bio., P.	135.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Beh. Sci., P.	136.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Eng., P.	137.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Health, etc., P.	138.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated. <sup>a</sup>	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Hist., etc., P.	139.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	
Music & D., P.	140.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes			Yes	

TABLE I.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Functional Area:	No.	Citation:	Strategic Goal(s) Cited:	Abbreviated Indicator Standard:	Actual Data for 1994-1995:	Was Standard Achieved?	Commentary:	Was Data Used?
Vis. Arts, P.	141.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes
Adv. tech. P.	142.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes
Cosmo., P.	143.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes
Bio, P.	144.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes
Math., P.	145.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes
Phy. Sci., P.	146.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes
Pub. Serv., P.	147.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes
ADP, P.	148.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes
Military P., W.	149.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes
App. Ith., W.	150.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes
Bus. etc., W.	151.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes
Math., W.	152.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes
Lib. Arts, W.	153.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Not all	No		Yes
Nursing, W.	154.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes
Lib. Arts, M.	155.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes
Nat. Res., M.	156.	XIII. B.1.a.	1, 3, 7	Students will be evaluated.	Done	Yes		Yes
Comm. Prog., P.	157.	XIII. C.1.b.	3	Students will evaluate staff.	Done	Yes		Yes
Warrington	158.	XIII. C.1.b.	1, 3, 7	Student will evaluate staff.	Done	Yes		Yes
XV. INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH:	159.	XV. A.2.a.	7	70% positive report of research.	91.7 to 100%	Yes		Yes
	160.	XV. A.2.a.	7	70% positive report of research.	88.9 to 100%	Yes		Yes
	161.	XV. A.4.a.	7	Provide a college with IE process.	Done	Yes		Yes
XVI. STUDENT DEVELOPMENT SERVICES	162.	XVI. A.3.b.	9	80% satisfaction with student act. monitoring.	88%	Yes		Yes

TABLE I.

(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)		(5)	(6)		(7)	(8)	(9)
Functional Area:		No.		Citation:	Strategic Goal(s) Cited:		Abbreviated Indicator Standard:	Actual Data for 1994-1995:	Was Standard Achieved?	Commentary:		Was Data Used?
		163.		XVI. B.1.a.	1, 9		+2% annual increase in new student contracts.	< +2%	Yes			Yes
		164.		XVI. C.3.c.	1, 9		75% satisfaction of student advising.	< 75%	Yes			Yes
		165.		XVI. C.4.c.	1, 9		15% of faculty to serve as advisors.	> 15%	No	Work continues		Yes
		166.		XVI. D.1.c.	9		Provide drug free environment.	Not yet	No	Work continues		Yes
		167.		XVI. D.2.b.	9		Monthly meetings of safety committees.	Not Yet	No	Work continues		Yes
		168.		XVI. F.2.b.	9		Sign-in sheet at all training sessions.	Done	Yes			Yes
		169.		XVI. G.1.b.	9		70% of eligible students receive financial aid.	Done	Yes			Yes
		170.		XVI. G.2.a.	9		70% satisfaction with financial aid.	50-70%	No	Work continues		Yes
		171.		XVI. H.2.b.	9		Compliance with NCAA & FCCAA rules.	Done	Yes			Yes

(a) Source: PJC Institutional Effectiveness Assessment Report Forms received 1994, from PJC academic, student service and support units. (Full reports are reproduced in APPENDIX A.)

**TABLE II.**  
**ANALYSIS OF REPORTED INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS (a)**  
**1994-1995**  
**(YEAR 3.)**

TOTAL INDICATOR REPORTS RECEIVED		171	100.0%
PRODUCTIVITY INDICATORS REPORT RECEIVED		9	5.3%
PRODUCTIVITY INDICATORS REPORTS ACHIEVED	77.8%	7	4.1%
PROCESS INDICATOR REPORTS RECEIVED		162	94.2%
PROCESS INDICATORS ACHIEVED	95.5%	148	86.5%
ALL INDICATORS ACHIEVED		155	86.5%
INDIVIDUAL INDICATORS DATA USED (of a possible 252)		84	33.3%

(a) Source: Tabulated from TABLE I. data.



**TABLE III**  
**LIST OF UNREPORTED INDICATORS THROUGH 1994-1995\***

New I.A.1.b.	III.B.2.d.	VI.B.3.a.	XIII.C.3.a.	XVI.F.1.c
New I.A.1.c.	IV.A.2.a.	VII.A.1.a.	XIII.C.3.b.	XVI.F.2.a.
New I.A.1.d.	IV.A.3.b.	VII.B.1.a.	XIII.C.4.a.	XVI.G.1.a.
New I.A.2.a.	IV.A.3.c.	VII.B.1.b.	XIII.C.4.b.	XVI.H.3.a
New I.A.3.a.	IV.A.3.d.	IX.A.3.a.	XIV.C.2.a.	
New I.A.3.b.	IV.A.3.e.	IX.A.5.a.	XIX.C.2.a.	
New I.E.2.a.	IV.B.1.a.	XI.B.1.a.	XIX.C.3.b.	
New XVI.H.2.b.	IV.B.3.b.	XI.C.3.a.	XIV.C.4.a.	
New XVI.B.2.b.	IV.B.3.c.	XII.A.2.c.	XV.A.3.a.	
I.B.1.a.	IV.B.3.d.	XII.B.2.a.	XV.A.5.b.	
I.C.1.b.	IV.B.3.e.	XIII.A.1.b.	XVI.C.1.a.	
I.C.2.a.	IV.C.3.a.	XIII.A.1.c.	XVI.C.1.b.	
I.D.1.b.	IV.C.4.a.	XIII.A.3.a.	XVI.C.2.a.	
II.A.1.a.	IV.C.4.b.	XIII.A.3.b.	XVI.C.4.a.	
III.A.1.b.	IV.D.1.b.	XIII.A.4.a.	XVI.C.4.c.	
III.A.1.c.	IV.D.2.a.	XIII.A.4.b.	XVI.C.5.a.	
III.A.1.d.	IV.D.3.a.	XIII.A.4.c.	XVI.C.5.b.	
III.A.1.e.	IV.D.3.b.	XIII.A.5.a.	XVI.C.6.a.	
III.A.2.a.	V.A.1.a.	XIII.A.5.b.	XVI.D.1.a.	
III.A.2.b.	V.A.3.a.	XIII.A.6.b.	XVI.D.1.b.	
III.A.2.b.	V.B.1.a.(1)	XIII.B.1.c.	XVI.D.3.a.	
III.A.2.c.	V.B.1.a.(3)	XIII.B.2.a.	XVI.E.1.b.	
III.A.2.d.	V.C.1.c.	XIII.B.2.c.	XVI.E.2.a.	
III.B.1.c.	V.C.1.d.	XIII.C.1.c.	XVI.E.2.b.	
III.B.1.d.	V.C.1.e.	XIII.C.2.a.	XVI.E.3.a.	
III.B.2.a.	V.C.2.a.	XIII.C.2.b.	XVI.E.3.b.	
III.B.2.b.	V.C.4.a.	XIII.C.2.c.	XVI.E.3.c.	
III.B.2.c.	VI.A.5.a.	XIII.C.2.d.	XVI.F.1.b.	

\* As of 6-1-95

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



U.S. Department of Education  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



JC 970 306

## REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

### I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Pensacola Junior College Institutional Effectiveness Progress Report, 1995, Year 3, 1994-1995 Academic Year. Report R-95-346</i>	
Author(s):	
Corporate Source: <i>Pensacola Junior College</i>	Publication Date:

### II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



#### Check here For Level 1 Release:

Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  <i>Sample</i>  TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
---

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  <i>Sample</i>  TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
---

Level 2



#### Check here For Level 2 Release:

Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign  
here→  
please

Signature: <i>Marshall W. McLeod</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: Marshall W. McLeod, Director Institutional Research & Effectiveness	
Organization/Address: 1000 College Boulevard Pensacola, Florida 32504-8998	Telephone: (904) 484-1848	FAX: (904) 484-1827
	E-Mail Address: mmcleod@pjc.cc.fl.us	Date: 6-17-97

### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

**ERIC Clearinghouse for Community Colleges**  
3051 Moore Hall  
University of California, Los Angeles  
P.O. Box 951521  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1521      EE 45

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility**  
1100 West Street, 2d Floor  
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080  
Toll Free: 800-799-3742  
FAX: 301-953-0263  
e-mail: [ericfac@inet.ed.gov](mailto:ericfac@inet.ed.gov)  
WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>