# ED406962 1997-00-00 A Culture for Academic Excellence: Implementing the Quality Principles in Higher Education. ERIC Digest.

**ERIC Development Team** 

www.eric.ed.gov

#### Table of Contents

If you're viewing this document online, you can click any of the topics below to link directly to that section.

A Culture for Academic Excellence: Implementing the Quality Princip	les
in Higher Education. ERIC Digest	2
WHAT IS MEANT BY THE QUALITY PRINCIPLES?	2
WHAT ARE THE QUALITY PRINCIPLES?	2
WHAT MAKES THE QUALITY PRINCIPLES DIFFERENT?	3
HOW CAN THE QUALITY PRINCIPLES WORK IN HIGHER	
EDUCATION	3
REFERENCES	4



ERIC Identifier: ED406962
Publication Date: 1997-00-00
Author: Freed, Jann E. - And Others

**Source:** ERIC Clearinghouse on Higher Education Washington DC. BBB32577 \_ George Washington Univ. Washington DC. Graduate School of Education and Human

Development.

A Culture for Academic Excellence: Implementing the Quality Principles in Higher

## Education. ERIC Digest.

THIS DIGEST WAS CREATED BY ERIC, THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ERIC, CONTACT ACCESS ERIC 1-800-LET-ERIC

In the context of higher education, striving for high quality is not a new strategy. Institutions have always held academic excellence and high quality as the highest goals. Achieving these goals was easier in a time of abundant resources and favorable demographics. The environment has changed. Institutions are facing decreasing enrollments and revenues while costs and competition for students are increasing.

The purpose of this report is to review the principles for improving quality in higher education institutions. For this report, we are referring to the conceptual framework of the quality movement as the "quality principles." Individually the principles discussed are not new and unique, but implemented as a total system approach they are a new philosophical way of thinking about how institutions operate. The significance of this report is that it examines the effect of the principles when they are used holistically. Only when they are implemented as a system do they create a culture for academic excellence in higher education institutions.

# WHAT IS MEANT BY THE QUALITY PRINCIPLES?

The quality principles is a management approach for making higher education institutions more effective, in addition to creating an improved place to obtain a degree and a more enjoyable workplace. The principles were conceptualized and documented by authorities such as W. Edwards Deming, Joseph M. Juran, and Philip Crosby and they have been widely implemented in corporate America under the name of total quality management (TQM). The literature is abundant with articles indicating that the quality principles are proven ways of improving the effectiveness and efficiency of organizations. Numerous companies across a variety of industries have benefitted from implementing the quality principles.

### WHAT ARE THE QUALITY PRINCIPLES?

In reviewing the quality improvement literature, eight quality principles emerged. And each is discussed at length in this report. Leadership is needed early in the quality journey to create a quality culture and it is vital later in the journey to support the quality improvement efforts. Because leadership is such a critical principle, it is listed twice when the principles are identified, but discussed only once as the eight principle. "The quality principles" are:

\* vision, mission, and outcomes driven

ERIC Resource Center www.eric.ed.gov

- \* systems dependent
- \* leadership: creating a quality culture
- \* systematic individual development
- \* decisions based on fact
- \* delegation of decision making
- \* collaboration
- \* planning for change
- \* leadership: supporting a quality culture

For the purposes of this report, "the quality principles are a personal philosophy and an organizational culture that utilizes scientific outcomes measurement, systematic management techniques, and collaboration to achieve the mission of the institution". Essentially, the quality principles change the culture of higher education institutions.

# WHAT MAKES THE QUALITY PRINCIPLES DIFFERENT?

The principles advocated in this report are interrelated and interdependent. They need to be implemented as a system drive by the vision and mission of the institution. The mission evolves and changes as stakeholder expectations are included in defining the direction of the institution. The power of the principles comes from the synergy of the whole system, fundamentally linking the mission to measurable outcomes.

# HOW CAN THE QUALITY PRINCIPLES WORK IN HIGHER EDUCATION

INSTITUTIONS? The purpose of this report is to explain how the quality principles work in the context of higher education. The quality principles are essentially compatible with the values of higher education, but often the culture must change to support the principles. Most institutions have missions, but most are not accustomed to measuring the outcomes of their processes. Traditionally, constituencies within higher education institutions act independently rather than interdependently. Leaders are usually not trained in the tools and techniques used to improve systems and processes. Developing management skills and knowledge is not the norm in higher education. Professional development is more often discipline and person specific instead of developing members who can collectively improve institutional processes. Although data is collected for a variety of purposes in directing higher education institutions, the quality

principles emphasize systematically collecting data before making academic and administrative decisions. Committees in academe are common, but actually collaborating and working as teams is not.

For the culture to change, members need to shift their thinking about how work is done. When the paradigm shifts, members begin to ask different questions in search of new answers to the same old problems. They embrace change as a positive value in the culture since continuous improvement is based on continuous change. People are trained to feel comfortable with change and not fear becoming involved in improvement efforts. Planning for change is an attitude to be cultivated by the leaders in the institution. Leaders are essential in creating a quality culture and they play a significant role in assuring that the necessary resources are available to support quality initiatives. When the quality principles are implemented holistically, a culture for academic excellence is created.

#### REFERENCES

American Association for Higher Education. 1994. 25 Snapshots of a Movement: Profiles of Campuses Implementing CQI. Washington, D.C.: Author. Chaffee, Ellen Earle, and Lawrence A. Sherr. 1992. Quality: Transforming Postsecondary Education. ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report No. 3. Washington, D.C.: Association for the Study of Higher Education.

Cornesky, Robert, Sam McCool, Larry Byrnes, and Robert Weber. 1991. Implementing Total Quality Management in Higher Education. Madison, Wisc.: Magna Publications.

Crosby, Philip B. 1979. Quality Is Free. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Deming, W. Edwards. 1986. Out of the Crisis. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT, Center for Advanced Engineering Study.

Freed, Jann E., Marie Klugman, and Jonathan D. Fife. 1994. "Total Quality Management on Campus: Implementation, Experiences, and Observations." Paper presented at an annual meeting of the Association for the Study of Higher Education, November 13, Tucson, Arizona. 24 pp.

Juran, Joseph M. 1988. Juran on Planning for Quality. New York: Free Press.

Ruben, Brent D., ed. 1995. Quality in Higher Education. New Brunswick, N.J.: Transaction Publishers.

Seymour, Daniel T. 1992. On Q: Causing Quality in Higher Education. Phoenix, Ariz.: ACE/Oryx Press.

ERIC Resource Center www.eric.ed.gov

Seymour, Daniel T., ed. 1996. High Performing Colleges: The Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award as a Framework for Improving Higher Education. Maryville, Mo.: Prescott Publishing Co.

Sherr, Lawrence A., and Deborah J. Teeter. 1991. Total Quality Management in Higher Education. New Directions for Higher Education No. 71. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

This ERIC digest is based on a full-length report in the ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report series Volume 25, No. 1, A Culture for Academic Excellence: Implementing the Quality Principles in Higher Education by Jann E. Freed, Marie R. Klugman and Jonathan D. Fife.

This report was prepared by the ERIC Clearinghouse on Higher Education in cooperation with the Association for the Study of Higher Education and published by the Graduate School of Education and Human Development at the George Washington University.

This publication was partially prepared with funding from the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education, under contract no. RR93002008. The opinions expressed here do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of OERI or the department.

**Title:** A Culture for Academic Excellence: Implementing the Quality Principles in Higher Education. ERIC Digest.

**Note:** For the full report, see HE 030 182.

**Document Type:** Information Analyses---ERIC Information Analysis Products (IAPs)

(071); Information Analyses---ERIC Digests (Selected) in Full Text (073);

**Target Audience:** Administrators, Practitioners

Available From: ERIC Clearinghouse on Higher Education, One Dupont Circle,

Washington, DC 20036-1183; 1-800-773-3742; fax: 202-452-1844 (\$1).

**Descriptors:** College Outcomes Assessment, Decision Making, Educational Quality, Efficiency, Higher Education, Management Systems, Organizational Change, Organizational Climate, Organizational Development, Program Effectiveness, Quality

Control, Self Evaluation (Groups), Teamwork, Total Quality Management

**Identifiers:** ERIC Digests

###



[Return to ERIC Digest Search Page]