

AUTHOR Wang, Xiang Bo; Harris, Vincent
 TITLE History and Performance of Chinese LSAT Test Takers.
 PUB DATE 96
 NOTE 18p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Northeastern Educational Research Association (Ellenville, NY, October 23-25, 1996).
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS Chinese; *College Applicants; College Entrance Examinations; *English; Foreign Countries; Higher Education; *Language Dominance; Law Schools; Performance Factors; Scores; Sex Differences; *Test Results; Test Use
 IDENTIFIERS *China; Chinese People; Hong Kong; *Law School Admission Test; Taiwan

ABSTRACT

Although the Law School Admission Test (LSAT) has been administered to Chinese test takers in Taiwan and Hong Kong for more than 22 years and in China for the past decade, there is very little documentation on the history, test taker volumes, performance, or law school admission rates of these candidates. The current study addresses the following three issues: reviewing the history of LSAT administration in China, Taiwan, and Hong Kong; delineating the numbers of test takers in the three regions; and describing and comparing the performance of Chinese test takers since 1991. Between June 1986 and February 1991, a total of 14 test taken in China, 141 in Taiwan, and 128 in Hong Kong have taken the LSAT. Between June 1991 and 1995 the number of test takers in China increased, from 3 in 1991 to 30 in 1995. The test taker volume in Taiwan grew from 21 to 48 in that time, but the volume in Hong Kong declined steadily from 21 in 1991 to 8 in 1995. Since the LSAT adopted its new scale in 1991, three major findings are apparent about test takers from these areas. The average performance of Chinese test takers from the three regions is quite comparable, and is close to the LSAT population mean. Female Chinese test takers tend to score slightly higher than males, and those test takers whose dominant language is English performed better than those whose dominant language is Chinese. (Contains five tables and one reference.) (SLD)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

XIANG BO WANG

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

History and Performance of Chinese LSAT Test Takers

Xiang Bo Wang

Vincent Harris

Law School Admissions Council
661 Penn Street
Newtown, PA 18940

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

History and Performance of Chinese LSAT Test Takers

Xiang Bo Wang

Vincent Harris

**Law School Admissions Council
661 Penn Street
Newtown, PA 18940**

Paper submitted to the 1996 NERA Conference.

ABSTRACT

Although the Law School Admission Test (LSAT) has been administered to Chinese test takers in Taiwan and Hong Kong for more than 22 years and in China for the past decade, there is very little documentation on the history, test taker volumes, performance, or law school admission rates of these candidates. The purpose of the current study is to address the first three of the four issues:

1. to review the history of LSAT administrations in China, Taiwan and Hong Kong;
2. to delineate volumes of Chinese test takers in the three regions;
3. to describe and compare the LSAT performance of Chinese test takers from the three regions since 1991.

There are three major findings. First, the numbers of Chinese test takers in PRC have increased the most sharply for the past six years, concurrent with the recent fast economic and political developments in China. Second, the average LSAT performance of the Chinese test takers in the three regions is quite comparable. Third, female Chinese test takers tend to score slightly higher than their male counterparts. Finally, those Chinese test takers whose dominant language is English perform substantially better than those whose dominant language is Chinese.

Executive Summary

Although Chinese test takers have long been an integral part of the international participation of the LSAT, there is very little documentation on the history, test taker volumes, performance, or law school admission rates of these candidates. The purpose of the current study is to address the first three of the four issues:

1. to review the history of LSAT administrations in China, Taiwan and Hong Kong;
2. to delineate volumes of Chinese test takers in the three regions;
3. to describe and compare the LSAT performance of Chinese test takers from the three regions since 1991.

Both archival information dating back to 1974 and modern LSAT data since June 1991 concerning Chinese test takers are used in this study. The former is used to look into the history of LSAT administration to Chinese test takers, while the latter, to assess and compare their performance.

On the basis of the available archival LSAT registration booklets, it has been found that both Taiwan and Hong Kong have consistently hosted LSAT administrations ever since 1974, while it was not until December 1989 that the LSAT was first administered to three native Chinese in China. Between June 1986 and February 1991, a total number of 14 test takers in China, 141 in Taiwan, 128 in Hong Kong took the LSAT.

Between June 1991 and December 1995, the number of Chinese test takers in China increased the most sharply — from 3 in 1991 to 30 in 1995. Such a sharp rise is concurrent with the recent fast economic and political developments in China. The test taker volume in Taiwan also grew — from 21 to 48. For some unknown reason, the test taker volume in Hong Kong steadily declined from 26 in 1991 to 8 in 1995.

In June 1991, the LSAT adopted its new 120-180 scale. Based on the modern data since then, the performance of the Chinese LSAT test takers is assessed and compared. Three major findings are discovered. First, the average performance of the Chinese LSAT test takers in the three regions is quite comparable, and close to the LSAT population mean. Second, female Chinese test takers tended to score slightly higher than their male counterparts, which is opposite of the scenario of the LSAT population. Finally, those Chinese test takers whose dominant language is English performed substantially better than those whose dominant language is Chinese.

With the fast increasing contacts between the US and China through political, cultural, technical, and trade exchanges, a surge of Chinese LSAT test takers is predicted in the near future due to the need of China to acquire substantially more expertise who specialize in US and international laws. As a matter of fact, this year the Law School Admission Council (LSAC) has already observed a new wave of inquiries from China about the LSAT administrations through postal mail, fax, and more recently, electronic mail networks. As of October 7, 1996, a total of 69 Chinese nationals have registered for the December 7 1996 LSAT administration, the only one in China this year. This number is twice as many as that of 1995, and the number is still growing. It is clear that there is a fast-growing potential for LSAT administrations in China. Currently, LSAC officials are in an active process of establishing permanent test sites in China with relevant Chinese government officials.

History and Performance of Chinese LSAT Test Takers¹

Xiang Bo Wang

Vincent Harris

Law School Admissions Council

Introduction

The main objective of the Law School Admission Test (LSAT) is to measure the proficiency in reading comprehension, logical reasoning, and management of information — essential skills for the success of law school studies (LSAC, 1996). Established in 1947, the LSAT is administered four times a year to about 130,000 test takers in approximately 151 countries and regions worldwide. Although over 99% of LSAT test takers come from the United States and Canada, international test taker volume is on the rise. For example, since June 1991 the total number of foreign test takers range from 420 to 694 (See Table 1). With increasing international participation, it is of interest to study the performance trends of foreign LSAT test takers. As a first step in this direction, the current study reviews the history and performance of Chinese LSAT test takers from China, Taiwan, and Hong Kong.

¹. The authors wish to thank Frances Mercedes, Jim Vaseleck, Victoria Tompkins, Lisa Anthony, and Susan Dalessandra for their support in obtaining valuable information used in this report.

Research Data and Method

This study is exploratory and descriptive. Its intent is to provide a better understanding of the history of LSAT administration to Chinese test takers, and to assess Chinese test taker performance. Both archival information and modern LSAT data concerning Chinese test takers have been gathered. Unfortunately, in spite of nearly 50 years of LSAT administration history, the earliest available LSAT registration information traces back only to 1974. Also the scale of the LSAT test score changed in June, 1991, from a 10-48 point scale to its current 120-180 point scale. To simplify this report, all the statistical analyses used data since June, 1991 when the new 120-180 LSAT scale was instituted. Interviews with the staff of Law School Admission Council familiar with the history and procedures of LSAT administration in these China, Taiwan, and Hong Kong provide much of the qualitative information summarized in this study.

Results

Historical Perspective

Although the exact dates when the first LSAT administrations occurred in Taiwan and Hong Kong are unavailable, archival LSAT registration booklets indicate that these two regions have consistently hosted LSAT administrations, at least, since 1974. Inside China, the first LSAT seemed to have been administered in October, 1988, not to Chinese nationals but to some foreign employees working in China at that time. However, it was not until December, 1989 when the LSAT was first administered to three native Chinese test takers. Table 2 summarizes the total number of test takers, including both Chinese and non-Chinese, who took the LSAT inside China, Taiwan, and Hong Kong between June 1986 and February, 1991. Clearly both Taiwan and Hong Kong hosted substantial numbers of LSAT test takers (141 and 128, respectively), while the number of LSAT test takers in China were very small (only 13).

Trends of LSAT Administrations and Performance of Chinese Test Takers since June, 1991

Table 3 summarizes the numbers and mean performance of the Chinese test takers in China, Taiwan, and Hong Kong as well as the overall mean scores of the total LSAT test taker population between June 1991 and December 1995. Three trends can be seen. First, in comparison to the 282 Chinese LSAT test takers between

February 1988 and February 1991, there was a substantial increase of Chinese LSAT test takers between June 1991 and December 1995— a total of 334.

The second trend indicates that the number of Chinese test takers from China increased steadily from 3 to 30 between June, 1991 and December, 1995. This general upward trend concurred with the open-door policy, economic reforms, and fast-growing economy of China during this period. Still with the largest Chinese test taker volume of 178, it is interesting to note that Taiwan followed a up-and-down cycle every two years. For some unknown reason, the numbers of the Chinese test takers in Hong Kong steadily declined over this period, from 26 to only 8 test takers.

The third trend notes that, on the average, Chinese test takers from the three regions performed only slightly below the average score of the LSAT test taker population. Mean LSAT scores of Chinese test takers centered around 148, only two points below the overall LSAT population mean. The mean scores of Chinese test takers from the three regions only differed slightly.

Performance Trends of Male and Female Chinese Test Takers

Table 4 summarizes the mean scores of male and female test takers from the three regions as well as the mean scores of the male and female LSAT population. Except for three years for Hong Kong test takers, female Chinese LSAT test takers, in general, performed slightly better than their male counterparts. This is opposite to the scenario of the LSAT population — the mean scores of the female LSAT population are slightly lower than those of their male counterparts.

Effects of English Proficiency on LSAT Performance

Table 5 summarizes the mean scores of the Chinese test takers whose dominant language is English in comparison with those whose dominant language is Chinese. Note that the Chinese test takers whose dominant language is English are not necessarily foreign nationals. They might have grown up in families where one parent might be English speaking, while the other, Chinese speaking. Or they might have been educated in schools where English is used as the only instructional language.

As expected, the Chinese test takers with English as their dominant language (with a mean score of 154.21) performed substantially higher than did those whose dominant language is Chinese (with a mean score 145.63). The former group even performed above the LSAT population means. On the other hand, those Chinese test takers whose dominant language is not English scored substantially below LSAT population means, although their performance was slightly higher to that of all other non-English-speaking foreign test takers.

Conclusions

This study provides an overall picture of the history and performance trends of Chinese LSAT test takers from China, Taiwan, and Hong Kong. With regard to the history of LSAT administration, both Taiwan and Hong Kong have hosted the LSAT for more than 22 years, while the LSAT has been a fairly new international test inside China. In terms of test taker volumes over the past five years, China has had the fastest growing increase, Taiwan has maintained its momentum, while Hong Kong demonstrates a gradually shrinking volume. The average LSAT performance of the Chinese test takers in the three regions is quite comparable. On average, Chinese LSAT test takers have performed close to LSAT population means. The average scores of female test takers seem to be slightly higher than those of male test takers for both China and Taiwan. As expected, the test takers fluent in English scored higher than those less fluent in English.

This study is not without shortcomings. First, the total number of Chinese test takers is fairly small, especially when their performance is investigated at more detailed levels, such as according to five year, three regions, or two genders. Therefore, the above results should be interpreted with caution due to their potential instability caused by small sample sizes. Second, no explanations can be offered for some of the findings, such as the continually decreasing test taker volumes in Hong Kong. In spite of the shortcomings, this paper does offer a comprehensive overview of LSAT administration to and performance of Chinese test takers.

Two future studies can be considered. The first one would follow up on existing Chinese LSAT test takers to see how successful they have been in applying to and completing law schools, and what careers they are pursuing now. A second study would survey upcoming new Chinese LSAT test takers about their academic and professional background and future career goals. These two studies could provide a wide spectrum of valuable information on how successful Chinese applicants are in American law schools and what contributions they can make to their home countries.

For the past 15 years, China has become a country with the largest foreign graduate student body in the US, majoring mostly in sciences. With its fast increasing contacts with the US through political, cultural, technical, and trade exchanges, it is understandable that China will acquire a large number of Chinese expertise who specialize in US laws. It can be predicted that the next five years will witness a new wave of Chinese students coming to the US to study US and international laws. (Legal studies have already been the most fashionable field inside China for the past five years.) As a matter of fact, this year the LSAC has observed a sudden surge of inquiries from China about the LSAT tests through postal mail, fax, and more recently, electronic mail networks. For example, for the December 7 1996 LSAT administration, the only LSAT administration in China in the 1996 testing year, the total number of registered test takers has jumped to 69, twice as many as 1995, and the number is still growing. No doubt, there is a fast-growing potential for LSAT administrations in China.

Reference

Law School Admission Council (1996). LSAT/LSDAS registration and information book 1996-97. 661 Penn Street, Newtown, PA 18940-0040.

Table 1

Total numbers of Foreign LSAT test takers between June 1991 and December 1995

LSAT Administrations	Total LSAT Population	Foreign LSAT Test Taker	Percentage of Foreign Test
June, October, December, 1991	117,874	420	0.356%
February, June, October, December, 1992	140,398	694	0.494%
February, June, October, December, December, 1993	135,451	532	0.393%
February, June, October, December, December, 1994	128,034	493	0.385%
February, June, October, December, December, 1995	119,030	567	0.476%

Table 2

Total numbers of LSAT test takers from China, Taiwan and Hong Kong, June 1986 — February, 1991

Regions	China	Taiwan	Hong Kong	Total
Test Taker #	13	141	128	282

Note: The numbers in Table 2 include both Chinese and non-Chinese test takers, because the key variable to distinguish them is not available.

Table 3
Performance trends of Chinese Test Takers who took the LSAT in China, Taiwan and Hong Kong since February, 1991

Testing year	China			Taiwan			Hong Kong			All			LSAT Population Mean	
	N	Mean		N	Mean		N	Mean		N	Mean		Mean	Mean
1991	3	149.7		21	146.6		26	149.7		50	148.4		150.2	
1992	6	151.7		37	148.3		27	147.2		70	148.2		150.2	
1993	7	154.6		27	145.4		16	147.1		50	147.3		150.3	
1994	21	147.3		48	147.5		12	154.1		81	148.4		149.5	
1995	30	150.2		45	144.8		8	151.0		83	147.4		149.7	
All	67	149.9		178	146.6		89	149.2		334	147.9		150.0	

Table 4
Performance trends of male and female Chinese test takers

Year	China						Taiwan						Hong Kong						LSAT Population	
	Male		Female		N		Male		Female		N		Male		Female		N		Male	Female
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	Mean
1991	148.0	3	N/A	N/A	144.3	7	147.8	14	147.8	14	149.3	18	150.4	8	150.7	149.5				
1992	148.7	3	154.7	3	147.8	18	148.7	19	148.0	13	146.5	14	150.7	149.6						
1993	152.0	2	155.6	5	138.7	12	150.9	15	151.6	7	143.7	9	151.3	149.8						
1994	145.9	17	153.3	4	144.9	28	151.1	20	152.0	8	158.3	4	150.0	148.8						
1995	149.2	17	151.6	13	143.4	22	146.2	23	150.5	6	152.5	2	150.3	149.0						
All	147.9	41	153.0	25	144.2	87	148.8	91	149.8	52	148.2	37	150.6	149.3						

Table 5
Performance trends of the test takers whose dominant language is or is not English

Year	China			Taiwan			Hong Kong			LSAT Population			
	English	Chinese	Other	English	Chinese	Other	English	Chinese	Other	English	Chinese	Other	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	Mean	N	Mean	
1991	.	.	155.3	4	140.9	10	154.3	6	149.3	19	150.2	143.9	
1992	.	150.4	5	156.5	13	143.6	23	150.9	8	145.7	19	143.1	
1993	158.4	5	145.0	2	159.9	7	142.2	18	145.5	6	148.3	9	150.3
1994	.	147.3	21	154.7	18	143.9	29	157.5	2	153.0	7	142.8	
1995	143.0	3	155.5	2	.	.	149.7	142.9	
All	158.4	5	147.7	28	156.2	42	143.0	83	151.3	24	148.4	54	150.0

Note: The "." s stand for the combination of missing and nonapplicable cases.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>History & Performance of Chinese Law School Admission Test Takers</i>	
Author(s): <i>Xiang Bo Wang Vincent Harris</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>Law School Admissions Council 661 Penn Str. Newtown PA 18947 18940</i>	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents



Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1



Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here → please

Signature: <i>Wang, Vincent Bo</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Wang, Xiang Bo / Research Scientist</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>LSAC, 661 Penn Str. Newtown, PA 18940</i>	Telephone: <i>215-968-1104</i>	FAX: <i>215-968-1169</i>
	E-Mail Address: <i>XWANG@LSAC.ORG</i>	Date: <i>11/19/96</i>

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation
210 O'Boyle Hall
The Catholic University of America
Washington, DC 20064

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2d Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>