

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 403 579

CS 215 703

AUTHOR Zenger, Amy A.
 TITLE Writing in Action: Observing Students and the Teaching of Writing in the General Education Curriculum.
 PUB DATE Mar 96
 NOTE 5p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Conference on College Composition and Communication (47th, Milwaukee, WI, March 27-30, 1996).
 PUB TYPE Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Viewpoints (Opinion/Position Papers, Essays, etc.) (120)
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS College Freshmen; *Communication Skills; *Cooperative Planning; *Critical Thinking; Cultural Pluralism; Ethical Instruction; General Education; Higher Education; Inquiry; Instructional Improvement; Interdisciplinary Approach; *Team Teaching; *Writing Instruction
 IDENTIFIERS *Portland State University OR

ABSTRACT

In Portland State University's Freshman Inquiry program, 5 teachers, each from different disciplines, meet weekly to design the syllabus and the assignments used by all of the sections which are designed around the theme of "The City: Visions and Realities." A participant observer takes field notes and studies these for ways to extract program goals. These goals are to teach communication skills, to foster inquiry and critical thinking, to raise awareness of ethics and social responsibility, and, in addition, to create a climate of diversity and multiculturalism in the classroom. The program has focused on ethnic groups issues, with student groups creating oral presentations from one ethnic group's viewpoint, and reading and writing on this subject. Some questions that arose were: Can the writing process and criteria for good writing be derived from, or transferred to, other tasks and activities such as the creation of graphs, or the filming and editing of videos? Should all of the teachers in a collaborative teaching situation give the same assignment or not? Teachers have different goals and different passions--perhaps the assignments should be varied to reflect this; and how might a writing assistant best fit into a class like this one? (CR)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

Amy A. Zenger
Portland State University
Portland, Oregon

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

A. Zenger

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.
 Minor changes have been made to improve
reproduction quality

• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-
ment do not necessarily represent official
OERI position or policy

ED 403 579

Writing in Action: Observing Students and the Teaching of Writing in the General

Education Curriculum

For the last ten weeks I have been one of two participant observers in Portland State University's Freshman Inquiry program. Initially, our naturalistic study was only intended to describe the way writing appears in the new general education curriculum here, but soon it expanded to be a look at all of the stated goals of the program, because writing can hardly be winnowed out from all of the activities in the classroom. First, I would like to tell you about the class I am observing and how it is structured, and to talk about my experience as a fieldnote taker. Then I would like to bring up a few questions that might help us get started on a discussion.

The class is one of five sections designed around the theme of "The City: Visions and Realities." Five teachers, each from a different discipline (Geography, Math, Administration of Justice, History, and Theater Arts), meet weekly to design the syllabus and the assignments used by all of the sections. Each professor has his or her own class of about 30 students, and each class is further divided into three smaller groups that meet two times a week in small computer labs. My class is taught by Charles Tracy, from the Administration of Justice department--an expert on crime--and the small sections are

CS 215703

taught by the class peer mentor, Melissa Jillson--a Senior majoring in Psychology.

Teachers often attend each others' classes, and the five professors and five peer mentors also meet together for one hour a week.

I have been taking field notes at the faculty meetings, the large class meetings, and one peer mentor section. I try to record as much detail as I can about what is said, where people sit, and generally what goes on in the meetings; then I code the notes, studying them for ways that the goals of the program emerge, and looking for other patterns that might show up.

The stated goals of the program are to teach communication skills (including reading and writing, numeracy, and computer literacy); to foster inquiry and critical thinking, and to raise awareness of ethics and social responsibility. The program also aims to create a climate of diversity and multiculturalism in the classroom, and to build community within the university and between the university and wider society. Besides these stated goals, other patterns have emerged from the fieldnotes and entered into coding such as pedagogical talk, gender issues, storytelling, and housekeeping--the list will continue to grow, I'm sure.

This term, the City Visions classes have focused on ethnic groups who are immigrants to the city, and on the myths, stereotypes and realities of these groups, emphasizing reading and presenting statistics as a way of knowing groups in the city, and emphasizing oral communication. Students worked in groups of five or six all term to create an oral presentation showing the city through the eyes of a particular group--through the eyes of African American police officers, for example, or through the eyes of

alternative musicians, or the eyes of homeless youth. Besides spoken reports, the presentations also used slides and overheads, recorded interviews, original videos, and a lively skit.

Other class projects involved reading an autobiography or novel that deals with a particular ethnic experience in the city; creating a graphic representation of one's genealogy on the computer; creating charts and graphs to show statistics visually; and keeping a journal--three pages a week. There were two assigned texts: a historical geography of American cities and a history of immigration in the US.

Reading and writing are being used and taught in a much more complex situation here than in traditional writing classes. The complexity of the situation itself requires quite a bit of writing for the professors and students to collaborate with each other, often by using e-mail: Professors send each other drafts of syllabi and assignments and discuss them later in the faculty meetings; students both turn in assignments and are given feedback by e-mail. Cross-disciplinary work also creates different kinds of writing assignments: students are asked to write an explanation of a series of graphs comparing the population growth of three different cities or to write a description of the genealogical chart they created on Superpaint. (As you may have gathered by now, computers are used heavily in these classes.) This term, the largest project was not a written paper, but an oral presentation; students were expected to compose the report in a series of informal drafts, and to write a summary of it afterwards.

In terms of the teaching of writing, a major limitation I see the class face is lack of time, the natural result of the time-consuming process of collaboration. This affects

writing instruction by limiting peer response, teacher response, and revision. Also, teachers are having to learn to teach so many new things all at once: writing is only one of these things and sometimes it seems to get lost in the storm.

As a participant observer, one of my primary concerns has been to be accepted by my class and to work out the way I am a participant in the situation I am observing. This hasn't been easy, since my role is so ambiguous; I have been given trust by both teachers and students, and am, in a sense, an emissary from a different department. I find that this and the unfocused nature of the inductive process are naturally confusing, and demand a greater commitment of time and emotion than I had expected. All of my notes are open to the people I am writing about, however. My hope is that they may find them useful, since I know I have learned so much from being present in their classrooms.

Some questions that have occurred to me are: Can the writing process and criteria for good writing be derived from, or transferred to, other tasks and activities, such as the creation of graphs, or the filming and editing of videos? Should all of the teachers in a collaborative teaching situation give the same assignments or not? Teachers have different goals and different passions--perhaps the assignments should be varied to reflect this. How might a writing assistant best fit into a class like this one? Even just having me present, scribbling constantly in my notebook, may have heightened consciousness of writing. I think this is a good thing, especially for the teachers, since the many things they learn teaching this Freshman class are things they will take with them when they return to teach in their own departments.



U.S. Department of Education
 Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
 Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE
 (Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Paper presented at the 1996 Annual 4C's Convention (Milwaukee) WRITING IN ACTION: OBSERVING STUDENTS AND THE TEACHING OF WRITING IN GENERAL EDUCATION COURSES.	
Author(s): AMY A. ZENGER	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date: March 27-30, 1996

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents



Check here
For Level 1 Release:
 Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1



Check here
For Level 2 Release:
 Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here → please

Signature: 	Printed Name/Position/Title: AMY A. ZENGER / GRADUATE STUDENT.	
Organization/Address: PORTLAND STATE UNIVERSITY PO BOX 751 PORTLAND, OR 97207	Telephone: (503) 725-3559	FAX:
	E-Mail Address: psu00940@odin.cc.pdx.edu	Date: 11/19/96



III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	<i>Acquisitions</i> ERIC/REC 2805 E. Tenth Street Smith Research Center, 150 Indiana University Bloomington, IN 47408
---	--

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1301 Piccard Drive, Suite 100
Rockville, Maryland 20850-4305

Telephone: 301-258-5500
FAX: 301-948-3605
Toll-Free: 800-799-3742
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov