

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 402 596

CS 215 606

AUTHOR Schroeder, Christopher
 TITLE From Conscientization to Connected Knowing: The Liberatory Epistemologies of Paulo Freire and "Women's Ways of Knowing."
 PUB DATE Mar 96
 NOTE 9p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Conference on College Composition and Communication (47th, Milwaukee, WI, March 27-30, 1996).
 PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS *Classroom Communication; Classroom Environment; Classroom Techniques; Cognitive Processes; Comparative Analysis; *Educational Philosophy; *Epistemology; Teacher Role; *Teacher Student Relationship; Teaching Methods
 IDENTIFIERS Conscientisation; Freire (Paulo); *Knowledge Acquisition; *Liberatory Learning; *Womens Ways of Knowing

ABSTRACT

Similarities exist between Paulo Freire's radical approach to teaching and learning and the connected and constructed knowers discussed in "Women's Ways of Knowing" by M. F. Belenky, B. M. Clinchy, N. R. Goldberger, and J. M. Tarule (1986). The most important similarity seems to be their related understandings of the relationship between knowledge and "one who knows." Conscientization serves as one of the central ideas in Freire's conceptualization of education as a form of liberation. Connected knowing is said to "build in the subjectivists' conviction that the most trustworthy knowledge comes from personal experience rather than the pronouncements of authorities." Both epistemologies posit an interdependent relationship between the knower and the known. In terms of teaching and learning, one way to envision this relationship is to use a triangle, a metaphor familiar to rhetoricians, with the students, the teacher, and knowledge each represented by a different angle. As in geometry, changing one of these angles forces the others to change. Transforming the knower from an object being acted upon to a subject who actively constructs the world and moving the known from an exclusively objective entity to a personally and socially constructed phenomenon necessarily requires a reposition of the identities and relationships among knowledge, students, and teachers. Students are able to situate themselves critically in their worlds and teachers must redefine their function in the classroom. (Contains 9 references.) (CR)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

C. Schroeder

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
 Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Christopher Schroeder

CCCC Convention 1996

30 March 1996

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

From Conscientization to Connected Knowing:

The Liberatory Epistemologies

of Paulo Freire and Women's Ways of Knowing

The title of my essay is "From Conscientization to Connected Knowing: The Liberatory Epistemologies of Paulo Freire and Women's Ways of Knowing," and, before I begin, let me say that the greatest source of anxiety as I was collecting my thoughts resulted from my intention to say something that did justice to these ideas in a limited amount of time. My biggest problem involved deciding what not to say, which is to say that these are limited comments.

As I just wrote, my discussion centers around the epistemologies of Freire's radical approach to teaching and to learning and of the connected and constructed knowers as discussed by Mary Field Belenky, Blythe McVicker Clinchy, Nancy Rule Goldberger, and Jill Mattuck Tarule in Women's Ways of Knowing, and I intend to discuss not the differences between these epistemologies, for, indeed, there are some subtle and not so subtle differences, but rather the similarities between these two epistemological positions and the ways that these approaches attempt to refigure the nature of teaching and learning. In order to contextualize these ideas, allow me to make a few, very general comments about these two approaches. Conscientization serves as one of the central ideas in Freire's conceptualization



ED 402 596

CS215606

of education as a form of liberation, which he initially presents in a coherent form in the Pedagogy of the Oppressed, and in this book, conscientization "refers to learning to perceive social, political, and economic contradictions, and to take action against the oppressive elements of reality" (Freire 17). In Freire's approach, conscientization is intricately connected with his notion of "authentic praxis," which is an approach to learning that involves a combination of action and reflection (108-10), and the component of reflection, as Freire points out, designates a particular epistemological relationship with reality (Shor 31). In a similar manner, connected knowing, as it is presented in the taxonomy established by the authors of Women's Ways of Knowing, "builds in the subjectivists' conviction that the most trustworthy knowledge comes from personal experience rather than the pronouncements of authorities," and this type of knowing, they imply, represents a necessary step in the journey to constructed knowing, or a form of knowledge that involves the integration of the voices of separate and connected knowing (112-13).

Although conscientization and connected knowing have much in common, perhaps their most important similarity, at least for me, lies in their related understandings of the relationship between knowledge and one who knows. Both of these approaches critique the traditional conceptualization of knowledge, and they posit an interdependent relationship between the knower and the known. In Freire's characterization of the banking mode of education, which is his critique of the traditional, hegemonic conception of

knowledge, teachers talk about reality as if it is "motionless, static, compartmentalized, and predictable," and knowledge is "a gift bestowed by those who consider themselves knowledgeable upon those whom they consider to know nothing" (53). Similarly, the silenced and received knowers, as described by the authors of Women's Ways of Knowing, are knowers who are either disconnected from their worlds or who use listening as their sole source of knowledge. As an alternative to the traditional conception, Freire and Belenky and her co-authors posit an intimate relationship between the knower and the known, and this interrelationship provides the knower with the power to transform his or her world. In what sounds like an echo of Freire, the authors of Women's Ways of Knowing make a distinction between knowledge, which, they argue, suggests a separation from the object and mastery over it, and understanding, which, they claim, involves intimacy and equality between the self and the object (101). The "ontological vocation" of humans, as Freire characterizes it, is the condition of being subjects who act upon and transform the world and, in the process, move toward a more complete and rewarding life (Shaul 14). Further highlighting the constructive nature of knowledge, Freire connects this relationship to a larger social context by emphasizing an individual and collective intentionality as a precondition for knowing (McLaren and da Silva 54). This conceptual shift overtly signifies an altered power relationship, not only in the classroom but also, in the words of Stanley Aronowitz, "the broader social canvas as well" (9), and both of these

epistemological positions enable what Freire calls a critical consciousness, which is characterized by, among other qualities, an awareness of power relationships, a critical literacy, and a personal responsibility (Shor 32-33).

In terms of teaching and learning, one way that helps me to re-vision this relationship is to use a triangle, a metaphor familiar to rhetoricians, with the students, the teacher, and knowledge each represented by a different angle. Changing the position of one of these angles, as my ninth grade geometry teacher insisted, forces the others to change. Transforming the knower from an object being acted upon to a subject who actively constructs the world and moving the known from an exclusively objective entity to a personally and socially constructed phenomenon necessarily requires a reposition, nay a refiguring, of the identities of and relationships among knowledge, students, and teachers. Just as the nature of knowledge changes in this approach, so do students and teachers. Within such an approach, "the teacher-of-the-students and the students-of-the-teacher cease to exist and a new term emerges: teacher-student and students-teachers" (Freire 61). This new relationship is characterized by the presence of dialogue, which, according to Freire, is an encounter among people to change the world and which conceives of no dichotomy between itself and revolutionary action (118; 116--note 10). According to Freire, a true dialogue requires a profound love and a genuine faith--two qualities that are often absent in today's classrooms, and the presence of these qualities generates a sense of trust (72-72). Specifically for

students, one important result of this renegotiation is the validation of their cultural capital. Both Freire and the authors of Women's Ways of Knowing acknowledge the importance of personal knowledge and experience--Freire with his generative themes and Belenky and her co-authors with their legitimization of subjective knowledge. As a result, students are able to situate themselves critically in their worlds, to realize that reality is not static but transformative (Aronowitz 17), and, in the words of Ira Shor, "to question answers rather than to merely answer questions" (26). For teachers, this re-visioning, in some ways, has greater implications, for it necessitates a redefinition of their function in the classroom. To use an image from Women's Ways of Knowing that has become popular with feminist composition specialists, the new function of the teacher resembles that of a midwife, one who "assists in the emergence of consciousness" and who focuses not on his or her own knowledge but on the knowledge of the students. Too, such an approach shifts the power relationships between the students and the teachers. Not only, as Freire writes, can no one "unveil the world for another" (150), but, in their co-intentionality, the teachers must overcome their alienation from their students (Shor 26). This co-intentionality also calls teachers, as bell hooks acknowledges, to be committed to a process of self-actualization, which can be threatening for those teachers who are not concerned with what hooks calls "inner well-being" (15, 17).

In spite of the revolutionary power of this approach to the relationships among knowledge, students, and teachers, I would

not be so naive as to believe that every classroom that claims to be Freirean or to incorporate the insights from Women's Ways of Knowing is practicing education as liberation. As Henry A. Giroux points out, the North American and Western appropriation of Freire's work has lost much of the profound and radical nature of his theory and practice (177), and bell hooks and others have commented on prejudices ironically not only in Freire's early work but also in the world of feminism, a realm that often turns to the authors of Women's Ways of Knowing, among others such as Nancy Chodorow and Carol Gilligan, for support.¹ Too, the objections of Peter Elbow's clarion call in his article entitled "The Pedagogy of the Bamboozled," with his insistence on a professional integrity and on a consistency between one's philosophies and pedagogy, must be addressed if we are truly going to participate in education as the practice of freedom. Yet, as Shor points out, the transformation of students and teachers from authoritarian to democratic practices is a long-term project. And as I close, I want to acknowledge the catalytic value of even the most limited attempts to implement the liberatory epistemologies of Paulo Freire and of the authors of Women's Ways of Knowing, for these attempts do have the power to rupture the discursive formations, to appropriate Foucault's term, that characterize traditional classrooms, a power that, given the right conditions, reminds me of nuclear fission.

¹see, for instance, Gender Issues in the Teaching of English

Works Cited

- Aronowitz, Stanley. "Paulo Freire's Radical Democratic Humanism." paulo Freire: A Critical Encounter. Eds. Peter McLaren and Peter Leonard. Routledge: London, 1993. 8-24.
- Belenky, Mary Field, Blythe McVicker Clinchy, Nancy Rule Goldberger, and Jill Mattuck Tarule. Women's Ways of Knowing: The Development of Self, Voice, and Mind. USA: Basic Books, 1986.
- Elbow, Peter. "The Pedagogy of the Bamboozled." Embracing Contraries: Explorations in Learning and Teaching. New York: Oxford UP, 1986. 87-98.
- Freire, Paulo. Pedagogy of the Oppressed. New York: Continuum, 1970.
- Giroux, Henry A. "Paulo Freire and the Politics of Postcolonialism." paulo Freire: A Critical Encounter. Eds. Peter McLaren and Peter Leonard. Routledge: London, 1993. 177-88.
- hooks, bell. "Engaged Pedagogy." Teaching to Transgress: Education as the Practice of Freedom. Routledge: New York, 1994. 13-22.
- McLaren, Peter, and Tomaz Tadeu da Silva. "Decentering Pedagogy: Critical literacy, resistance and the politics of memory." paulo Freire: A Critical Encounter. Eds. Peter McLaren and Peter Leonard. Routledge: London, 1993. 47-89.
- Shull, Richard. Foreword. Pedagogy of the Oppressed. New York: Continuum, 1970.
- Shor, Ira. "Education is Politics: Paulo Freire's Critical

Pedagogy." paulo Freire: A Critical Encounter. Eds. Peter McLaren and Peter Leonard. Routledge: London, 1993. 25-35.

Would you like to put your paper in ERIC? Please send us a clean, dark copy!



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Paper presented at the 1996 Annual 4C's Convention (Milwaukee)	
From Conscientization to Connected Knowing: The Liberatory Epistemologies	
Author(s): of Paulo Freire and <u>Women's Ways of Knowing</u>	Author: Christopher Schroeder
Corporate Source:	Publication Date: March 27-30, 1996

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents



Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ *Sample* _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1



Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ *Sample* _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here → please

Signature: 	Printed Name/Position/Title: Christopher Schroeder	
Organization/Address: 6631 Clemens Apt. 2W St. Louis, MO 63130	Telephone: (314) 862-0418	FAX:
6631 CLEMENS APT 2W ST. LOUIS, MO 63130	E-Mail Address: meta4@inlink.com	Date: 1/23/97



III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	<i>Acquisitions</i> ERIC/REC 2805 E. Tenth Street Smith Research Center, 150 Indiana University Bloomington, IN 47408
---	--

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

~~ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1301 Picoard Drive, Suite 100
Rockville, Maryland 20850-4305~~

Telephone: 301-258-5500
FAX: 301-948-3695
Toll-Free: 800-799-3742
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov