

ED402154 1996-09-00 Teaching Science in the Field. ERIC Digest.

ERIC Development Team

www.eric.ed.gov

Table of Contents

If you're viewing this document online, you can click any of the topics below to link directly to that section.

Teaching Science in the Field. ERIC Digest.....	1
 USING URBAN ENVIRONMENTS.....	2
 A VARIETY OF EXPERIENCES.....	2
 SUBJECT INTEGRATION.....	2
 OVERCOMING GENDER BIAS.....	3
 PLANNING FOR SUCCESS.....	3
 REFERENCES.....	3



ERIC Identifier: ED402154

Publication Date: 1996-09-00

Author: Landis, Carol

Source: ERIC Clearinghouse for Science Mathematics and Environmental Education
Columbus OH.

Teaching Science in the Field. ERIC Digest.

THIS DIGEST WAS CREATED BY ERIC, THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ERIC, CONTACT ACCESS ERIC 1-800-LET-ERIC

How frequently do students study the natural world "outside?" Teaching science in the field provides unique opportunities to investigate the natural world of students' everyday lives. As in the classroom, lessons designed to foster "meaningful learning," provide "hands-on activities" and promote student "inquiry" can be effectively implemented in

the world's largest laboratories, the natural and built environments of the outdoors. Many studies indicate that well-designed, field-based instructional strategies promote cognitive learning and other outcomes worthy of greater attention (Lisowski & Disinger, 1987).

A variety of settings and locales can be used for science investigations in the field, ranging from schoolyard investigations within the time frame of a single class period (Russell, 1984) to residential (boarding) programs involving overnight stays. Stoodt (1995) explains the use of "hands-on" science in the schoolyard and backyard, and offers tips for getting started with few resources. Studying the principles of physics in amusement parks (Reno & Speers, 1995) and using "Computer Physics on the Playground" (Taylor, Hutson, Krawiec, Ebert, & Rubeinstein, 1995) are good examples of field experiences strongly related to student experiences and interests.

USING URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Valuable field experiences are not limited to "natural" areas; urban environments can be rich sources of field experiences. Peters (1995) described an eco-social studies approach which examines the issues, conflicts, and problems in relationships between humans and their natural environment. Use of a physics "trail" has also been described for outside the classroom (Foster, 1989). Nearby areas can be developed for ecology studies (Hale, 1985; Schneider, 1984), and many sites can be studied effectively "as is," such as vacant lots, trees, and the school playground (Ferbart, 1979; Hollweg, 1988). Vogl and Vogl (1985) focus elementary students' attention with leading questions and then present a site survey of the urban environment, including the soil, plants, and animals typical of city areas. Shaffer and Fielder (1987) include the materials of which the streets and buildings are constructed, and study the city as a system.

A VARIETY OF EXPERIENCES

Many different types of field experiences have been described, including development of environmental study areas (Buetler, 1993; Johns & Liske, 1992; Trust, 1991), development of nature trails (Zeph, 1985), extended field studies (Muller, 1983; Rigby, 1986), and activities for students with physical handicaps at outdoor education centers (James, 1982; Peterson & Sullivan, 1982). Formal evaluation of programs (Brody, 1984; Hamm, 1985) has also been described. Papers presented at the International Symposium on Fieldwork in the Sciences (ISFIS) address such topics as: interdisciplinary approaches, preschool education, the role of fieldwork in environmental education, nature excursions, computer applications, reference collections, teacher training, project descriptions, and implementation of fieldwork (van Trommel, 1990).

SUBJECT INTEGRATION

Continued calls for integration of subject matter strengthen arguments for teaching science in the field since the interrelationships of formal knowledge are evident within

thematic instruction (Cook & Martinello, 1994). Both elementary and middle school teachers have used thematic instruction and student collaboration with success (Nelson & Frederick, 1994; Piazza, Scott, & Carver, 1994). Suggestions for the implementation of environmental studies (Disinger, 1986; Greig, Pike, & Selby, 1989; Hungerford & Volk, 1984) also indicate the applicability of environmental education in high school settings. But there are also barriers (Mason, 1980; Samuel, 1993). High school science classes have traditionally retained a disciplinary focus, yet the recommendations of "Science for All Americans" (Rutherford & Ahlgren, 1990) suggest that a concerted effort to be inclusive of the interests and abilities of all learners is long overdue. Changes in curricular design--elements of which are often found within field-based inquiry--are also recommended in the "National Standards for Science Education" (NRC, 1996). "Parts courses--parts of the cell, parts of the microscope, parts of the leaf, parts of the brain, parts of whatever--neither do justice to the nature of biology nor do they benefit the student" (Ost & Yager, 1993). Rather than focusing on nomenclature and college preparation, the construction of curricula that promote depth of understanding, and less coverage or breadth, would enable investigation of themes that include the natural world, in natural settings.

OVERCOMING GENDER BIAS

The important contributions of science in solving social problems, which are rooted in real-world observations, has been described as an influential factor in the decision-making of girls who elect to study science (Harding, 1985). Specific steps can be taken to remove gender bias and to include the thinking of scientists with diverse backgrounds, overtly directed toward retaining the interest of women in pursuing science-related careers. For example, undertaking investigations that are global in scope and use more interactive methods, increasing the time spent in the observation, and involving women in the construction and manipulation of equipment are recommended to maintain the participation of women in the scientific enterprise. Use of more cooperative learning strategies and both quantitative and qualitative data collection methods, accompanied by the development of hypotheses that are relational and multi-causal rather than consistently employing strictly-controlled, reductionist studies are also recommended (Rosser, 1993).

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS

Ideas for the development of management skills, planning opportunities, and curriculum development (Bain, 1979; Disinger, 1984; Fischer, 1984; and Lavine, 1985) have been offered. Tips have also been offered regarding useful teaching strategies, available community study units, and steps for arranging and leading field trips, including sample forms for parental permissions and transportation requests, (Lee County School District, 1988).

REFERENCES

- Bain, R. (August, 1979). Teacher education: Learning to use the outdoors. Paper prepared for the International Symposium on World Trends in Science Education (Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada, August 1979).
- Brody, M. J. (1984). The floating lab research project: An approach to evaluating field programs. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 260 911.
- Buetler, L. (Ed.). (1993). Turn your schoolgrounds into an environmental study area. *Clearing*, 81, 7-10.
- Cook, G. E., and Martinello, M. L. (1994). Topics and themes in interdisciplinary curriculum. *Middle School Journal*, 25(3), 40-44.
- Disinger, J. F. (1984). Field instruction in school settings. (ERIC Digest). Columbus, OH: ERIC/SMEAC. [ED 259 935]
- Disinger, J. F. (1986). Current trends in environmental education. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 17(2), 1-2.
- Ferbert, M. L. (1979). Nature in the city. Adventure guide. Cleveland, OH: Museum of Natural History. [ED 222 327]
- Fischer, R. B. (1984). Successful field trips. *Nature Study*, 37(3-4), 24-27.
- Foster, S. (1989). Streetwise physics. *School Science Review*, 70(254), 15-17.
- Greig, S., Pike, G., & Selby, D. (1989). Green prints for changing schools. London: Kogan Page.
- Hale, M. (1985). Expanding the horizons of urban ecology. *Journal of Biological Education*, 19(4), 259-62.
- Hamm, R. W. (1985). A systematic evaluation of an environmental investigations course (Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation), Georgia State University. [ED 256 622]
- Harding, Jan. (April, 1985). International panel debate: "Gender and Science Issues," Women in science and engineering: Changing vision to reality. American Association for the Advancement of Science Conference, U. of Michigan, Ann Arbor, April 13-18, 1985.
- Hollweg, K. S. (1988). Denver Audubon Society's Urban Education Project: Volunteers teaching children. Denver, CO: Denver Audubon Society, Urban Education Project. [ED 297 984]
- Hungerford, H. R., and Volk, T. (1984). The challenges of K-12 environmental education. In A. B. Sacks (Ed.). *Monographs in environmental education and environmental studies*, Vol. 1 (pp. 3-30). Troy, OH: North American Association for

Environmental Education. [ED 251 293]

James, M. (1982). A special place helping special people. *Conservationist*, 36(4), 28-31.

Johns, F. A., and Liske, K. A. (1992). Schoolyard adventuring. *Science and Children*, 30(3), 19-21.

Lavine, C. S. (1985). OBIS. *Outdoor Communicator*, 16(2), 40-43.

Lee County School District. (1988). From classroom teacher to field trip leader. Hints for getting there. Ft. Myers, FL: Dept. of Environmental Education and Instructional Development Services. [ED 326 418]

Lisowski, M., & Disinger, J. F. (1987). Cognitive learning in the environment: Secondary students. (ERIC Digest). Columbus, OH: ERIC/SMEAC. [ED 286 756]

Mason, J. L. (1980). Field work in Earth science classes. *School Science and Mathematics*, 80, 317-322.

Muller, S. W. (1983). Some field hints from an old top hand. *Journal of Geological Education*, 31(1), 36-39.

National Research Council. (1996). National science education standards. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Nelson, J. R., & Frederick, L. (1994). Can children design curriculum? *Educational Leadership*, 51, 71-74.

Ost, D. H., & Yager, R. E. (1993). Biology, STS, and the next steps in program design and curriculum development. *The American Biology Teacher*, 55(5), 282-287.

Peters, R. O. (1995). Environmental education in urban communities. *Schools in the Middle*, 5(1), 16-18.

Peterson, B., & Sullivan, M. J. (1982). Field application of ecological principles for physically handicapped high school students. Final report. Carbondale, IL: Southern Illinois University. [ED 230 435]

Piazza, J. A., Scott, M. M., & Carver, E. C. (1994). Thematic webbing and the curriculum standards in the primary grades. *Arithmetic Teacher*, 41(6), 294-298.

Reno, C., & Speers, R. R. (1995). Accelerometer measurements in the amusement park. *Physics Teacher*, 33(6), 382-84.

Rigby, J. A. (1986). In the schools: California treat: Three days in five ecosystems. *Science and Children*, 23(4), 20-23.

Rosser, S. V. (1993). Female friendly science: Including women in curricular content and pedagogy in science. *The Journal of General Education*, 42(3), 191-220.

Russell, H. R. (1984). Ten-minute field trips: Using the school grounds to teach. *Nature Study*, 37(3-4), 8.

Rutherford, F. J., & Ahlgren, A.. (1990). *Science for all Americans*. NY: Oxford University Press.

Samuel, H. R. (1993). Impediments to implementing environmental education. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 25(1), 26-29.

Schneider, M. (1984). Setting up an outdoor lab. *Science and Children*, 21(4), 17-20.

Shaffer, C., and Fielder, E. (1987). *City safaris. A Sierra Club explorer's guide to urban adventures for grownups and kids*. San Francisco: Sierra Club Books. [ED 291 585]

Stoodt, B. D. (Comp.). (1995). What works in science. *Learning*, 23(5), 72-78.

Taylor, R., Hutson, D., Krawiec, W., Ebert, J., & Rubinstein, R. (1995). Computer physics on the playground. *Physics Teacher*, 33(6), 332-37.

Trust, J. (1991). A habitat-forming experience. *Science Teacher*, 58(9), 22-27.

van Trommel, J. (Ed.). (1990, April). *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Fieldwork in the Sciences* (Westerbork, The Netherlands, April 22-27, 1990). [ED 327 410]

Vogl, S., and Vogl, R. L. (1985). *Teaching nature in cities and towns. Urban outdoor biology and ecology*. Danville, IL: Interstate Printers & Publishers. [ED 282 755]

Zeph, P. T. (1985). TIPS for environmental education: Teacher aids for using a discovery trail. *Nature Study*, 38(2-3), 26-28.

INTERNET RESOURCES

-The GLOBE Project

<http://www.globe.gov>

Worldwide network of schools collaborating with scientists to collect data. Uses the Internet to facilitate collaboration.

-Acorn Naturalists Search Page

<http://www.acorn-group.com/search.htm>

Source of books on field experiences.

This digest was funded by the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education under contract no. RR93002013. Opinions expressed in this digest do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of OERI or the Department of Education.

Title: Teaching Science in the Field. ERIC Digest.

Document Type: Information Analyses---ERIC Information Analysis Products (IAPs) (071); Information Analyses---ERIC Digests (Selected) in Full Text (073);

Available From: ERIC Clearinghouse for Science, Mathematics, and Environmental Education, 1929 Kenny Road, Columbus, OH 43210-1080.

Descriptors: Elementary Secondary Education, Environmental Education, Hands on Science, Inquiry, Interdisciplinary Approach, Investigations, Outdoor Education, Sex Differences

Identifiers: ERIC Digests

###



[\[Return to ERIC Digest Search Page\]](#)