

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 399 148

SE 058 311

AUTHOR Chiang, Chia-Ling; Guo, Chorng-Jee
 TITLE A Study of the Images of the Scientist for Elementary School Children.
 PUB DATE Apr 96
 NOTE 12p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching (St. Louis, MO, April 1, 1996).
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS Elementary Education; Foreign Countries; *Scientists; *Student Attitudes
 IDENTIFIERS Taiwan

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to explore elementary school children's images of scientists with emphasis on how children define scientists, their idea of the nature of scientists' work, and factors that might influence the formation of their images of scientists. Twenty-eight 5th and 6th grade students were asked to draw pictures and write compositions about scientists and were then interviewed for further details regarding their images of scientists. Results indicate that the students did not differentiate scientists from non-scientists very clearly and placed emphasis on scientists' contributions to the well-being of mankind. In addition, findings suggest that students view scientific research as the absolute truth and scientific experiments as proof or verification. Students' images of scientists were found to be influenced by the media, in particular by scientists' biographies. Contains 12 references. (JRH)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

A STUDY OF THE IMAGES OF THE SCIENTIST FOR
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CHILDREN

Chia-Ling Chiang and Chorng-Jee Guo

National Changhua University of Education

Changhua, Taiwan.

R.O.C.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

C. Chiang

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.
 Minor changes have been made to improve
reproduction quality

• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-
ment do not necessarily represent official
OERI position or policy

A paper presented in the paper set grouped by committee session at the annual
meeting of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching.

St. Louis, Missouri

April, 1996

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Purpose

The word "scientist", familiar to both adults and children, appears frequently in our everyday life. Although many students would say that they have never met any scientist in all their lives (Rampal, 1992), they are able to describe their own images of scientists' appearance, personalities, and works in many ways. However, what does it mean to be a scientist for the children? Hill & Wheeler (1991) reported that students conveyed very clear notions regarding what scientific employments are. In contrast, Rampal (1992) argued that there were a lot of people who presented unequivocal answers to some disciplines, such as astrology and the indigenous systems of medicine. This is especially worth noting in Taiwan. Because in addition to western science and technologies, traditional professional practices, for instance, acupuncture, herb medicine, and so on, with their long histories and being deeply rooted in the indigenous culture, still find their ways into the daily lives among all walks of life.

In addition, the science textbooks in Taiwan are mainly devoted to the teaching of science concepts and process skills, paying scarce attention to the history and the nature of science. Most of the students come across stories about scientists and inventors from studying the subject of Mandarin, as far as formal schooling is concerned. These stories in the textbooks tend to emphasize the positive characters of inventors and scientists, such as persistency and diligence, and encourage students to follow these examples. Some famous inventors and scientists are also mentioned in social study textbooks in Taiwan. The intention is, however, to show their achievement and contributions to mankind as a

whole. Besides these, many parents in Taiwan buy story books and scientists' biographies for their children to read. Most of these also tend to focus on the positive sides of inventors and scientists, aiming at encouraging children to model themselves on the inventors or scientists.

With the cultural background for pupils in Taiwan as mentioned above, it is worthwhile noting that results from numerous studies (Chambers, 1983; Kahle, 1989; Mead & Metraux, 1957) indicated that students held both positive and negative images of scientists regarding their appearance, works, personalities, and influence to human beings. Since these images of scientists form part of students' world view, it is expected that they will influence children's learning of science (Head, 1985). For these reasons, a study of the images of the scientists for elementary school children in Taiwan seems worthwhile. The focus of this study is to find out how children define scientists and non-scientists, what is their understanding of the nature of scientists' work, and what are the key factors which influence the formation of the image of scientists for elementary school children in Taiwan.

Design and Procedures

Three different methods--questionnaire using Likert or semantic differential scale, Draw-A-Scientist Test (DAST), Interview About Instance (IAI)--were jointly used in this study, in an attempt to strengthen the advantages of these methods while compensating their individual limitations. The entire study was carried out in two stages. The procedures in each stage are described as follows.

1. Exploratory Stage

With the DAST method, the twenty eight selected students from four classes of fifth and sixth grades were asked to draw pictures and to write compositions about scientists. Their drawings and writings were analyzed and classified according to certain schemes which were developed in this study to summarize important dimensions of students' images of scientists, such as appearance, personalities, types of work, and the nature of their work.

2. Interview

Seven sample students were selected from each class, according to their performance in the drawing and essay writing, and then interviewed for their further explanation about the definition and image of scientists, using both IAI and the semantic differential scale methods. For instance, in order to understand children's opinion of scientists' personality, we gave students a list of ten adjectives, including diligent, enthusiastic, amiable, etc. during the interview, and asked them to give the opposite of each adjective. The interviewer, i.e. the first author, drew a line between these two adjectives, and encouraged students to choose the most suitable place on the line to describe their opinions of scientists (see, for instance, Fig.1), and to offer an explanation as to why they chose to do so. Approximately thirty minutes were spent in interviewing each student, and the conversations during the interviews were recorded, transcribed, and analyzed later on.

diligent _____ lazy

Fig.1.

Findings

The drawings of the pupils in this study were quite similar to those in the western countries (i.e. Chambers, 1983; Kahle, 1989; Mason, Kahle, & Gardner, 1991; Schibeci & Sorensen, 1983). Most of the scientists drawn were old, male, crazy, and working with laboratory equipment; besides, they also wore glasses and white clothes. However, pupils in this study seldom described scientists' appearance in their compositions, they tended to focus on scientists' contributions to humanity. It is worth noting that pupils not only praised scientists'/inventors' achievement--especially their inventions, but also imagined or wished that they could invent something to make their or their parents' life more convenient and comfortable.

"...They (scientists/inventors) make great contribution to mankind, for example, electric lamp, dynamite, and medicine. I wish I could invent a robot which can clean a house and wash a lot of dishes. Because my mother is very busy, I want to invent such a robot to help her and ease her of heavy housework." (S4504)

According to children's responses in the interview, important results of this study could be summarized as follows:

1. The boundary with which pupils differentiated scientists from nonscientists was vague.

Many students interviewed thought that they could differentiate scientists from nonscientists, and almost all of them argued that those who did research were scientists. However, when they were asked to judge

whether science teachers, doctors, astronomers, and inventors were scientists or not, it was found that they could not make a definite decision. Some of the pupils used $1/3$ or $1/2$ to describe the level with which science teachers, doctors or astronomers were considered as experts similar to scientists.

Some of the students argued that inventors, such as Tsai Luen whose story in inventing papers, is mentioned in social science textbooks and children's story books also studied very hard and benefited human beings, and therefore should be considered as scientists.

I: Do you think your science teacher is a scientist?

S: He is one third of a scientist.

I: Why?

S: One third, well, our teacher had studied science before, and he might be a scientist some day. But he ought to have done something that had a great INFLUENCE since he was young, then he could be called a scientist.

I: What kind of INFLUENCE should he have done when he was a child?

S: (He could) INVENT THINGS or...something that could bring benefit to mankind. (S1504-5)

I: What kind of people would you call a scientist?

S: Those who do research and invent things that benefit human beings.

I: Are inventors scientists?

S: Well...Yeah, they work very hard. They are diligent, and they always help us lead to more comfortable life. (S4606-1)

2. The values pupils placed on scientists were primarily for the well-being of mankind.

According to pupils' responses quoted, we note that pupils tended to think that scientists often worked for human benefits. Moreover, scientists were most willing to make their inventions available to people. The stories that pupils read often portrait such a picture for scientists/inventors. Most pupils tended to think that only a few "bad" scientists were so selfish that they invented something bad to other people or cooperate with outlaws.

I: What do the scientist do?

S: Well, he would contribute something to his country or society, and he would invent something convenient for all human beings. (S1604-2)

I: What kind of work do the scientist do?

S: ...it depends on what he invents. If he invents those bad things or cooperates with bad guys, then he is a bad scientist. (S1501-4)

3. Pupils regarded scientists' activities with viewpoints similar to those held by positivists--that is, to see scientific research as absolute truth, and experiments as playing the role of proof or verification.

I: Do they (scientists) know the result before they carry out the experiment?

S: They might have a hypothesis, then test the hypothesis by doing experiments. If you get an unexpected result, it means that your hypothesis is wrong.

I: Why does he make the hypothesis?

S: Because he has had the.....the experience from the last experiment, and he thought that if it is so in this case, then it ought to work in the same way in the other cases. (S2501-4)

The majority of pupils emphasized the importance of verification or test. In their opinions, verification is the key to the judgment of whether a hypothesis is right or not, it is also the key to whether an invention is workable or not.

S: ...it (invention) also has to pass a TEST to prove it is workable and successful.

I: What kind of TEST?

S: Just as scientists, inventors should prove that their inventions are successful, and their notions are right. (S2604-5)

4. Pupils' images of the scientist were derived mainly from mass media, especially scientists' biographies.

Many researchers suggested that TV programs, children's ages, their social economical status, ect. would influence pupils' images of the scientist (Brush, 1979; Mead & Metraux, 1957; Head, 1985; Schibeci, 1986). However, in this study, many students reported that most of the messages about scientists which they remembered came from the scientists' biographies they read.

I: How do you know about scientists?

S: From books.

I: What kind of books?

S: Just like those which talk about the stories

of scientists.

I: Did you mean scientists' biographies?

S: Yeah, I have a collection of such biographies. (S3603-3)

Moreover, based on their experiences and preconceptions, pupils tended to infer from the message received, forming therefore the images of scientists by themselves. As the traditional Chinese wisdom has it that intensive thinking makes one's hair turn gray(white), it is interesting to note the following excerpts.

I: How do you know they(scientists) look like that?

S: Well, sometimes I read from books, sometimes I imagine it by myself. Because the scientist always do experiment that are very crazy. When the experiment is not successful, it might cause explosion. That is the reason why some of them have such hair. In addition, they must keep thinking, so their hair easily turn gray. (S3504-5)

Conclusions and implications

Although all the children in this study have never met scientists, they could talk a lot about them, including their appearance, works, and personalities. However, they did not differentiate scientists from nonscientists very clearly. This result is similar to Rampal's study (1992) in India. In addition, it was noted that students paid much attention to scientists' contribution to the well-beings of mankind. While this may provide a noble motivation for some to become scientists, it also sets a high standard that many students feel they can not live up to. It also tends to enhance the notion that we should get all the resources

from nature and put them to hood use to make our life more convenient and comfortable. In addition to this, in spite of recent development in the philosophy of science, findings from this study indicated that pupils' images of science and scientists, still maintain close resemblance to the ideas of the positivists. It is note-worthy that mass media, such as scientists' biographies, play an important role in the formation of pupils' images of the scientists. This is certainly a useful hint for further investigations into the formation of pupils' image of the scientist, and for providing appropriate instructional support for elementary school children to construct less stereotyped images of the scientist.

References

- Beardslee, D. C. & O'Dowd, D. D. (1961). The college-student image of the scientist. *Science*, 133: 997-1001.
- Ben-David, J. (1984). *The Scientist's Role in Society*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Brush L. R. (1979). Avoidance of science and stereotypes of scientists. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 16(3): 237-241.
- Chambers, D. W. (1983). Stereotypic images of the scientist: The Draw-A-Scientist Test. *Science Education*, 67(2):255-265.
- Head, J. (1985). *The Personal Response to Science*. NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Hill, D. & Wheeler, A. (1991). Towards a clearer understanding of students' ideas about science and technology: An exploratory study. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 9(2): 125-137.
- Kahle, J. B. (1989). Images of scientists: Gender issues in science classroom. *Curtin University Key Paper No.1*.

Centre for School Science and Mathematics. Perth.

- Mason, C. L., Kahle, J. B., & Gardner, A. L. (1991). Draw-A-Scientist Test: Future implications. *School Science and Mathematics*, 91(5): 193-198.
- Mead, M. & Metraux, R. (1957). Image of the scientist among high school students. *Science*, 126: 384-390.
- Rampal, A. (1992). Images of science and scientists: A study of school teachers' views. I. Characteristics of scientists. *Science Education*, 76(4): 415-436.
- Schibeci, R. A. (1986). Images of science and scientists and science education. *Science Education*, 70(2):139-149.
- Schibeci, R. A. & Sorensen, I. (1983). Elementary school children's perceptions of scientists. *School Science and Mathematics*, 83(1): 14-20.



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>A Study of the Images of the Scientist for Elementary School Children</i>	
Author(s): <i>Chia-ling Chiang & Chorng-Jee Guo</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>the annual meeting of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching.</i>	Publication Date: <i>April 1, 1996</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



**Check here
For Level 1 Release:**
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents



**Check here
For Level 2 Release:**
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here → please

Signature: <i>Chia-ling Chiang</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Chia-ling Chiang / graduate student</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>Institute of Science Education National Changhua University of Education Changhua, Taiwan</i>	Telephone: <i>886-2-8940997</i>	FAX: <i>886-4-7211178</i>
	E-Mail Address: <i>8220204@ncue4680.ncue.edu.tw</i>	Date: <i>Sep 25, 1996</i>

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	ERIC/CSMEE 1929 Kenny Road Columbus, OH 43210-1080
---	---

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1301 Piccard Drive, Suite 100
Rockville, Maryland 20850-4305

Telephone: 301-258-5500
FAX: 301-948-3695
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov