DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 389 023 CS 509 081

AUTHOR Kruckeberg, Dean

TITLE 1987 Revisited: Is It Time for a New Look?

PUB DATE 26 May 95

NOTE 14p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

International Communication Association (45th,

Albuquerque, NM, May 25-29, 1995).

PUB TYPE Viewpoints (Opinion/Position Papers, Essays, etc.)

(120) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Curriculum Design; Curriculum Evaluation; Higher

Education; *International Communication; Journalism;

Mass Media; Multicultural Education; *Public

Relations; Undergraduate Students

IDENTIFIERS International Public Relations; *Professional

Concerns

ABSTRACT

The 1987 Commission on Undergraduate Public Relations Education report "Design for Undergraduate Public Relations Education" is examined. Much has changed since that document was published yet much remains the same. Some specific challenges to the existing document include but are not limited to these: (1) multicultural and international demands placed on public relations practice: (2) demands for accountability by college and university administrators, accrediting agencies, governmental bodies, the tax-paying population at large, as well as students and their parents; (3) dynamic tension between industry demands and the goals of public relations educators; and (4) continuing resentment of and discrimination against public relations by other mass communication and communication educators. In spirit and in its specific recommendations, the 1987 commission report is analogous to the 1990 Gold Paper No. 7 of the International Public Relations Association entitled, "Public Relations Education--Recommendations and Standards." However, the Gold Paper was far more realistic and far-sighted. A landmark "must read" book for all communication educators is R. O. Blanchard and W. G. Christ's "Media Education and the Liberal Arts: A Blueprint for the New Professionalism"--a must read because of its provocative theses. While their criticism of sequentially organized curriculums has some virtue, it could, if misapplied, take public relations programs in a direction that the authors are attempting to steer clear of, e.g., a program in which students become jacks of all trades and masters of none, a program with no discernible standards. Public relations needs to continue to assess its place in the academy and as a professional area of practice. (Includes 23 notes.) (TB)

the table ta



^{*} Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

INTERNATIONAL COMMUNICATION ASSOCIATION

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

D. Kruck brug

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

"1987 Revisited:

Is It Time

For a New Look"

US DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

By Dr. Dean Kruckeberg, APR, Fellow PRSA
Professor and Coordinator,
Public Relations Degree Program
Department of Communication Studies
University of Northern Iowa
Cedar Falls, Iowa 50614-0357
U.S.A.

Presented in the Program:

The Future of Public Relations

In the "Age of Ferment"

5-6:30 p.m. Friday, May 26, 1995

At the Annual Convention
Of the International Communication Association

Albuquerque, New Mexico Thursday, May 25, through Monday, May 29, 1995



"1987 Revisited: Is It Time For a New Look"

<u>INTRODUCTION</u>

It's little wonder that scholars and practitioners among themselves and between one another do not enjoy consensus about public relations education. An occupation that is both characteristically ill-defined and vaguely described hardly encourages the explicit rigor of academic course and curriculum prescription. Yet the 1987 Commission on Undergraduate Public Relations made a noble and salutary effort to provide a superstructure built upon the best professional education in the United States at that time.

The initial Commission had been formed in the early 1970s, and its 1975 report was the first "Design for Public Relations Education." A new Commission was established in the early 1980s; in 1985, that body recommended a detailed curriculum for graduate education. The 1987 Commission on Undergraduate Public Relations Education, at its first meeting in 1984, reaffirmed the recommendations of the 1975 "Design"; however, three years later, its own report contained significant differences:

Unlike the 1975 Commission, the sole focus of the 1987 Commission was on the undergraduate curriculum. The 1975 Commission named specific public relations courses while the 1987 Commission addressed course content which should be covered in a total public relations program.

Furthermore, to broaden its scope and to seek as much professional consensus as possible, the 1987 Commission:

... included representatives from the International Association of Business Communicators and its Educators Academy; the Foundation for Public Relations Research and Education; the American Marketing Association; the International Communication Association; the American Management Association (sic) and the Speech Communication Association.²



¹For a comprehensive discussion about "The Quandary of Public Relations," see Dean Kruckeberg and Kenneth Starck, *Public Relations and Community: A Reconstructed Theory* (New York: Praeger, 1988), 1-34.

²Commission on Undergraduate Public Relations Education, The Design for Undergraduate Public Relations Education (Chicago: Commission on Undergraduate Public Relations Education, 1987), 2.

countered assumptions that educators The 1987 Commission desired content of about the were disagreeing practitioners Commission's public relations education; rather, the undergraduate educators and practitioners were, in fact. indicated that study.3 The about requisite areas of that time agreeing Commission concluded:

The fundamental purpose of undergraduate public relations education is to provide the student with a well-rounded program of study, including an area of specialization called a public relations major. The traditional arts and sciences remain the solid basis for undergraduate education of public relations students, essential to their functioning professionally in a complex society.⁴

Making no recommendation about where a public relations education program should be located (but noting that the great majority of such programs were in departments or schools of journalism and mass communication), the Commission maintained that at semester hours (94 quarter hours) should be required in liberal arts should consider business that students sciences and Also, 15 hours (22 secondary area of concentrated study. hours) should be in public relations professional courses.⁵

of the typical 120 In sum, the 1987 Commission recommended that: semester hours (175 quarter hours) required for a bachelor's degree, 90 semester hours (131 quarter hours) were to be other than professional education courses, with a minimum of 65 of these semester hours (94 quarter hours) to be in liberal arts and sciences. This would constitute three-fourths of the student's undergraduate The remaining one-fourth of this education would be in education. "professional" coursework (30 semester hours, or 44 quarter one-fourth, half of the courses were Within this communication studies (15 semester hours, or 22 quarter hours), and the remaining half would contain specific course content in public relations.6



³ Ibid., 3.

⁴ Ibid., 4.

⁵Ibid.

⁶¹bid., 28.

These recommendations of the 1987 Commission were consonant with the requirement of the Accrediting Council on Education in Journalism and Mass Communications, i.e., (based on 120 semester hours required for graduation) students would have to take at least 90 hours in courses outside the major area of journalism and mass communication—with at least 65 hours of these courses in basic liberal arts and sciences.⁷

Certainly in spirit, and by-and-large in its specific recommendations, the 1987 Commission report is analogous to and compatible with the September 1990 Gold Paper No. 7 of the International Public Public Relations Education--Association entitled. Relations Metaphorically describing its Standards. Recommendations and curricular recommendations as a series of three concentric circles, IPRA says the smallest circle encloses subjects specifically concerned with public relations practice, while the second-largest circle contains subjects in the general field of communication. The all-encompassing circle represents general liberal arts and humanities education that IPRA deems essential for a successful professional career.8

IPRA, likewise, recognized that the interdisciplinary nature of public relations and the philosophical differences among universities meant public relations programs would be offered in a variety of academic homes.

IPRA concluded:

We do not wish to recommend any specific home for the discipline and caution against recommending that 'Schools of Public Relations' be established, for the real public relations school is the entire university itself with its diversified facets of knowledge. We do, however, recommend that public relations be taught as an applied social science with academic and professional emphasis.¹⁰



⁷Ibid., Appendix, 6.

⁸ International Public Relations Association Education and Research Committee and International Public Relations Association International Commission on Public Relations Education, Public Relations Education--Recommendations and Standards, Gold Paper No. 7, (September 1990): 2.

⁹ Ibid., 12.

^{1 0}Ibid., 13.

CONTEMPORARY CHALLENGES

Although the 1987 Commission document might have served public relations education and U.S. society well during its initial lifespan, contemporary challenges strongly suggest that the dictates of The Design for Undergraduate Public Relations Education may be obsolete. Although the Commission's pedagogical philosophy may not presently be in gross diametrical error in its perspective, its criteria nevertheless must be judged as increasingly inadequate to serve the complex needs of contemporary students, the global public relations industry and world society in general.

Some specific challenges to the existing document include, but are 1) multicultural and international demands not limited to, these: placed on public relations practice; 2) demands for accountability by administrators, accrediting agencies, university and college governmental bodies, the tax-paying population at large, as well as students and their parents; 3) a dynamic tension between industry public relations educators; and goals of the demands and continuing resentment of and discrimination against public relations by other mass communication and communication educators.

Multicultural and International Demands:

Sriramesh and White contend that, because a society's culture affects the pattern of communication among members of that society, such culture would have a direct impact on the public relations practice of that society's organizations. The authors contend this is because public relations is first and foremost a communication activity.¹

A major criticism of the 1987 document is its provinciality parochialism--even for its time, in which the future diversity of the United States was already being projected and in and business internationalism of increasing which the organizations had become a foregone assumption. Commendably, the International sought out representatives from the Commission International the of Business Communicators and Association Communication Association; however, global dialogues with regional public relations associations and educators were not fostered.



^{1 1}K. Sriramesh and Jon White, "Societal Culture and Public Relations," in Excellence in Public Relations and Communication Management, ed. James E. Grunig (Hillsdale, N. J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1992), 609.

In contrast, Gold Paper No. 7 was far more realistic and far-sighted, undoubtedly because of its cosmopolitan constituency. Recognizing public relations desirable nor necessary for it is neither (taking throughout the world uniform education to be consideration local and national cultures, religions and indigenous Association Relations Public conditions). 12 the International nevertheless maintained that:

The theory of public relations is valid everywhere but its practical application must take into account national character, economy, religion and environment.

IPRA's role ... is to synthesise the experience of different countries and to encourage national public relations associations to adapt the best examples from other countries to the formation of national public relations educational and professional advancement programmes best suited to their milieu.¹³

Highly sophisticated public relations is being practiced throughout. the world, including in the Pacific Rim countries, the Middle East, Africa, Central and South America and Europe; yet, U.S. scholars and many North American practitioners are not cognizant of such practice U.S. from significantly different oftentimes is that of education--with some relations public Concomitant literature and other body of knowledge--is also occurring at such For example, Hazleton and Kruckeberg note that British public relations practice historically has reigned supreme in Europe because of Great Britain's close relationship with the United States because of the linguistic advantages of its native English language. this supremacy could be challenged However, they argue that collectively by other European nation-states in the near future and that British practice may, indeed, prove inadequate and/or largely inappropriate as a unified practice develops within the European Union.

¹²International Public Relations Association Education and Research Committee and International Public Relations Association International Commission on Public Relations Education, Public Relations Education-Recommendations and Standards, Gold Paper No. 7: 27.





Conversely--and in dynamic tension with the preceding scenario--European nation-states could determine that unified public relations practice--save at a highly abstract supra-national level--cannot satisfy indigenous needs; thereby, attempts to unify public relations practice would be futile.

The two authors argue that evolving European public relations practice will be influenced by the professional education of its practitioners; furthermore, public relations professionalization in some form may proceed relatively quickly as the need for public relations is being increasingly recognized throughout Europe.

However, European public relations education programs are by-andstudents exclusively oriented toward preparing large There are no journalism management positions, the authors note. schools; rather, European public relations curricula are most often communication theory-oriented mass located in Nevertheless, European students receive degrees in public relations that are far more specific and in-depth than are most counterpart public relations education programs in the United States. 14

Starck and Kruckeberg, in their discussion of mass communication and mass communication education, ponder: "Will there be a 'Europeanization' of such education and scholarship?" Or, for that matter, a renewed "Europeanization" of communication theory in general? The Germans, in particular, have potential to contribute greatly to public relations because of their intellectual tradition in mass communication. Hardt observes that the history of mass communication as a field of scholarly study is much older in Germany than in the United States. Carey reminds us that many of the originating impulses behind research in mass communication were German 7



^{1 4}Vincent Hazleton and Dean Kruckeberg, "European Public Relations Practice: An Evolving Paradigm," in *International Public Relations*, eds. Hugh M. Culbertson and Ni Chen (Hillsdale, N. J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, in press).

¹⁵Kenneth Starck and Dean Kruckeberg, "Mass Communication and the International Challenge," Phi Beta Delta International Review, Fall 1991, 25.

¹ 6Hanno Hardt, Social Theories of the Press (Beverly Hills, Calif.: Sage Publications, Inc., 1979), 28.

¹⁷ James W. Carey, "Foreword," in Hanno Hardt, Social Theories of the Press, 11.

And Europe is only one example of a diversity of dynamic regional public relations environments, illustrative of several throughout the world that promise to contribute much to public relations as a professional practice and a disciplinary area of study. It is myopic for U.S. educators to ignore the opportunity for dialogues with regional educators and practitioners in re-examining and reassessing the curricular offerings of U.S. public relations education.

Demands for Accountability:

In frenzied response to a variety of increasingly vocal constituents, perplexing--oftentimes paradoxical-mandating universities are reformations within the educational establishment. Not unusual students four graduate baccalaureate attempts to when declining enrollments prompt time during a wholesale marketing of traditional institutions of higher education to who traditionally "shoppers" students and served by junior colleges and nontraditional urban campuses. New majors requiring faculty and innovative communication must compete with the renewed demands for general education. Evening and Saturday classes may have to be offered in computer laboratories which supporting student-fee money stipulates must be available at those times for general student use. Reduction of majorelective coursework to allow general elective study encourage declaration of a rigidly prescribed minor--defeating the intended purpose of the original reduction of major elective hours. demand time that is assessment requirements compensated by corresponding reductions in teaching loads.

A landmark "must read" book for all communication educators is, Robert O. Blanchard and William G. Christ, Media Education and the A Blueprint for the New Professionalism (Hillsdale, N. Liberal Arts: J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1993). It does a superb job of outlining the history of constituent discontent, primarily from the early 1980s, and it provides a recipe for a less-fragmented and resulting Liberal Arts" and a "New more-integrated Professionalism," in which communication studies play a central role.

While its criticisms, both reported and proffered, are by-and-large valid for the academy at large and communication programs in general, its provocative theses--while enticing and somewhat beguiling--are flawed as they relate to public relations education.



The book should be read carefully in its entirety. However, one must conclude the authors don't understand contemporary public relations or its professional education.

Advocating an integrated, cross-industry professional, non-occupational curriculum as opposed to the fragmented sequences typical in many journalism programs, 18 the authors note:

In an integrated, cross-industry professional, non-occupational curriculum, ... the students are preparing for more communication or information work that can be applied on the Depending on the program's mission, students take a few conceptual core courses and engage some integrated familiarity and emphasizes that learning experiential experimentation with, and understanding over (sic) technical competence of media message-making technology. But devote most of their communication and media academic work to intellectually challenging conceptual studies of mass media and communication that provide bridges to the behavioral and humanities. They explore and sciences. arts social consciousness-raising perspectives that (sic) in turn, enhance rather than narrow their independence and options in market....

... (S)tudents are encouraged and offered opportunities obtain familiarity with and understanding of media technology, either in the media workshop environment or other media centers or organizations on or off campus. Occupational training is neither mandatory nor the center of the curriculum. It does not absorb a great deal of faculty time and effort, and only a little of it, if any, is for academic credit; it is mostly co-Students seek this experience on their own extracurricular. By doing so. "rest and recreation" time. curricular. motivation-interests. initiative. and their demonstrate attributes that cannot be taught in required, lock-step courses but that media practitioners profess to prize so highly.



¹⁸Robert O. Blanchard and William G. Christ, Media Education and the Liberal Arts: A Blueprint for the New Professionalism (Hillsdale, N. J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1993), 70.

The New professionalism-the cross-media, liberal, integrative reform undergraduate with broader consistent program--is professional The danger to intellectual growth in efforts. programs ... is the "excessive structure and overprescription of technique, ephemeral fashionable currently in information, and obsolescent technology"....19

The authors preach against sequences, observing:

... (S)tudents are attracted to sequences because they are therethe catalogue. ... (S)tudents they exist in -because responding to parental, peer, and other short-term social and "What are economic pressures to answer the question: Sequences help give them an going to do after you graduate?" Students perceive, or want to believe, that they easy answer. are committed to careers in a specific field. This gives them a sense of security, something that the occupations would exploit, but that the academy should not.20

While the authors' point would be well-taken in several quarters, particularly so in journalism/mass communication programs, their theses--if misapplied and abused--could result in "lowest common denominator" thinking encouraging the antithesis of what Blanchard and Christ attempt to rectify, e. g., an undergraduate media program that "round-robins" students so they can become "jacks of all trades and masters of none," ideal for exploitation by small media with little hope of reaching "the big time"; unfocused--and thereby inadequate-education and less-than-expert instruction; and a program with no discernible standards that can be relied upon by potential employers.

Witness the general communication professor in my department who declared, "We are all public relations educators," to which I replied, "That's news to PRSA, the Public Relations Divisions of SCA and AEJMC and the PR Interest Group of ICA." Or, the same faculty faculty--already relations public that who suggested member stretched thin offering public relations professional courses that only competently--should take their teaching turns teach freshmen oral communication courses.



¹⁹Ibid., 70-71.

²⁰Ibid., 69.

book's of the abuse Despite such potential misapplication and indictment flawed in is volume's recommendations, the consideration of public relations education--as noted by a telling remark the authors make about business schools:

industry-specific eliminated ago Business schools long cross-industry with generic replacing them approaches. finance. and accounting, management, subjects such as marketing....²1

The book fails in its understanding of and recommendations for public relations education. The authors consider and interpret a primitive model of public relations; they include public relations as part of the media industry, of which it is not! In its technician role, there is some credence to such inclusion; in the management role, there is not! Rather, public relations could more appropriately be grouped among business schools' "generic cross-industry subjects" cited by the authors, i.e., accounting, management, finance and marketing.

Furthermore, public relations education--especially as exemplified in epitomizes the programs--already communication-based Curricula typically include instruction in all authors are advocating. types of media, i.e., electronic media and print; these curricula in applied coursework. not only multidisciplinary--including organizational and in interpersonal, but also iournalism. Graduating students typically obtain entrycommunication theory. level positions in public relations, but are highly competitive positions in print and electronic media, advertising, a range as graduate studies in management positions as well communication and in such professional areas as law.

What faculty are more multidisciplinary in their scholarship than are public relations educators, who typically are active in the Public Relations Society of America, Speech Communication Association, International Communication Association and Association for Education in Journalism and Mass Communication—to name only a few of a broad range of professional and scholarly associations to which many public relations educators belong?



² ¹lbid., 70.

However, the criticisms prompt some base considerations, i.e., would public relations, especially in its manager role, be better taught in Schools of Business Administration, and should public relations-again, in its manager role-be offered at the graduate level? The former is more in keeping with contemporary public relations education, while the latter would allow for the "New Professionalism" advocated by the authors. There should be considerable debate about the former, and the latter is already in keeping with much existing thought on public relations education. With no apologies whatsoever, public relations is a professional area in which students-at whatever level of their education-should be prepared to enter as they are co-opted into this professional fraternity by their fellows.

Tension Between Industry Demands and Educator Goals: Blanchard and Christ rightly observe that:

... (M)any in the fraternity of practitioners do not want communication and media education programs to engender the liberal ethos. They like programs that are limited. They want programs designed and named to imply to students that they must make an occupational commitment and investment by majoring in a limited field, such as newspaper or broadcast journalism, public relations, or advertising.²

Rather than supporting an occupational ethos, it is important that public relations educators--more in number and better qualified--do not blindly follow the dictates nor the whims of this practitioner fraternity. Instead, they must participate as full members of the professional community; in several critical areas, they must lead.

Resentment by Mass Communication/Communication Educators:
For many obvious reasons, public relations needs to continue to assess its place in the academy and as a professional area of practice. While it serves frequently as a "cash cow" for mass communication and communication programs enjoying its popularity and resulting enrollment figures, public relations continues to be a black sheep within communication education. Despite its legitimacy, it is still misunderstood and unaccepted, as evidenced in the Spring 1995 Journalism & Mass Communication Educator:



²²lbid.

Former editor Jacob H. Jaffe warns:

I close this review with an appeal. Increasingly, in advertising and public relations, I witness the play of manipulation. advancing rule everywhere, in everything is to induce or trick people into doing what the communicator wants them to do or to believe. By all sorts of deception, public relations gets much of its way into print and broadcast media. Many news stories are written out of a PR release, a PR happening, or a PR source (without any such attribution). It is easy and profitable that way for some news people, but the reader, listener, viewer, even the writer and editor can become brainwashed. advertising and public relations consuming so much ink and airtime, what do we do about it all? The volume and the of that flood are correct for persuasiveness SO journalism, objectives, that the threat to democracy, integrity could be an abomination.23

While this diatribe serves as an indictment of the news media as much as it attacks public relations, this observation illustrates the resentment public relations still suffers at the hands of journalism and mass communication scholars. Someday, we are all going to get mad as hell and aren't going to take it anymore!

SUMMARY

It is time to re-examine the 1987 Commission on Undergraduate Relations Undergraduate Public Relations' Design for Much has changed since that document was published, Education. yet much remains the same. Some specific challenges to the existing document include, but are not limited to, these: 1) multicultural and practice; relations public placed international demands on demands for accountability by college and university administrators, accrediting agencies, governmental bodies, the tax-paying population at large, as well as students and their parents; 3) a dynamic tension public relations the goals of and demands between industry discrimination of and continuing resentment educators: and 4) communication mass other relations by public Let the work begin! communication educators.



²³Jacob H. Jaffe, "Observations on American Media in the Twentieth Century," Journalism & Mass Communication Educator 50 (Spring 1995): 99.

END

U.S. Dept. of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)

ERIC

Date Filmed April 19, 1996



CSSOSO8 (
Would you like to put your paper in ERIC? Please send us a clean, dark copy!



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. [ocui	MENT	IDENT	IFICATION
------	------	------	-------	------------------

Title XEXXXXXX	(executived xent xinter xinthibitix xintxed xinthibitix xintxed xent xintxed xinthibitix xinthibitix xintxed xinthibitix xinth	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
	ed: Is It Time For a New Lo	
Author(s) Dean Kruckel	oerg	
Corporate Source		Publication Date XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
II. REPRO	DUCTION RELEASE:	
announce o microfi (EDRS) o the follow	ed in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC sysche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optic riother ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the soul ring notices is affixed to the document	ignificant materials of interest to the educational community, documents tem. Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users tal media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service ice of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of ment, please CHECK ONE of the following options and sign the release
_x (=	Sample sticker to be affixed to document	Sample sticker to be affixed to document
Check here Permitting microfiche (4"x 6" film), paper copy electronic and optical media	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Sample TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Sumple TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INCORMATION CENTER (ERICL)

Sign Here, Please

Level 1

reproduction

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1

INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)"

Level 2

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center indicated above Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or elect system contractors requires permission from the copyright holde service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in res	ronic/optical media by persons other than EHIC employees and its r Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other
Signature (1/12)	Position
Signature (6/2: 11.26. be of	Professor and Program Coordinator
Printed Name	Organization
Dean Kruckeberg	University of Northern Iowa
Address	Telephone Number
260 CAC, Department of Communication	n (319) 273-2501
Studies, University of Northern	Date
Iowa, Cedar Falls, Iowa 50614-035	Dec. 8, 1995



III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC , or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of this document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents which cannot be made available through EDRS).

Address:	
Price Per Copy	Quantity Price
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO CO	PYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
If the right to grant reproduction release is name and address	held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate
Name and address of current copyright/reproduction r	rights holder
Name	
Address	

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse

Publisher/Distributor

ERIC/REC 2805 E. Tenth Street Smith Research Center, 150 Indiana University Bloomington, IN 47408

If you are making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, you may return this form (and the document being contributed) to

-ERIG-Facility
1201 Piccard Drive, Suite 300
Rockville, Maryland 20050-4305
Telephone, (201) 259 5500



(Rev 9/91)