DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 385 848	CS 214 995
AUTHOR	Skerritt, Marie E.
TITLE	Early Secondary Students' Views on the Writing
	Journal's Ability To Be a Self Motivator in
	Writing. Jun 95
PUB DATE	
NOTE	15p.
PUB TYPE	Viewpoints (Opinion/Position Papers, Essays, etc.) (120) Reports - Research/Technical (143)
EDRS PRICE	MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS	*Dialog Journals; High Schools; *Journal Writing;
	Language Arts; Literature Appreciation; *Self
	Expression; *Student Attitudes; *Student Motivation;
	Student Needs; Student Surveys; *Writing Instruction;
	Writing Research
IDENTIFIERS	New York

ABSTRACT

Journal writing has been used for a long time in the classroom as a part of an integrated approach to teaching literature. There are several different types of journals: (1) reading response journals, in which students react to what they have read; (2) lifebooks, which are similar to diaries or personal journals; and (3) dialog journals, in which the student and teacher converse in writing. A study attempted to determine how students assess the effectiveness of journals. A total of 77 ninth and tenth graders from a rural New York high school completed a survey during a 40-minute period. Results showed that most students not only found journals to be worthwhile, but also believed they helped them in various other aspects of the English curriculum. One student wrote, "Writing Journals help me to express myself in ways I have never done before. I can get my feelings out on paper much better." In random interviews after the administration of the survey, students reported that journal writing was only worthwhile if it allowed for personal reflections from time to time not necessarily related to the assigned reading; and if they were certain the teacher was reading their entries. (One table of data is included, and an appendix contains the survey.) (TB)

****	**********	*******	*******	*******	****	****	****	******
*	Reproductions	supplied b	y EDRS are	the best	that	can	be ma	de *
*			e original					*
****	*********					****	****	******

Running Head: WRITING JOURNALS: A WRITING MOTIVATOR?

Early Secondary Students' Views on the Writing Journal's Ability

To be a Self Motivator in Writing

Marie E. Skerritt

Bowling Green State University

June 1995

MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

SKIN

•

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) " U & DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

a

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

Abstract

The viewpoints of early secondary education students (Grades 9 and 10) concerning the effectiveness of writing journals as self motivators in writing were investigated. The students' viewpoints were determined by the completion of a five question, written reponse survey. The results indicated that writing journals, as a whole, were considered to be worthwhile and did act as a motivator for students to write. The findings seem to fall into line with educational research which states that students need to become personally involved within the scope of the classroom in order to make learning more worthwhile.

Early Secondary Students' Views on the Writing Journal's Ability

To be a Self Motivator in Writing

by Marie E. Skerritt

One of a teacher's primary concerns is to find ways to inspire students to want to learn. A central belief is that genuine learning can only occur when the students have a positive and willing attitude about the material they are presented. When students do not have a real interest in what is going on in the classroom, the most the teacher can probably expect is rote memorization. Most teachers, it would seem, should have higher goals and aspirations for their students. Teachers want students to move beyond the mere recall of facts, and to begin to move into the world of higher order and creative thinking. Facts are important, of course, but it is what students can do with these facts that will determine their success in the real world.

Reading and writing, as everyone knows, is critical for students to succeed in as well. Yet, these subject areas are where most students become disillusioned. Many students fail to see the importance of reading and writing because most students do not see a connection: both between the two subject areas, and how the subjects relate to them on a personal level. Words that are typically used by students to describe reading and writing include: boring, difficult, and unrelated.

In order for this belief to be turned around, teachers need to start making more of a connection between reading, writing, and students' lives. As stated in the opening of this piece, attitude can make or break a student's desire to learn. The first step in creating this desire to learn is by personalizing instruction to the student's wants and needs. Once students

begin to see how *they* fit into the world of reading and writing, it is likely that they will take a more serious look at how the world of reading and writing fits into their lives.

While most teachers understand the need for students to have a personal interest in order to learn, many may not know exactly how to unlock that mysterious door as to how to achieve this. Many theories and suggestions have developed over the years as to how to "hook" the students into learning. Yet, with all the apparent tricks of the trade, success has tended to be minimal in boosting the students' desire to learn reading and writing.

Presently, teachers are starting to look back on some of the more standard practices in the classroom to see if these things can be upgraded to motivate students to learn. One such practice that has regained considerable attention is the writing journal. Writing journals have been used for a long time in the classroom as a part of an integrated approach to teaching literature. Simpson (1986) states the following, "An integrated approach. . . sharing literature through oral reading, written responses, and voluntary comments, might be an important ingredient in encouraging the development of a literate community in the classroom."

Connecting reading and writing in more meaningful ways... this is a goal that teachers of all grade levels want to attain within their classrooms. This goal was set even before the advent of integrated curricula and the whole language approach. There is little question concerning the effectiveness of combining reading and writing in order to improve not only comprehension, but overall communication, as well.

The implementation of student writing journals in the classroom seems to be one way in which teachers are trying to make that reading and writing connection. One question that should be asked is, "How do writing journals help students become more involved in what they are reading and writing?" One answer is that when students write about what they read or experience, they activate their metacognitive skills. "Once readers know when they do or do not understand text, they can use strategies to resolve comprehension" (Stewart and Tei, 1989). This active form of learning allows students to become directly involved with the material they are presented; and active--or hands on--learning is far more potent than learning from simply reading a text silently.

Journals can be used within a classroom in a variety of ways. The following are only a few suggestions that have been implemented and researched in various classroom settings:

Reading Response Journals: This is a generic name for the type of journals in which students write down *reactions* to what they have read. The word *reaction* is emphasized because it is important that students write their feelings and opinions about a given piece, not merely a summary of what happened in the piece (Browning, 1986). By moving beyond the summary-writing stage, students begin to engage themselves more into the interpretive and critical levels of learning, thinking, and comprehension. This is where full interaction with the text begins to take place. In these reaction-type journals, students

may directly respond to what they are reading. One teacher used these journals in her remedial classroom in order to boost interest in reading:

> The more book talks I did, the more books ended up in the students' hands... Their journals suggested that they were thinking ahead and looking forward to other books... they identified elements of the writing that they liked or found helpful and writing styles that they disliked or that got in the way of their reading (Dionisio, 1989).

In this case, the teacher combined reading, writing, and oral expression to stimulate student interest. And, as an end result, the students' journals began to reflect this newfound sense of responsibility and desire to learn. The journal proved to be a very effective outlet for the students' sudden burst of literary criticism.

Lifebooks: This is a relative new term for what used to be called Daily or Personal Journals. While lifebooks may resemble diaries or personal journals in the fact that the student can write whatever they want in them, whenever they want to, there are a few subtle differences. First, lifebooks are meant to be carried around with the students in order for them to catch those personal "a-ha" moments--

*

whether it be something they see, something they hear, or something they feel. In all actuality, this book is not meant to be evaluated, only to be shared by the student and his/her discretion. This can be a good way to get the students to write, because it is based solely on what interests the student. There are not any guidelines to this book, no set structures. The format is entirely up to the student, and that is what makes it so wonderful! (French, 1994)

Dialogue Journals: Many researchers have said that they find it strange that we don't teach students how to read and write in the same way we teach them how to talk. In other words, when children learn how to talk, it is in their natural environment, and therefore, more than likely, talking comes easier to a child. In contrast, though, students learn how to read in a very structured and possibly disjointed environment. These researchers believe that language "cannot be taught in the traditional sense; it must be learned through extensive exposure to and practical experience with the use of language in actual, natural context and situations" (Falk, 1979). This is where the dialogue journal comes into the picture. Stated simply, the dialogue journal is a book in which the student and teacher converse in writing. Every day, the students can write in their dialogue journals about anything they want to write about. They turn in their journals to the teacher, who then responds to what is written. The following is a brief excerpt from a dialogue journal entry and the teacher's response:

Student: I like to play silent speed ball.

Teacher: What is silent speed ball? Your puppets are so cute, especially the one in the blue suit.

What is so unique about these journals is that the student gets a direct response to what they have written. Additionally, their writing is never edited, yet the teacher DOES model correct spelling, punctuation, and mechanics. This type of journal encourages both reading and writing through the anticipation felt by both parties to read and respond to what is in the journal (Gambrell, 1985).

Of course, journal writing is not always so well-received. While journal writing may sound like a "cure-all" for the monotony of essay assignment, many teachers will say that journal writing, in order to be done effectively takes up a great deal of time--time which they do not really have, especially in a secondary setting. One teacher told the researcher, "I try to put a variation on the way I do journals; I know the kids get bored with it. But, I simply don't have time to sit down and have a personal react to every journal--so, usually by the middle of the school year, the notebooks just sit in lockers or in desks, and I end up frustrated and feeling like I've failed."

Based upon published research, students need to feel as though they have a sense of "ownership", at least as far as writing assignments go. Teachers, by simply giving rote-

memorization essays as a form of assessment or discussion seem to be underestimating their students' potential. But, how do students in today's classrooms feel about this? Do they see journals as a worthwhile and meaningful addition to their English classroom, or merely another waste of time?

Through this study, it was my intention to identify students' views on the implementation of writing journals within the classroom. Participants in the study were asked to complete a brief survey (constructed by myself--see APPENDIX 1) and return it to me at the end of the class session. If it is assumed that the educational research that is published concerning writing journals is correct, then the students surveyed should feel that writing journals provide them with a sense of ownership concerning their responses, and therefore, be a writing motivator.

Method

Participants

A total of 77 ninth and tenth graders from a rural, New York high school participated in the study (55 ninth grade students covering all performance levels: remedial to honors; and 22 tenth graders, consisting of only the honors section). The identity of the students was kept confidential, with the exception of the student's grade

level. Division between the various performance levels was also not done; all ninth graders were calculated together and all tenth graders were calculated together.

Materials

A brief survey to be completed by the ninth and tenth grade English classes of a small, rural, New York State public high school that has used journals in the classroom for at least 5 years (See APPENDIX 1).

Procedure

The students were given the survey at the onset of their English class period. Students were informed that the responses were confidential and the students were expected to complete the survey in complete sentences. Students had the entire class period--40 minutes (if needed) to complete the survey. As soon as the surveys were completed, students placed their survey into the appropriate manila envelope, marked either GRADE 9 or GRADE 10.

Results

The results to the survey were as follows:

Grade Level	Motivating?	*% Total	Not Motivating?	*% Total	Not Sure	* % Total
9th	36	65.45	15	27.28	4	7.27
10th**	16	72.73	3	13.64	3	13.63

* Indicates that % was calculated from Total Responses from Grade	**Indicates that Responses were limited to Honors Section Only	Total Responses from 9th Grade	55	Total Response from 10th Grade	22
Level Only		GRAND TOTAL			77

Discussion

The results of this survey seem to corroborate the claims of the researchers concerning journals having the potential to affect the reading and writing attitudes of students. The overwhelming majority of students surveyed said that they found journals not only to be worthwhile in a classroom environment, but that journals also helped them in various other aspects of their English class curriculum. The following are some brief excerpts pulled randomly from the sample:

"Writing Journals help me to express myself in ways I have never done before. I can get my feelings out on paper much better." (Grade 9 student)

"Journalism is good because I can share my personal feelings about the books I read in class--it's better than just telling what happened in the story." (Grade 10 student)

"Journals help me to organize my thoughts and my words. I can read something, and then I can sit down and write about what I just read." (Grade 9 student)

As a sidebar, I found it interesting to note that in a random oral interview conducted after the survey was completed, students who felt that the writing journals were motivating and worthwhile only thought so if 1. The responses did not deal solely with the read material in class--in other words, if the journals were simply literature response journals, that they were not as worthwhile as a intermix with a personal journal entry from time to time; and, 2. The responses were looked at by the instructor. As one student responded, "If the teacher doesn't care what I write, then why should I?"

In this article, a number of suggestions have been offered in order to help teachers assist in motivating their students to read and write. According to both published researchers and the students surveyed for this piece, journals can be a very powerful tool in assisting teachers with this difficult task. The reason why student journals are so powerful is because of their flexibility. Journals can be used for general or specific tasks, and they can be personal or assignmentoriented. Yet, no matter how the journals are implemented, it is important to keep in mind that in order to obtain the desired motivation, the journals should always be student-centered. The realworld connection for today's students is essential in order to pique their interests. In a world filled with state-of-the-art multi-media, reading and writing tends to be uninteresting for our students. Educators, must emphasize the tremendous importance of reading and writing to them by setting an example. If teachers can show how reading and writing not only are linked to a successful future, but also that there are some interesting ways in which we can approach these subjects, then perhaps we can grab the attention of these students. Only then can teachers do the job that they are supposed to do: give students the keys to unlock their own doors to success.

APPENDIX 1

Survey: Journals

.

PLEASE GIVE A SERIOUS OPINION

You do not have to sign your name!

1. How did journal writing help you?

2. What is one good feature of journal writing?

3. In your opinion, which is better: writing an essay about a given topic or analyzing your day/week? Why?

4. Has journal writing motivated you to write more than a typical essay? Why or why not?

5. Give any recommendations you have for using journals in class.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR RESPONSES!!!!

References

- Browning, N. (1986). Journal Writing: One assignment does more than improve reading, writing, and thinking. *Journal of Reading*, October. pp 39-44
- Dionisio, M. (1989). Filling Empty Pockets: Remedial Readers Make Meaning. English Journal. January. pp 33-37.
- Falk. J. (1979). Language Acquisition and the Teaching and Learning of Writing. College English, vol. 41. pp 438-47.

French, M. (1994) Advanced Language Arts Class. Bowling Green State University.


- Gambrell, L. B. (1985). Dialogue Journals: Reading-Writing Interaction. The Reading Teacher, February. pp 512-515.
- Simpson, M.K. (1986). A teacher's Gift: Oral reading an 1 the reading response journal. *Journal of Reading*, October. pp 45-51.
- Stewart, O. and Tei, E. (1983). Some implications of Metacognition for Reading Instruction. *Journal of Reading*, vol 27, October. pp 36-43.

END U.S. Dept. of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)

ERIC Date Filmed January 17, 1996

CS214995




I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Tille Writing Journals					
Autorist:	Marie	E.	SKERKITT		
Corporate Source:		Publication Date June 1995			

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronicroprical media, and solid through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following options and sign the release below.



Sign Here, Please

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granied, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronicloptical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: Hanne Shinner H	Position: College gard. student			
Proved Name: Macie E. Skeraitt	Organization:			
Rd. Center, BUS4	Telephone Number: (41) 661-5184			
BowlingGeon, OH 43402	Dave 30 June 1995			

OVER

Introduction

This brochule describes how to submit documents to the Educational Resources Information Center (EPIC) and is in lended to solicit such submissions from individuals and or sanutations.

Provided, in sequence, are it's a brief describion of the ERIC system. (2) a list of the many advantages of having a document accepted by ERIC (3) information on the kinds of documents ERIC is seeking, (4) an outline of the selection criteria that are applied to incoming documents and (5) where to send the documents so that they will receive proper con-Sideration

The ERIC system and its abstract journal Resources in Educalion (RIE) are dependent on the flow of education related documents from authors and institutions to its Clearinghouses and other tacilities. Only through the cooperation of occurrent generators and producers can ERIC achieve its goal of com-Drenensive bibliographic coverage of the literature of educa-tion in the United States.

ERIC: a Brief Description

The Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) is a decentralized nationwide network sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education, and designed to collect educational documents and to make them available to teachers' adminis-Irators, researchers, students, and other interested persons ERIC publishes a monthly abstract journal Resources in Educalion (RIE), which announces all cocuments that are ac Quired by ERIC and that pass its selection criteria ERIC allemots comprehensive coverage of recently compreted significant documents dealing with education

Documents announced in RIE (except for some copyrighted materialsi can be purchased in microlicne or reproduced paper copy from the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) EDRS sends complete sets of ERIC documents on microliche to over 800 standing order customers in this coun-Iny and abroad. All documents announced in AIE must be available to the public, wither through EDRS or inrough a creatly specifiable alternative source

In addition to the manual access provided by RiE. the ERIC dalabase is also accessible at many locations via computer searches of ERIC magnetic tapes. The major venoors of online and compact disk retrieval services all provice access to the ERIC database and help to make it one of the most popular and lowest cost databases offered

ERIC accomplishes its objectives primarily through its six een subject-oriented Clearinghouses (located at non-profit institutions) and four commercial organizations. The address-es of these ERIC components appear at the end of this brochure

Z

Advantages of Having a Document in ERIC Contributors of documents to ERIC can benefit in the tor IOMING WAVE

M-Documents accepted by ERIC are an nounced to some 2.000 organizations receiving the abstract IOUTRAL Resources in Education (RIE)

Publicity-Organizations that wish to sell their own documents in original copies can have this availability (logether with address and price information) announced to users in FIE. thus serving to publicize their product. After the document is sold out at the original source, it is then still available inrough EORS. If permission to reproduce has been granied to ERIC (Original copy prices, while the supply lasts, are generally less, and are therefore more attractive to users, than EDRS on-demand ouplication prices)

· Dissemination-Documents accepted by ERIC are reproduced on microliche and distributed (at no cost to the contributor) to the more than 600 current subscriber: 10 the ERIC microliche collection

Retrievability-The bibliographic descriptions developed by ERIC are retrievable by the thousands of subscribers to The online database retrieval services (offered by commer-cial vendors such as BRS, DIALOG, and ORBIT). In addition to the above outlets, there are thousands of locations offering computer searches of ERIC based on either com-pact disk ICD-ROMI systems toffered by DIALOG. OCLC and SuverPlatter) or other local systems

Always "In Print"-The presence of a master microtiche at EDRS, from which copies can be made on an on-demand basis, means that ERIC documents are constantly availa-Die and never go "out of print " Persons requesting copies from an original source can always be releried to ERIC. This archival function may either releve the source of a cistri-bution burden or may solve the availability problem when the source's supply has been exhausted.

In addition to the above very concrete advantages, sub-mission of a document to ERIC reduces the overall likelihood of overlap, redundancy, and duplication of effort. It increases the probability that the results reported in the document will be available to and used in subsequent efforts

To encourage submission, ERIC offers to send contributors notice of document disposition, giving the ED identification number (for ordering purposes) of those documents selecled for RIE

There are no less to pay in submitting documents to EPIC, nor does ERIC pay any royalties for material it accepts. Other than a statement concerning permission to reproduce, there are no forms to complete

Additionally, ERIC will send a complimentary microfiche to each controutor when the document is announced in AIE

Selection Criteris Employed by ERIC

All documents received are evaluated by subject experts against the following winds of quality of terms, contribution to knowledge, significance, relevance, newness innovativeness. effectiveness of presentation inprovonness of reporting re lation to current priorities timeliness authority of source in lended audience comprehensiveness

LEGIBILITY AND REPRODUCIBILITY

Documents may be type-set, typewritten serosed or other ise duplicated. They must be regible and easily readable Letters should be clearly formed and with sufficient contrast to the paper background to permit filming. Colored inks and colored papers can create serious reproduction problems Standard 8%"s 11" size pages are preterred

Two copies are desired if possible one for processing into the system and eventual filming, one for retention and possble use by the appropriate Clearinghouse while processing going on However, single copies are accentable 15

REPRODUCTION RELEASE (See Tear-Off Panel -)

For each document submitted, ERIC is required to obtain formal signed Reproduction Release form indicating ether or not ERIC may reproduce the document. A copy ol the Release Form appears as a separable panel of this brochure. Additional Release Forms may be copied as needed or obtained from the ERIC Facility or any ERIC Clearinghouse liems for which releases are not granted, or other non-reproducible items, will be considered for announcement only if they are noteworthy education occurrents available from a clearly specifiable source and only if this information accompanies the document in some form

liems that are accepted, and for which permission to reproduce has been granted, will be made available in microliche only or microliche and reproduced caper copy, by the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS)

Where to Send Documents

Documents usually enter the ERIC system through one of IWO wavs

They may be sent to the Clearinghouse most closely related to their subject matter. A list of the Cleaninghouses and their addresses appears at the end of this prochure. Material is expedited if it is directed to the attention of "Acquisitions If it is uncertain which Clearinghouse is appropriate materi-

als may be sent to the following address

- ERIC Processing and Reference Facility Acquisitions Department
- 2440 Research Boulevard. Suite 550 Rockville, Maryland 20850-3238

The ERIC Facility will forward all submissions to the ap-propriate ERIC Clearinghouse for consideration and, if selecled. processing

print components of a work as sociated with a printed text. If form, however, ERIC will refer the user to accompanying nonfilmstrips, videotapes, tapes, õ them can be cited Ine ERIC database. , records, casselles, ō long there is 5 be lact as a an acceptable printed source of elc.) not as availabili

unpublished entered into hrough A document does not have conventional 9 "lugitive" library channels material formally published to DOL ERIC seeks out usually available ihe 00

What Kinds 9 Documents õ Send m RIC

2 ely of documentation in order to satisfy its users. well as students and parents) that it must collect a wide varispecialists, counselors, and every other type of educator, as ministrators, supervisors, The ERIC audience is so broad (encompassing leachers, ad lually any document dealing with education or its aspects kinds of materials collected are the ERIC would like to be given the opportunity to examine vir librarians, researchers, following Examples media

- **Research Reports/Technical Reports**
- Program/Project Descriptions
- **Opinion Papers, Essays, Position Papers**
- Monographs, Treatises
- **Speeches and Presentations**
- **Evaluation Studies**
- **Feasibility Studies**
- State of the Art Studies
- Instructional Materials
- Syllabi
- **Teaching Guides**
- **Resource** Guides
- Manuals
- Handbooks
- **Curriculum Materials**
- **Conference** Papers

.

- **Bibliographies, Annotated Bibliographies**
- Legislation and Regulations

- Tests,

. . .

- Questionnaires, Measurement Devices
- Vocabularies, Dictionaries, Glossaries, Thesauri
- Statistical Compilations
- **Taxonomies and Classifications**
- Dissertations

ERIC does not accept non-print materials (such

as

lilms.

. QUALITY OF CONTENT