#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 385 741 CE 069 656

**AUTHOR** 

Loveday, Christine Hawk

TITLE Job-Entry Typewriting Speeds of Three Different

Levels of Secretaries at a Large Public

University.

PUB DATE

Nov 94

NOTE 30p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

Mid-South Educational Research Association

(Nashville, TN, November 9-11, 1994).

Reports - Research/Technical (143) PUB TYPE

EDRS PRICE

MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS

Comparative Analysis; \*Employment Level; \*Employment

Qualifications; \*Entry Workers; Higher Education; \*Public Colleges; \*Secretaries; \*Typewriting

IDENTIFIERS

\*Typing Speed

#### **ABSTRACT**

A study examined the job-entry typewriting speeds of all 185 secretaries employed at East Tennessee State University, which was selected as an institution representative of large public universities with a college of medicine. The secretaries' scores on the timed typing test that they took at the time of their application for their present positions (in one of three secretarial levels) served as the study data set. The mean of all 185 secretaries' typing test scores was calculated as 61 with a standard deviation of 11.87 and a range of 28 to 99 words per minute (wpm). The typing test scores of the secretaries employed at the college of medicine were compared to those of the secretaries employed throughout the other parts of the university. When the typing test scores of the three levels of secretaries were examined together, no statistically significant difference between the typing scores of the secretaries employed at the college of medicine and those employed elsewhere in the university was found. The mean typing rate of the level 2 secretaries employed at the college of medicine (56 wpm) was lower than that of the level 2 secretaries employed elsewhere in the university (61 wpm), however. Seven figures provide descriptive statistics. (Contains 12 references.) (MN)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document. 

Job-Entry Typewriting Speeds of Three Different
Levels of Secretaries at a Large Public University

Christine Hawk Loveday

East Tennessee State University

Department of Educational Leadership

and Policy Analysis

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- this document has been reproduced as feceived from the person or organization originating it
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) "

Running head: JOB-ENTRY TYPEWRITING SPEEDS

**BEST COPY AVAILABLE** 

2

#### Abstract

The purpose of this study was to determine job-entry typewriting speeds of secretaries employed at different secretarial levels in a large, public university. Typewriting speeds of all (185) secretaries at three levels at a large, public university with a college of medicine were identified using their timed writing scores upon application to the university. The mean of all typewriting scores was 61 with a standard deviation of 11.87 and a range from 28 to 99 words per minute (wpm). There was no statistically significant difference found in the typewriting scores of the three levels of secretaries. There was also no statistically significant difference found between the typewriting scores of the university secretaries and the college of medicine secretaries. The most interesting result was found in the mean (61) of the typewriting scores of the university secretaries at level 2 and the mean (56) of the typewriting scores of the college of medicine secretaries at level 2.



Job-Entry Typewriting Speeds of Three Different Levels of Secretaries at a Large Public University

There is no doubt that technology is changing our work force and work place. The 1960s were known as the decade of data processing, the 1970s dawned word processing and the 1980s gave us information processing. The 1990s will be the era of voice processing as voice mail and related technologies have come into their own in this decade.

As this workplace revolution accelerates in the 90s, the role of the secretary is metamorphosing as well. Professional secretaries are shedding their stenopads for "laptops" and replacing interoffice memos with electronic mail. Secretaries are using shorthand less while keyboarding is becoming a vastly important and highly valued office skill. Keyboarding is defined "as the act of placing information into the computer through the use of a typewriter-like keyboard, involving the placement of fingers on designated keys on the middle 'home' row of the keyboard and moving fingers as needed to depress other keys. This method is in contrast to hunt-and-peck typing" (Wentling,



Δ

1988, p. 30). Secretaries are also using a multitude of software programs to perform word processing, spreadsheet manipulation, desktop publishing and electronic data base management functions and activities.

with this migration to microcomputers and their appearance on virtually every office worker's desk, keyboarding skills have emerged as a highly valued office skill. Even with the technological advances that are being made in such areas as optical-character recognition, voice recognition, and pen-based computing, the fingertips still remain the primary means of data entry in the foreseeable future (Ober, 1993).

In surveys of newspaper help-wanted ads from 1985 to 1987 requests for secretaries specifying use of computers or word processors steadily increased (Fusselman, 1987). The top two most-requested skills from surveys in both 1991 and 1992 were computer skills and word processing skills (Leland, 1992).

Keyboarding skills have become a primary means of basic communication. To utilize keyboarding skills



5

efficiently the office professional should acquire a keyboarding skill that incorporates both speed and, accuracy. "For employment purposes, most testing situations involve speed and accuracy at the keyboard. If job applicants cannot pass this timed writing, they will never even get an opportunity to demonstrate their higher-level word processing skills" (Ober, 1993, p. 37).

The most requested typing speeds have averaged from 60 to 66 wpm (words per minute) consistently over the past ten years (Fusselman, 1988; Leland, 1992). Therefore a study designed to identify the actual typing speeds of entry level office professionals would provide a basis to update and/or develop curricula for teaching keyboarding office systems programs. Also, the study would provide data for comparing actual job entry typing speeds of secretaries to those requested by employers.

### Literature Review

By 1995, 95% of what we know will be stored in computers and the way to learn and communicate that knowledge will be by operating a computer. Tasks that



were formerly manual operations have now become automated through the use of various kinds of equipment that use a keyboard for data entry manipulation (Work, 1988). It is also expected that by the turn of the century that 90% of the workforce will occupy positions in offices.

We have without a doubt entered the Information Age as futurists have predicted and a new office professional has emerged with new job responsibilities as well. Today's professional secretary is not only expected to be proficient in traditional areas—managing records, handling mail, answering telephones, making appointments, scheduling meetings, keyboarding, and producing documents—bat he or she will also be expected to master word processing and spreadsheet software, supervise decision making activities, manage part of the company budget, and demonstrate professional behaviors.

Some skills such as shorthand are on the decrease while the demand for computer skills in secretaries is higher than ever. In a 1992 survey of newspaper want ads from 17 major cities throughout the United States



and Canada conducted by The Dartnell Corporation's

Institute of Business Research and From Nine To Five, a

Dartnell publication, ad requests for specific

hardware/software knowledge jumped to 40.2 percent from

29.2 percent in the preceding year (Leland, 1992).

Sophisticated computer software is changing the way people work in automated offices. With the proliferation of computer systems keyboarding is becoming an increasingly important skill for office workers at all levels. <u>USA Today</u> (Work, 1988) reported that the ability to use computers to perform everyday tasks will be the most important job skill for the 1990s, according to more than 100 personnel managers surveyed by a Chicago outplacement firm.

Business professionals as well as office professionals are finding that they must develop basic keyboarding skills so they can use computers efficiently. "If spreadsheets and databases have already improved the decision-making and budget/forecasting processes for business professionals who hunt-and-peck at the keyboard, touch-keyboarding



8

skills will further speed up the operation and enhance the results" (Wentling, 1992, p. 30).

Higgins study (as cited in Wentling, 1992) indicated that touch typists have a significantly higher production rate than hunt-and-peck typists. There is obvious speed improvement associated with touch-keyboarding over hunt-and-peck typing.

Ten fingers will get data on the monitor faster than two fingers will. The error reduction associated with touch-keyboarding results for the fact that people see what they are inputting. Errors are noticed as they happen and can be corrected immediately. With hunt-and-peck, the errors will not be seen immediately, because the person is watching the keys rather than the screen most of the time and will have to look for the errors later--when they will be more difficult to find (Wentling, 1992, p. 30).

To be ready for the 21st century secretaries will need to reshape their perceptions of their positions in the workforce and seek training that will allow them to meet the challenges ahead. Research indicates that



9

today's workers expect their career paths to include upward movement. It also indicates that workers can look forward to increasing salaries and job responsibilities. As positions become more responsible the secretarial image will improve, but due to the developing low skill/low pay and high skill/high pay dichotomy individuals will have to choose a specific tareer.

The 1989 Professional Secretaries International (PSI) Office Occupations Model Curriculum for Secondary Business Education (Fenner, 1989) outlines recommended courses and their sequence for office administration, secretarial/clerical, accounting, business management, and college bound. The core sequence outlines the required courses for students choosing business as a study area or wanting to be computer literate. They are also considered prerequisites to all subsequent office administration courses. It is important to point out that the beginning course for all the course sequences and the core sequence alike is the keyboarding course.



Keyboarding is still the heart of all business programs and is emerging as a general basic skill for all students. Formal keyboarding is even now being included in the elementary curriculum, because students in early grades are utilizing computers. The recommended guideline being adopted by several states is: "Keyboarding should be taught before the skills are applied" (Jackson, 1991, p. 20).

A survey (Jackson, 1991) of Minnesota elementary schools found the most frequent level for beginning instruction was grade five. A Model for Restructuring Vocational Education (Poole & Zahn, 1989) places the keyboarding and computer applications course in the sixth grade with continuing courses in the middle grades. With introductory courses being taught in the early and middle grades, this allows students the opportunity to take more advanced business courses in high school and educators to better prepare them for the workplace.

Post secondary office administration programs are being revised and updated as well. The Office Administration and Business Education Department at



Northern Michigan University found the need for greatest change in their office administration program (Godell, 1992). Again the keyboarding course is the beginning course in the sequence of courses.

It is important to note that skill building should be emphasized in all introductory and advanced keyboarding courses. Straight-copy keyboarding skill is even more important today because of computers and the techniques of word processing. For example, when typing a report with footnotes a typist used to have to stop and insert pencil notations. Now with the use of word processing software the decision-making process is more automated. Typists can spend more of their time keystroking.

In a business survey (Dickman, 1989) measuring the need for entry-level office skills keyboarding/typing accuracy was rated by all business types as the most important of all the computer skills and general office skills.

From 1982 to 1989 annual surveys concerning secretarial positions were conducted by <u>The Secretary</u> and sponsored by Professional Secretaries International



Research and Education Foundation using newspaper ads from cities across the U.S. and Canada. The largest percentage of ads consistently gave a requirement speed between the 60-69 wpm (words per mir te) range.

Closely following was the second largest percentage requirement speed ranging between 50 and 59 wpm. By 1989 most ads requested typing speeds in the 60 to 80 wpm categories and a few sought those who could type up to 100 wpm. Word processing ads required the highest typing speed at 70 wpm or more. Executive secretary ads and administrative secretary ads closely followed.

The percentage of ads requiring shorthand, fast notetaking or secretarial skills (which implies shorthand) continued to steadily decline each year. The percentage of ads mentioning these skills were 33.0% in 1985, 26.1% in 1986, 22.6% in 1987, 20,3% in 1988, and 13.8% in 1989. Notably also in 1989 one—third of the executive secretary titles still requested shorthand, down sharply from 1988's 51.5 percent. On the other hand, the percentage of ads requesting word processing or computer skills increased steadily from



1985 to 1989: 22.9% in 1984, 30.3% in 1985, 38.9% in 1986, 41.8% in 1987, 44.0% in 1988, 62.3% in 1989.

There is also an increasing trend for employers to seek secretaries with previous computer experience and training. They are less willing to train and cross train. This indicates that most employers are demanding that secretaries have some hands-on knowledge of computers before they are hired. Computer skills are fast becoming a key factor in secretarial hiring decisions.

In the surveys (Leland, 1992) conducted by The Dartnell Corporation's Institute of Business Research and From Nine to Five typing speeds averaged 61 wpm (words per minute) and the top two requested skills were: computer, 66.8 percent and word processing, 52.9 percent. The legal field led in the source of secretarial positions; followed by the health/medical field, financial industry, manufacturing/utility, nonprofit organizations, service, real estate, engineering/architecture/construction, sales/marketing and lastly personnel/human resources.



mentioned in 40.2 percent of the ads. The preferred word processing software was WordPerfect<sup>®</sup> at 81.4 percent followed by Microsoft<sup>®</sup> Word<sup>™</sup> at 8.6 percent.

Lotus<sup>®</sup> 1-2-3<sup>®</sup> was the preferred spreadsheet software at 95% followed by Excel at 3.7%. Desktop publishing and database expertise remained at a low priority but those secretaries who acquire these skills will have the competitive advantage in the future.

With new software programs flooding the market and continual upgrades on existing programs secretarial professionals must continue to refine and update their technical skills. Expanding their repertoire of software programs and computer skills will keep them poised for the future and give them that competitive edge as they enter the twenty-first century.

# Problem Statement/Hypotheses

The purpose of this study was to determine jobentry typewriting speeds of persons employed at
different secretarial levels in a large, public
university. The typing speeds of different "levels" of
secretaries were compared. Also, comparisons were made



15

between the typing speeds of university secretaries and college of medicine secretaries. The literature suggests that a minimum typing speed of 50 words per minute (wpm) or higher is required and a typing speed of 60 to 70 wpm is preferred by most employers. No research was found on studies of actual job-entry typing speeds of secretaries hired. Thus, this study helped fill this research void.

The following hypotheses were formulated to test the data collected:

Null Hypothesis I: There is no difference between the typing scores of the three levels of secretaries at a large, public university.

Null Hypothesis II: There is no difference between the typing scores of the university secretaries and the college of medicine secretaries at a large, public university with a college of medicine.

### Delimitations

It should be noted that before 1980 applicants may or may not have used the same timed writing tests and



16

the same equipment (an IBM Selectric typewriter) as have been utilized after 1980.

#### Method

### Subjects

East Tennessee State University was used as representative of a large, public university with a college of medicine. The subjects for this study were the three levels of secretaries at East Tennessee State University: secretary 1, secretary 2, and secretary 3. Each level was subdivided into university secretaries and college of medicine secretaries.

#### Materials

Timed writing tests administered by the Department of Human Resources at East Tennessee State University (ETSU) were used to determine each secretary's jobentry typing speed. Applicants take two 3 minute timed writing tests and the better of the two scores is used as the recorded typing speed which is documented on the front of their ETSU application.

### <u>Variables</u>

Three variables were used in this study. One was the dependent variable, typing speeds. The remaining



17

two variables were the independent variables, secretarial level and college division.

# Design and Procedure

The design of this study was causal-comparative.

The university's computerized report, "Staff By Job

Title - 1993" was used to determine all employees who

were in the position classified as secretary. The same

report was also utilized to determine the level of the

secretary: secretary 1, secretary 2, or secretary 3;

and the division of the college: university secretary

or college of medicine secretary. Typing speeds were

then gathered on each secretary.

### Results

There were 185 secretaries identified of which 184 were female and 1 was male. Of the 141 university secretaries, 19 were at level 1, 102 were at level 2 and 20 were at level 3. Of the 44 college of medicine secretaries 1 was at level 1, 25 were at level 2 and 18 were at level 3.

Typing speeds of the total group ranged from 28 to 99 wpm with the mean being 61 and a standard deviation



of 11.87. (To view typing speed dispersements see the histograms in Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6.)

A two-way ANOVA was used to analyze the variance and test the null hypotheses. The test failed to reject both null hypotheses. There is no statistically significant difference between the typing speeds of the three levels of secretaries. (F= 1.54, df= 2, p=.2166) There is also no statistically significant difference between typing speeds of the university secretaries and the college of medicine secretaries. (F=.02, df=1, p=.8905) (See Figure 7).

### Discussion

Although there was no statistically significant difference reported on either null hypothesis, the research still produced other noteworthy statistics. The results of the research showed that secretaries were hired in at an average typing speed of 62 wpm, which is in line with the typing speed business educators expect students to reach and employers prefer to hire secretaries at.



19

Further research could be conducted on the same data to determine if variables such as number of typing errors, years of education, degree, gender or race had significance.



#### References

- Dickmann, E. (1989). Business surveys measure need for entry-level office skills. The Balance Sheet, 70, 33-35.
- Fenner, S. (1989). Challenges of a changing workplace.

  The Secretary, 49, 33-35.
- Fusselman, K. (1988). Job market for secretaries exceptionally strong. The Secretary, 48, 14-16.
- Fusselman, K. (1987). 1987 help wanted ad survey. The Secretary, 47, 5-9.
- Godell, J., Johnson, C., & Orr, C. (1992). Office systems curriculum development: impetus, process, results. <u>Business Education Forum</u>, <u>47</u>, 33-36.
- Jackson, T. H. (1991, Winter). Building keyboarding skills at the elementary level. The Balance

  Sheet, 73, 19-20, 22.
- Leland, D. C. (1992). The classifieds de-classified:
  what do employers really want? The Balance Sheet,

  74, 19-22.
- Ober, S. (1993). A philosophy for teaching keyboarding. Business Education Forum, 47, 36-38.



21

- Poole, V. A. & Zahn, D. K. (1989). Restructuring secondary vocational education: a proposal.

  <u>Vocational Educational Journal</u>. 64, 39-40, 49.
- Wentling, R. M. (1992). Business professional and keyboarding skills. Business Education Forum, 46, 30-32.
- Wentling, R. M. (1988). An analysis of the types of computers, software, applications, and training utilized by business. Office Systems Research

  Journal, 7, 33-44.

Work in the future. (1988). USA Today, 8.



Date/Time 07-13-1994 20:51:43

Data Base Name A:typscore

Description Data base created at 18:29:03 on 07-07-1994

## Detail Report

Variable: TYPING SPE	EDS (ALL SECRETAR	RIES)	
Mean - Average	61.04268	No. observations	
Lower 95% c.i.limit	59.21224	No. missing values	21
Upper 95% c.i.limit	62.87312	Sum of frequencies	164
Adj sum of squares	22970.7	Sum of observations	10011
Standard deviation	11 87116	Std.error of mean	.9269822
Variance	140 9245	T-value for mean=0	65.85098
variance Coef. of variation	1044732		0.0000
Coef. of Variation	2200174	Kurtosis	.6778817
Skewness	1 040601	Reject if > 1.033(10%)	1,052(5%)
Normality Test Value	1.049691	Reject if > 0.063(10%)	0.070(5%)
K.S. Normality Test	0.04808	Reject II > 0.000 (200)	,
(	1 27 D~ 0 2022	b2 3.62 Kurt-Z 1.6	2 Pr 0.1061
√b1 0.24 Skew-Z	1.2/ PL U.2020	ty Test 4.2	pr 0.1204
D'Agostino-Pearson Om	nibus K. Mormari	90-%tile	75
100-%tile (Maximum)	99	10-%tile	48
75-%tile	68		
50-%tile (Median)	61	Range 75th-25th %tile	15
25-%tile	53	/5011-25011 %CLIE	Λ
0-%tile (Minimum)	28	C.L. Median (95%) 56, 6	99
28	Line Plo	C.L. Median(95%) 58, 6 t / Box Plot	1 1 1 1
1 1 1 11 1131 11	.313A437 6544A353	WI 86/322T232 225 I IS2	1 1 1 1
	[XXXXXXXXm	XXXXXXX]	

### Distribution & Histogram

Vari	ahle.	ጥሃ₽ፐክር	S SPEEDS	(ALL S	ECF	RETARI	ES)		
	Lower		Upper	Coun	t F	Pront	Total	Prcnt	Histogram
1	28		32.17647		2	1.2	2	1.2	: *
2	32.176		36.35294		1_	0.6	3	1.8	: *
3	36.352		40.52941		3	1.8	6	3.7	: * *
•	40.529		44.70588		5	3.0	11	6.7	: * * *
4 5	44.705		48.88236		6	3.7	17	10.4	: * * *
_	48.882		53.05882	2		16.5	44	26.8	
6	53.058	-	57.23529		9	11.6	63	38.4	****
7	57.235		61.41177		1	12.8	84	51.2	:*****
8			65.58824		5	15.2	109	66.5	******
9	61.411		69.76471		0	12.2	129	78.7	: * * * * * * * * *
10	65.588		73.94118	_	2	7.3	141	86.0	: * * * * *
11	69.764		-		1	6.7	152	92.7	****
12	73.941		78.11765		8	4.9	160		****
13	78.117	• •	82.29412		1	0.6	161	_	
14	82.294		86.47059		0	0.0	161		
15	86.470		90.64706		-	0.6			
16	90.647		94.82353		1		164		
17	94.823	353	99		2	1.2	104	100.0	•



\_\_\_\_\_\_Descriptive Statistics-----20:52:37

Date/Time 07-13-1994

Data Base Name A:typscore

Description Data base created at 18:29:03 on 07-07-1994

## Detail Report

Variable: TYPING SPEEDS Filter: SEC = 1 (ALL SECRETARIES AT LEVEL 1) No. observations 20 61.68421 Mean - Average No. missing values 1 Lower 95% c.i.limit 55.79248 Upper 95% c.i.limit 67.57594
Adj sum of squares 2690.105
Standard deviation 12.22499 19 Sum of frequencies 1172 Sum of observations Std.error of mean 2.804604 T-value for mean=0 21.99391 149.4503 Variance 0.0000 T prob level .1981866 Coef. of variation 1.92026 Kurtosis -.8852027 Skewness Reject if > 1.227(10%) 1.381(5%) Normality Test Value 1.145874 Reject if > 0.181(10%) 0.198(5%) K.S. Normality Test 0.12128  $\sqrt{\text{b1}}$  -0.81 Skew-Z -1.70 Pr 0.0897 b2 4.15 Kurt-Z 1.66 Pr 0.0969 D'Agostino-Pearson Omnibus K2 Normality Test Pr 0.0597 5.6 75.5 90-%tile 100-%tile (Maximum) 81 49 10-%tile 72 75-%tile 53 Range 61 50-%tile (Median) 75th-25th %tile 56 25-%tile C.L. Median(95%) 56, 72 0-%tile (Minimum) 28 28-----Line Plot / Box Plot-----1 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 12 1 ----[XXXXXXXmaXXXXXXXXXX]-----

## Distribution & Histogram

Variable: TYPING SPEEDS Filter: SEC = 1 Upper Count Pront Total Pront Histogram Bin Lower 1 5.3 :\* 5.3 33.3 1 1 28 5.3 : 0 0.0 38.6 2 33.3 1 5.3: 0 0.0 38.6 43.9 2 10.5 :\* 1 5.3 49.2 43.9 2 10.5 4 21.1 :\*\* 4 21.1 8 42.1 :\*\*\*\* 4 21.1 12 63.2 :\*\*\*\* 54.5 5 49.2 59.8 6 54.5 65.10001 7 59.8 13 68.4 :\* 1 5.3 65.10001 70.4 4 21.1 2 10.5 17 89.5 :\*\*\*\* 75.7 9 70.4 19 100.0 :\*\* 75.7 81 . 10



Date/Time 07-13-1994 20:53:03

Data Base Name A:typscore

Description Data base created at 18:29:03 on 07-07-1994

### Detail Report

Variable: TYPING SPEEDS Filter: SEC = 2 (ALL SECRETARIES AT LEVEL 2) 127 No. observations Mean - Average 60.36364 No. missing values 17 58.03189 Lower 95% c.i.limit Upper 95% c.i.limit Adj sum of squares Standard deviation Sum of frequencies 110 62.69538 Sum of observations 6610 16595.46 12.33904 Std.error of mean 1.176481 T-value for mean=0 51.30863 Variance 152.2519 0.0000 T prob level Coef. of variation .2044118 .9002913 .6074175 Kurtosis Skewness Reject if > 1.047(10%) 1.073 (5%) Normality Test Value 1.122791 K.S. Normality Test 0.08992 Reject if > 0.077(10%) 0.085(5%) 2.55 Pr 0.0108 b2 3.81 Kurt-Z 1.71 Pr 0.0869 0.60 Skew-Z √b1 Pr 0.0090 D'Agostino-Pearson Omnibus K2 Normality Test 9.4 75.5 90-%tile 100-%tile (Maximum) 99 47 10-%tile 66 75-%tile 59 Range 50-%tile (Median) 75th-25th %tile 53 25-%tile C.L. Median(95%) 57, 62 31 O-%tile (Minimum) 31------99 1 1 11 21 113 1192155 4247232 7175323 1 21132 1 1 5 1

### Distribution & Histogram

Variable: TYPING SPEEDS Filter: SEC = 2 Count Pront Total Pront Histogram Bin Lower Upper 1.8:\*\* 2 35.25 2 1.8 1 31 2.7 '\* 3 1 0.9 35.25 39.5 8 7.3 :\*\*\*\* 5 4.5 39.5 43.75 5 4.5 13 11.8 :\*\*\*\* 14 12.7 27 24.5 :\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 16 14.5 43 39.1 :\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 16 14.5 59 53.6 :\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 43.75 48 52.25 48 52.25 56.5 60.75 7 56.5 76 69.1 :\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 17 15.5 60.75 65 90 81.8 :\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 14 12.7 9 65 69.25 94 85.5 :\*\*\*\* 3.6 4 73.5 10 69.25 5.5 100 90.9 :\*\*\*\*\* 6 77.75 73.5 11 101 91.8 :\* 0.9 1 77.75 82 12 6 5.5 107 97.3 :\*\*\*\*\* 86.25 13 82 0 0.0 107 97.3: 14 86.25 90.5 1 0.9 108 98.2 :\* 94.75 15 90.5 110 100.0 :\*\* 2 1.8 99 94.75

----[XXXXXXmXaXXXXX]-----



Date/Time 07-13-1994 20:53:26

Data Base Name A:typscore

Description Data base created at 18:29:03 on 07-07-1994

# Detail Report

# Variable: TYPING SPEEDS

Valiable. Illino or be-		regree 31	
Filter: SEC = 3 (AL)	L SECRETARIES AT	LEVEL 3)	38
Mean - Average	62.82857	No. observations	ว 0
rowar 95% c i limit	59.33588	MO. WISSING AGEGS	•
unner 95% c.i.limit	66.32127	Sum of frequencies	33
Add cum of squares	3514.971	Sum of observations	2199
standard deviation	10.16767	Std.error of mean	1./1865
Variance	103.3815	T-value for mean=0	36.55693
Coef. of variation	1619719	L DIOD TEAST	0.0000
Skewness	_ K555518	Kurtosis	-7.700534E-02
	1 067252	Paject if > $1.129(10\%)$	1.197(5%)
	0 12673	Reject if > $0.136(10\%)$	0.149(5%)
l /	1 CC D> 0 00/3	n/ /.// Null 2 0 * *	<u> </u>
Vb1 -0.63 Skew-2	mibus K2 Normalit	ty Test 2.8	Pr 0.2509
D'Agostino-Pearson Om 100-%tile (Maximum)	UIDUS K- MOLMULIA	90-%tile	74
100-%tile (Maximum)	80	10-%tile	50
75-%tile	7 0	Range	
50-%tile (Median)	66		
25-%tile	54	75th-25th %tile	39
0-%tile (Minimum)	37	C.L. Median (95%)	80
37	Line Plo	C.L. Median(95%) 59, 6 t / Box Plot	21 1 2 1 1
1	7 17 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
,	[XXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	, <b></b> _ = ==

# Distribution & Histogram

Vari	able:	TYPING	SPEEDS	Filter:	SEC =	3		
	Lower		pper	Count	Prcnt	Total		Histogram
1	37		0.58333	1	2.9	1	2.9	
1	40.583		4.16667	1.	2.9	2	5.7	:*
2			7.75	0	0.0	2	5.7	:
3	44.16			4	11.4	6	17.1	:***
4	47.75	_	1.33333		8.6	9	25.7	
5	51.33	-	4.91666	.5		11	31.4	
6	54.91	-	8.5	2	5.7		40.0	
7	58.5	6	2.08333	3	8.6			
8	62.08	333 6	5.66666	2	5.7	16	45.7	***
9	65.66		9.25	10	28.6	26		:*****
-	69.25		2.83333	4	11.4	30	85.7	:***
10			6.41666	_	8.6	33	94.3	: * * *
11	72.83			2			100.0	: * *
12	76.41	666 8	30	2	3.7	33		



Date/Time

07-13-1994 20:54:17

Data Base Name A:typscore
Description Data base

Data base created at 18:29:03 on 07-07-1994

### Detail Report

Variable: TYPING SPEEDS Filter: COLLEGE = 1 (ALL UNIVERSITY SECRETARIES) No. observations 141 61.52 Mean - Average No. missing values 16 59.3459 Lower 95% c.i.limit Sum of frequencies 125 Upper 95% c.i.limit 63.6941 7690 Sum of observations Adj sum of squares 18701.2 Std.error of mean 1.098421 12.28072 Standard deviation T-value for mean=0 56.00766 150.8161 Variance 0.0000 T prob level .1996216 Coef. of variation .7651082 Kurtosis .2253712 Skewness Reject if > 1.042(10%) 1.066(5%) Normality Test Value 1.060747 Reject if > 0.072(10%) 0.080(5%) K.S. Normality Test 0.05363 3.69 Kurt-Z 1.60 Pr 0.1095 1.06 Pr 0.2895 b2 0.22 Skew-Z √b1 Pr 0.1586 D'Agostino-Pearson Omnibus K2 Normality Test 3.7 75 90-%tile 99 100-%tile (Maximum) 48 10-%tile 68 75-%tile 71 62 Range 50-%tile (Median) 75th-25th %tile 25-%tile 53 C.L. Median(95%) 28 0-%tile (Minimum) 28-----Line Plot / Box Plot-----1 1 1 21 11212A 26 3433714381 656343 332 532 24 1 -----[XXXXXXXXXXX]------

### Distribution & Histogram

Variable: TYPING SPEEDS Filter: COLLEGE = 1 Count Pront Total Pront Histogram Bin Lower Upper 1.6:\*\* 2 1.6 32.4375 2 28 2.4 :\* 1 0.8 3 2 32.4375 36.875 4.0 : \* \* 2 1.6 5 41.3125 36.875 7.2:\*\*\*\* 9 4 3.2 45.75 41.3125 20.0 :\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 16 12.8 25 50.1875 5 45.75 28.8 :\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 36 11 8.8 54.625 50.1875 43.2 :\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 54 14.4 18 54.625 59.0625 7 70 56.0 :\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 12.8 16 59.0625 63.5 90 72.0 :\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 20 16.0 67.93.75 9 63.5 82.4 :\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 103 13 10.4 72.375 67.9375 10 115 92.0 :\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 12 9.6 76.8125 72.375 11 117 93.6 :\*\* 2 1.6 81.25 12 76.8125 97.6 :\*\*\*\* 4.0 122 5 85.6875 81.25 13 97.6: 0.0 122 85.6875 90.125 14 98.4:\* 123 94.5625 1 0.8 15 90.125 125 100.0 :\*\* 2 1.6 94.5625 99 16



Date/Time 07-13-1994 20:55:03

Data Base Name A: typscore

Description Data base created at 18:29:03 on 07-07-1994

### Detail Report

```
Variable: TYPING SPEEDS
Filter: COLLEGE = 2 (COLLEGE OF MEDICINE SECRETARIES)
                    59.51282
                                   No. observations
                                                          44
Mean - Average
                                   No. missing values
                                                          5
Lower 95% c.i.limit 56.12532
                    62.90032
Upper 95% c.i.limit
                                   Sum of frequencies
                                                          39
                                   Sum of observations
                                                          2321
Adj sum of squares
                    4149.744
                    10.45006
                                   Std.error of mean
Standard deviation
                                                          1.673349
                                   T-value for mean=0
                                                          35.5651
                    109.2038
Variance
                                   T prob level
                    .1755935
                                                          0.0000
Coef. of variation
                                   Kurtosis
                                                         -.1815541
                    .1413793
Skewness
                                   Reject if > 1.117(10%) 1.179(5%)
Normality Test Value 0.994
                                   Reject if'> 0.129(10%) 0.141(5%)
K.S. Normality Test
                    0.08367
                    0.39 Pr 0.6937 b2 2.69 Kurt-Z -0.06 Pr 0.9557
√b1
    0.14 Skew-Z
D'Agostino-Pearson Omnibus K2 Normality Test
                                                           Pr 0.9240
                                               0.2
                                                          72
                                   90-%tile
100-%tile (Maximum) 82
                                                          47
                                   10-%tile
                    68
 75-%tile
                                                          45
 50-%tile (Median)
                    58
                                   Range
                                   75th-25th %tile
                    52
 25-%tile
                                   C.L. Median(95%)
  0-%tile (Minimum)
37-----Line Plot / Box Plot-----
                                            2 11 12 1 2 1
                1 1 4 1 13 1 1 13 2 1 2
      1 1
                -----[XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX]------
```

### Distribution & Histogram

Var:	iable:	TYPING SPEEDS					
Bin	Lower	Upper	Count	Prcnt	Total	Prcnt	Histogram
1	37	40.75	1	2.6	1	2.6	:*
2	40.75	44.5	2	5.1	3	7.7	: * *
3	44.5	48.25	1	2.6	4	10.3	:*
4	48.25	52	5	12.8	9	23.1	:****
5	52	55.75	6	15.4	15		:*****
6	55.75	<b>59.</b> 5	7	17.9	22	56.4	:*****
7	59.5	63.25	3	7.7	25	64.1	:***
8	63.25	67	4	10.3	29	74.4	:***
9	67	70.75	4	10.3	33		:****
10	70.75	74.5	3	7.7	36	92.3	:***
11	74.5	78.25	1	2.6	37	94.9	:*
12	78.25	82	2	5.1	39	100.0	:**
			2				



# Expected Mean Squares ... Balanced Case

ource		Expected S+bnA	Mean	Square	(S	stands	for	ERROR).	
	1	S+anB		-					
_B	2	S+nAB		-					
_	150	<b>c</b>						•	

# Analysis of Variance Report

<b>_</b> NOVA Table	for	Response Varia					
<b>≡</b> ource	DF	Sum-Squares	Mean Square	F-Ratio	Prob>F	Error Term	
(SEC LEV	) 2	437.7343	218.8671	1.54	0.2166	ERROR	
S (COL DIV	) 1	2.69246	2.69246	0.02	0.8905	ERROR	
<b>⇒</b> B	2	171.084	85.54202	0.60	0.5481	ERROR	
=RROR	158		141.7132				
TOTAL(Adi)	163	3 22970.7		•			

Std.Error

## Means & Standard Errors for Y = TYPING SPEED

⇒rr	164	62.30212	
⇒: SEC	LEV		
E.	19	65.13889	2.731042
2	110	58.91945	1.135034
<b>∃</b>	35	62.84804	2.0122
∃: COL	DIV		
JL UNIV	125	61.99869	1.064756
⊇ com	39	62.60556	1.906219
⇒B: SEC	LEV, CO	DL DIV	
⊐1.,1.	18	61.27778	2.805879
-	1	68.99999	11.90434
	90	61.18889	1.254827
	20	56.65	2.66189
	17	63.52941	2.887225
⊐,2	18	62.16667	2.805879

Term Count Mean



# SECRETARIAL LEVELS

	1	2	3	ALL
UNIVERSITY SECRETARIES	-			
COUNT	18	90	17	125
MEAN TYPING SPEED	61.28	61.19	63.53	62.00
STANDARD ERROR	2.81	1.25	2.89	1.06
COLLEGE OF MEDICINE				
COUNT	1	20	18	39
MEAN TYPING SPEED	69.00	56.65	62.17	62.61
STANDARD ERROR	2.81	2.66	2.81	1.91
			•	
ALL SECRETARIES				
COUNT	19	110	35	164
MEAN TYPING SPEED	61.68	60.36	62.83	62.30
STANDARD ERROR	2.80	1.18	1.72	.93

