

# ED358840 1993-06-00 School-University Partnerships and Educational Technology. ERIC Digest.

ERIC Development Team

[www.eric.ed.gov](http://www.eric.ed.gov)

## Table of Contents

If you're viewing this document online, you can click any of the topics below to link directly to that section.

<a href="#">School-University Partnerships and Educational Technology. ERIC Digest.....</a>	<a href="#">1</a>
<a href="#">WHAT LEADS TO A SUCCESSFUL PARTNERSHIP?.....</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">STAFF DEVELOPMENT ABOUT TECHNOLOGY.....</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">STAFF DEVELOPMENT WITH DISTANCE EDUCATION AS A MEDIUM.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">RESEARCH ON EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">DEVELOPMENT OF NEW EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGIES.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">REFERENCES AND ADDITIONAL READING.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>



**ERIC Identifier:** ED358840

**Publication Date:** 1993-06-00

**Author:** Dodge, Bernard J.

**Source:** ERIC Clearinghouse on Information Resources Syracuse NY.

## School-University Partnerships and Educational Technology. ERIC Digest.

THIS DIGEST WAS CREATED BY ERIC, THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ERIC, CONTACT

## ACCESS ERIC 1-800-LET-ERIC

According to one recent survey, at least 1,200 partnerships have been established between schools and universities (Wilbur & Lambert, 1990). This digest describes current thinking and practice involving the use of educational technology in collaborative activities between schools and universities. It is not intended to be a comprehensive review or synthesis of the literature, but rather a pointer to conceptual overviews and cases. After discussing the features of successful partnerships, this digest will describe four categories of partnerships involving educational technology:



--Staff Development about Educational Technology



--Staff Development with Distance Education Technology as a Medium



--Research on Educational Technology



--Development of New Educational Technologies

## WHAT LEADS TO A SUCCESSFUL PARTNERSHIP?

For a variety of reasons the relation between universities and schools has been characterized as a "fickle romance" (Wiske, 1989). In spite of the differences between schools and universities in reward systems, schedules, roles and rules, many working partnerships have been created. The most successful projects have been those in which both parties planned and prepared themselves well before starting the partnership, adequate resources were allocated to develop and maintain the activities, and mutual respect between the partners was consciously and systematically nurtured. Among the specific recommendations derived from successful collaborators are these:



--Project goals should be jointly conceived and agreed upon. (Knapczyk, 1991; Allum, 1991)



--Teachers should be actively involved, not just passive recipients. (Knapczyk, 1991: Allum, 1991)



--If teachers are to be involved as equal partners, they must be involved for as much time as the other actors. (Wiske, 1989)



--Exchanges should be reciprocal; each partner should gain something. (Wiske, 1989)



--Education should be mutual; each party must develop an appreciation of the other's contribution. (Wiske, 1989)



--Leadership should rotate among partners as appropriate to their skills. (Balajthy, 1991)



--Outcomes should be mutually owned. (Balajthy, 1991)



--The university must be committed to the collaborative ideal and provide financial support if necessary, including stipends or load credit for faculty members. (Hillman, 1987)

## STAFF DEVELOPMENT ABOUT TECHNOLOGY

Many partnerships have been formed with the goal of infusing technology skills into the repertoire of classroom teachers. For example, Balajthy (1991) used a model of consultative consultation in which a team made up of a consultant from the college, a classroom teacher, and several student teachers worked together to create and implement lessons using technology. Byrne, Hittleman, and Marchisotto (1989) designed a voluntary staff development experience in which classroom teachers learned to use telecommunications as a vehicle for student writing. Roseman and Brearton (1989) trained teachers in basic computer use for science education, and then trained a core subset of the original group as trainers and change agents at their own school sites.

## STAFF DEVELOPMENT WITH DISTANCE EDUCATION AS A MEDIUM

Collaborative staff development has also been carried out with distance education technology as the medium of delivery, rather than as the content. Pitcher, Rule, and Stowitschek (1986) used two-way audio and video to consult with and train teachers at distant sites on several special education topics. Similarly, Knapczyk (1991) used an audiographic system and fax machines to deliver special education training.

## RESEARCH ON EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY

Several partnerships have been established to collaborate on research. These partnerships go beyond the more common arrangement of schools simply granting permission for university researchers to study their classrooms. Instead, an effort is made to jointly establish the goals of the research to the benefit of both sides. The Educational Technology Center at Harvard University has published several thoughtful examinations of the dynamics of this kind of collaboration (Wiske, 1989; Lampert, 1988). Hillman (1987) describes the problems that occur in implementing research when school sites have not been sufficiently involved in the initial conceptualization of the project.

## DEVELOPMENT OF NEW EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGIES

The goal of the fourth category of partnership is the development of new educational tools. Typically, school sites provide input into the design process by articulating their needs, testing prototypes, and giving formative feedback. Manatt (1991) describes the creation of a computer-based management system to implement the School Improvement Model. Burger and Stevenson-Burger (1989) built a computerized management tool for schools, while another project (Ritchie & Dodge, 1992) developed a tool for student-authored adventure games. The benefit to the school partner in these examples was the possibility of having software customized to their needs. To the university partner, having a field-based source of ideas and evaluation is what made the collaboration work.

An important network of school-university partnerships is the Christopher Columbus Consortium established by Apple Computer in 1989. Each of the over 40 consortium sites represents a partnership between a university and one or more schools. With Apple serving as the catalyst with an equipment donation, the partnerships each undertook projects intended to improve education at the school site. For descriptions of some of the Christopher Columbus Consortium projects, see Ritchie and Dodge (1992) and Balajthy (1991).

## REFERENCES AND ADDITIONAL READING

- Allum, K.F. (1991, Fall-Winter). Partners in innovation: School-college collaborations. *EDUCOM REVIEW*, 26(3-4), 29-33.
- Balajthy, E. (1991, October). A school-college consultation model for integration of technology and whole language in elementary science instruction. Field study report No. 1991.A.BAL, Christopher Columbus Consortium Project. (ED 332 155).
- Burger, M., & Stevenson-Burger, L. (1989). Development of a computer-based instructional management system through school-university collaboration. (ED 319 102).
- Byrne, M.M., Hittleman, C.G., & Marchisotto, J. (1989). A telecommunications staff development project. *JOURNAL OF STAFF DEVELOPMENT*, 10(4), 26-30.
- Hillman, S.K., and others. (1987, April). The collaborative design in advancing the school/college interface. (ED 284 496).
- Hord, S.M. (1986, February). A synthesis of research on organizational collaboration. *EDUCATIONAL LEADERSHIP*, 43(5), 22-26.
- Knapczyk, D. (1991). Improving staff development in rural communities. (ED 345 890).
- Lampert, M. (1988). Teachers' thinking about students' thinking about geometry: The effects of new teaching tools. Technical report 88-1. Cambridge, MA: Educational Technology Center. (ED 294 724).
- Manatt, R.P. (1989, Winter). Staff development, teacher evaluation, and a microcomputer. *JOURNAL OF STAFF DEVELOPMENT*, 10(1), 48-51.
- Pitcher, S., Rule, S., & Stowitschek, J.J. (1986, October). Inservice training via telecommunications: Almost like being there. (ED 280 639).
- Ritchie, D., & Dodge, B. (1992, March). Integrating technology usage across the curriculum through educational adventure games. (ED 349 955).
- Roseman, J.E. & Brearton, M.A. (1989, March). Computers to enhance science education: An inservice designed to foster classroom implementation. (ED 307 153).
- Sirotnik, K.A., & Goodlad, J.I. (Eds.). (1988). *School-university partnerships in action: Concepts, cases, and concerns*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Wiske, M.S. (1989). A cultural perspective on school-university collaborative research. Report No. ETC-TP-89-3. Topical paper. Cambridge, MA: Educational Technology Center. (ED 342 051).
- Wilbur, F.P., & Lambert, L.M. (1990). *Linking America's schools and colleges: Guide to*

partnerships and national directory. Washington, DC: American Association for Higher Education. (ED 340 332).

-----

This digest was prepared for the ERIC Clearinghouse on Information Resources by Bernard J. Dodge, Associate Professor, Educational Technology Department, San Diego State University. June 1993.

-----

ERIC Digests are in the public domain and may be freely reproduced and disseminated.

-----

For more information about ERIC or about obtaining ERIC articles and documents, call ACCESS ERIC, 1-800-LET-ERIC.

-----

This publication was prepared with funding from the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education, under contract no. R188062008. The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of OERI or ED.

—  
**Title:** School-University Partnerships and Educational Technology. ERIC Digest.  
**Document Type:** Information Analyses---ERIC Information Analysis Products (IAPs) (071); Information Analyses---ERIC Digests (Selected) in Full Text (073);  
**Available From:** ERIC Clearinghouse on Information & Technology, 4-194 Center for Science and Technology, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244-4100 (free).  
**Descriptors:** College School Cooperation, Distance Education, Educational Planning, Educational Research, Educational Technology, Elementary Secondary Education, Higher Education, Partnerships in Education, Program Implementation, Research and Development, Staff Development  
**Identifiers:** ERIC Digests

###

—



[\[Return to ERIC Digest Search Page\]](#)