

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 347 776

EC 301 394

AUTHOR Ward, Alan J.
 TITLE Self-Destructive Behavior in Public School Students.
 PUB DATE Apr 92
 NOTE 14p.; Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the American Association of Suicidology (25th, Chicago, IL, April 2-5, 1992).
 PUB TYPE Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Reports - Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS Adolescents; Behavior Disorders; Depression (Psychology); Emotional Disturbances; *Family Relationship; *High Risk Students; Intermediate Grades; Interpersonal Relationship; Junior High Schools; Magnet Schools; *Public Schools; Runaways; Self Concept; *Self Destructive Behavior; *Stress Variables; Student Attitudes; *Student Characteristics; Substance Abuse; *Suicide
 IDENTIFIERS Chicago Public Schools IL

ABSTRACT
 The Adolescent Attitude Survey (AAS) was developed and administered to 214 sixth and eighth graders, 79 from the Chicago (Illinois) Public Schools and 135 from a Chicago magnet school where subjects were more academically oriented and homogeneous. The instrument assessed the subjects' self-image, demographic variables, and factors associated with self-destructive ideation and attempts. Analysis indicated that, compared to magnet school subjects, the public school subjects reported significantly more family conflicts, exposure to suicidal models in the family peer group, depression, suicidal ideation, suicidal threats and attempts, substance abuse, and runaway behavior. Survey responses are seen as being supportive of the hypothesis that there is a significant group of "normal" adolescents who are at risk for self-destructive behaviors in association with a wide range of interpersonal conflicts and life stresses. The significant differences in AAS results between the public school and magnet school subejcts are seen as providing support to the hypothesis that such behaviors are differentially effected by the levels of interpersonal stress and conflict experienced by the adolescents. (11 references) (JDD)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

ED 347 776

Self-Destructive Behavior In Public School Students

Alan J. Ward, Ph.D. A.B.P.P.
Director of Internal Research
Institute for Juvenile Research
Department of Psychiatry - College of Medicine
University of Illinois at Chicago
907 South Wolcott Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60612

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Alan J. Ward

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)"

Presented At:

25th Annual Conference
American Association of Suicidology
Westin Hotel
Chicago, Illinois
April 2-5, 1992

301394

Self-Destructive Behavior In Public School Students

It is hypothesized that adolescent suicide is only one of a number of self-destructive behavioral responses to intractable, chronic interpersonal stress and conflict, rather than being solely or specifically related to a psychiatric diagnosis of mental illness. Several studies have revealed that larger percentages (20% - 60%) of the public school population have reported suicidal ideation or attempts than are usually seen as being in need of mental health services (20%).

This hypothesis was used as the theoretical basis for the development of the 105-item Adolescent Attitude Survey (AAS) which was administered to 214 sixth and eighth graders from the Chicago Public Schools (79) and a Chicago Magnet School (135) to assess their self-image, demographic variables and factors associated with self-destructive ideation and attempts. Analysis and comparison of resultant data disclosed that the Public School Ss reported significantly ($P < .000$ - $P < .001$, ANOVA) more Family Conflicts, exposure to suicidal Models in the Family Peer Group, Depression, Suicidal Ideation, Threats and Attempts, Substance Abuse and Runaway Behavior than the more academically oriented and homogeneous Magnet School Ss.

Self-Destructive Behavior In Public School Students

Alan J. Ward, Ph.D., A.B.P.P.
Director of Internal Research
Institute for Juvenile Research
Department of Psychiatry - College of Medicine
University of Illinois at Chicago
907 South Wolcott Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60612

Presented At:

25th Annual Conference
American Association of Suicidology
Westin Hotel
Chicago, Illinois
April 2-5, 1992

Self-Destructive Behavior In Public School Students

by

Alan J. Ward, Ph.D., A.B.P.P.
Director of Internal Research
Institute for Juvenile Research
Department of Psychiatry
College of Medicine
University of Illinois at Chicago
907 South Wolcott Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60612

I. The Problem

Ongoing concern continues to be manifested in regards to the high rate of teen suicide and other self-destructive behaviors (Alcohol, 1989). Teen suicide has shown a 300% increase since 1960 (Peck, Farberow and Litman 1985), with comparable increases in the rates of teen pregnancy, substance abuse, and conduct problems. Although many of these adolescents have been reported to be mentally ill (depressed, schizophrenic, borderline personality disorder, etc.) there is a significant percentage of adolescents who experience and express self-destructive behavior, who have not met criteria for a psychiatric diagnosis.

Indeed, it has been agreed that there is a significant percentage of adolescents who have manifested suicidal behavior, who fail to meet any criteria for psychiatric disorder (Kovacs & Puig-Antich, 1989), that there is no specific relationship between suicidal behavior and any particular psychiatric diagnosis (Haberman & Garfinkel, 1988) and that from 20% - 60% of the non-clinical U.S. adolescent populations has experienced suicidal ideation (Smith & Crawford, 1986). This fact has resulted in the development of the view that adolescent self-destructive behavior should be viewed, at least in part, as having its etiology in environmental-individual situations and interactions, rather than wholly resulting from individual psychopathology.

The increase in the incidence and prevalence of adolescent suicide over the last 30 years has been related to significant changes in family structure and the supporting social fabric. These changes have been listed as including 1) Increase in number of single-parent families, 2) Focus upon the nuclear family as opposed to the extended family, 3) Increase in family mobility, 4) Increase in two-career (parent) families, 5) Decrease in specificity of identification of sex role characteristics, 6) Loss of relevance of life and work experience of parental generation to planning for and preparation of adolescent generation for their adult relationships and careers and 7) Increase

in divorce rate. Thus, it has been hypothesized (Ward, 1988) that a significant portion of the increase in adolescent suicide should be related to a decrease in the availability of familial supportive and educative resources during a time of increasing complexity and rapidity of social, vocational and political change. Furthermore, it is hypothesized that the above-described societal and vocational changes have diminished the closeness of communication between parents and adolescents, with an emphasis being experienced by some adolescents as being upon performance rather than upon support, acceptance and being valued for themselves, regardless of their level of performance. The hypothesis has been presented that adolescent self-destructive behaviors may be seen as problem-solving efforts, in response to chronic refractory interpersonal situations that have resulted in adolescents with negative self-image and a long-term pattern of self-blame for things that go wrong in their immediate environment (Ward, 1988; Brent, 1990).

Review of the background of suicidal adolescents has revealed a frequent pattern of 1) low self-esteem, 2) dysfunctional family affective support system and 3) patterns of self-blame whenever anything goes wrong in the immediate environment.

It has been hypothesized (Ward, 1988, 1990) that the above-described situation results in the development of a situation of extreme interpersonal stress that diminishes the adolescent's problem-solving capacity over an extended period of time. Research has demonstrated that stress results in a diminishing of the ability to see more than one answer to a problem (Shneidman, 1970; Ward, 1990), and this phenomenon of "cognitive narrowing" or "cognitive freeze" has been identified as the necessary precursor to suicidal behavior. In addition, other research has demonstrated a statistical association between the rates of adolescent suicides and the rates of such self-destructive behaviors as 1) Runaway Behavior, 2) Conduct Problems, 3) Substance Abuse, and 4) Teen Pregnancy; (Ward, 1987). It has been suggested that these self-destructive behaviors could be viewed as a hierarchy of responses to the hypothesized interpersonal conflict situations, with the failure to communicate the personal distress and create the desired change in that relationship resulting in the manifestation of the next level of such self-destructive behavior, culminating in either suicide or homicide.

II. Methodology:

A. Instrument

The above-listed perspective resulted in the development of the Adolescent Attitude Survey (AAS), a 105-item survey instrument whose structure, content and details of reliability have been presented elsewhere (Ward, 1989a, b, c); and which allows for the examination of demographic information, the gathering of information about and the experience of suicidal ideation and attempt, teen sexuality and pregnancy, substance abuse, assaultive behavior, runaway behavior, interpersonal conflict, social support system and self-image. The AAS has been developed for use with subjects 10 years of age and above.

B. Subjects

The Ss reported on were drawn from 2 schools of the Chicago Public School system and a Magnet School in the city of Chicago. A total of 79 girls and boys (Mean age of 13.6 and 13.3 years) were surveyed from the 6th and 8th grades of the two public schools (see Table III),

INSERT TABLE III HERE

along with 63 boys (Mean Age = 11.5 years old) and 72 girls (Mean Age = 11.5 years old) from the 5th through the eighth grades in the Magnet School (see Table IV).

INSERT TABLE IV HERE

III. Results:

Analysis of the AAS results for the 2 public schools showed significant correlations ($p < .01$ - $< .001$) among Suicidal Ideation and Attempts and Stress over 6 Months and 12 Months, Suicide Models In Environment, Suicide Models In Family, Family Conflict, Negative Affect, Depression and Substance Abuse (see Table I).

INSERT TABLE I HERE

Analysis of the AAS results from the 135 Magnet School Ss disclosed significant correlations ($p < .01$ - $p < .001$) among Suicidal Ideation, Attempts and Suicide Models in the Environment, Suicide Models in the Family, Negative Affect and Depression, with significant negative correlation ($p < .05$) with Social Support (see Table II). Comparisons between the Public School Ss' and

INSERT TABLE II HERE

Magnet School Ss' responses revealed multiple scales on which they differed in their response to items. Chicago Public School Ss reported more stressful life events ($p < .0001$), more Family Conflict ($p < .0001$), more Suicidal Models In Families ($P < .0001$), more Avoidant Behavior ($p < .05$), a trend towards more

Negative Affect ($p < .07$), more Substance Abuse ($p < .0005$), more Depression ($p < .0005$), and more Suicide Ideation, Threats and Attempts ($p < .0001$) (See Table V). The only scaled variable on which the two groups of Ss did not differ was Self-Esteem, on which the Public School Ss scored nonsignificantly lower than the Magnet School Ss. The intercorrelations among the variables are similar for both the Public School Ss and Magnet School Ss, suggesting that the same variables are important regardless of subgroup membership.

INSERT TABLE V HERE

IV. Conclusions:

The results of the analysis of the AAS responses of 214 Chicago Public School and Magnet School Ss are seen as being indicative of the effectiveness of the AAS in demonstrating a relationship among the variables of interpersonal stress, familial conflict, models of suicidal threat and behavior and the self-destructive behaviors of 1) Suicidal ideation, threats and attempts; 2) Avoidant behavior such as running away; 3) Substance abuse; 4) Sexual acting-out including concerns about and becoming pregnant; and 5) Assaultive behavior. Inasmuch as these Ss were not identified as being "mentally ill", their responses are seen as being supportive of the hypothesis that there is a significant group of "normal" adolescents who are at risk for self-destructive behaviors in association with a wide range of interpersonal conflicts and life stresses.

The significant differences in AAS results between the Public School Ss and the Magnet School Ss in regards to self-destructive behaviors, are seen as providing support to the hypothesis that such behaviors are differentially effected by the levels of interpersonal stress and conflict experienced by the adolescents. Thus, those Ss who reported more stressful life events, family conflict, suicidal models in families and with peers and less social support were found to demonstrate more depression, substance abuse and suicidal ideation, threat and attempts. The study is seen as documenting a significant relationship between a group of previously identified self-destructive behaviors and those situations and stimuli that were hypothesized as being associated with the choice of these behaviors. In addition, the documented differences between these two groups strongly suggest that these factors could be used to more effectively identify those "normal" adolescents who are both at-risk for self-destructive behavior and to develop appropriate and effective programs of intervention. The difference between these two groups of adolescents suggests that further research is needed with different adolescent populations to determine the level of commonality among all adolescents as well as the possible differences among different racial and ethnic groups and social classes.

References

- Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental Health Administration. Report of the Secretary's Task Force On Youth Suicide, Volume I: Overview and Recommendations. DHHS Publ. No. (ADM) 89-1621. Washington, D.C.: Supt. of Docs., U.S. Govt. Print. Off., 1989.
- Hendin, H. Suicide among the young: Psychodynamics and Demography, in Youth Suicide by Peck, M. L., Farberow, N.L., & Litman, E. E., Springer Publisher, New York, 1985.
- Kovacs, M. & Puig-Antich, J. "Major Psychiatric Disorders" As Risk Factors In Youth Suicide In Alcohol ... Vol. II Risk Factors for Youth Suicide, DHHS Pub. No. (ADM) 89-1622. Washington, D.C.: Supt. of Docs., U.S. Govt. Print. Off., 1989.
- Litman, R.E., & Diller, J. Case studies in youth suicide in Youth Suicide by Peck, M. L., Farberow, N. L., & Litman, R. E., Springer Publisher, New York, 1985.
- Peck, N. L., Farberow, N.L. & Litman, r.E.: Youth Suicide, Springer Publisher, New York, 1985.
- Shaeffer, D., Garlan ., Gould, M., Fisher, M. S., & Trautman, P. Preventing Teenage Suicide: A Critical Review. J. Amer. Acad. Child & Adolesc. Psychiatry, 1988, 27, 6:675-687.
- Shneidman, E. S. (1970). Orientation towards death. In E. S. Schneidman, Farberow, N. L., & Litman, R. E. (Eds), The psychology of suicide, pp. 3-45. New York: Science House.
- Ward, A. J. A developmental perspective on teenage suicide. Presented at 39th Annual Meeting of the American Association of Psychiatric Services for Children in San Antonio, Texas, 1988.
- Ward, A. J. Adolescent self-destructive behavior: A strategy of prevention, early identification and intervention in Illinois. Presented at 40th Annual Meeting of the American Association for Children's Psychiatric Services for Children in Durham, NC., 1989.
- Ward, A. J. Adolescent Suicide and Other Self-Destructive Behaviors: Illinois Survey Data and Interpretation. Presented at 33rd Annual Meeting of the American Association of Children's Residential Centers in Phoenix, Arizona, 1989.
- Ward, A. J. Adolescent problem-solving strategies in the '90's: From runaway behavior to parent murder. Presented at 41st Annual Meeting of the Psychiatric Services for Children in San Diego, CA., 1990.

ANALYSIS OF ADOLESCENT ATTITUDE SURVEY

CHICAGO PUBLIC SCHOOL Ss - (N=79)

CORRELATIONS OF RESPONSES

TABLE I

| Correlations: | ESTEEM | SOCIAL | DEPRESS | NEGATIVE AFFECT | STRESS6 | STRESS7 |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------------------|---------|---------|
| ESTEEM | 1.0000 | .1570 | -.3021 | -.2979 | .0034 | .1630 |
| SOCIAL | .1570 | 1.0000 | -.3871* | -.3900* | -.0384 | .0085 |
| DEPRESS | -.3021 | -.3871* | 1.0000 | .9329** | .0834 | .1483 |
| NEG. AFFECT | -.2979 | -.3900* | .9329** | 1.0000 | .1396 | .1754 |
| STRESS6 | .0034 | -.0384 | .0834 | .1396 | 1.0000 | .6417** |
| STRESS7 | .1630 | .0085 | .1483 | .1754 | .6417** | 1.0000 |
| STRESS12 | .1048 | -.0126 | .1330 | .1764 | .8740** | .9335** |
| SCDMODEL | -.1287 | -.0199 | .2579 | .2942 | .0249 | .1109 |
| SUICIDE | -.0987 | -.1595 | .5133** | .6026** | .3637* | .3280* |
| SCDFAM | -.1145 | .0574 | .2343 | .3122 | .3066 | .2527 |
| CONDUCT | -.1579 | -.0872 | .2956 | .2971 | .0998 | .0479 |
| FAMCON | .0581 | -.1578 | .2985 | .4135* | .4559** | .5490** |
| DRUG | .0000 | -.1211 | .3482* | .3291* | .1901 | .2401 |
| PSROSE | .0502 | .0510 | -.2152 | -.2543 | -.1903 | -.3172 |
| PSNEW | -.3166 | .0798 | -.0680 | .0336 | -.0500 | .0363 |

| Correlations: | STRESS12 | SCHMODEL | SUICIDE | SCDFAM | CONDUCT | FAMCOM |
|---------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| ESTEEM | .1048 | -.1287 | -.0987 | -.1145 | -.1579 | .0581 |
| SOCIAL | -.0126 | -.0199 | -.1595 | .0574 | -.0872 | -.1578 |
| DEPRESS | .1330 | .2579 | .5133** | .2343 | .2956 | .2985 |
| NEG. AFFECT | .1764 | .2942 | .6026** | .3122 | .2971 | .4135* |
| STRESS6 | .8740** | .0249 | .3637* | .3066 | .0998 | .4559** |
| STRESS7 | .9335** | .1109 | .3280 | .2527 | .0479 | .5490** |
| STRESS12 | 1.0000 | .0819 | .3778* | .3035 | .0770 | .5609** |
| SCDMODEL | .0819 | 1.0000 | .5930** | .6647** | .6687** | .3075 |
| SUICIDE | .3778* | .5930** | 1.0000 | .5199** | .4014* | .5421** |
| SCDFAM | .3035 | .6647** | .5199** | 1.0000 | .4158* | .4039* |
| CONDUCT | .0770 | .6687** | .4014* | .4158* | 1.0000 | .2444 |
| FAMCON | .5609** | .3075 | .5421** | .4039* | .2444 | 1.0000 |
| DRUG | .2410 | .7206** | .6406** | .3865* | .6197** | .2289 |
| PSROSE | -.2899 | -.3479* | -.2052 | -.3601* | -.3218 | -.3511* |
| PSNEW | -.0004 | .0487 | .2361 | .0089 | .0067 | .1469 |

* P < .01, 1 - Tailed Significance

** P < .001

ANALYSIS OF ADOLESCENT ATTITUDE SURVEY

MAGNET SCHOOL Ss (N=135)

CORRELATIONS OF RESPONSES

TABLE II

| Correlations: | STRESS | SCDEMDL | SUICIDE | SCDEFAM | CONDUCT | ESTEEM |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|--------|
| STRESS | 1.0000 | .4970** | .1239 | .2764* | .2792* | .0057 |
| SCDEMDL | .4970** | 1.0000 | .4409** | .6269** | .2268 | -.1340 |
| SUICIDE | .1239 | .4409** | 1.0000 | .2981* | .1224 | -.1896 |
| SCDEFAM | .2764* | .6269** | .2981* | 1.0000 | .1099 | -.0208 |
| CONDUCT | .2792* | .2268 | .1224 | .1097 | 1.0000 | -.0767 |
| ESTEEM | .0057 | -.1340 | -.1896 | -.0208 | -.0767 | 1.0000 |
| FAMCON | .3174** | .2004 | .2126 | .2824* | .2562* | .1204 |
| DRUG | .2353 | .2671* | .1501 | .1331 | .1796 | .0283 |
| DEPRESS | .2604* | .3352** | .4193** | .1476 | .3920** | -.1087 |
| NEG. AFFECT | .2965* | .3993* | .4532 | .2371 | .2976* | -.0346 |
| SOCSUP | -.0620 | -.1120 | -.2604* | -.0893 | -.3568** | .1832 |
| AVOID | .1349 | -.0677 | .0795 | -.0969 | .0807 | -.0539 |

| Correlations: | FAMCON | DRUG | DEPRESS | NEGATIVE AFFECT | SOCSUP | AVOID |
|---------------|---------|--------|----------|-----------------|----------|--------|
| STRESS | .3174** | .2353 | .2604* | .2965* | -.0620 | .1349 |
| SCDEMDL | .2004 | .2671* | .3352** | .3993** | -.1120 | -.0677 |
| SUICIDE | .2126 | .1501 | .4193** | .4532** | -.2604* | .0795 |
| SCDEFAM | .2824* | .1331 | .1476 | .2371 | -.0893 | -.0969 |
| CONDUCT | .2562* | .1796 | .3920** | .2976* | -.3568** | .0807 |
| ESTEEM | .1204 | .0283 | -.1087 | -.0346 | .1832 | -.0539 |
| FAMCON | 1.0000 | .0602 | .4011** | .3613** | -.29128 | -.0457 |
| DRUG | .0602 | 1.0000 | .0290 | .0969 | -.0779 | .1689 |
| DEPRESS | .4011** | .0290 | 1.0000 | .7825** | -.3881** | -.0588 |
| NEG. AFFECT | .3613** | .0969 | .7825** | 1.0000 | -.3452** | .0162 |
| SOCSUP | -.2912* | -.0779 | -.3881** | -.3452** | 1.0000 | -.0877 |
| AVOID | -.0457 | .2689 | -.0588 | .0162 | -.0877 | 1.0000 |

* P < .01, 1 - Tailed Significance

** P < .001

AGE DISTRIBUTION

PUBLIC SCHOOL Ss (N-79)*

TABLE III

| <u>Number</u> <u>Male</u> | <u>Mean</u> <u>Age</u> | <u>Age</u> <u>Range</u> | <u>Number</u> <u>Female</u> | <u>Mean</u> <u>Age</u> | <u>Age</u> <u>Range</u> |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| 34 | 13.3 Yrs | 11,8-15,4 | 47 | 13.6 Yrs | 11,2-15 Yrs |

* Complete AAS Questionnaires were available for analysis of 79 of 81 Ss.

MAGNET SCHOOL 5s (N=135)

AGE DISTRIBUTION

TABLE IV

| | <u>Number</u> <u>Male</u> | <u>Mean</u> <u>Age</u> | <u>Range</u> | <u>Number</u> <u>Female</u> | <u>Mean</u> <u>Age</u> | <u>Range</u> |
|---------|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| Class A | 13 | 13.13 Yrs | 12-14 Yrs | 11 | 13.3 Yrs | 12,11-14 Yrs |
| Class B | 10 | 12.24 Yrs | 11,11-12,8 Yrs | 14 | 12.65 Yrs | 11,2-12,1 Yrs |
| Class C | 12 | 11.31 Yrs | 11-11,9 Yrs | 17 | 11.4 Yrs | 10,6-12,3 Yrs |
| Class D | 11 | 10.49 Yrs | 10-10,11 Yrs | 17 | 10.41 Yrs | 9,11-10,11 Yrs |
| Class E | 17 | 10.41 Yrs | 10-11,1 Yrs | 13 | 10.53 Yrs | 10-11,6 Yrs |
| | 63 | 11.5 Yrs | 10-14 Yrs | 72 | 11.5 Yrs | 10-1 |

COMPARISON ON AAS SCALE RESPONSES BETWEEN

CHICAGO PUBLIC SCHOOL Ss (N=79 AND MAGNET SCHOOL Ss (N=135)

(ANOVA)

TABLE V

| | <u>Public School</u> | <u>Magnet School</u> | <u>Significance</u> |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Life Stress (12 Mos.) | 3.6000 | 2.1493 | .0001 |
| Family Conflict | 5.7895 | 4.3672 | .0001 |
| Suicidal Models In Family | 3.5696 | 3.1353 | .0001 |
| Runaway Behavior | 2.3205 | 2.1429 | .05 |
| Negative Affect | 11.2250 | 10.4328 | .07 |
| Substance Abuse | 10.1154 | 8.4688 | .0005 |
| Depression | 12.8750 | 11.1970 | .0005 |
| Suicidal Behavior | 5.5190 | 4.4519 | .0001 |
| Conduct Problems | 14.4231 | 11.0310 | .0001 |
| Social Support | 29.6537 | 31.4655 | .034 |
| Suicidal Models | 10.9231 | 9.5308 | .0001 |
| Self Esteem | 15.6125 | 15.8450 | .598 |