| AUTHOR | Case, Susan M. |
| :---: | :---: |
| title | How Often is "Often"? The Use of Imprecise Terms in Exam Items. |
| PUB DATE | Feb 88 |
| NOTE | 13p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Eastern Educational Research Association (Miami |
|  | Beach, FL, Februiariy 24-27, ig88). |
| PUB TYPE | Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- <br> Speeches/Conference Papers (150) |
| EDRS PRICE | MFOL/PCOL Plus Postage. |
| DESCRIPTORS | *Definitions; Higher Education; *Item Anaiysis; |
|  | *Licensing Examinations (Professions) : *Medicine; |
|  | *Multiple Choice Tests; National Programs; |
|  | Physicians; Statisṫ́cal Distributions; Test |
|  | Construction; *Testing Problems; Testing Programs |
| IDENTIFIERS | Frequency Data |

## ABSTRACT

This study was designed to gather data on the meaning of imprecise terms from items written by physicians for their students and by test committees for national licensure and certification examinations. A total of 32 members of test committees whis write examination items for various medical specialty examinations participated in the study. Each participant was provided with a list of phrases used in multiple-choice items to express some concept related to frequency of occurrence. Participants were askea to indicate what percentage of time was reflected by each phrase and to express this percentage both as a single number (i.e., 75\% of the time) and as a band (i.e., 60 to $80 \%$ of the time). The list included 15 terms. Responses of two participants were deleted due to excessive aberrance. Results indicate that the phrases used by item writers to express frequency do not have an operational definition that is commonly shared. Both the single values and phrases varied considerably across individuals. Problems created by vague terms are much more severe for true,false type items than for one-best answer items. These findings support the contention that general guidelines on item writing would be beneficial to the medical community. One table and five figures are provided. (TJH)
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## Introduction

Textbooks on item writing indicate that terms such as often, usually, and frequently should be avoided in multiple choice questions.
Physicians who write exam items for their students and those who serve on test committees for national licensure and certification examinations are generally unfamiliar with the educational literature and use imprecise terms such as these with great regularity. Item writing workshops that focus on quoting the experts from the educational arena have little impact in changing the behavior, at least in part because physicians be?.ieve the terms do have a common definition among practitioners. The language that they use in their items reflects language used in medical discussions (eg, "Obesity is frequently associated with nypertension").

The purpose of this study was to gather data on the meaning of imprecise terns from the item writers themselves. If consensus about the meaning of these terms was found among physicians, then the general guidelines related to item writing would not apply to the specific area of medical education and evaluation. If, on the other hand, little consensus on the meaning of the terms was found, then the data could be used as an illustration to support the contention that the guidelines are applicable to the medical community.

Method
A total of 32 members of test committees who write examination items for various medical specialty examinations participated in the study. Each participant was presented with a list of phrases used in multiple choice questions to express some concept related to frequency of occurence. They were asked to indicate what percentage of time was reflected by each phrase and to express this percentage both as a single number (eg 75\% of the time) and as a band (eg, 60 to $80 \%$ of the time). Fifteen terms were included on the list. The responses of two individuals were deleted from analysis because they were so aberrant.

Presented at the Eastern Educational Research Association (EERA) Annual Conference: Miami Beach, February 25, 1988. Please direct questions to Susan M. Case, Ph.D., Senior Evaluation Officer, National Board of Medical Examiners, 3930 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104.

## Results

Table 1 shows the mean value and standard deviation of the single number associated with each term on the list. The means ranged from a high of 84\% of the time for the phrase "most of the time" to a low of $5 \%$ of the time for the phrase "almost never". There was considerable variation in the value listed for each term; standard deviations ranged from a low of 5.5 to a high of 21.7. The values listed for over half of the phrases spanned 50 percentage points.

Figures 1 and 2 show the distribution of responses for two of the phrases, "most of the time" and "often", selected to serve as illustrations of the data. A total of $40 \%$ of the respondents defined "most of the time" as $90 \%$ of the time while $30 \%$ defined it as $80 \%$ of the time. Despite this difference of opinion, this is more concensus than was found in most of the other phrases. Overall, the distribution of values used to define the phrase ranged from a low of $60 \%$ of the time to a high of $99 \%$ of the time. The mean was $84 \%$.

In contrast, approximately $20 \%$ of the respondents defined "often" as $60 \%$ of the time; an additional 20\% defined it as $70 \%$ of the time. More than half of the respondents listed other values, ranging from a low of $20 \%$ to a high of $90 \%$, with a mean of $60 \%$.

Figure 3 shows box-plots associated with each term. The mean is the center of the box; the top and bottom of the box are 1 SD from the mean. The lines are extended to the lowest and highest value listed.

The overlap between the terms was considerable. Terms with a broad range of values included "commonly" with a range from 20 to 90 ; "frequently" with a range from 20 to 95 ; and "likely to occur" with a range from 25 to 95. The phrase with the most concensus was "approximately half" which was defined as $50 \%$ of the time by $87 \%$ of the respondents.

There was little concensus regarding the bands for the terms. Figures 4 and 5 show the bands used by respondents to define the terms used for illustration above, "most of the time" and "often". The phrase "most of the time" showed more consensus than most of the other phrases. The band used most often to define the phrase was $70-90 \%$ of the time ( $20 \%$ of the respondents). Three other bands were listed by $13 \%$ of the respondents: 75-99\%, 80-95\%, 85-95\%.

Only one of the bands for che term "often" was listed by as many as $13 \%$ of the respondents: 60-81\%. Only two additional bands was listed by more than one person: $70-80 \%$ and $50-70 \%$.

## Discussion

The results indicate that the phrases used by item writers to express frequency do not have an operational definition that is commonly shared. The mean value plus or minus one standard deviatior typically exceeded 25 percentage points.

Not only did the single values vary considerably among individuals, but the bands assigned to the phrases varied considerably as well. Phrases that had precise definitions (narrow bands) for one individual were not necessarily those that had precise definitions for the others. In addition, for some of the phrases (eg "often"), phrases were defined rather precisely, but there was little overlap among individuals in the values included in the band.

It should be noted that imprecise terms are used in our everyday speech and in our writing. Many of the phrases used in the study are also used in the text of this paper, without (it is hoped) creating any confusion. However, imprecise terms may cause confusion when they are used in the text of examination items.

These results have different implications for the various item formats. Problems created by vague terms are much more severe for true-false type items ( $\mathrm{K}_{-}, \mathrm{C}$ - and X-type items) than for one-best answer ( A - and B-type) items.

For example, imprecise terms cause major problems in multiple true-false items such as that listed below:

True statements about cystic fibrosis (CF) include: 1. CF is associated with short stature. 2. Children with CF usually die in their teens. 3. Males with CF are often sterile. 4. CF primarily affects the lungs.

Modifying the item by specifying exact numbers doesn't correct the problem. For example, the statement "the incidence in the US is l:2000" can not be judged as true or false. Making it more vague by stating "the incidence in the US is approximately $1: 2000^{\prime \prime}$ does not help since the band is not specified. In true/false items, the appropriate treatment of numerical items is either to generate a comparison (eg, the incidence of $C F$ is greater than that of juvenile diabetes) or to specify a range (eg, the incidence of $C F$ is greater than $1: 1500$ ).

The problem noted above with multiple true-false items is not as acute with well-constructed "one-best answer" items (ie, those that pose a clear question). For example, the following has a vague term in the stem, yet because the task is to select the one-best answer, the item is relatively unambiguous.

Children born with CF are most likely to die
A. before the age of 1
B. between the ages of 1 and 5
C. between the ages of 5 and 10
D. when they are teenagers
E. when they are in their 20s

Problems do arise with one-best answer items like the following:
Children with CF have problems with their digestive systems
A. frequently
B. usually
C. often
D. most of the time

The only way to make such an item worse is to use a fifth option "none of the above".

## TABLE 1

Summary Data for Frequency Terms

| Terms | Mean | SD |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Most of the time | 84.1 | 7.5 |
| Most likely to occur | 78.3 | 12.5 |
| Primarily | 77.9 | 13.1 |
| Most often | 72.7 | 15.0 |
| Usually | 71.9 | 10.4 |
| Likely to occur | 65.8 | 16.1 |
| Probably | 64.9 | 11.5 |
| Commonly | 62.6 | 16.2 |
| Frequently | 62.4 | 19.2 |
| Often associated with | 61.0 | 15.7 |
| Often | 60.5 | 18.4 |
| Associated with | 59.5 | 21.7 |
| Approximately half | 51.9 | 8.6 |
| Rarely | 8.2 | 5.5 |
| Almost never | 5.1 | 5.7 |

# Figure 1 <br> Distribution of the responses defining the phrase "Yost of the time" 

60 Xxx 1
75 XXXXXXXX 3
80 תXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX 9
85 XXXXXXXXXXXX 4
90 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX 12
99 XXX 1

| Mean | 84.133 | Std Err | 1.366 | Median | 85.000 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Mode | 90.000 | Std Dev | 7.482 | Variance | 55.982 |
| Kurtosis | 2.426 | S E Kurt | .833 | Skewness | -.994 |
| S E Skew | .427 | Range | 39.000 | Mininum | 60.000 |
| Maximum | 99.000 | Sum | 2524.000 |  |  |

## Figure 2

Distribution of the responses defining the phrast "Often"

20 XXXXXX 1
25 XXXXXX 1
30 XXXXXXXXXXXX 2
40 XXXXXXXXXXX 2
50 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX 3
60 KXXXXXXYXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX 7
70 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX 6
75 Xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx 4
80 XXXXXXXXXXXX 2
90 Xxxxxxxxxxx 2

| Mean | 60.500 | Std Err | 3.359 | Median | 60.000 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Mode | 60.000 | Sto Dev | 18.399 | Variance | 338.534 |
| Kurtosis | -.218 | S E Kurt | .833 | Skewness | -.623 |
| S E Skev | .427 | Range | 70.000 | Minimum | 20.000 |
| Maximum | 90.000 | Sum | 1815.000 |  |  |



Figure 3. Box plots showing distribution of responses for froquency teras

Figure 4
Bands Listed for
"Most of the Time"


Band $n$
51-99 1
60-95 1
$70.80 \quad 1$
70-85 1
70-90 6
70-99 1
$75.99 \quad 4$
80-90 1
80.954
80.993
85.954

85-99 2
95-99 1

Pigure 5
Bands Lisced for "Often"


| Band | n | Band | n |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| 10.30 | 1 | 50.99 | 1 |
| 20.40 | 1 | 55.65 | 1 |
| 25.35 | 1 | 60.80 | 4 |
| 25.99. | 1 | 60.81 | 1 |
| 30.50 | 1 | 60.90 | 1 |
| 30.60 | 1 | 65.75 | 1 |
| 35.65 | 1 | 65.80 | 1 |
| 40.60 | 1 | 70.80 | 2 |
| 40.75 | 1 | 70.89 | 1 |
| 50.70 | 2 | 70.90 | 1 |
| 50.75 | 1 | 75.99 | 1 |
| 50.80 | 1 | 80.00 | 1 |
|  |  | 85.99 | 1 |
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