## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 302 556 TM 012 543

AUTHOR Eissenberg, Thomas E.; Rudner, Lawrence M.

TITLE State Testing of Teachers: A Summary of Current

Practices. ERIC Digest No. 1.

INSTITUTION American Institutes for Research, Washington, DC.;

ERIC Clearinghouse on Tests, Measurement, and

Evaluation, Washington, DC.

SPONS AGENCY Office of Educational Research and Improvement (ED),

Washington, DC.

PUB DATE Jul 88

CONTRACT RI-88-062003

NOTE 3p.

PUB TYPE Information Analyses - ERIC Information Analysis

Products (071) -- Statistical Data (110)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*College Entrance Examinations; Higher Education;

\*Licensing Examinations (Professions); Scores; State Norms; \*State Programs; State Standards; \*Teacher

Certification; Teacher Education; \*Testing

Programs

IDENTIFIERS Fact Sheets; \*Teacher Competency Testing

#### ABSTRACT

A brief overview of the status of state programs designed to assess teacher competency is provided. Tests commonly used by states include tests of persons who apply to educational programs (admissions tests) and tests for graduates of teacher education programs (certification tests). Admissions tests are used by 24 states, while certification tests are used by 36 states. Most states rely on nationally developed tests, rather than designing tests of their own. Admissions tests help states screen people before they enroll in teacher education programs. Certification tests assess basic skills, professional skills, and subject knowledge. Tabulated data arranged by state (all 50 states, excluding the District of Columbia) on the current status of admissions and certification testing are included. (TJH)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document.



U S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve

reproduction quality

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

ERIC /TM

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) "

ERIC Clearinghouse on Tests, Measurement, and Evaluation

No. 1, July 1988

American Institutes for Research, 3333 K Street, NW, Washington, DC 20007

(202) 342-5060

# State Testing of Teachers: A Summary of Current Practices

by Thomas E. Eissenberg and Lawrence M. Rudner

ssessing teachers' competency has been an issue of increasing concern in the educational community throughout the last decade. In 1983, A Nation At Risk recommended that "Persons preparing to teach...demonstrate competence in an academic discipline." And in 1988, American Education: Making it Work recommends testing "current teachers as well as new teachers for competency."

In response to this concern, the number of states that now requires at least one of the two most common forms of teacher testing has grown from only 10 states before 1980 to 46 in 1988.

## Which Tests Do States Commonly Use?

States commonly use two types of tests to assess prospective teachers:

- Admissions tests: for people who apply to teacher education programs
- Certification tests: for graduates of teacher education programs before they begin teaching

Considering admissions tests and certification tests together, only four states (Alaska, Iowa, South Dakota, and Vermont) do not currently assess or plan to assess their teachers. Also, most chates rely on nationally developed tests rather than designing tests of their own.

## How Many States Use These Tests?

Admissions Tests: Admissions tests help states to screen people before they enroll in teacher education programs. Twenty-four states have now begun admissions testing. Wisconsin is expected to use admissions tests next year and Ohio intends to do so, with tests determined by each teacher education program, in 1991.

Though the tendency is for states to rely on existing assessment tests, the instruments are varied. Of the 24 states that now use admissions standards:

17 use existing tests

4 allow colleges of education to choose tests

3 use only tests that are custom designed

Certification Tests: Certification tests are the most common form of teacher assessment. Thirty-six states now use them. Massachusetts intends to use them in 1989, and Ohio and Michigan both plan to have programs by 1991.

As with admissions tests, states commonly choose existing certification tests rather than designing their own. Of the 36 states that now use certification tests:

26 use existing tests

8 use tests that are custom designed

2 use a combination of existing tests and tests that are custom designed

Certification tests assess three areas of knowledge:

- Basic skills: teachers' competency in reading, writing, and mathematics. Of the 35 states that identified the skills they test or intend to test, 27 said they test basic skills.
- Professional skills: teachers' ability to teach. At least 23 of the states reported that they test professional skills.
- Subject knowledge: teachers' expertise in their areas of specialization. Twenty-four of the stat 'said they test subject knowledge.

See the table—the next page for the current status of admissions and certification tests by state. To prepare this digest, ERIC updated a version of the tables found in What's Happ oning in Teacher Testing (1987).

## References

Rudner, L.M. (ed.), What's Happening in Teacher Testing
Washington, DC. Office of Educational Research and
Improvement, 1987 (ERIC No. ED284867)

Sandefur, J.T. (1984). "Standards for Admission to Teacher Education Programs. An Issue Paper Prepared for the Minnesota Higher Education Coordination Board." (ERIC No. ED251417)

The National Commission on Excellence in Education American

Education: Making it Work. Washington, DC: US Department of
Education, 198.

The National Commission on Excellence in Education, A Nation At Risk. Washington, DC: US Department of Education, 1983.

	Admission Tests				Certification Tests					
itate	Data	Test <sup>1</sup>	Pass <sup>2</sup> Rate	Passing <sup>3</sup> Scores	Test	Pass Rate	Passing Scores	Coverage		
	Revised							basic	prof	subj
labama	1987	Custom	80%		Custom	85%			Yes	Yes
ilaska irizona	1987 1987	PPST	79 (1986)	173,172,174	_ Custom	78 (1985)		Yes	Yes Yes	Yes
rkansas alifornia	1987 1987	CBEST	77 (1986)		NTE CBEST	74 (1986)		Yes		
olorado	1988	CAT Custom	58 (1986) 55 (1986)	75%1le	NTE					Yes
Connecticut Delaware 'lorida	1987 1987 1988	SAT, ACT	<b>33</b> (1000)	40%ile	PPST Custom Custom	69 (1983-6) 82 (1980-7) 78 (1981-6)	175,175,172	Yes Yes	Yes	Yes Yes
leorgia Iawaii	1987 1987	<del>-</del>			NTE	73 (1986)	647,651,648 646,652,648	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes
daho Ilinois ndiana	1988 1987 1988	- -			NTE Custom NTE	88	647,653,646	Yes	Yes	Yes Yes
owa Kansas	1987 1988	<u>-</u>			PPST, NTE	94 (1986)	168,168,170	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes
Kansas Kentucky Jouisiana Maine	1987 1988 1987	CTBS NTE	63 (1985)	12.5 GES ,645,644	NTE NTE NTE	93 (1985) 90 (1986)	637,643,641 644,645,645	Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes
Maryland	1988				NTE Planned for 1	989		Yes	1 es	165
Aassachusetts Aichigan Ainnesota Aississippi	1988 1988 1988	- COMP		170	Planned for 1 PPST NTE	£ 31	173,169,172 642,647,645		Yes	Yes Yes
Missouri	1987	SAT, ACT		800,18	NTE	92 (1986)	644,648,648	Yes	Yes	
Montana Nebraska Nevada	1988 1988 1987	PPST PPST	95 (1985)	170,171,172 169,169,170	PPST PPST		170,171,172	Yes		Yes
New Hampshire New Jersey	1988 1988	-			PPST NTE	74 (1986) 83 (1986)	173,174,175 646,—,—	Yes		Yes
New Mexico	1987	Misc			NTE NTE	88 (1985) 79 (1986)	645,644,630 650,649,646	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes
New York North Carolina North Dakota	1988 1988 1988	NTE Misc		636,631,—	NTE  - Planned for 1	80 (1985)	<b>-</b> , <b>-</b> ,644	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ohio Oklahoma	1987 1987	Misc (Plann	ed for 1991)		Custom	81 (1982-5)		Yes		Yes
Oregon Pennsylvania	1987 1988	CBEST -	77 (1986)		CBEST NTE, Custon	80 (1986) n	649,657,648	Yes	Yes Yes	Ye
Rhode Island South Carolina	1988 1988	_ Custom	81(1987)		NTE NTE, Custon	n			Yes	Yes
South Dakota Tennessee Texas	1988 1988 1987	PPST PPST Misc	71 (1987)	169,169,172 171,172,173		85 (1987)	651,647,643	3 Yes	Yes Yes	Ye Ye
Utah Vermont	1987 1988	Misc -			NTE		639,649,63	9 Yes	Yes	Ye
Virgina Washington	1988 1987	Custom,	٦	80,700,16	-					
West Virginia	1988	SAT, ACT PPST, COMP	81 (1987) 95 (1987)	172,172,171 17	Custom	88 (1987)	140			Ye
Wisconsin Wyoming	1987 1988		nned for 1989)		-					
Totals		26	Mean = 70	8	39	Mean = 8	33	27	23	24

<sup>1</sup> ACT = the American College Testing Program. CAT = the California Achievement Test. COMP = the College Outcomes Measures Project. CTBS = the California Test of Basic Skills. SAT = the Scholastic Apitude Test. PPST = the Pre-Professional Skills Test. CBEST = the California Basic Education Skills Test.

Office of Educational Research and Improvement U.S. Department of Education This publication was prepared with funding from the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education under contract No. RI-88-062 303. The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the position or policies of OERI or the Department of Education.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Passing rates may le cumulative, per administration, or for the most difficult subtest. Because of the different definitions, the mean value is only approximate.

Passing scores for the PPST are for the reading, mathematics, and writing portions. Passing scores for the NTE are for the general knowledge, communication skills, and the professional knowledge portions.