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## ABSTRACT

Goal-referenced tests and standardized achievement tasts were used to assess the basic skill levels for reading, writing, and mathematics of students attending Parkrose Public Schools of Portland (Oregon) during the 1983-84/1985-86 period. Focus is on estimating the instructional program's success, providing a resource for planning and implementing new programs, and communicating results of achievement to the community. Data are provided for Prescott, Russell, Sacramento, Shaver, and Sumner Elementary Schools; and middle and high schools in the district. The goal-referenced tests assess achievement in grades 3 and 5 in reading. For writing, the assessment covers grades 4, 7, and 11. Mathematics achievement is assessed for grades 3 and 6. Standardized achievement tests survey broad curriculum content for mathematics and readings for grades 3 through 9. Data are also are provided for high school graduates' college grades and on truancy. Sixty-nine bar graphs present the data. (TJH)
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Educational measurement and evaluation describe the procedures which help determine the surcess or failure of educational programs and activities designed to increase student learning. The educational process is as dependent upon them as it is dependent upon the curriculum or what we teach, and instruction or how we teach it.

Measurement is the task: of collecting valid information about students' performance in a manner which yields consistent results. Traditionally, measurement takes the form of written tests. However, other measurement can include testing the success of giving a speech, performing a musical piece or setting up a laboratory experiment. To avoid confusion, all forms of measurement used to collect information on student performance will be called assessment.

Evaluation is the task of drawing conclusions about sicudent performance from the assessment information collected. Evaluation is much mare subjective than assessment, because it relies on our informed judgment. Thus, our interpretation of the assessment is what we use to determine the success of educational programs.

The document you have in your hands combines the results of as ossment and the conclusions of evaluation from this distr-i to:

1. Estimate the success of the instructional program,
2. Serve as a resource for planning and implementing new programs,
3. Communicate the general results of achievement to our community.

The Tests.
The daia used to cievelop this report came from various sources. Goal-referenced tests and standardazed achievement. tests were used to assess the basic skills of reading, writing and mathematics.

Goal-referenced tests assessed the achievement of District-established objectives. These tests cover. achievement in grades 3 and 5 in reading. In writing, the assessment covers grades 4, 7 and 1.1. An explanation of the scoring of the writing enerrises is given in the ESSENTIAL LEARNING Appendi:. Mathematics achievement is assessed in grades 3 and $G$. A listing of the cobjectives tested may be found in the section ESSENTIAL. LFAFNINGS of the Appendi.

Standardized achievement tests survey broad curriculum content which is assumed to be covered by our instruction, but may not be specific to our curr.iculum or may come ot a different time in our curriculum. Standardized test results in reading and mathematics from grades 3 through 9 were used to develop this evaluation report. The results are used to compare our students with students regionally and nationally. Al irough these tests were designed to provide comparisons, that is their primary drawback: In the scope of learning, it is nore valuable to determine what a student or group of students knows, than whether a student or group of students knows more than another.

The goal-referenced tcsts were given in the Spring. The standardized tests were given in the Fall of 1983 and 1984. The following year, Spring testing began. The test jnterval between the year 1984-85 and 1985-86 is from Fall to the next Spring. Thus, the enpected increases in student achievement would be greater from 1984-85 to 1985-86, because of the e:t.ended opportuni.y for instruction between testing.

## The Results

Third Grade. Mathematics tests consistently showed evidence of declines this yrar from the previous years ar:d since 1984. The percentage of students passing all goals was down, particularly at Russell, Shaver and Sumner. Although, the averages on the standardized tests were stable or up from previous years, the percentage of students performing significantly bel ow average was markedly higher.

Reading test scores provided evidence of lower achievement. The percentage of students passing all goals was down from previous years, most notably at Sumner. The averages on standardized tests were generally unchanged; however, the percentage of students performing significantly below average was greater.

Fourth Grade. Standardized test results in mathematics indicated increases in aver-ages at Frescott, Russell and Shaver. The other schools were stable. A positive highligin is the decrease in the percentage of students performing significantly below average at Frescott, Fussell and Shaver.

Standardized reading results were less favorable. Averages were down at Sacramento and Shaver, and stable at the other schools. Farticularly noticeable was the increase in the percentage of students achieving significantly below average. Shaver's percentage change over four years was considerable.

The results of the writing exercise suggest that the percentage of students in the higher perfor-ming group declined, but in the lower performing group it increased. Frescott School is the e::ception, where the percentages have remained relatively stable.

Fifth Grade. The standardized test averages in mathematics are stable or have increased slightly. However, four of the five schools showed an increase in the percentage of students performing significantly below aver-age. Only Shaver showed no change in percentage among this low performing group.

The percentage of students passing all reading goals declined at Fussell and Sacramento. The percentages wer-e stable at the remaining schools. The standardized reading test averages were higher at Frescott and Sumner, and remained unchanged at Fussell, Sacramento and Shaver. Fiussell showed a decrease in the percentage of students performing significantly below average, but all others showed an increase.

Sixth Grade. The percentage of students passing all mathematics goals was up at Shaver, but down at Sacr-amento, Fussell and Sumner. Standardized mathematics test averages were generally stable; however, Shaver's average was up slightly. All schools were consistent in that the percentage of students performing significantly below average increased.

The standardized reading test aver-ages indicated relatively little change in achievement. The same pattern among students performing significantly below average was found: marked increases for all schools, but particularly Sumner.

Seventh Erade. Standardized mathematics and reading test averages were relatively unchanged. Similarly, the percentage of students performing significantly below average was stable.

Writing assessment results indicate declines in performance. There has been a decline in the percentage of high scoring students and an increase in the low scoring category. Also, the percentage of student.s scoring in the high proofreading category declined.

Eighth Grade. Mathematics and reading achievement averages are relatively stable. The percentage of students performing significantly below average is stable in reading and down slightly in mathematics.

Ninth Grade. The results of The California Achievement Test indicated a shift in the percentage of students performing at the top quarter down to the next lower quarter of the national ssores in reading, mathematics and language arts. Generally, the percentage of students in the lowest two quarters remained stable.

Eleventh Grade. This year marked the first year where no students performed at the low category of writing per-formance. There was a slight increase in the percentage of students in the high category. By far, most students achieve at the middle level of writing scores.

1985 Graduates. State college academic performance of the Class of 1985 was compared with all Oregon college freshmen. The performance in foreign languages and ar-ts and letters, was slightly higher than other freshmen. Writing and social science were comparable to all freshmen. The weakest course areas were in mathematics and science--greater percentage of Ds and $F 5$, and fewer As.and Bs.

Student Transiency. Students entering or withdrawing during the school year is a natural occurrence in school buildings. This phenomenon is referred to as transiency.

Transiency may adversely affect the students' achievement through lack of continuity of iristruction within the district and lack of continuity of curriculum between districts. Evaluation of the educational program in a building and between buildings must consider the transiency factor.

To evaluate transiency, percent of student transfers and withdrawals were used. For each school, the total per-centage of students withdrawing and the total percentage of students entering for the first time or during the school year was collected and graphed. The graphs appear in the section TRANSIENCY of the Appendi\%.

At the elementary level, both sets of information indicate that certain schools have more transiency than others. However, with two exceptions the trends have been rather stable over the past four year.s. Among the elementary schools, Sumner has had the most transiency and had a noticeable increase this past year. Frescott declined in 1986 and stayed at that level in 1987.

At the secondary level, the trends .ince 1984 for transiency are fairly constant. The high school has more
percentage withdrawals (26-32\%) than the middle school (15$23 \%$ ). The percentages of transfers are similar for both schools and very stable: $13-21 \%$ since 1984.

With the exception of a one year increase at Sumner and a small decline at Frescott, transiency has been relatively stable across the district. From this conclusion we can infer that the effect of transiency on student performance is relatively stable also. Thus, changes in student performance are not related to transiency.

## Summary

This section is designed to summarize the district evaluation in the basic skill areas of mathematics, reading and writing. School level evaluations are located in the appropr-iate sections following this Overview. All conclusions were drawn from detailed datia which appear in the graphs found in the Appendix, organized by assessment categories. Some very clear conclusions concerning student performance are evident.

District-wide student performance in the curriculum goal areas has:

1. Declined markedly in mathematics in both grades tested,
2. Declined in reading in third grade and remained somewhat stable at fifth grade,
3. Declined slightly in writing at fourth and seventh grade and increased slightly at eleventh.
Average standardized test scores in grades three through eight have remained stable in reading and mathematics. However, the percentage of students performing significantly below average has increased considerably in grades three through si\%. This suggests that while the proportion of students at the higher achievement level is increasing so is the proportion at the lower achievement level. The average changes little when the numbers at the extremes increase (or secrease) by the same amount.

Evaluation at the high school level is based upon ninth grade standardized tests, eleventh grade writing exercises and graduates' freshman college grades. The percentage of ninth graders performing in the top quarter on standardized tests of reading, mathematics anr anguage arts has decreased. Writing assessment indrcates improvements, primarily through elimination of students performing at the low level of performance. Finally, 1985 graduates did as well in their college freshman year as other freshmen, e::cept for lower grades in science and mathematics.

## QVERVIEW: Prescott Elementary School

This overview is based upon data from goal-based mathematics tests in the third and sixth grades, standardized mathematics tests in grades $3-6$, goal-based reading tests in the third and fifth grades, writing assessment in grade four and scandardized reading tests in grades 3-6. General trends since 1984 are summarized in this section. If more specific information is needed consult the detailed evaluation section that follows. For reference to the supporting data, consult the bar graph figures in the Appendices.

Mathematics. The average standardized test scores have increased in grades $3-5$ and remained stable at grade si:. However, there is a consistent increase in the percentage of students performing significantly below average.

The percentage of students passing all mathematics goals declined in third grade and was stable jn sixth grade. In third grade, addition/subtraction, multiplication, measurement and numeration were areas of decline in the percentage of students passing. Declines in sixth grade seemed most attributable to decimals and fractions.

Reading. The average standardized test scores increased in grades follr and five, and were stable in three and six. The percentage of students performing significantly below average was relatively unchanged in third and fourth grades, but increased in fifth and sixth grades.

Students passing all goals decreased in grade three. Declines in all strands were also present. Fifth grade reading goals were relatively unchanged.

Writing. Faragraph writing performance in fourth grade was relatively unchanged.

BRADE THREE (enrollment of 5B)
Goal-based mathematics.
There is a declining trend at the third grade for performance on goal-based mathematics achievement. From 1984 to 1987 the percentage of students passing all goals has dropped from 78 to $50 \%$. There has been a derline every year, although this year saw the smallest decline of only $5 \%$. Five percent fewer students translates roughly ints three fewerstudents passing. However, the four year change translates into about 15 fewer students (assuming an enrollment of about 58).

The specific strand areas of addition/subtraction, multiplication, measurement and numeration are responsible for the overall drop in goals passed. In every case, declines over four years are at least $25 \%$ fewer students passing these strand areas.

Fercentages of students passing addition with regrouping, concepts and problem solving have been relatively stable.

Standardized Mathematics Test Achievement.
The average standard score this year stayed even with last year's performance. The past two years are at the high end of the county-wide figures of 185-198.

Nevertheless, there is an increase in the number of sturdents performing below average, from $5.9 \%$ in 1984 to $18 \%$ in 1987. This suggests that while the better students ars getting better, the lower students are falling behind somewhat. Thus, the average is maintained, while more students appear in the low achievement range.

Goal-based Reading Achievement
The 1987 year showed the largest decline in the percent of students passing all goals. Only $47 \%$ passed this year compared to the four year high of $78 \%$. This suggests (assuming an enrollment of 58) about 18 fewer stidents are passing all reading goals.

All strand areas: word analysis; vocabulary, literal and interpretive comprehension declined.

Literal and interpretive comprehension have been reported specifically for two years.

Standardized Reading Achievement
The average standard score for this year is comparable to last year (196 vs 197), both of which are in the upper range of the county average.

The percentage of students scoring in the below average rarige increased slightly, but is relatively stable.

GRADE FOUR (enrollment of 53)
Standardized Mathematics Achievement
The standard score average ir zreased significantly this year from 157 to $2 \pi 4$. The score is at the middle of the county-wide average range.

Of greater merit is the significant drop in the number of students performing in the below average range. last yea 36\% of the students were performing below average whereas this year only $11 \%$. Given an enrollment of 53 , this translates into 13 fewer students achieving significantly below average.

Standardized Reading Achievement
Gradual increases have been made in student performance in the last four years. The standard score average increased from 196 to 294 which is now above the county-wide avarage.

The number of students in the below average range has remained relatively stable. About $10 \%$ of the students perform significantly below average.

Writing Assessment
Faragraph writing performance is assessed by holistic scoring. Then the scores are placed into one of three categories: high ( 7,8 ), average $(4,5,6)$ and low ( 2,3 ).

This past year there was " smal. increase in the number of students in the high range (15\%). Nevertheless, there still were $7 \%$ of the students in the low range (about 4 students).

GRADE FIVE (enrollment of 45) Goal-based Reading Achievement

The percentag of students passing alj goals has declined to $50 \%$ from a four year high of $73 \%$ in 1985. The net gain in four years is +3\%.

In terms of the specific goal areas, the percentage of students passing in word analysis stayed at the level from last year, vocabulary remains stable compared $t$, the previous three years, literal comprehension saw a modest gain of $4.5 \%$ and interpretive comprehension declined $5 \%$.

Standardized Reading Achievement
The average standard store declined to 206 from 211 in 1986. The net effect over four years is t6 units. The average of 206 is right in the middle of the county wide average range.

Of more serious nete is the increase in the percentage of students performing significantly below average. Alttrough stable for 1984-E6, this past year saw an increase of 9 percentage points to $28 \%$. This translates to about 4 more students falling in this low score range compared to earlier year.s.

Mtandardized Matinmatices Achievemant
The average standard score declined slightly to 205 , a loss of 3 units which is not a significant change. The net. improvement over four years is +3 units. The overall level of performance is within tife county-wide average range, but on the lower end.

A signifirant change is noted in the percentage of students performing significantly below average. This past year 38\% of the students scored in this low range, an increase cf 19 percentage points from the previous year. The net effect over four years has been $24 \%$ or about an additional 11 students in this low range based upon an enrollment. figure of 45).

GRADE SIX (enrollment of 32 )
Goal-based Mathematics Achievement
The percentage of students passing all goals is unchanged from 1.986, but down seven percentage points since 1984. The major-ity of the decline seems to be attributable to decimals (net of $-29 \%$ ) and fractions (net of $-34 \%$ ). The area of whole numbers showed a four year decline of only $5 \%$.

Standardized Mathematics Achievement
The standard score average of 215 units for 1987
reflects little change over the past four years. Ther-e was a two unit net increase since 1984. This level is nearly at the top of the county-wide average range of 216.

Although this average level has been maintained, the percentage of students significantly below average has increased markedly. The percentage this year stands at $35 \%$, up 20 percentage points from 1986 and nearly 30 since 1984. The stable averages, but increasing number of students below average suggest at least one explanation. The better students are achieving higher, but the lower students are achieving less. Thus, the increase on the higher end offsets the increase on the lower end and the net effect or average is unchanged.

Standardized Reading Achievement
The standard score average of 216 units was slightly Jower in 1987 than the previous year.

A marked change, however, was evident in the percentage of students performing significantly below average. The percentage was $26 \%$, up from $3.9 \%$ in 1963 and up $15 \%$ since 1984. As discussed before, when averages stay constant, but the lower segment of students increases i.t is probably herause the upper students have done better.

## OVERVIEW: Rumesil EImmentary School

This overview is based upon data from goal-based mathematics tests in the third and sixth grades, standardized mathematics tests in grades $3-6$, goal-based reading tests in the third and fifth grades, writing assessment in grade four and standardized reading tests in grades $3-6$. General trends are summarized in this seciion. If more specific information is needed consult the detailed evaluation section that follows. For reference to the supporting data, consult the bar graph figures in the Appendices.

Mathematics. Standardized test averages were at or above average; however, the percentage of students performing significantly below average has increased in all grades.

The percentage of students passing all goals was down appreciably in the two grades tested: third and sixth. In third grade, only problem solving and measurement performance were reasonably stable. In sixth grade the areas of weakness were fractions and decimals.

Reading. Standardized aver ages were generally stable. With fifth grade as the exception, the percentage of stuclents performing significantly below average was on the increase.

The percentage of students passing all goals was oown in third and fifth grade. Third grade weatness appears in the areas of word analysis, literal and interpretive comprehension. The specific strand areas in sixth grade were relatively stable.

Writing. The low performing group in paragraph writing had an increase in percentage of students. The average group saw a decline and the high group was stable.

GRADE THREE (enrollment of 43)
Goall-based Mathematics.
This past year a pronounced drop in the percentage of students passing all goals in mathematics is evident. After a three year trend of increases in passing all goals; 1987 saw a drop of $60 \%$ to only $26 \%$ passing. This translates into about 25 fewer students passing all goals (assuming enrollment to be 43).

The strand areas that appear more responsible for this decline are multiplication, numeration, addition with regrouping, addition/subtraction, and concepts. The declines exceeded 25\% fewer students passing. Froblem solving and measurement were more stable, although declines were evident.

The percentages of multiplication, measurement and concepts have been high.

Standardized Mathematics Achievement.
The average standard score ( 194 units) was maintained at last year's level, which was at the higher end of the county wide average range of $185-198$.

However, the downward trend in the number of students scor-ing below average has reversed. The percentage of students significantly below the average range has increased to $14 \%$ or about 6 more students than last year (based upon an enrollment figur-e of 43).

There is an apparent contradiction between maintenance of higher averages and increase in the number of students below average range. It is likely that this is due to the above average students doing better, but the below average students doing poorer. Thus, overall the average is maintained, while more students appear in the low achievement range.

## Goal-based Reading Achievement

This past year- saw a reversal of the two year increases in student performance. The percentage of students passing all goals was 48\% or about 20 students (based upon enrollment of 43). Nearly $20 \%$ fewer students are passing all goals.

Declines in all strand areas: vocabulary, word analysis, literal and intempretive comprehension were evident. Literal and interprotive comprehension were specificelly repor-ted for the last two years only.

Standardized Reading Achievement
The average standard score for this year is comparabie to last year (195 vs 198), beth of which are in the upperrange of the county aver-age.

The percentage of students scoming in the below average range continued to increase. In $19848 \%$ of the students were performing in the below average range. This past year there were $16 \%$. This translates into about 3 more students using enr-ollment figures of 43.

The standard score average for this year is similar to last year's 2\%4. This is above the count' wide average of 202.

A sign of improvement is a small decline in the number of students performing significantly below average. This year was $14 \%$ or roughly 6 students, which continues down modestly compared to the 1985 high of $17 \%$.

Standardized Reading Achievement
The standard score average this year j.s similar to last: year, standing at 205 or three points higher than the countywide average.

However, this is the third consecutive year that the number of students in the range significantly bel ow average has increased. It also is the highest level in four years. The percentage stands at 17 , up from $10 \%$ last year. The stable average with an increase in the weaker students, suggests the better students are getting better. As discussed early, the effect of a greater number of lower students is cancelled out by increases among the better students.

## Writing Assessment

Faragraph writing per-formance is assessed by holistic scoring and then the scores are placed into one of three categories: high ( 7,8 ), average ( $4,5,6$ ), low ( 2,3 ).

The number of students in the high group has remained stable: in the $10-14 \%$ range. The average range saw a drop this year from $96 \%$ to $71 \%$. When the high group is stable and the aver-age or middle group drops, the remainder is found in the low group. This is what happened and rather noticeably, from $6 \%$ in 1986 to $14 \%$ in 1987. This is the highest percent for the low group in the last four years.

GRADE FIVE (enrollment of 44)
Standardized Mathematics Achievement
The standard score average increased 4 units to 212 in 1987. This is a net increase of only 6 units in four years. The current average is within the upper half of the countywide average rangen

The perrentage of students performing significantly below average declined to $2 \% \%$ or about 9 students based upon an erorollment figure of 44. Nevertheless, this percentage is well above the $56 \%$ range during the :'ears 1984 and 1.985. The higher average plus the continued higher: percentage in the low range suggests that the better students are getting better, but the borderline students are not faring as well.

## Goal-based Reading Achievement

The percentage of students passing all goals declined slightly in 1987 to $32 \%$. This is a net change in four year.s of -6\%. Specific. goal area compar-isons reveal a notable increase in literal (10\%) and interpretive (14\%)
comprehension. Word analysis and vocabulary show slight
increases, but the net effect over four years was $-13 \%$ and zero respectivelyn

The apparent discrepancy between decline in all goals when there are increases in individual goal areas suggests differential passing among students. In other words, all students pass some goals, but few pass the same goal areas consis ently. The net effect is to see a decline in all goals passed.

## Standardized Reading Achievement

The average standard score remained constant in 1987 at 209 units. This is an increase of 2 units since 1984. The average is in the upper half of the county-wide average range. This level of performance has been very stable for the last four years. However, two years of Fall results compared to Spring results should show greater gains.

A noticeable trend has occurred among the students performing significantly below average. Since 1.984 there has been an increase of 15 percentage points or about 6 more students (figuring an enrollment of 44).

GRADE SIX (enrollment of 55)
Boal-based Mathematics Achievement
The percentage of students passing all goals dropped precipitously from $46 \%$ in 1986 to $15 \%$ in 1987. In four years the percentage of students passing all goals has declined 60 percentage points from $76 \%$ Appro:imately 33 fewer students are passing all goals now than in 1984.

Within the specific goal areas of whole numbers, fractions and decimals all show large declines. However, the areas of decimals and fractions have the largest drops: from 80 to 29 and 89 to 18 percent, respectively.

Standardized Mathematics Achievement
The standard score average declined 5 units in 1.987 , but the net change over four years is only +5 unj.ts. This places the grade level in the middle of the county-wide average range.

A marked change however is in the students performing gignificantly below average. Their numbers increased to $3 \% \%$ from $7 \%$ in 1986 . Thus, based upon an enrollment figure of 5s, nearly 1.3 more students are performing significantly below average.

## Standardized Reading Achievement

The standard score average for 1987 is very consistent with the last four years, standing at 215 units. This score is right within the county-wide average range.

An increase in the percentage of students per-forming significantly bellow average was noted this year. The change was from $4 \%$ in 1986 to 1.6 in 1987. The net change over four years is $+1 \% \%$, or appro\%imately 5 more students in this low group (based upon an enrollment figure of 55).

## QUERVIEW: Sacramento Elementary School

This overview is based upon data from goal-based mathematics tests in the third and sixth grades; standardized mathematics tests in grades $3-6$, goal-based reading tests in the third and fifth grades, writing assessment in fourth grade and standardized reading tests in grades 3-6. General trends are summarized in this section. If more specific information is needed consult the detailed evaluation section that follows. For reference to the supporting data, consult. the bar graph figures jin the Appendices.

Mathematics. Average standardized scores were on the increase at the third gradey but stable or down slightly in the other grades. With the exception of the fourth grade, which was generally stable, all grades had increases in the per-centage of students performing significantly below average.

The percentage of students passing all goals declined. Gpecific areas of weakness seem to be multiplication and numeration : $n$ third grade and decimals and fractions in sixth grade.

Reading. Average standardized scores were generally stable e:cept for fourth grade which showed a decline. The percentage of students performjing significanily below aver age has j.ncreased across all grades.

The percentage of students passing all goals was down. literal and interpretive comprehension in the third grade; and vocabulary and word analysis in the sioth grade accounted for much of the dectine.

Writing. The perceritage of students performing in the high range of paragraph writing was down. The opposite was timue of the jow range. The "Aver-age" performance group was stable.

GRADE THREE (enrollment of 58)
Boal-based Mathematics. .
The percentage of students passing all goals has declined slightely over the last four years. The notable drop occurred this past year, from $70 \%$ to $49 \%$ passing all goals. Multiplication and numeration seem to be more responsible for this decline than the other strands. In fact the other strands show an increase (addition/subtraetion and problem solving) or stability (addition with regrouping, concepts and measurement.).

The fact that all goals showed a decline, but most strands are stable or show an increase suggests that the third grade is passing severaj strands. However, the strands they are passing are not the same, thus the net effect on passing all goals is negative.

Standardized Mathematics Achievement.
The average standard score of 199 was similar to last year's levels, which was at the highest end of the countywide average range of 185-198.

However, the downward trend in the number of students scowing significantly bel ow aver age has reversed. The percentage of students below the average range has jincreased to $17 \%$ or about 10 more students than last year (based upon an enrollment figure of 58 ).

There is an apparent contradiction between maintenance of higher averages and increase in the number of students below average range. It is likely that this is due to the above average students doing better, but the below average students doing poorer. Thus, overall the average is maintained, while more students eppear in the low achievement range.

## Goal-based Reading Achievement

This past year saw a reversal. of three years of stable student performance. The percentage of students passing all. goals was $44 \%$ or about 25 students based upon enrolliment of 58). About 25\% fewer students are passing all goals than in the three previous years.

Declines in the strand areas: liter-al and interpretive romprehensjon were more evjdent. Word analysis are relatively stahle. Literal and interpretive comprehension were sperifically tested for the last two years only.

## Standardized Reading Achievement

The standard score average remained stable relative to 1.ast year's average (197 vs 199). This level is nearly at the top of the average range.

However, the percentage of students per.forming in the below aver-age range increased to the 1984-85 range. From only $4.5 \%$ in 1986 to $15 \%$ this year is an increase of about 10 students performing significantly below average.

The fact that the averages stay up when moree students perform below the average range, suggests that the better
students are getting better while the weaker students are falling behind. The two groups balance out, showing no net change overall.

## GRADE FOUR (enrollment of 70 )

Standardized Mathematics Achievement
The standard score average dropped five units this past year from 209 to 2904 , but is still above county average.

The percentage of students performing significantly bel ow average remajns unchanged from last year, and is below the twr previous years. The percentage of students performing significantly below average is $8.7 \%$. This translates to about 6 students.

Standardized Reading Achievement
The standard score average declined to 201 from last year's 2077--a signjficant drop.

Concurmentily, there was an increase in the number of students scoring signifigantly below average. It now stands at $1.6 \%$ or roughly 11. students. The last four years have seen an increasing trend in the number of students significantly below average.

## Writing Assessment

The results of paragraph writing performance suggest a trend of fewer students sconing in the high range and slightly more scoring in the low range. Since 1985, there has been a 26 percentage point drop in the number of students seor-ing at the high range (holistic score of 8 or 7). Whereas there has been a $1 \%$ percentage point increase in students scoring in the lowest range (scores of 3, 2).

GRADE FIVE (enrollment of GG)
Standardized Mathematics Achievement
The standard score average increased one unit this past. year to 217. Although not significant from the previous year it is now higher than the count:y-wide average range. The current leval maintains the net increase of 9 units sinee 1984.

Nevertheless, the number of students performeng significantly below average has riser to $12 \%$ or a net increase of eight percentage points in the last four years. This translates into approsimately 5 more students in this 1.ow group since 1984.

Goal-based Reading Achievement
The percentage of students passing all goals has cerlined si:i percentage points since 1984, although this past year saw an increase of nine points. This drop seems to be more attributable to the goal areas of vocabulary and word anal.ysis where the changes are more noticeable than among liter.al and interpretive comprehension.

Standardized Reading Achievement
The standard score average of 212 remains about the same as 1986, still in the upper nalf of the courity-wide average range. The net growth in fo... years has been to units.

There was a slight increase in the percentage of students performing in the significantly below average range: two percentage points increase this year and nearly eight points since 1984.

## GRADE SIX

Goal-based Mathematics Achievement
The percent of students passing all goals dropped slightly in 1987 from 1986. The percentage stands at $47 \%$. The four year riet change is more marked: $70 \%$ in 1.984 to $47 \%$ currently. This translates to approximately 11 more students unable to pass all goals than in 1984.

The specific goal areas of fractions and decimals seem to contribute most to the overall decline. Here the net change is down $28 \%$ and $28 \%$, respectively. Whole numbers showed a more modest decjine of $1 \% \%$.

Standardized Mathematics Achievement
The standard score average dropped 6 units in 1987 to a level of 234. This is still above the county-wide average range, Compared to the previous three years, this is a net change of +8 units.

Nevertheless, this past year- saw a marked increase in the number of students performing significantly below arerage. It stands at $14 \%$, up $15 \%$ from last year and $7 \%$ from 1.984.

Standardized Reading Achievement
The standard score average declined to 215 units from T19 in 1986. This level is in the middle of the county-wide average range. The four year net change is +4 units.

The percentage of students performing significantly bel ow average was $16 \%$. This is an increase of 14 percentage points from the previous year and an increase of 8 points since 1984. Fecall that for comparison, averages can be staljle when more students perform poorly as long as the higher sturdents perform better over time.

## OVERVIEW: Shaver Elementary School

Thir overview $i s$ bessed upon data from goal-based mathematics tests in the third and sixth gradesy standardyzed mathematics tests in grades 3-6, goal-based raading tests in the third and ijfth graden, writing assessment in grade four and standardized reading tests in grades 3-6. General trends are summarised in this section. If more specjfic information is needed consult the detailed evaluation section that
follows. For rofer ance to the supporting data, consult the bar graph figures in the Appendices.

Mathematics. Average standardized scores were stable in grades three and fives and up in fourth and sixth grades. Nevertheless, in all but grade five, the percentage of students perforfing significantly below average was on the increase.

The percentage of students passing all goals was down markedly in grade three, but up in grade six. The third grade weaknesses seem to be with addition/subtraction, multiplication and addition/subtraction with regrouping. The strengths in sixth grade seem to be with whole numbers and decimals.

Reading. Average standardized scor-es were generrally stable, although down in fourth grade. The percentage of students performing significantly below average was up considerably, particularly in the fourth grade.

The percentage of students passing all goals was dowin in third grade and stable in fifth grade. Word analysis semen to be the weal: area in grade three. Word analysis, literal and interpretive comprehension were improved in sixth grade.

Writing. Ferformance in paragraph writing indicated a stable percentage of students performing at the high level, a decline in students performing at the average jevel and more students performjing it the low level.

GRADE THREE (enrollment of 49)
Goal-based mathematics.
This past year saw a sizable drop in the percentage of students passing all goais. In contrast to three stable years, a $41 \%$ decline in the percentage of students passing occurred. Based upon an enrollment figure of 49 , only 9 students passed all goals.

Within the strañds addition/subtraction, multiplication, numeration and addition with regrouping, about $1 \%$ fewer students did not pass. Thus, these strands seem to be more responsible for the overall declines.

The results for problem solving, measurement and concepts have been more stable.

## Standardized Mathematics Achievement

The standard score average of 195 remained comparable to the 1986 level which is in the upper end of the county-wide average range of 185-198.

There is a growing number of weaker students. The percentage of students performing significantly below average range has consistently increased to the present 21\%. Based on an enrollment of 49, about 10 students are performing at the lower end this past year compared to $4 \%$ in 1984.

There appears to be a contradiction: higher averages since 1984, but more students in the below average range. This situation could occur when the better students improve and the weaker students regress. Thus, the losses by the weaker students are balanced by the gains of the better students.

Goal-based Reading : chievement
Tinis past year continues the trend in declines in the percentage of students passing all goals. Fresently, $41 \%$ of the students passed all goals. Assuming an enrollment of 49 , this is about 26 students compared to a high in 1984 of about 40̈ students.

In terms of specific strand areas, word analysis showed a 30 percentage poine drop. Vocabulary was down from the previous year of a four year high. Interpretive and literal comprehension had more modest declines. Literal and interpretive comprehension were specifically reported for the last two years only.

## Standardized Reading Achievement

The standard sčore average remained stable relative to last year's average (195 vs 196 last year). This follows the increase in performance made following 1985.

However, the percentage of students performing,in the below average range increased from 9-10\% over the last three years to $19 \%$ this year. This translates into an increase of about 5 more students performing significantly below average (assuming an enrollment of 49).

The fact that the averages stay up when more students perform below the average range, suggests that the better
studentis are getting better while the weaker students are falling behind. The two groups balance out, showing no net change overall.

GRADE FOUR (enrollment of 46)
Standardized Mathematics Achievement
The standard score average of 205 is consistent with last year's score, which is 10-12 units higher than the previous two years. This is still right within the countywide average range.

The percentage of students performing significantly below average declined slightly from $16 \%$ to $13 \%$, but is still considerably high than 1984 or 1985.

Standardized Reading Achievement
The average standard score dropped significantly this year by eight unics to 200. This year is very close to the 1984-85 score.

Furthermore, there was a large increase in the number of students performing significantly below average. From 1984 to 1980 , the percentage of students never exceeded 11 . This year $31 \%$ of the students were performing significantly below average. This translates into abou'c 22 students and noticeably reverses the small decreasing trend.

## Writing Assessment

Faragraph writing performance scores are organized into three categories: high (scores of 7 or 8), average ( 4,5 or 6) and low (2 or 3). This past year the percentage of students in the high group was unchanged from the previous year. The average group declined by 26 percentage points. Thus, the net change seems to be fewer students performing at average and more performing below average. The low group increased from about $2 \%$ or less for the last three years to $27 \%$ this year.

GRADE FIVE (enrollment of 49)
Standardized Mathematics Achievement
The standard average score of 212 for this past year is comparable to the year 1986. The increases were maintained relative to 1984 and 1985. This level is in the upper half of the county-wide average range.

The percentage of students performing significantly below average is unchanged from 1986. Thus, the increasing trend from 1984 seems to have stabilized to about $11 \%$ of the students.

## Goal-based Reading Achievement

The percentage of students passing all goals is relatively unchanged from 1986. The net change to the present $51 \%$ is $+8 \%$ since 1984.

In terms of smecific goal areas, slight gains were evident in literal and interpretive comprehension, and word analysis. Slight losses were seen in vocabulary. Vocabulary
and word analysis lost some of the ground gained in 1985. Only two years ef specific literal and interpretive comprehension data are available.

## Standardized Reading Achievament

The standard score average remained unchanged from 1986 , standing at 212 uni.ts. This is in the upper half of the county-wide average range. In four years there has been a net increase of 5 units.

The percentage of students performing significantly below average has increased to $11 \%$ from $4 \%$ in 1986 and $3 \%$ in 1984. Thus, about 5 students are performing significantly below average.

GRADE SIX
Goal-based Mathematics Achicrement
The percentage of students passing all goals was up 7 points to $43 \%$ compared to the past year. This is a net decline of 3 percentage points since 1984.

Increases were noted in all the specific goal areas. The percentage passing whole numbers and decimals was at a four year high of $96 \%$ and $61 \%$ respectively. The percentage passing fractions increased, but the net change since 1984 was a decline of 20 percentage points.

## Standardized Mathematics Achievement

The standard score average of 224 units was unchanged from 1986. The net change was +9 units since 1984. The current level is higher than the county-wide average range.

A slight increase in the number of students achieving significantly below average was evident. It stands at 8.5\% for a four year net gain of slightly over 1\% and considerably lower than the four year high of $14 \%$.

Standardized Reading Achievement
The standard score average for 1987 dropped two units to 217, which is in the upper range of the county-wide average. There has been a net increase of si: units since 1984.

The percentage of students scoring significantly below average increased slightly to $13 \%$ from $9 \%$ the previous year. The trend for the last three years has been increasing.

## OVERVIEW: Sumner Elementary Schonl

This overview i.s'based upon data from goal. -hased mathematics tests in the third and sixth grades, standardjaed mathematics tests in grades $3-6$, goal-based reading tests in the third and fifth grades, writing assess yent in fourth yrade and standardiaed rearling tests in grades 3-6. General trends are summarized in this section. If more specific information $i s$ needed consult the detailed evaluation section that follows. For reference to the supporting data, consult the bar ginaph figures in the Appendices.

Mathematics. Average standard scores remained relatively unchanged. The percentage of students performing significantly below average was uncianged at grade four, higher at grades three and five, and markedly higher at grade si

The percentage of students fiassing all goals was cown considerably in third and sioth grades. In third grade, only the strands of addition/subtraction with and without regrouping shower increases. At grade 5 i: all strand areas were off considerably.

Reading. Average standard scores were mi:ied. Third grade was down. Fourth and si:ith were stable and fifth grade showed an increase. However, the percencage of students performing significantly below average has increased, particularly in the third and sjxth grades.

The percent.age of students passing all goals declined markedly in third grade and was stable in fifth grade. Word analysis, vocabulary and interpretive comprehension accounted for most of the declines.

Writing: Fraragraph writing performance was divided into three ranges: above average, average and below average. The percentage of students in the above group declined, below average increased and the average group remained stable.

The percentage of students passing all goals has
declined during the last four years. This past year has seen the most notable drop--to $16 \%$ passing all goals. Assuming an enrollment of 31 , only 5 students passed all goals.

In terms of specific strand areas, numeration, multiplication, 'measurement, concepts, and problem solving seem most responsible for the overall declines.

This decline does not reflect the increases in passing the strands of addition/subtraction and addition/subtraction with regrouping.

Standardized Mathematics Achievement.
The average standard score of 197 was a decline compared to last year's levels, bringing the average closer to the lowest end of the county-wide average range of 185-198. This reverses the sizable increase recorded last year compared to 1984 and 1985.

There is a lar:ge increase in the number of students scoring significantly belơ: average this past year. The percentage of students beiow the average range has increased to $41 \%$ or abc'it 12 more students than last year (based upon an enrollmen. figure of 31).

## Goal-based Reading Achievement

This past year marked a sizable decline in the percentage of students passing all goals. A drop of more than 40 percentage points occurred. Using enrollment figures of 31 , this translates into about 14 fewer students passing all goals this year compared to 1986. This follows a decline of 11 percentage points in 1985.

In terms of specific strand areas: word analysis, vocabulary, interpretive and literal comprehension, there have been consistent declines since 1985. Literal and interpretive comprehension were specifically reported for the
last two years only.

## Standardized Reading Achievement

This past year marked the end of a three year incr-ease in student performance. The average standard score dropped nine points to 186, which is at the lower range of the county-wide average.

A more significant change is the percentage of students performing significantly below average. Thirty nine percent of the students fell in this range or about 12 students. This is an increase from 12\% of the students last year.

## GRADE FOUR (enrollment of 35)

## Standardized Mathematics Achievement

The standard score average of 201 is consistent with last year's score, which is $7-9$ units higher than the previous two years. This is still right within the countywide average range.

The percentage of students performing significantly bel ow average declined slightly to $14 \%$ this past year. The percentage has remained stable for the past four years.

## Standardized Reading Achievement

The average standard score last year is nearly equal to the 1986 score ( 201 vs 2\%g). This average is right within the county-wide average range. The improvement over 1984 and 1985 is maintained.

The percentage of students performing significantly bel ow average increased to $20 \%$ last year. Given enrollment of about 35, this means seven students performed in the Iowest range compared to only about three the year before and four in previous years.

## Writing Assessment

Päagraph writing performance scores are organized into three categories: high (scores of 7 or 8), average ( 4,5 or 6) and low (2 or 3). This past year the percentage of students in the high group continued the two year deciine. The percentage of students performing at the top range is down to $3.2 \%$ from $25 \%$ in 1985.

The percentage of students in the low group has increased to almost $10 \%$ from $0 \%$ in 1985. Although the large percentage in the middle group remains stable, there is a relative shift in the pervent passing from the high group to the low group.

## GRADE FIVE (enrollment of 41)

## Standardized Mathematics Achievement

The standard score average was unchanged from 1986, standing at 209 units. This level is right at the middle of the county-wide average range. The net change since 1984 is an increase of 11 units.

The percontage of students performing significantly below average ( $14 \%$ ) is comparable to 1986 . This trend maintains the reduction in the number of students in this group since the 1984 percentage of 23. Thus, the number of students in this low group have been :educed by 9 percentage points or approximately 4 students.

## Goal-based Reading Achievement

The percentage of students passing all goals remains at 49\%, despite declines in all specific goal areas. The largest declines were in vocabulary, word analysis and interpretive comprehension: $16 \%$, $9 \%$ and $9 \%$ respectively. Literal comprehension saw a decline of only $5 \%$.

Nevertheless, the percent of students passing vocabulary and word analysis has increased since 1984.

The inconsistency detween stability in all goals and declines in specific strand areas this year suggest that every student passes some strands. However, there is considerable variability among students in which strand is passed.

Standardized Reading Achievement
The standard score average declined slightly, although not significantly, to 208 units. This is above the midpoint of the county-wide average range. There has been a net increase of 9 units since 1984.

The percentage of students scoring in the below average range increased this year to $17 \%$ or roughly 8 students (using enrollment of 41). The trend is clearly increasing.

## GRADE SIX (enmollment of 31)

## Goal-based Mathematics Achievement

The percentage of students passing all goals has dropped dramatically to only 3\%. This is the fourth consecutive year. of decline. The ret change during this time is $-38 \%$.

The declines in the specific goal areas were also substantial. Decimals saw a $36 \%$ decline for $1987,-56 \%$ over. four years. Whole numbers strand was down to $50 \%$ of the students from a high in 1985 of $86 \%$. Fractions were down to 13\% of the students this past year, from 82\% in 1985.

## Standardized Mathematics Achievement

The standard score average declined 6 units in 1987 to 211 which is at the lower end of the county-wide average range. The net change since 1984 is +6 units.

A dramatic change occurred in 1987 in tarms of the percentage of students performing significantly below average. The percentage rose to $45 \%$ (or 14 students based upon an enrollment figure of 31 ). This is more than double the previous three year high.

Standardized Reading Achievement
The standard score average declined to 209 units from 212 in 1986. The latest level is within the lower range of the county-wide average. However, the four year net change is +6 units.

The percentage of students performing significantly below average rose dramatically in 1987 to 33\%. The percentage was up $22 \%$ points from 1986 . The net change is +15 .

## OVERVIEW: Middle School

This overview is based upon data from standardized mathematics and reading tests in grades $T$ and 8 and two writing assessment tasks in grade 7 . General trends are summarized in this section. If more specific information is needed consult the detailed evaluation section that follows. For reference to the supporting data; consult the bar graph figures in the Appendices.

Mathematics. Average standardized scores were relatively unchanged in both seventh and eighth grades. The percentage of students performing significantly below average was stable in grade seven and slightly lower jon grade eight.

Reading. Average standardized scores continue to be stable at both grade levels. The same is true for the percentage of students performing significantly below average.

Writing. There is a trend towards fewer students performing above average on paragraph writing and more performing below average. A drop in the percentage of students performing at the high level of proofreading is evident.

GRADE SEVEN (enrollment of 239)
Standardized Maŕhematics Achievement.
The standardized achievement score average for 1987 remains very stable compared to the last three years. The score of 227 reflects an average at the upper range for averages found county-wide. Data for 1986 and 1987 is from Spring testing. Because the Fall and Spring norm data are similar, it is fair to make Fall/Spring comparisons.

For two years in a row the percent of students performing at the below average range has been about $10 \%$. Based upon an enrollment figure of 230,24 students have been in this low range. This change reflects a slight increase. It is an important indicator, hecause averages may mask: declines in performance in the lower range if thers are increases in performance in the upper ranges.

## Standardized Reading Achievement

The standard score average remains very stable compared to the last three years. The level of 221 is at the high end of the county-wide average range.

Similarly, the percent of students performing in the below average range is also stable at $7 \%$. Based upon enrollment of 239 , this translates into 17 students.

## Writing Assessment

Student performancie in paragraph writing is categor-ized into three score ranges: high ( 7,8 ), average ( $4,5,6$ ), and low (2,3). This past year marked an increase in the percentage of students in the low group to $13 \%$ and a decrease of students in the high group to 14\%. This translates into a net decrease in the high group of about 12 percentage points since 1984 and a net gain of $\beta$ percentage points in the low group.

The large average group remains somewhat stable, but is down considerably from the 1984 level.

Another measure of writing achievement is derived from proofreading. Students are asked to proofread a short essay. The passage contains 14 errors including paragraphing, capitalization, punctuation, spelling and syllabication. The students mark the errors wi th accepted proofreading symbols. The students responses are ta' 'ied according to three categories: 10 -14 errors found (high), b-9 (average) and low (0-5).

Over four years, there has been a net decline of 10 percentage points in the high group, a five percentage point increase in the aver-age group and a four point increase in the low group. The trend seems to be a decrease in percentage at the high end and an increase at the average and the low end.

GRADE EIGHT (enroliment of 208)
Standardized Mathematics Achievement
The standard score average for 1987 remains very stable compared to the last four years. The score of 233 reflects
an average above the range for averages found county-wide. Data for 1986 and 1987 are from Spring testing. Eecause the Fall and Spring norm data are so similar, it is fair to make Fall/Spring comparisons.

For two years in a row the percent of students performing significantly below average has been about $11 \%$. Based upon an enrollment figure of 239,23 students have been in this low range. This change reflects a slight increase. It is an important indicator, because averages may mask declines in performance in the lower range if there are increases in performance in the upper ranges.

Standardized.Reading Achievement
The standard score average this year, like the last three years is very stable at 223. This score is in the middle of the county-wide average range.

Similarly, the percent of students performing in the below average range is also stable at about 1\%\%. Based upon enrollment of 208 , this translates into 20 students.

## OVERVIEW: High School

This averview is based upon data from: 1) standardized reading, language arts and mathematics tests in grade 9, 2) performance on a writing exercise in eleventh grade and 3) Oregon System of Higher Education data from the Class of 1985's first year in college. General trends are summarized in this section. If more specific information is needed consult the detailed evaluation section that follows. For reference to the supporting data, consult the bar graph figures in the Appendices.

Mathematics. The percentage of ninth graders in the top performance group declined, while the next lower group incmeased. The bottom groups remained stable.

Reading. The performance of ninth graders on the standardized achievement was similar to mathematics: fewer in the top group, more in the second highest group, stable at the lower two groups.

Language Arts. A decline in performance at the highest level was evident among ninth graders. An increase in the second highest and the next lowest group was also indicated by the standardized achievement tests. The lowest group was stable.

The results of the eleventh grade writing exercise indicate an increase in the percentage of students performing at the highest level, a slight decrease at the average group, and a decrease to zero percent in the low group.

College grades. The performance of our 1985 graduates in their freshman year at Oregon colleges was weaker in mathematics and science than a statewide cohort. Performarices in social sciences, arts and letters, foreigr language and writing generally were comparable to the state cohort. However, for these course groupings there were fewer grades of $A$ than among the cohort.

GRADE NINE (enrollment of 24g)
The percent of students scoring in the bottom quarter, second quarter, third quarter and top quarter of a nationwide sample of ninth graders is indicated by the height of the bars om the figures located in the Appendix. One expects 25\% to be in each quartile. Thus, percents greater than 25 in the upper quartiles and percents less than 25 in the lower quartiles are positive indicators.

## Standardized Reading Achievement

The parcentage of students performing in the top quartile stands at $24 \%$, down from a three year high of $46 \%$. The percentage in the third quartile increased to $42 \%$, up from the previous year. The percentage of students in the two remaining quartiles has been more stable over the last four years.

The trend is a decrease in the percentage of students performing at the highest level accompanied by an increase in the percentage of students in the next lower level.

## Standardized Language Arts Achievement

The percentage of students performing in the top quartile stands at 24\%, which is up slightly from 1986. However, the net change since 1984 is down 16 percentage points. A small increase in the relative number of students in the third quartile is evident. This continues the upward trend since 1984. The second quartile showed the largest decrease from $33 \%$ in 198 t to the present $21 \%$. A net change at the 1 owest quartile of +4 percentage points was found. As with reading, the trend is a drop in the percentage of students performing at the highest level, an increase in the third higher level, a decrease in the second quartile and a stable bottom quartile.

## Standardized Mathematics Achievement

The percentage of students performing in the top quartile is $36 \%$. The change from 1986 is slightly decreased, but markedly decreased from 1985 (nearly 20 points lower). The third quartile is lower than the 1986 level, but there has been an increase since 1984. The lower quartiles are relatively stable.

The trend is a decrease in the highest level and an increase in the secend higher level. The lower levels are rather stable.

GRADE ELEVEN (enrollment of 256)
Writing Assessment
Students complete a writing exercise which is rated by two readers. The rating is a holistic assessment and a number from 1 (weak) to 4 (strong) is assigned to the wort. The two ratings are summed to produce the final assessment indicator. Thus the final score could range from as low as 2 to as high as 8. The scores are then grouped according to the following: Low (2-3), Average ( $4,5,6$ ), High (7-8).

The percentage of students performing at the High level has increased from 13\% in 1984 to 21\% in 1987. The Average
 spans a very narrow score range, but includes a very large group of students, i.e., nearly $80 \%$ of all students since 1984 receive a score of four, five or si\%. The Low level has declined to zero from $2 \%$ in 1984.

The trend has been: no students performing at the low end, most are in the average level, with the percentage in the highest level increasing slowly.

## GRADUATES (1985)

The Oregon System of Higher Education provides us with data on the college academic performance of our high school graduates in comparison to all Oregon gradilates. The courses taken during the freshman year are organized into caṫegories:

Arts \& Letters - literature and fine arts;
For. Language - foreign language;
Writing;
Social Science - psychology, economics, sociology, history;
Science;
Mathematics.
The letter grades $A, B, C, D$, and $F$ have the standard meaning. $P$ stands for Pass and $N$ for No Pass.

For an overall picture, the percentages for the grades of $A, B, C$ and $P$ were added together. In mathematics $63 \%$ of our students received satisfactory grades or better compared to $76 \%$ state-wide. The discrepancy in science was greater: $57 \%$ for the district, $81 \%$ for the state. The rest of the courses were generally comparable to the state percentages.

Comparisons of all possible grades revealed similar conclusions.

1. In the arts and letters our district had more Bs and Cs than the state, but fewer As.
2. In foreign languages there were more As but fewer Bs and Cs. The small number of district students in this area limit the reliability of the data.
3. For writing, the district students lad more Cs and more $F s$, but small numbers limit the usefulness of this data.
4. In the social sciences the percent of our students exceeded the state in Cs , but was lower in As and Bs.
5. In science the district percentages exceeded the state's on Ds and was triple the percent on Fs. Very few As were reported.
6. Finally, in mathematics the district was slightly lower of $\mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Bs}$, and Cs , but considerably higher in the percent of $F s$ than the state percentages.

These resu?ts indicate that the 1985 graduates who went on to colleges in the Oregon System were not as successful
their first year as their state cohorts. The graduates' grades were slightly lower in foreign languages and writing courses. They had slightly higher grades in the arts and literature and social science. They earned markedly lower grades in mathematics and science.

These data need to be used cautiously. First, the number of students in writing and foreign language was small. Thus the reliability of the crmparison 15 weak. Secondly, only one graduating class is represented. Additional data must be collected before more Er isistent trends can be established.

## APPENDIX

## MATHEMATics

## Grade 3

1) Addition/Bubtraction, Basic Facta: Students will recall the basic facts, $\emptyset-18$, from memory. ( 30 problems - $21 / 2$ minutes)
2) Multiplication, Basic Facts: Students will recall basic multiplication facts, $\overline{\emptyset-45}$, from memory. ( $3 \emptyset$ problems 2 1/2 minutes)
3) Numeration: Students will use the concepts of place valu. to estimate, count, order, and compare numbers.
4) Addition, Subtraction, With Regrouping: Students will use the skills of addition and subtraction with regrouping to the hundreds place.
5) Reprementation of Concepts: Students will identify and interpret concepts at the representational level including whole number, fraction, geometric, and probability.
6) Measurement: Students will use the basic measurement concepts of linear measurement, time, and money in problem solving.
7) Problem Solving: Students will use problem solving strategies involving various modes of computation in problem situations.

## Grade 6

1) Whale Numberks Concpots/Qporations: Students will use the concepts of place value to estimate, count, order, and compare whole numbers.
2) Fractions, Concepts/Operations: Students will compare and order common fractions, interpret the meaning of fraction terms, and identify equivalent forms for fractions.

Students will use the skills of addition, subtraction, and multiplication of like fractions.
3) Decimals. Concepts/Operations: Students will use the concepts of place value to estimate, order, and compare decimal fractions.
4) Maggurement: Students will use the basic measurement concepts of linear measurement, area, and time in problem solving.
5) Problem Solving: This goal involves a student's ability to apply specific problem solving skills taught in conjunction with Problem Solving Mathematics (FSM).

## READING

## Grade 3

1) Word Attack Skilles Students will use the skills of word idertification, structural analysis, and phonics to decode written language.
2) Vocabulary Davelopment Skills: Students will Lse affixes and concext clues to determine vocabulary and concept attainment as well as the use of symonyms, antonyms, and homonyms.
3) Literal Comprehension: Students will identify facts and ideas, $i d e n t i f y$ the main idea, recount important details, and determine the sequence of events from a given selection.
4) Interpretive Comprehension: Students will interpret, analyze, and infer cause and effect relationships, main ideas, and make predictions about a given selecticn.

## READING

## Grade 5

1) Word Analysis Skills: Students will use phonetic principles and structural analysis skills to decode words.
2) Vocabulary Development Skills: Students will use affi\%es and context clues to determine vocabulary and concept attainment as well as the use of synonyms, antonyms, and homonyms.
3) Literal Comprehension: Students will identify facts and ideas, identify the main idea, recount important details, and determine the sequence of events from a given selection.
4) Interpretive Comprehension: Students will interpret, analyze, summarize, and infer relationships, main ideas, and make predictions about a given selection.

## LANGUAGE ARTS

 Writing
## Grades 4r 7. 11

Paragraphs: Each written exercise was rated by two readers. The readers rated each exercise on a scale of 1 to 4 , where 4 is the highest score. These two ratings were added to obtain each student's total score. Thus, the highest possible score is 8 , and the lowest possible score is 2 . A low range includes scores of 2 or 3 , the average range includes scores of 4 , 5 or 6 , and the high range includes scores of 7 or 8.

## Grade ?

Proofreading: Students were asked to proofread a short essay. The selection contained fourteen errors including paragraphing, capitalizacion; punctuation, spelling, and syllabication errors. The students were to mark the errors with acc spted proofreading symbols.

Readers tallied the errors by completing a checklist for each exercise, indicating whether. or not a siudent had found and marked the error correctly.
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## GOAL-BASED MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT, 1987

 Addition/subtraction, .Jrd Grade

Fall 1984
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Multiplication, 3rd Grade

GOAL-BASED MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT, 1987 Numeration, 3rd Grode
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 Meosurement, 3rd Grade

Problem solving, 3rd Grade

All Goals, 6th Grade


GOAL-BA.SED MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT Whole Numbers, 6th Grade
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GOAL-BASED MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT
Fractions, 6th Grode


GOAL-BASED MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT
Decimals, 6th Grade



# LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT, 1987 REPORT 

Mathematics, Prescott: Grades 3 throuigh 6


## LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT BELOW AVERAGE, 1987

Mcthematics, Prescott: Erades 3 through 6
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LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT, 1987 REPORT
Mathematics, Russell: Grades 3 through 6


## LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT BELOW AVERAGE, 1987

 Mathematics, Russell: Grades 3 through 6

## LEVELS ACHIIEVEMENT, 1987 REPORT

Mathemotics, Sacromento: Grades 3 through 6


LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT BELOW AVERAGE, 1987 Mathematics, Sacramento: Grades 3 through 6


LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT, 1987 REPORT
Mathematics, Shaver: Grades 3 through 6


## LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT BELOW AVERAGE

 Mathematics, Shaver: Grades 3 through 6

# LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT, 1987 REPORT <br> Mathematics, Sumner: Grades 3 through 6 



## LEVELS ACHIIEVEMENT BELLOW AVERAGE, 1987

Mathematics, Sumner: Grades 3 through 6


Fall
1984
Fall
1985
Spring 1986
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# LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT, 1987 REPORT Mathematics, Grades 7 and 8 



## LEVELS ACHIEVEAENT BELOW AVERAGE Mathematics, Grades 7 and 8



# GOAL-BASED READING ACHIEVEMENT <br> All Goals, 3rd Grade 



## GOAL.-BASED READING ACHIEVEMENT, 1987

Word Anolysis, 3rd Grode



## GOAL—BASED READING ACHIEVEMENT Literal Comprehension, 3rd Grade
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# GOAL-BASED READING ACHIEVEMENT <br> Interpretive Comprehension, 3rd Grade 



# GOAL-BASED READING ACHIEVEMENT All Goals, 5th Grade 



## GOAL-BASED READING ACHIEVEMENT Word Analysis, 5th Grade




GOAL-BASED READING ACHIEVEMENT Literal Comprehension, 5th Grade



# GOAL-BASED READING ACHIEVEMENT <br> Interpretive Comprehension, 5th Grade 



## LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT, 1987 REPORT

Reading, Prescott: Grodes 3 through 6


## LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT BELOW AVERAGE <br> Reading, Prescott: Grodes 3 through 6



# LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT, 1987 REPORT 

Reading, Russell: Grodes 3 through 6


LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT BELOW AVERAGE
Reading, Russell: Grades 3 through 6


# LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT, 1987 REPORT <br> Reading, Sacramento: Grades 3 through 6 



LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT BELOW AVERAGE
Reading, Sacramento: Grades 3 through 6


## LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT, 1987 REPORT

Reading, Shaver: Grades 3 through 6


## LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT BELOW AVERAGE

Reading, Shaver: Grades 3 through 6


# LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT, 1987 REPORT 

Reading, Sumner: Grades 3 through 6


LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT BELOW AVERAGE
Reading, Sumner: Grodes 3 through 6


Reading, Middle Schoal: Grodes 7 and 8


## LEVELS ACHIEVEMENT BELOW AVERAGE, 1987

Reading, Middle Schoal: Grades 7 and 8


VA Grade 7
Grade 8

## WRITING SKILLS ASSESSMENT <br> Prescott, Grade 4: Parogroph writing



WRITING SKILLS ASSESSMENT
Russell, Grode 4: Paragraph writing


Sacramento, Grode 4: Porograph writing


## WRITING SKILLS ASSESSMENT

Shaver, Grode 4: Paragraph writing


# WRITING SKILLS ASSESSMENT <br> Sumner, Grade 4: Paragraph writing 



WRITING SKILLS ASSESSMENT
Middle School, Grade 7: Paragraph writing


Middle School, Grode 7: Proofreoding(14 Errors Possible)


WRITING SKILLS ASSESSMENT<br>High School, Grode 11: Composition writing



STANDARDIZED MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT (CAT) 68
Percent of Ninth Graders in National Quortiles


B Br tom 25\%


2nd $25 \%$
3rd 25\%
Top 25\%

STANDARDIZED READING ACHIEVEMENT (CAT)
Percent of Ninth Graders in National Quartiles


# STANDARDIZED LANG. ARTS ACHIEVEMENT (CAT) <br> 69 <br> Percent of Ninth Graders in National Quartiles 




FRESHMAN COLLEGE GRADE COMPARISONS Oregon vs. 1985 Graduates: Arts \& Letters ( $N=101$ )


Letter Grade

FRESHMAN COI_LEGE GRADE COMPARISONS
Oregon vs. 1985 Graduates: For. Language ( $\mathrm{N}=22$ )


FRESHMAN COLLEGE GRADE COMPARISONS Oregon vs. 1985 Graduates: Writing ( $\mathrm{N}=23$ )


FRESHMAN COLLEGE GRADE COMPARISONS
Oregon vs. 1985 Graduates: Social Sciences $(N=146)$


FRESHMAN COLLEGE GRADE COMPARISONS Oregon vs. 1985 Graduates: Science ( $\mathrm{N}=60$ )



Percentage of Transfer Students: Elementary
 88 1985

INDEX OF STUDENT TRANSIENCY
Percentage of Student Withdrawals: Elementary



Middle Schoul
High School

INDEX OF STUDENT TRANSIENCY
Percentage of Student Withdrowals: Secondary


Middle Schoul
High School
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